

Interactive comment on “Sensitivity Analysis of a Coupled Hydrodynamic-Vegetation Model Using the Effectively Subsampled Quadratures Method” by Tarandeep S. Kalra et al.

P.R. Halloran (Editor)

p.halloran@exeter.ac.uk

Received and published: 19 June 2017

Dear Authors and Executive Editor,

Many thanks to Astrid Kerkweg for highlighting these points and following up on the disclaimer issue. I write to follow up on the comment about adding the model version to the title.

Your manuscript was submitted as an 'Methods for assessment of models', which I feel accurately describes the manuscript. As you will see here:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/about/manuscript_types.html

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



the requirement 'The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title' only applies to model description papers. It is considered that this title structure helps the process of documenting model development clearly. Thinking about your manuscript, the reference to 'COAWST' is not documenting model development undertaken in your manuscript, so I would not worry about adding this. If you feel that the analysis tool you have developed are likely to evolve and be used directly by others (rather than them producing their own versions of these tools), perhaps I could encourage you to consider a title along the lines of 'Sensitivity Analysis of... Using the Effectively Subsampled Quadratures Method (ESQM vx.y)'?

Many thanks, Paul Halloran (Editor)

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2017-107>, 2017.

GMDD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

