

Interactive comment on “Overview of the Global Monsoons Model Inter-comparison Project (GMMIP)” by Tianjun Zhou et al.

Tianjun Zhou et al.

chenxl@lasg.iap.ac.cn

Received and published: 21 July 2016

Dear Karl,

Thank you for your constructive suggestions. For reading easily, we copied your comments in italic.

- *Thank you for responding to our request (through the editor) promising to revise your title consistent with others in this special issue.*
- *It would be helpful to replace “other MIPs” (and similar constructs) in some places with “endorsed MIPs” to make clear the cohesiveness of the CMIP6 set.*

Response:

Revised as suggested.

C1

- *There is essentially no discussion of any special data required for your analyses.*
- *Perhaps there are no special needs, but it would be good to at least include some discussion of the priority 1 data request. In particular, if data with temporal resolution greater than a month are needed, then indicate this, especially if 3-d (spatially) fields are required. Without emphasizing this, some groups may not realize the importance of saving the needed fields.*

Response:

We now have added Appendix II to clarify what kinds of data are required (**P13-16**).

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-69, 2016.

C2