

Interactive comment on “High Resolution Model Intercomparison Project (HighResMIP)” by R. J. Haarsma et al.

A. Kerkweg

kerkweg@uni-mainz.de

Received and published: 13 April 2016

Dear authors,

In agreement with the CMIP6 panel members, the Executive editors of GMD would like to establish a common naming convention for the titles of the CMIP6 experiment description papers.

The title of CMIP6 papers should include both the acronym of the MIP, and CMIP6, so that it is clear this is a CMIP6-Endorsed MIP.

Additionally, we strongly recommend to add a version number to the MIP description. The reason for the version numbers is so that the MIP protocol can be updated later, normally in a second short paper outlining the changes. See, for example:

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/special_issue11.html,

Good formats for the title include:

'XYZMIP (v1.0) contribution to CMIP6: Name of project'

or

'Name of Project (XYZMIP v1.0) contribution to CMIP6'

If you want to include a more descriptive title, the format could be along the lines of,

'XYZMIP (v1.0) contribution to CMIP6: Name of project - descriptive title'

or

'Name of Project (XYZMIP v1.0) contribution to CMIP6: descriptive title.'

When you revise your manuscript, please correct the title of your manuscript accordingly.

Yours,

Astrid Kerckweg

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-66, 2016.

GMDD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

