Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-67-RC1, 2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



GMDD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Sea Ice Model Intercomparison Project (SIMIP): Understanding sea ice through climate-model simulations" by Dirk Notz et al.

D. Bailey (Referee)

dbailey@ucar.edu

Received and published: 16 May 2016

This manuscript describes the overall motivation and description of the requested documentation and variables for the sea ice part of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP). Overall, I feel this is a very good descriptive paper and should be considered for publication subject to a few minor revisions which I have listed here.

1. The concept of "extensive" and "intensive" variables is still a bit confusing to me. I can think of examples such at top melting on sea ice (sidmassmelttop) that I could argue either way. I would request that in the appendices C-F the authors add the label of extensive or intensive to the variables, so that we in the community can be on the same page.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



- 2. The concept of sea ice freeboard is interesting and challenging even for the remote sensing community. I believe more description should be added here so as to make it clear what satellite product(s) we would be comparing the models against.
- 3. Another issue is that most models that I am aware of do not keep track of terms for the sea ice and snow on top of sea ice separately. I would recommend that the following be expanded/clarified as to what is preferred: sidmassevapsubl and sndmassdyn. I know that in the CICE model we do not separate these for sea ice/snow.

Note that while I checked Excellent for scientific reproducibility, I believe this should actually be N/A.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-67, 2016.

GMDD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

