

# ***Interactive comment on “Experimental and diagnostic protocol for the physical component of the CMIP6 Ocean Model Intercomparison Project (OMIP)” by Stephen M. Griffies et al.***

**A. Kerkweg**

kerkweg@uni-mainz.de

Received and published: 13 April 2016

Dear authors,

In agreement with the CMIP6 panel members, the Executive editors of GMD would like to establish a common naming convention for the titles of the CMIP6 experiment description papers.

The title of CMIP6 papers should include both the acronym of the MIP, and CMIP6, so that it is clear this is a CMIP6-Endorsed MIP.

Additionally, we strongly recommend to add a version number to the MIP description. The reason for the version numbers is so that the MIP protocol can be up-

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



dated later, normally in a second short paper outlining the changes. See, for example: [http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/special\\_issue11.html](http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/special_issue11.html),

Good formats for the title include:

'XYZMIP (v1.0) contribution to CMIP6: Name of project'

or

'Name of Project (XYZMIP v1.0) contribution to CMIP6'

If you want to include a more descriptive title, the format could be along the lines of,

'XYZMIP (v1.0) contribution to CMIP6: Name of project - descriptive title'

or

'Name of Project (XYZMIP v1.0) contribution to CMIP6: descriptive title.'

When you revise your manuscript, please correct the title of your manuscript accordingly.

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg

---

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-77, 2016.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

