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#### Abstract

An algorithm for the generation of non-uniform, locally-orthogonal staggered unstructured spheroidal grids is described. This technique is designed to generate very high-quality staggered Voronoi/Delaunay dual-meshes appropriate for general circulation modelling on the sphere, including applications to atmospheric simulation, ocean-modelling and numerical weather prediction. Using a recently developed Frontal-Delaunay refinement technique, a method for the construction of high-quality unstructured spheroidal Delaunay triangulations is introduced. A locally-orthogonal polygonal grid, derived from the associated Voronoi diagram, is computed as the staggered dual. It is shown that use of the Delaunay-refinement Frontal-Delaunay refinement technique allows for the generation of unstructured grids that satisfy a priori constraints on minimum mesh-quality. The initial staggered Voronoi/Delaunay tessellation is iteratively improved through very high-quality unstructured triangulations, satisfying a-priori bounds on element size and shape. Grid-quality is further improved through the application of hill-climbing type optimisation techniques. Such an approach-Overall, the algorithm is shown to produce grids with very high element quality and smooth grading characteristics, while imposing relatively low computational expense. Initiat results are presented for a-A selection of uniform and non-uniform spheroidal grids appropriate for high-resolution, multiscale general circulation modelling are presented. These grids are shown to satisfy the geometric constraints associated with contemporary unstructured C-grid type finite-volume models, including the Model for Prediction Across Scales (MPAS-O). The use of user-defined mesh-spacing functions to generate smoothly graded, non-uniform grids for multi-resolution type studies is discussed in detail.
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## 1 Introduction

The development of atmospheric and oceanic general circulation models based on unstructured numerical discretisation schemes is an emerging area of research. This trend necessitates the development of unstructured grid-generation algorithms for the production of designed to produce very high-resolution, guaranteed-quality unstructured triangular and polygonal
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Figure 1. Conventional semi-structured meshing for the sphere, showing a regular cubed-sphere type grid (left), and a regular icosahedral class grid (right). Both grids were generated using equivalent target mean edge lengths, and are coloured according to mean topographic height at grid-cell centres. Topography is drawn using an exaggerated scale, with elevation from the reference geoid amplified by a factor of 20 in both cases.
meshes that satisfy non-uniform mesh-spacing distributions and embedded geometrical constraints. This study investigates the applicability of a recently developed surface meshing algorithm (??) based on restricted Frontal-Delaunay refinement and hill-climbing type optimisation for this task.

### 1.1 Related WorkSemi-structured grids

5 While simple structured grid types for the sphere can be obtained by assembling a uniform discretisation in spherical coordinates, the resulting lat-lon grid is often inappropriate for numerical simulation, due to the presence of strong grid-singularities at the two poles. Such features manifest as local distortions in grid-quality, consisting of regions of highly distorted quadrilateral grid-cells. These low-quality elements can lead to a number of undesirable numerical effects - imposing restrictions on model time-step and stability, and compromising local spatial accuracy. A As a result, a majority of current generation general circulation models, (i.e. ???), are instead based on a semi-structured quadrilateral diseretisation known as-semi-structured quadrilateral discretisation schemes, including the cubed-sphere. In this(???) and tri-polar type configurations (???).

In the cubed-sphere framework, the spherical surface is decomposed into a cube-like topology, with each of its the six quadrilateral faces discretised as a structured curvilinear grid. In such an arrangement, the two strong grid-singularities of the lat-lon configuration are replaced by eight weak discontinuities at the cube corners, leading to significant improvements in
numerical performance. ? present detailed discussions of techniques for the generation and optimisation of cube-sphere type grids. A regular gnomonic-type cubed-sphere grid is illustrated in Figure 1a. In the tri-polar grid the present-day continental configuration is exploited to bury the singularities associated with a three-way polar decomposition of the sphere outside of the ocean mask. The resulting numerically-active subset of the grid is well-conditioned as a result. While such configurations are a popular choice for models designed for present-day Earth-based ocean studies, the generality of these methods is clearly limited. In this study, we instead pursue the development of more general-purpose techniques, applicable for both ocean and atmospheric modelling in general planetary, present-day and paleo-Earth environments.

In addition to the standard cubed-sphere type configurationand tri-polar type configurations, a second class of semistructured spherical grid can be realised constructed through icosahedral-type decompositions (??). In such cases, the primary grid is defined as a regular spherical triangulation, obtained through recursive bisection of the icosahedronicosahedral configuration. Additionally, a staggered polygonal dual grid, consisting of hexagonal and pentagonal cells, is often used as a basis for finite-volume type numerical schemes. This geometrical duality is an example of the lecally-orthegenal locally-orthogonal Delaunay/Voronoi type grid staggering that forms the basis of this paper. Icosahedral-type grids provide a near-perfect tessellation of the sphere - free of topological discontinuities and/or geometric irregularity. Such methods are applicable to both atmospheric and oceanic type simulations. A regular icosahedral-class grid is illustrated in Figure 1b.

While both the cubed-sphere and icosahedral type grids provide an effective framework

### 1.2 Unstructured grids

While the semi-structured grids described previously each provide effective frameworks for uniform resolution global eireulation modelssimulation, the development of multi-resolution modelling environments has recently attracted considerable interestrequires alternative techniques. A range of new general eireulations circulation models, including the Finite Element Sea Ice-Ocean Model (FESOM) (?), the Finite Volume Community Ocean Model (FVCOM) (???), the Stanford Unstructured Non-hydrostatic Terrain-following Adaptive Navier-Stokes Simulator (SUNTANS) (??), and the Second-generation Louvain-la-Neuve Ice-ocean Model (SLIM) (??) are based on semi-structured triangular grids, with the horizontal directions discretised according to an unstructured spherical triangulation, and the vertical direction represented as a stack of locally structured layers. The Model for Predication Across Scales (MPAS) (???) adopts a similar arrangement, except that a locally-orthogonal unstructured discretisation is adopted, consisting of both a Spherical Voronoi Tessellation (SVT) and its dual Delaunay triangulation. The use of fully unstructured representations, based on general tetrahedral and/or polyhedral grids, are also under investigation in the Fluidity framework (????). Such models all impose different requirements on the quality of the underlying unstructured grids, with some models, including FESOM, SLIM and Fluidity, offering additionally flexibility. In all cases though, the performance of the numerical simulation can be expected to improve with increased grid-quality - encouraging the search for optimised grid-generation algorithms. A full discussion of grid-quality constraints for general circulation modelling is presented in Section 2.

Existing approaches for unstructured grid-generation on spherical geometries have focused on a number of techniques, including: (i) the use of iterative, optimisation-type optimisation-type algorithms designed to construct Spherical Centroidal

Voronoi Tessellations (SCVT's) (?), and (ii) the adaptation of anisotropic two-dimensional meshing techniques (?) that build grids in associated parametric spaces. The MPI-SCVT algorithm (?) is a massively parallel implementation of iterative Lloydtype smoothing (?) for the construction of SVCT's for use in the MPAS framework. In this approach, a set of vertices are distributed over the spherical surface and iteratively smoothed until a high-quality Voronoi tessellation is obtained. Specifically, each iteration repositions vertices to the centroids of their associated Voronoi cells and updates the topology of the underlying spherical Delaunay triangulation. While such an approach typically leads to the generation of high-quality centroidal Voronoi tessellations on the sphere (SCVT's), the algorithm does not provide theoretical guarantees on minimum element quality, and often requires significant computational effort to achieve convergence. Additionally, current implementations of the MPI-SCVT algorithm do not provide a mechanism to constrain the grid to embedded features, such as coastal boundaries.
$\boldsymbol{?}$ present an unstructured spherical triangulation framework using the general-purpose grid-generation package Gmsh (?). In this work, unstructured spherical triangulations are generated for the world ocean using a parametric meshing approach. Specifically, a triangulation of the spherical surface is generated by mapping the full domain (including coastlines) on to an associated two-dimensional parametric space via stereographic projection. Importantly, as a result of the projection, the grids constructed in parametric space must be highly anisotropic, such that a well-shaped, isotropic triangulation is induced on the sphere. A range of existing two-dimensional anisotropic meshing algorithms are investigated, including Delaunayrefinement, frontaladvancing-front, and adaptation-type approaches. In particular, the algorithm is designed to ensure a faithful representation of complex coastal boundary conditions. While a detailed model of such constraints is often neglected in global simulations, resolution of these features is a key factor for regional and coastal models. Several algorithms support the generation of unstructured two-dimensional grids for such domains, including the ADmesh package (?) and the Stomel library (?).

The current study explores the development of an-a new algorithm for the generation of high-resolution, guaranteedquality spheroidal Delaunay triangulations and associated Voronoi tessellations $\sim$ appropriate for a range of thastruetured grid unstructured-grid type general circulation models. In this work, meshes are generated on the spheroidal surface directly, without need for local parameterisation or projection. Such an approach will be shown to exhibit significant flexibility - immune to issues of coordinate singularity and/or continental configuration. The applicability of this approach to grid-generation for imperfect spheres, including oblate spheroids and general ellipsoidal surfaces is explored. Adherence to user-defined mesh-spacing eonstraints is also diseussed also explored. Overall, significant effort is invested to develop techniques designed to produce very high-quality multi-resolution grids appropriate for contemporary unstructured C-grid type models, such as the MPAS framework. The paper is organised as follows: an overview of grid-generation for general circulation modelling is presented in Section 2, outlining various constraints and minimum requirements on grid-quality. A description of the Frontal-Delaunay refinement and hill-climbing type optimisation algorithms is given in Sections 3 and 4. A set of uniform and non-uniform grids appropriate for high-resolution, multi-scale general circulation modelling are presented Section 5, alongside an analysis of computational performance and optimality. Avenues for future work are outlined in Section 6.


Figure 2. Construction of the staggered surface Voronoi control-volumes, illustrating: (left) locally-orthogonal Voronoi/Delaunay staggering, and (right) an associated unstructured C-grid type numerical discretisation scheme, as per the MPAS model. The formulation is a combination of conservative cell-centred tracer quantities $\bar{\psi}_{i}$, edge-centred normal velocity components $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})_{i}$, and auxiliary vertex-centred vorticity variables $\xi_{k}$.

## 2 Grid-generation for general-circulation modelling

Numerical formulations for large-scale atmospheric and/or oceanic general circulation modelling are often based on a staggered grid configuration, with quantities such as fluid pressure, geopotential, and density discretised using a primary control-volume, and the fluid velocity field and vorticity distribution represented at secondary, spatially distinct grid-points. In the context of standard structured grid types, various staggered arrangements are described by the well-known Arakawa schemes (?).

The development of general circulation models based on unstructured grid types is an emerging area of research, and, as a result, a variety of numerical formulations are currently under investigation. In this study, the development of locally-orthogonal grids appropriate for staggered unstructured C-grid type numerical schemes are pursued, as these methods are thought to represent the most logical extension of the conventional structured Arakawa-type techniques to the unstructured setting. Such formulations require that grids satisfy a local-orthogonality constraint, with adjacent grid-cell edges in the primary and secondary control-volumes required to be mutually perpendicular. In the unstructured setting, it is known that the Delaunay triangulation and Voronoi tessellation constitute a locally-orthogonal staggered dual, leading to a natural framework for the construction of such unstructured meshes.

Consisting of a set of (convex) polygonal grid-cells centred on each vertex in the underlying triangulation, the surface Voronoi diagram Vor $\left.\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ obeys a number of local orthogonality constraints. Specifically, grid-cell edges in the Voronoi tessellation are guaranteed to be perpendicular to their associated edges in the underlying Delaunay triangulation, passing


Figure 3. Comparison of well-centred and poorly-staggered Voronoi/Delaunay dual grids, from left to right, respectively. In the well-centred configuration, each vertex of the Voronoi polygon lies within the interior of its associated Delaunay triangle. A conservative computation of the vertex-centred vorticity variables can therefore be achieved using the three velocity components adjacent to each circumcentre. In the poorly-staggered configuration, one Voronoi vertex lies outside its associated triangle (shaded). The associated Voronoi and Delaunay edges do not intersect as a result, and the triangle-based vorticity reconstruction is no longer valid. Note that the quality of the triangulation here is not pathological, with all angles bounded below $\theta_{t} \leq 120^{\circ}$. The construction of fully well-centred grids can be seen as a difficult problem as a result, requiring the assembly of very high-quality Delaunay triangulations.
through the Delaunay-edge midpoints. Additionally, in the case of perfectly regular and centroidal tessellations, the Delaunay-edges are guaranteed to pass through the midpoints of their associated Voronoi duals. Voronoi grid-cells are formed as the convex-hull of the incident element circumcentres associated with the set of surface triangles adjacent to each vertex. Example Voronoi/Delaunay type unstructured grid staggering is illustrated in Figure 2.

While detailed comparisons of particular numerical discretisation schemes lie outside the scope of the current study, brief comments regarding the benefits of locally-orthogonal grid-staggering arrangements are made Pursuing an unstructured variant of the widely-used Arakawa C -grid, the placement of fluid pressure, geopotential and density degrees-of-freedom within the primary Voronoi control-volumes, and orthogonal velocity vectors on Delaunay-edges achieves a similar configuration. Such an arrangement facilitates construction of a standard conservative finite-volume type scheme for the transport of fluid properties and a mimetic class (??) finite-difference formulation for the evolution of velocity components. Additionally exploiting alignment with Delaunay-edges, a conservative evaluation of the fluid vorticity can be made on the staggered Delaunay triangles. Overall, this scheme is known to posses a variety of desirable conservation properties, conserving mass, potential vorticity and enstrophy, and preserving geostrophic balance. This unstructured C-grid scheme is currently employed in the Model for Prediction Across Scales (MPAS) for both atmospheric and oceanic modelling (???). See Figure 2 for additional details.

While numerically elegant, such unstructured C-grid schemes impose a heavy-burden on the quality of the underlying unstructured grid, requiring not only that grids be locally-orthogonal, but also well-centred and mutually centroidal. The well-centred-ness and centroidal-ness of an unstructured grid are constraints related to the nature of the staggering between the primary and secondary grid-cells. Specifically, a grid is well-centred when all dual Voronoi vertices lie within the interior of

5 context of the unstructured C-grid scheme described previously, guarantees that a consistent stencil exists for the reconstruction of the discrete vorticity variable. In practice, the construction of well-centred grids is known to be particularly onerous (??), requiring that the triangulation consist of all-acute elements. See Figure 3 for additional detail.

Unstructured grids are centroidal when their primary and secondary vertices lie at the centres-of-mass of their associated

### 2.1 Grid-quality metrics

Before moving on to a detailed description of the grid-generation algorithm itself, a number of mesh-quality metrics are first introduced.

Definition 1. (radius-edge ratio) Given a surface triangle $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, its radius-edge ratio, $\rho\left(f_{i}\right)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(f_{i}\right)=\frac{r_{i}}{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{\min }\right\|}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$ dual grid-cells, with the vertices of the Voronoi polygons lying at the centroids of the Delaunay triangles and visa-versa. Such a condition is effectively an implicit constraint on the regularity of the grid, with an increase in the centroidal-ness of a grid associated with improvements in the shape of its Delaunay triangles and Voronoi polygons. Centroidal grids typically lead to high-quality numerical discretisations, with grids containing near-perfect element configurations achieving optimal convergence rates. The unstructured C-grid scheme outlined previously is known to achieve fully second-order accurate convergence when applied to centroidal Voronoi grids (?).

While a number of unstructured grid-generation algorithms currently exist, as outlined in Section 1, I am not aware of any that are successful in generating the very high-quality locally-orthogonal, well-centred and centroidal grids required by unstructured C-grid type general circulation models. As a result, throughout the remainder of this paper, the development of methods for the generation of such staggered Delaunay/Voronoi tessellations is pursued in detail.
where $r_{i}$ is the radius of the circumscribing ball associated with $f_{i}$ and $\left\|\mathbf{e}_{\text {min }}\right\|$ is the length of its shortest edge.
The radius-edge ratio is a measure of element shape-quality. It achieves a minimum, $\rho\left(f_{i}\right)=1 / \sqrt{3}$ for equilateral triangles and increases toward $+\infty$ as elements tend toward degeneracy. The radius-edge ratio is directly related to the minimum plane-angle $\theta_{\text {min }}$ between adjacent edges in the triangulation, such that $\rho\left(f_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sin \left(\theta_{\min }\right)\right)^{-1}$. Due to the summation of angles in a triangle, given a minimum angle $\theta_{\text {min }}$ the largest angle $\theta_{\text {max }}$ is also clearly bounded, such that $\theta_{\text {max }} \leq \pi-2 \theta_{\text {min }}$.

Definition 2. (area-length ratio) Given a surface triangle $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, its area-length ratio, $a\left(f_{i}\right)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(f_{i}\right)=\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{3} \frac{A_{f}}{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{rms}}\right\|^{2}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{f}$ is the signed-area of $f_{i}$ and $\left\|\mathbf{e}_{\text {ros }}\right\|$ is the root-mean-square edge length.
The area-length ratio is a robust, scalar measure of element shape-quality, and is typically normalised to achieve a score of +1 for ideal elements. The area-length ratio decreases with increasing distortion, achieving a score of +0 for degenerate elements and -1 for tangled elements with reversed orientation. is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{r}\left(e_{j}\right)=\frac{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{j}\right\|}{\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\|\mathbf{e}_{i}\right\|$ is the length of the $j$-th edge and $\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)$ is the value of the mesh-spacing function sampled at the edge midpoint.
The relative-length distribution $h_{r}\left(e_{j}\right)$ is a measure of mesh-spacing conformance, expressing the ratio of actual-to-desired edge-length for all edge-segments in $\operatorname{Del} \|_{\Sigma}(X)$. A value of $h_{x}\left(e_{i}\right)=1$ indicates perfect mesh-spacing conformance.

## 3 A restricted Frontal-Delaunay refinement algorithm for spheroidal surfaces

The task is to generate very high-resolution, guaranteed-quality unstructured Delaunay triangulations for for planetary atmospheres and/or oceans. These grids will form a baseline for the hill-climbing mesh-optimisation methods techniques presented in subsequent sections. In addition to bounds on minimum element quality, these meshes grids are also required to satisfy general non-uniform, user-defined mesh-spacing constraints. In this work, the applicability of a recently developed FrontalDelaunay surface meshing algorithm (??) is investigated for this task.

An unstructured Delaunay triangulation of the reference spheroid associated with a general planetary geometry is sought. In a general form, this reference surface can be expressed as an axis-aligned triaxial ellipsoid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this work, attention is restricted to the generation of locally-orthogonal staggered unstructured grids, consisting of Delaunay triangulations and their associated Voronoi duals. A full account of such structures is not presented here, instead, the reader is referred to the detailed theoretical exposition presented in, for example, ?.

The Delaunay triangulation $\operatorname{Del}(X)$ associated with a set of points $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is characterised by the so-called empty-circle criterion - requiring that the set of circumscribing spheres $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ associated with each Delaunay triangle $\tau_{i} \in \operatorname{Del}(X)$ be


Figure 4. Illustration of the geometrical predicates used to identify define the restricted Delaunay surface triangules $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, showing details of the intersecting Voronoi edge $v_{e} \in \operatorname{Vor}(X)$ associated with the surface triangle $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ and its restricted associated surfaceball $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}, r_{i}\right)$. Note that the full three-dimensional Delaunay tessellation $\operatorname{Del}(X)$ is a tetrahedral complex that fills the interior of the spheroid.
empty of all points other than its own vertices. It is well known that for tessellations restricted to two-dimensional manifolds, the Delaunay triangulation leads to a maximisation of the minimum enclosed angle in the grid (?). Such behaviour is clearly beneficial when seeking to construct high quality triangular meshes.

The Voronoi tessellation $\operatorname{Vor}(X)$ is the so-called geometric-dual associated with the Delaunay triangulation, consisting of a set of convex polygonal cells formed by connecting the centres of adjacent circumscribing balls - the so-called element circumcentres $\mathbf{c}_{i}$ 's. The Voronoi tessellation represents a closest-point map for the points in $X$, with each Voronoi cell $v_{c} \in \operatorname{Vor}(X)$ defining the convex region adjacent to a given vertex $\mathbf{x}_{i} \in X$ for which $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ is the nearest point. Importantly, the Voronoi/Delaunay grid staggering, defines a locally-orthogonal arrangement, in which grid-cell edges in the Voronoi tessellation are orthogonal to adjacent edges in the underlying Delaunay triangulation. These orthogonality constraints make Voronoi/Delaunay type grids an attractive choice when seeking to construct staggered finite-volume type numerical discretisations. Such an approach is pursued by, for example, Ringler et al. in development of the MPAS modelling framework (?).).

### 3.2 Restricted Delaunay triangulation

In this study, grid-generation is carried out on the surface of the spheroidal geometry directly by making use of so-called restricted Delaunay mesh generation techniques. Specifically, given a reference sphereid surface $\Sigma$, grid-generation proceeds to discretise $\sum$ the surface into a mesh of surface triangles. In the restricted Delaunay framework, a full-dimensional full-dimensional Delaunay triangulation $\operatorname{Del}(X)$ (i.e. a tetrahedral tessellation) is maintained, with suface triangles identified as the so-alled the surface triangulation represented as a subset of tetrahedral faces. The restricted Delaunay surface-complex embedded is said to be embedded in $\operatorname{Del}(X)$-as a result. Use of this fully three-dimensional approach elides any reliance on local parametric projections.

Definition 1.4. (restricted Delaunay tessellation) Let $\Sigma$ be a smooth surface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let $\operatorname{Del}(X)$ be a fulldimensional Delaunay tetrahedralisation of a point-wise sample $X \subseteq \Sigma$ and $\operatorname{Vor}(X)$ be the associated Voronoi tessellation. The restricted Delaunay surface triangulation $\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ is a sub-complex of $\operatorname{Del}(X)$ including any triangle $f_{i} \in \operatorname{Del}(X)$ associated with an intersecting Voronoi edge $\mathbf{v}_{e} \in \operatorname{Vor}(X)$ theh that where $\mathbf{v}_{e} \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset$.

The development of restricted Delaunay techniques for general mesh-generation applications has been the subject of previous research, and a detailed discussion of such concepts is not presented here as a result. The reader is referred to the original work of $\boldsymbol{?}$ or the detailed reviews presented in $\boldsymbol{?}$ for additional details and mathematical background.

In the context of this work, it is sufficient to note that the restricted Delaunay triangulation framework provides a convenient mechanism to identify the triangles that provide good approximations to the spheroidal sufface $\Sigma$. An implementation of these ideas elements in the surface triangulation $\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$. In practice, implementation of this scheme requires the definition of a single geometrical-predicate, designed to compute intersections between Voronoi edges and the underlying surface. Triangles associated with non-empty intersections $\operatorname{Vor} \psi_{f}(X) \cap \neq \emptyset$ form part of the surface mesh. In this study, this predicate is computed analytically, following standard spheroidal trigonometric manipulations, as detailed in Appendix A. See Figure 4 for detailed schematics.

### 3.3 Mesh-spacing functions

Local mesh density can be controlled via user-specified mesh-spacing functions $\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$that define the target edgelength values over the domain to be meshedsurface $\Sigma$. In this work, mesh-spacing functions are specified as a discrete set of target values $\bar{h}_{i, j}$, defined on a simple background 'lat-lon' grid $\mathcal{G}$. The continuous mesh-spacing function $\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})$ is reconstructed using bilinear interpolation. As will be illustrated in subsequent sections, such an approach provides support for a wide range of mesh-spacing definitions, including distributions derived from high-resolution topographic data (?) or solution-adaptive metrics.

In order to generate high-quality grids, it is necessary to ensure that the imposed mesh-spacing function is sufficiently smooth. Rather than requiring the user to accommodate such constraints, a Lipschitz smoothing process is adopted here. Following the work of ?, a gradient-limited mesh-spacing function $\bar{h}^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})$ is constructed, by limiting the allowable spatial fluctuation over each element in the background grid $\mathcal{G}$. In this study, a scalar smoothing parameter $g \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$is used to limit
variation, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \leq \bar{h}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}\right)+g \cdot \operatorname{dist}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all adjacent vertex pairs $\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}$ in $\mathcal{G}$. The gradient-limited mesh-spacing function $\bar{h}^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})$ becomes more uniform as $g \rightarrow 0$. In this work, maximum gradient constraints are implemented following a fast-marching method, as described in ?.

## 5 3.4 Mesh-quality metriesRestricted Frontal-Delaunay refinement

Before moving on to a detailed description of the grid-generation algorithm itself, a number of mesh-quality metries are first introduced.

Definition 2. (radius-edge ratio) Given a surface triangle $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, its radius-edge ratio, $\rho\left(f_{i}\right)$, is given by
$\rho\left(f_{i}\right)=\frac{r_{i}}{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{\text {min }}\right\|}$,
where $r_{i}$ is the radius of the circumscribing ball associated with $f_{i}$ and $\left\|\mathbf{e}_{\text {min }}\right\|$ is the length of its shortest edge.
The radius-edge ratio is a measure of element shape-quality. It achieves a minimum, $\rho\left(f_{i}\right)=1 / \sqrt{3}$ for equilateral triangles and increases toward $+\infty$ as elements tend toward degeneracy. The radius-edge ratio is directly related to the minimum plane-angle $\theta_{\min }$ between adjacent edges in the triangulation, such that $\rho\left(f_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sin \left(\theta_{\min }\right)\right)^{-1}$. Due to the summation of angles in a triangle, given a minimtm angle $\theta_{\min }$ the largest angle $\theta_{\max }$ is also clearly bounded, stich that $\theta_{\max } \leq \pi \quad 2 \theta_{\min }$.

Definition 3. (area-length ratio) Given a surface triangle $f_{i} \subset \operatorname{DeH} H_{\Sigma}(X)$, its area-length ratio, a $\left(f_{i}\right)$, is given by
$a\left(f_{i}\right)=\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{3} \frac{A_{f}}{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{rms}}\right\|^{2}}$,
where $\Lambda_{f}$ is the signed-area of $f_{i}$ and $\left\|\mathbf{e}_{\text {rms }}\right\|$ is the root-mean-square edge length.
The area-length ratio is a robust, scalar measure of element shape-quality, and is typically normalised to achieve a score of +1 for ideal elements. The area-length ratio decreases with increasing distortion, achieving a score of 10 for degenerate elements and 1 for fully inverted elements.

Definition 4. (relative edge-length) Given an edge in the surface tessellation $c_{j} \subset \operatorname{Del} \mid \Sigma(X)$, its relative edge-length, $h_{r}\left(e_{j}\right)$, is given by
$h_{r}\left(e_{j}\right)=\frac{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{j}\right\|}{\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)}$,
where $H \mathrm{e}_{j} \|$ is the length of the $j$-thedge and $\bar{h}\left(\mathrm{x}_{m}\right)$ is the value of the mesh-spacing function sampled at the edge midpoint.
The relative-length distribution $h_{r}\left(e_{j}\right)$ is a measure of mesh-spacing conformance, expressing the ratio of actual-to-desired edge-length for all edge-segments in $\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$. A value of $h_{r}\left(c_{j}\right)=1$ indicates perfect mesh-spacing conformance-

In this study, a high-quality triangular surface mesh is generated on the spheroidal reference surface (4) using a FrontalDelaunay variant of the conventional restricted Delaunay-refinement algorithm (?????). This technique is described by the

```
Algorithm 1 Restricted Frontal-Delaunay Refinement
    function DelFront \(\left(\Sigma, \bar{\rho}, \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}),\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\)
        Place 12 vertices on the spheroidal surface \(\Sigma\) to
        form a regular icosahedron. Initialise the full
        Delaunay complex \(\operatorname{Del}(X)\) and the restricted
        surface triangulation \(\left.\operatorname{Del}^{\mid}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\).
        while ( \(\exists \operatorname{BadTriangle}\left(\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\) ) do
            Find the worst bad frontal triangle in the
            surface triangulation \(\left.f_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\).
            Call \(\mathbf{x}_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{OfFCentre}\left(f_{i}\right)\) to form the new
            off-centre vertex \(\mathbf{x}_{i}\), designed to eliminate
            the bad triangle \(f_{i}\).
            Push \(\operatorname{Del}(X) \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_{i}\) and update the re-
            stricted surface triangulation \(\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\).
        end while
        return surface triangulation object \(\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\).
    end function
    function BadTriangle( \(f\) )
        if \(\left(h(f)>\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{f}\right)\right) \quad\) return TRUE
        if \(\left(\rho_{2}(f)>\bar{\rho}\right) \quad\) return TRUE
        else return FALSE
    end function
```


## function $\operatorname{OfF} \operatorname{Centre}(f, \mathbf{x})$

Form the restricted triangle circumcentre $\left.\mathbf{x}_{c} \leftarrow \operatorname{Vor}\right|_{f}(X) \cap \Sigma$ and the associated surface ball $\mathrm{B}=\operatorname{SDB}(f)$.

Find the minimum length edge $e$ in the triangle $f$. Edge $e$ is the frontal segment.
Place the size-optimal point $\mathbf{x}_{h}$ along the arc Vor $\left.\right|_{e}(X) \cap \Sigma$ to satisfy local spacing constraints $h(\mathbf{x})$.

6: $\quad$ Compute the distances $d_{h}=\operatorname{dist}\left(\mathbf{x}_{e}, \mathbf{x}_{h}\right)$ and $d_{\theta} \leftarrow \operatorname{dist}\left(\mathbf{x}_{e}, \mathbf{x}_{\theta}\right)$, where $\mathbf{x}_{e}$ is the midpoint of the short edge $e$.
7: $\quad$ Set $\mathbf{x}$ to the point $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{h}, \mathbf{x}_{\theta}\right\}$ of minimum distance $d_{j}$, such that $d_{j} \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{e}\|$. Fall-back to $\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_{c}$ if $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{h}, \mathbf{x}_{\theta}\right\}$ do not satisfy constraints. return off-centre vertex $\mathbf{x}$.
end function

### 3.5 Restricted Frontal-Delaunay refinement

author in detail in ?? and differs from standard Delaunay-refinement approaches in terms of its strategies the strategies used for the placement of new Steiner vertices. Specifically, the Frontal-Delaunay variant algorithm employs a generalisation of various off-centre type point-placement techniques (??), designed to position vertices so such that that element-quality and mesh-size constraints are satisfied in a locally-optimal fashion. Previous studies have shown that such an approach typically leads to substantial improvements in mean element-quality and mesh smoothness. Additionally, it has been demenstrated that the-

While a variety of grid-generation algorithms for the surface meshing problem have been described previously (e.g. ????), the restricted Frontal-Delaunay method inherits much of presented here has been found to offer a unique combination of characteristics - combining the smooth, high quality grid-generation capabilities of an advancing-front approach, with the theoretical robustness of standard and provable guarantees associated with conventional Delaunay-refinement techniquesoffering guaranteed convergence, topological correctness, and minimum /maximum angle guarantees - Specifically, the Frontal-Delaunay approach presented here is known to generate grids with very high mean element quality, bounded minimum
and maximum angles, tight conformance to grid-spacing constraints, and provable guarantees on topological consistency and convergence. A full description of the algorithm, including detailed discussions of its theoretical foundations and proofs of worst-case grid-quality bounds can be found in ??

Given a user-defined mesh-spacing function $\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})$ and an upper-bound on the element radius-edge ratios $\bar{\rho} \geq 1$, the Frontal5 Delaunay algorithm proceeds to sample the spheroidal surface $\Sigma$ by refining any surface triangle that violates either the meshspacing or element-quality constraints. Refinement is accomplished by inserting The full algorithm is described in Algorithm 1, and detailed snap-shots of the refinement process are shown in Figure 5. The surface is seeded with a set of twelve vertices to form a standard icosahedron. Refinement then proceeds to insert a new Steiner vertex at the off-centre off-centre refinement point associated with a given element each given element to be eliminated. Refinement continues until all constraints are satisfied. The refinement process is priority scheduled, with triangles $f_{i} \in \operatorname{Del} \|_{\Sigma}(X)$ ordered according to their radius-edge ratios $\rho\left(f_{i}\right)$. This ordering ensures that the element with the worst ratio is refined at each iteration. Additionally, triangles are subject to a frontal filtering - requiring that a low-quality element be adjacent to a converged triangle before being considered for refinement. This logic helps the algorithm mimic the behaviour of advancing-front type algorithms, with new vertices and elements expanding from initial seeds. Upon termination, the resulting surface triangulation is guaranteed to contain nicely shaped elements, satisfying both the radius-edge constraints $\rho\left(f_{i}\right) \leq \bar{\rho}$ and mesh-spacing bounds $h\left(f_{i}\right) \leq \bar{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{f}\right)$ for all surface triangles $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ in the mesh. Setting $\bar{\rho}=1$ guarantees that element angles are bounded, such that $30^{\circ} \leq \theta_{f} \leq 120^{\circ}$, ensuring that the grid does not contain any highly distorted elements. A full description-

### 3.5 Off-centre point-placement

The performance of the Frontal-Delaunay refinement procedure algorithm hinges on the use of off-centre refinement rules - ineluding a detailed discussion of its theoretical foundations locally-optimal point-placement strategies designed to create very high-quality vertex distributions. A set of candidate off-centre points are considered at each new vertex insertion. Type I vertices, $\mathbf{x}_{6}$ are equivalent to conventional element circumcentres (positioned at the centre of the associated surface balls), and are used to preserve global convergence. Type II vertices, $x_{b}$, are so-called size-optimal points, and are designed to satisfy grid-spacing constraints in a locally optimal fashion. Type III vertices, $\mathrm{x}_{\theta}$ are so-called shape-optimal points, and are designed to ensure minimum-angle bounds are satisfied in a worst-first manner. The Type II and Type III strategies employed here can be seen as a generalisation of the two-dimensional off-centre techniques presented by? and ? respectively. See Figure 6 for additional detail.

Given a low-quality triangle $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, the Type II and Type III vertices $x_{k}$ and $x_{\theta}$ are positioned along the intersection of an adjacent segment of the Voronoi complex and the spheroidal surface. This intersection Vor $\mathcal{V}(X) \cap \Sigma$ defines a frontal-curve inscribed on $\Sigma$ - can be found in ?? a locally-optimal geodesic segment on which to insert new vertices. Here, Vor $L e(X)$ is the polygonal face of the Voronoi complex associated with the short edge $e_{0} \in f_{f}$. In the context of conventional advancing-front type methods, the edge $e_{0}$ would denote the current frontal-segment about which vertex insertion occurs.

The points $x_{k}$ and $x_{\theta}$ are positioned to form new candidate triangles about the frontal edge $e_{0}$ such that local constraints are satisfied optimally. The size-optimal point $x_{b}$ is positioned to adhere to local grid-spacing constraints $\bar{h}(x)$ with the altitude


Figure 5. Progress of the Frontal-Delaunay refinement algorithm, clockwise from top-left. New vertices are inserted incrementally until all constraints on element-shape and edge-length are satisfied globally. In contrast with standard advancing-front type grid-generation techniques, new vertices are inserted according to a greed $y$ priority-schedule. Grid-generation proceeds along a pseudo space-filling trajectory as a result.
of the new triangle candidate calculated to define correctly sized edges, such that $\left\|\mathbf{e}_{1}\right\| \leq \bar{h}\left(\mathbf{m}_{1}\right)$ and $\left\|\mathbf{e}_{2}\right\| \leq \bar{h}\left(\mathbf{m}_{2}\right)$, where the $m$ 's are the edge midpoints. These constraints can be solved for an associated altitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{h}=\min \left(a_{h}^{*}, \sqrt{3} / 2 \bar{h}\right), \quad \text { where: } \quad a_{h}^{*}=\sqrt{\bar{h}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathbf{e}_{0}\right\|^{2}}, \quad \bar{h}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{m}_{1}\right)+\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{m}_{2}\right)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the shape-optimal point is positioned to adhere to minimum angle constraints, sliding the point $x_{\theta}$ along the inscribed curve Vor $\operatorname{Va}(X) \cap \Sigma$ such that the new triangle candidate satisfies $\rho \leq \bar{\rho}$. Setting $\rho=\bar{\rho}$ leads to a solution for the associated shape-optimal altitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\theta}=\frac{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{0}\right\|}{2 \tan \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}\right)}, \quad \text { where: } \quad \bar{\theta}=\arcsin \left(\frac{1}{2 \bar{\rho}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The position of the points $x_{h}$ and $x_{\theta}$ is calculated by computing the intersection of balls of radius $a_{b}$ and $a_{\theta}$ centred at the midpoint of the frontal edge $e e_{0}$ and the frontal curve Vor $\mathcal{L}(X) \cap \Sigma$. This approach ensures that new vertices are positioned by advancing a specified distance along the surface $\sum$ in the frontal direction. For non-uniform $\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})$, expressions for the position of the point $x_{k}$ are non-linear, with the altitude $a_{k}$ depending on an evaluation of the mesh-size function at the edge midpoints $\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{m}_{i}\right)$ and visa-versa. In practice, since $\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})$ is guaranteed to be sufficiently smooth, a simple iterative predictor-corrector procedure is sufficient to solve these expressions approximately.

Given the set of candidate vertices $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{c}, x_{b}, x_{\theta}\right\}$, the position of the refinement point $\mathbf{x}$ for the triangle $f_{i}$ is selected. A worst-first strategy is adopted, chooosing the point that satisfies local constraints in a greedy fashion. Specifically, the closest point lying on the adjacent Voronoi segment Vor $L e(X)$ and outside the neighbourhood of the frontal edge $e_{0}$ is selected, with

$$
\mathbf{x}= \begin{cases}\mathbf{x}_{j}, & \text { if }\left(d_{j} \leq d_{c} \text { and } d_{j} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathbf{e}_{0}\right\|\right)  \tag{8}\\ \mathbf{x}_{c}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Here, $d_{i}=\operatorname{dist}\left(\mathbf{x}_{e} \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)$ are distances from the midpoint of the frontal edge $e_{0}$ to the size- and shape-optimal points $\mathbf{x}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\theta}$ The cascading selection criteria (8) seeks a balance between local optimality and global convergence smoothly degenerating to a conventional circumcentre-based Delaunay-refinement strategy in limiting cases while using locally optimal points where possible. Specifically, these constraints guarantee that refinement points lie within a local safe region on the Voronoi complex - being positioned on an adjacent Voronoi segment and bound between the circumcentre of the element itself and the diametric ball of the associated frontal edge. These constraints ensure that new points are never positioned too close to an existing vertex, leading to provable guarantees on the performance of the algorithm. See previous work by the author (??) for additional detail.

### 3.6 Additional remarks

As a restricted Delaunay-refinement approach, a full three-dimensional Delaunay tetrahedralisation $\operatorname{Del}(X)$ is incrementally maintained throughout the surface meshing phase, where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the set of vertices positioned on the surface of


Figure 6. A two-dimensional representation of the off-centre refinement strategies utilised for a given low-quality triangle $f_{i}$ (shaded), illustrating (a) the frontal segment of the Voronoi diagram associated with the short edge $e_{0} \in f_{i}$, (b) placement of the size-optimal vertex $\mathbf{x}_{h}$ such that local size constraints $\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{f}\right)$ are enforced, and (c) placement of a shape-optimal vertex $\mathbf{x}_{\theta}$ such that the required radius-edge ratio $\bar{\rho}$ is satisfied.
the spheroidal geometry. The set of restricted surface triangles $\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ that conform to the underlying spheroidal geometry are expressed as a subset of the tetrahedral faces, such that $\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{Del}(X)$. In an effort to minimise the expense associated with maintaining the full-dimensional topological tessellation, an additional scaffolding vertex $\mathbf{x}_{s}$ is initially inserted at the centre of the spheroid. This has the effect of simplifying the resulting topological structure of the interior mesh, with the resulting tetrahedral elements forming a simple wheel-like configuration, in which they emanate radially outward from the central scaffolding vertex $\mathbf{x}_{s}$.

### 3.7 Construction of Vorenoi cells

Construction of the staggered strface Vorenei control-voltmes, illustrating: (left) locally-orthogenal Vorenei/Delatnay staggering, and (right) an associated unstructured C-grid type numerieal discretisation seheme, as per the MPAS model. The formulation is a combination of conservative-cell-centred tracer quantities $\bar{\psi}_{i}$, edge-centred normal velocity compenents $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})_{j}$, and auxiliary vertex-centred vorticity variables $\xi_{k}$.

Typically, numerical formulations employed for large-seale atmospheric and/or oceanic general circulation modelling are based on a staggered grid configuration, with quantities such as fluid pressure, geopotential, and density discretised using a primary control-volume, and the fluid velocity field and vorticity distribution represented on a second, spatially distinct grid-cell. In the context of standard structured grid types, various staggered arrangements are described by the well-known Arakawa sehemes (?)See Figure 4 for additional detail.

The development of general cireulation models based on unstructured grid types is an emerging area of research, and, as a result, a variety of numerieal formmations are currently under investigation. In this study, the development of tocally-orthogonal grids appropriate for staggered unstructured numerieal schemes are pursued, as these methods are thought to represent the most logical extension of the conventional structured Arakawa type techniques to the unstructured setting.

Noting that the As per Figure 5, an interesting characteristic of the Frontal-Delaunay refinement algorithm described previously is guaranteed to construct triangulations that respect the Delatnay criterion, a nattral staggered unstruettred grid can be eenstructed based on the associated Vorenei diagram.

Consisting of a set of (convex) polygonal grid-cells centred on each vertex in the underlying triangulation, algorithm practice, it has been found that this space-filling type behaviour leads to the construction of very high-quality triangulations, typically exceeding the performance of standard advancing-front type schemes.

## 4 Hill-climbing mesh optimisation

While the staggered Vorenei/spheroidal Delaunay grids generated using the Frontal-Delaunay refinement algorithm described in Section 3 are guaranteed to be of very high-quality, producing triangulations with angles bounded between $\theta_{f}=30$ and $\theta_{f}=120$ degrees, these tessellations can often be further improved through subsequent mesh-optimisation operations. Such a procedure-Recalling that the construction of the well-centred grids appropriate for unstructured C-grid schemes require that maximum angles be bounded below $\theta_{f}=90^{\circ}$, the application of such optimisation procedures can in fact be seen as a necessary component of the grid-generation work-flow for such models.

In the present work, grid-optimisation is realised as a coupled geometrical and topological optimisation task - seeking to reposition vertices and update grid topology to maximise a given element-wise mesh-quality metric $\mathcal{Q}_{f}(\mathbf{x})$. In this sttudy, a-A hill-climbing type optimisation strategy is pursued here, in which a locally-optimal solution is sought based on an initial grid configuration.

In the present workIn this study, the grid is optimised according to the area-length quality metric (2.1), a robust scalar measure of mesh-quality grid-quality that achieves a score of +1 for 'perfect' elements - decreasing toward zero with increasing levels of element distortion. Optimisation predicates are implemented in a so-called hill-climbing fashion (??), with modifications to the grid accepted only if the local mesh-quality metrics are sufficiently improved. Specifically, a worst-first strategy is adopted, in which each given optimisation predicate is required to improve the worst-case quality associated with elements in the local subset being acted upon. Such a philosophy ensures that global mesh-quality is increased monotonically as optimisation proceeds. Note that such behaviour is designed to maximise the minimum element quality metric in the grid, rather than improving a mean measure. This represents an important distinction when compared to other iterative meshoptimisation algorithms, such as Centroidal Voronoi Tessellation (CVT) type schemes (?), in which all nodes are typically adjusted simultaneously until a global convergence criterion is satisfied.

## 4.1 'Spring'-based mesh smoothing

Considering firstly the geometric optimality of the grid, a mesh-smoothing procedure is undertaken - seeking to reposition the nodes of the grid to improve element quality and mesh-spacing conformance. Following the work of ?, a spring-based approach is pursued, in which edges in the Delaunay triangulation are treated as elastic-rods with a prescribed natural length. Nodes are iteratively repositioned until a local equilibrium configuration is reached. See Algorithm 2 for full details. In the original work of Persson, nodal positions are adjusted via a local time-stepping loop, with all nodes updated concurrently under the action of explicit spring forces. In the current study, a non-iterative variant is employed, in which each node is repositioned one-by-one, such that constraints in each local neighbourhood are satisfied directly. Specifically, a given node $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ is repositioned as a weighted sum of contributions from incident edges

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}_{i}^{n+1}=\frac{\sum w_{k}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{n}+\Delta_{k} \mathbf{v}_{k}\right)}{\sum w_{k}}, \underline{\text { where: }} \quad \text { where: } \quad \mathbf{v}_{k}=\mathbf{x}_{i}^{n}-\mathbf{x}_{j}^{n}, \quad \Delta_{k}=\frac{\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{n}\right)-l_{k}}{l_{k}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{n}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{n}$ are the current positions of the two nodes associated with the $k$-th edge, $l_{k}$ is the edge length and $\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ is the value of the mesh-spacing function evaluated, at the edge midpoint. $\Delta_{k}$ is the relative spring extension required to achieve equilibrium in the $k$-th edge. The scalars $w_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$are edge weights. Setting $w_{k}=1$ results in an unweighted scheme, consisting of simple linear springs. In this study, the use of nonlinear weights, defined by setting $w_{k}=\Delta_{k}^{2}$, was found to offer superior performance.

Noting that application of the spring-based operator (9) may move nodes away from the underlying spheroidal surface $\Sigma$, an additional projection operator is introduced to ensure that the grid conforms to the surface geometry exactly. Following the application of each spring-based adjustment (9), nodes are moved back onto the geometry via a closest-point projection.

Consistent with the hill-climbing paradigm described previously, each nodal adjustment (9) is required to be validated before being committed to the updated grid configuration. Specifically, nodal adjustments are accepted only if there is sufficient

```
Algorithm 2 Spring and gradient-based node-smoothing
    function \(\left.\left.\operatorname{NodeSmooth(x,~} \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X), \bar{Q}\right)\)
        if \((\min (Q(\mathbf{x})) \geq \bar{Q})\) then
            Call \(\{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \Delta\} \leftarrow \operatorname{Spring} \operatorname{Vec}\left(\mathbf{x},\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\)
            to form the spring-based search vector and
            step-length.
        else
            Call \(\{\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \Delta\} \leftarrow \operatorname{AscentVEc}\left(\mathbf{x},\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\)
        to form the gradient-based search vector
        and step-length.
        end if
        while \((i \leq M)\) do
            Set \(\left.\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \leftarrow \operatorname{proj}\right|_{\Sigma}\left(\mathbf{x}+\Delta^{i} \hat{\mathbf{v}}\right)\) to move the ver-
        tex \(\mathbf{x}\) along the search vector \(\hat{\mathbf{v}}\) and project
        onto the surface \(\Sigma\).
        if \(\left(\operatorname{Better}\left(Q\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right), Q(\mathbf{x})\right)\right)\) then
            Set \(\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\) and break.
            end if
        Step-length bisection \(\Delta^{i+1} \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{i}\).
        end while
    end function
    function \(\operatorname{Spring} \operatorname{Vec}\left(x,\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X), \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \Delta\right)\)
        Scan edges adj. to \(\mathbf{x}\), calc. \(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \leftarrow \frac{\sum w_{e}\left(\mathbf{x}+\Delta_{e} \mathbf{e}\right)}{\sum w_{e}}\),
        where \(w_{e} \leftarrow \Delta_{e}^{2}\) and \(\Delta_{e} \leftarrow \frac{h\left(\mathbf{x}_{e}\right)-\|\mathbf{e}\|}{\|\mathbf{e}\|}\).
        Set \(\mathbf{v} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{v}} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}\) and \(\Delta \leftarrow\|\mathbf{v}\|\).
        return search vector \(\hat{\mathbf{v}}\) and step-size \(\Delta\).
    end function
    function \(\operatorname{AscentVEc}\left(\mathbf{x},\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X), \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \Delta\right)\)
        Scan faces adj. to x and return the worst
        adj. element \(f \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}\left(Q_{f}(\mathbf{x})\right)\).
        Compute the local gradient-ascent search vec-
        tor \(\mathbf{v} \leftarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\left(Q_{f}(\mathbf{x})\right)\).
        Solve \(Q_{f}(\mathbf{x})+\Delta \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\left(Q_{f}(\mathbf{x})\right) \leq \tilde{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x})\) for the
        initial step-size \(\Delta\), where \(\tilde{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x})\) is the quality
        of the second-worst triangle adj. to \(\mathbf{x}\).
        return search vector \(\hat{\mathbf{v}}\) and step-size \(\Delta\).
    end function
    function \(\operatorname{Better}\left(Q^{\prime}, Q\right)\)
        Sort the mesh-quality vectors \(Q^{\prime}\) and \(Q\).
        return FALSE if any \(Q_{i}^{\prime}<Q_{i}\), else TRUE.
    end function
```

improvement in the mesh-quality metrics associated with the set of adjacent elements. A sorted comparison of quality metrics before and after nodal repositioning is performed, with nodal adjustments successful if grid-quality is improved in a worst-first manner. This lexicographical quality comparison is consistent with the methodology employed in ?.

### 4.2 Gradient-based mesh smoothing

5 While the spring-based mesh-smoothing operator described previously is effective in adjusting a grid to satisfy mesh-spacing constraints, and tends to improve mesh-quality grid-quality on average, it is not guaranteed to improve worst-case element quality metrics in all cases. As such, an additional steepest-ascent type optimisation strategy is pursued (?), in which nodal positions are adjusted using the local gradients of incident element quality functions. See Algorithm 2 for full details. Specifically, a given node $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ is repositioned along a local search-vector chosen to improve the quality of the worst incident element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}_{i}^{n+1}=\mathbf{x}_{i}^{n}+\Delta_{f} \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{f}, \text { where: where: } \quad \mathbf{v}_{f}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right), \quad f=\arg \min _{j}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the index $j$ is taken as a loop over the Delaunay triangles $\left.f_{j} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ incident to the node $\mathbf{x}_{i}$. The scalar step-length $\Delta_{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$is computed via a line-search along the gradient ascent vector $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{f}$, and in this study, is taken as the first value found that leads to a net improvement in the worst-case incident quality metric $\mathcal{Q}_{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$. A simple bisection-type strategy is used in the present work, iteratively testing $\Delta_{f}^{p}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p} \alpha$ until a successful nodal adjustment is found. Here $p$ denotes the local line-search iteration. The scalar length $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$is determined as a solution to the first-order Taylor expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)+\alpha \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{f} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The index $j$ is again taken as a loop over the Delaunay triangles $\left.f_{j} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ incident to the central node $\mathbf{x}_{i}$, with the quantity $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$ representing the second-lowest adjacent grid-quality score. This selection strategy (?) is designed to compute an initial displacement $\alpha$ that will improve the worst element in the adjacent set until its quality is equal that of its next best neighbour. Noting that such an expansion is only first-order accurate, the step-length is iteratively decreased using bisection. In this study, a limited line-search is employed, testing iterations $p=\{0,1, \ldots, 5\}$ until a successful step is found. Consistent with the spring-based procedure described previously, a geometry-projection operator is implicitly incorporated within each update (10), ensuring that nodes remain constrained to the spheroidal surface.

### 4.3 Topological 'flips'

, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . mesh topology, such that the surface triangulation remains a valid Delaunay structure. While it is possible to simply re-compute the full restricted Delaunay topology after each nodal position adjustment, such an approach carries significant computational costs, especially when considering that a majority of nodal position updates involve small perturbations. In this work, an alternative strategy is pursued, based on local element-wise transformations, known as topological flips.

For any given pair of adjacent surface triangles $f_{i},\left.f_{j} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, a local re-triangulation can be achieved by flipping local connectivity about the shared edge $x_{i}, x_{j}$ to instead form a new edge between the opposing vertices $x_{a}, x_{b}$. Such an operation results in the deletion of the existing triangles $f_{i}, f_{j}$ and the creation of a new pair $f_{i}^{\prime}, f_{j}^{\prime}$. This operation is illustrated in Figure 7a. In the present study, the iterative application of such edge-flipping operations is used to adjust the topology of the surface triangulation, such that it remains Delaunay. Specifically, given a general, possibly non-Delaunay, surface triangulation $\left.\operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, a cascade of edge-flips are used to achieve a valid restricted Delaunay surface tessellation $\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$. For each adjacent triangle pair $f_{i},\left.f_{j} \in \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ an edge-flip is undertaken if a local violation of the Delaunay criterion is detected. New elements created by successful edge-flips are iteratively re-examined until no further modifications are necessary. This approach follows the standard flip-based algorithms described in, for instance ??.

Given a triangle $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, the local Delaunay criterion is violated if there exists a node $x_{q} \notin f_{i}$ interior to the circumscribing ball associated with the triangle $f_{i}$. In this work, violations to the Delaunay criterion are detected by considering the restricted circumballs $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ associated with each triangle $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, where the ball-centre $\mathbf{c}_{i}$ is a projection of the planar element circumcentre onto the spheroidal surface $\Sigma$. Such constructions account for the curvature of the surface. Given an adjacent triangle pair $f_{i},\left.f_{j} \in \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ an edge-flip is undertaken if either opposing vertex $x_{a}, x_{b}$ lies within the


Figure 7. Topological operations for grid optimsiation, showing (left) an edge-flip, (middle) an edge-contraction, and (c) an edge-refinement operation. Grid configurations before and after each flip are shown in the upper and lower panels, respectively.
circumball associated with the adjacent triangle. To prevent issues associated with exact floating-point comparisons, a small relative tolerance is incorporated. Specifically, nodes are required to penetrate the opposing circumball by a distance $\epsilon$ before an edge-flip is undertaken, with $\epsilon=\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{i}+r_{j}\right) \bar{\epsilon}$ and $\bar{\epsilon}=1 \times 10^{-10}$ in the current double-precision implementation.

### 4.4 Edge contraction

5 In some cases, grid-quality and mesh-spacing conformance can be improved through the use of so-called edge-contraction operations, whereby nodes are removed from the grid by collapsing certain edges. Given an edge $\left.e_{k} \in \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, a retriangulation of the local cavity $\left.\mathscr{C}_{i} \subseteq \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$, formed by the set of triangles incident to the nodes $x_{i}, x_{j} \in e_{k}$, can be achieved by merging the nodes $x_{i}, x_{j}$ at some midpoint along the edge $e_{k}$. In addition to collapsing the edge $e_{k}$, edge-contraction also removes the two surface triangles $f_{i},\left.f_{j} \in \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ adjacent to $e_{k}$, resulting in a new re-triangulation of the local cavity $\left.\mathscr{C}_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$. See Figure 7 b for illustration. In the present work, nodes are merged to a mean position $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ - taken as an average of the adjacent element circumcentres, such that $\mathbf{x}_{n}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathscr{C}_{i}\right|} \sum \mathbf{c}_{j}$, where the $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ 's are centres of the circumballs associated with the adjacent surface triangles $f_{j} \in \mathscr{C}_{i}$. The mean position $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ is projected onto the spheroidal surface $\Sigma$. While

```
Algorithm 3 Hill-climbing grid optimisation
    function \(\operatorname{Optimise}\left(\Sigma, \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}),\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\)
        for ( \(i_{\text {outer }} \leq N_{\text {outer }}\) ) do
            for ( \(i_{\text {inner }} \leq N_{\text {inner }}\) ) do
                for \((\forall\) nodes \(j \in X)\) do
                    Call NodeSmooth \(\left(\mathbf{x}_{j},\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\)
                to improve geometric distribution of
                triangle vertices.
                    end for
            end for
            Call MergeNode( \(\left.\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\) to collapse
            any short edges.
            Call \(\operatorname{SplitEdge}\left(\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\) to refine any
            long edges.
            Call EdgeFlips \(\left(\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\) to restore grid
            Delaunay-ness (i.e. local-orthogonality).
        end for
        return surface triangulation object \(\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\).
    end function
    function \(\operatorname{EdgeFlips}\left(X,\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\)
        for \(\left(\forall\right.\) edges \(\left.\left.e \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)\right)\) do
            Given the adj. triangle-pair \(\mathscr{C} \leftarrow\left\{f_{i}, f_{j}\right\}\),
            flip the common diagonal to re-triangulate
            the cavity \(\mathscr{C}^{\prime}\).
            if \(\operatorname{Better}\left(Q\left(\mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right), Q(\mathscr{C})\right),\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X) \leftarrow \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\).
        end for
    end function
```

such an approach is slightly more computationally intensive than use of the simple edge-midpoint, the local circumcentrebased strategy has proved to be substantially more effective in practice. Consistent with the hill-climbing philosophy pursued throughout this study, edge-contraction operations are only successful if there is sufficient improvement in the mesh-quality metrics associated with the set of adjacent elements. As per previous discussions, edge-contraction is undertaken based on a 5 lexicographical comparison of the grid-quality vectors associated with the initial and final grid states $\mathscr{C}_{i}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{i}^{\prime}$, respectively.

### 4.5 Edge refinement

Fulfilling the opposite role to edge-contraction, so-called edge-refinement operations seek to improve grid-quality and meshspacing conformance through the addition of new nodes and elements. In the present study, a simplified refinement operation
is utilised, in which a given edge $\left.e_{k} \in \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ is refined by placing a new node $x_{n}$ at the centre of the restricted circumball $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ associated with the lower quality adjacent triangle $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$. Insertion of the new node $x_{n}$ induces the retriangulation of a local cavity $\left.\mathscr{C}_{i} \in \operatorname{Tri}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ - constructed by expanding about $x_{n}$ in a local greedy fashion. Starting from the initial cavity $\mathscr{C}_{i}=\left\{f_{i}, f_{j}\right\}$ adjacent to the edge $e_{k}$, additional elements are added in a breadth-first manner, with a new, unvisited neighbouring element $f_{k}$ added to the cavity $\mathscr{C}_{i}$ if doing so will improve the worst-case element quality metric. The final cavity $\mathscr{C}_{i}$ is therefore a locally-optimal configuration. In practice, the iterative deepening of $\mathscr{C}_{i}$ typically convergences in one or two iterations. See Figure 7c for illustration. As per the edge-contraction and node-smoothing operations described previously, edge-refinement is implemented according to a hill-climbing type philosophy, with operations successful only if there is sufficient improvement in local grid-quality. Consistent with previous discussions, a lexicographical comparison of the grid-quality metrics associated with elements in the initial and final states $\mathscr{C}_{i}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{i}^{\prime}$ is used to determine success.

### 4.6 Optimisation schedule

The full grid optimisation procedure is realised as a combination of the various geometrical and topological operations described previously, organised into a particular iterative optimisation schedule. See Algorithm 3 for full details. Each outer iteration consists of a fixed set of operations: four node-smoothing passes, a single pass of edge refinement/contraction operations, and, finally, iterative edge-flipping to restore the Delaunay criterion. In this study, sixteen outer iterations are employed. Each node-smoothing pass is a composite operation, with the spring-based technique used to adjust nodes adjacent to highquality elements, and the gradient-ascent method used otherwise. Specifically, spring-based smoothing is used to adjust nodes adjacent to elements with a minimum quality score of $\mathcal{Q}_{f} \geq 0.9375 \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{f} \geq 0.9375$. Such thresholding ensures that the expensive gradient-ascent type iteration is reserved for the worst elements in the grid. The optimisation schedule employed here is not based on any rigorous theoretical derivation, but is simply a set of heuristic choices that have proven to be effective in practice. The application of multiple node-smoothing passes within an outer iteration containing subsequent topological, contraction and refinement operations is consistent with the methodologies employed in, for instance ??.

## 5 Results \& Discussions

The performance of the Frontal-Delaunay refinement and hill-climbing optimisation algorithms presented in Sections 3 and 4 was investigated experimentally, with the methods used to mesh a series of benchmark problems. The algorithms were algorithm was implemented in $\mathrm{C}++$ and compiled as a 64-bit executable. The full algorithm has been implemented as a specialised variant of the general-purpose JIGSAW meshing package, denoted JIGSAW-GEO, and is currently available online (?) or by request from the author. All tests were completed on a Linux platform using a single core of an Intel i7 processor. Visualisation and post-processing was completed using MATLAB.

A uniform resolution global grid, showing (left) the underlying spheroidal Delatnay triangulation, and (right) the associated staggered Voronoi dual. 150 km grid-spacing was specified globally. Topography is drawn using an exaggerated seale, with elevation from the reference geoid amplified by a factor of 10 in all cases.


Figure 8. Mesh-spacing functions $\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})$ for the regionally-refined North Atlantic and topographically-refined Southern Ocean grids. Mesh-spacing is shown in km.

Mesh-quality metries associated with the uniform resolution global grid, before (left) and after (right) the application of hill-climbing mesh optimisation. Normalised histograms of element area-length ratio $a_{f}$, enelosed-angle $\theta_{f}$ and relative-length $h_{r}$ are illustrated, with minimum, maximmm and mean valtes annotated.

### 5.1 Preliminaries

The JIGSAW-GEO algorithm was used to mesh a set of benchmark problems, suitable for various atmospheric and oceanic general circulation problems. The UNIFORM-SPHERE test-case describes a uniform fixed resolution meshing problem on the sphere, suitable for uniformly resolved atmospheric and/or oceanic studies. The REGIONAL-ATLANTIC test-case describes a simple, regionally-refined grid for global ocean modelling, incorporating a high-resolution, eddy-permitting representation of the North Atlantic ocean basin. Lastly, the SOUTHERN-OCEAN test-case describes a multi-resolution, regionally-refined grid for global ocean simulation, with a very high-resolution representation of the Southern Ocean and Antarctic regions. The mesh-spacing function for this problem was designed using a combination of topographic gradients and regional-refinement. The Voronoi/Delaunay grids for these test-cases are shown in Figures 9, 13, 14 and 16 with associated grid-quality statistics presented in Figures 10, 12 and 15. The underlying mesh-spacing functions used to define the REGIONAL-ATLANTIC and SOUTHREN-OCEAN problems are shown in Figure 8.

In all test cases, limiting radius-edge ratios were specified, such that $\bar{\rho}_{f}=1.05$. These constraints ensure that the minimum enclosed angle in any triangle is $\theta_{\min } \geq 28.4^{\circ}$. For all test problems, detailed statistics on element quality are presented, including histograms of element area-length ratios $a_{f}$, element-angles $\theta_{f}$, and relative-edge-length $h_{r}$. The element area-length ratios are robust measures of element quality, where high-quality elements attain scores that approach unity. The relative edge-length metric is defined to be the ratio of the measured edge-length $\|\mathbf{e}\|$ to the target value $\bar{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{e}\right)$, where $\mathbf{x}_{e}$ is the edge midpoint. Relative edge-lengths close to unity indicate tight conformance to the imposed mesh-spacing function. High-quality surface triangles contain angles approaching $60^{\circ}$. Histograms further highlight the minimum, maximum and mean values of the relevant distributions as appropriate.

### 5.2 Uniform global grid

The performance of the JIGSAW-GEO algorithm was first assessed using the UNIFORM-SPHERE test-case, seeking to build a uniformly resolved, staggered Voronoi/Delaunay-type dual grid for general circulation modelling. Spatially uniform mesh-size constraints were enforced, setting $\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})=150 \mathrm{~km}$ over the full sphere. The resulting grid is shown in Figure 9 and contains 83,072 Delaunay triangles and 41,538 Voronoi cells. Grid-generation time was approximately 12 seconds, including both the initial restricted Frontal-Delaunay refinement and subsequent hill-climbing type optimisation. Grid-quality metrics are presented in Figure 10, showing distributions before and after the application of the grid-optimisation procedure.

Overall, the high quality of the Voronoi/Delaunay grids presented in Figure 9 illustrates the effectiveness of the JIGSAWGEO algorithm. Based on visual inspection, it is clear that the grids achieve very high levels of geometric quality - being absent of distorted grid-cell configurations and/or areas of over- or under-refinement. Focusing on the distribution of triangle shape-quality explicitly, it is noted that very high levels of mesh regularity are achieved, with the vast majority of element area-


Figure 9. A uniform resolution global grid, showing (left) the underlying spheroidal Delaunay triangulation, and (right) the associated staggered Voronoi dual. 150 km grid-spacing was specified globally. Topography is drawn using an exaggerated scale, with elevation from the reference geoid amplified by a factor of 10 .
length scores tightly clustered about $a_{f}=1$. Similarly, the distribution of element angles shows strong convergence around $\theta_{f}=60^{\circ}$, revealing most triangles to be near equilateral. Finally, analysis of the relative-length distributions show that grids tightly conform to edge-lengths follow the imposed mesh-spacing constraints, with a tight clustering of $h_{r}$ about 1 closely, with very tight clustering about $h_{r}=1$.

The effect of the grid-optimisation procedure can be assessed by comparing the mesh-quality statistics presented in Figure 10. The application of mesh-optimisation is seen to be most pronounced at the 'tails' tails of the distributions, showing that, as expected, the hill-climbing type procedure is effective at improving the worst elements in the grid. Specifically, the minimum area-length metric is improved from $a_{f}=0.67$ to $a_{f}=0.94$, and the distribution of element-wise angles is narrowed from $31^{\circ} \leq \theta_{f} \leq 112^{\circ}$ to $44^{\circ} \leq \theta_{f} \leq 78^{\circ}$. A slight broadening of the mean parts of the distributions is also evident, showing that in some cases, higher-quality elements are slightly compromised to facilitate improvements to their lower-quality neighbours. This behaviour is consistent with the worst-first philosophy employed in this study.


Figure 10. Mesh-quality metrics associated with the uniform resolution global grid, before (left) and after (right) the application of hill-climbing mesh optimisation. Normalised histograms of element area-length ratio $a_{f}$, enclosed-angle $\theta_{f}$ and relative-length $h_{r}$ are illustrated, with minimum, maximum and mean values annotated.

Beyond improvements to standard grid-quality metrics, the impact of mesh-optimisation can be further understood by considering the so-called well-centredness of the resulting staggered Voronoi/Delaunay dual grid. Well-centred triangulations are those for which all element circumcentres are located within their parent triangles, ensuring that the associated Voronoi cells are nicely-staggered with respect to the underlying triangulation as a result. Such a constraint is equivalent to requiring that all Delaunay triangles are acute, such that $\theta_{f} \leq 90^{\circ}$. Further details are outlined in the discussion presented in Section 2.

Well-centred grids are highly desirable from a numerical perspective, allowing, for instance, the mimetic-type C-grid discretisation scheme employed in the MPAS framework to achieve optimal rates of convergence. Specifically, when a grid is well-centred, it is guaranteed that associated edges in the staggered Voronoi and Delaunay cells intersect, ensuring that evaluation of the element-wise transport and circulation terms can be accurately computed using tecatcompact numerical stencils. In linear interpolants to achieve fully second-order accuracy.

The construction of well-centred grids is known to be a difficult problem, and the development of algorithms for their generation is an ongoing area of research (??). For the uniform resolution case studied here, it is clear that the hill-climbing type optimisation procedure is successful in generating a well-centred staggered Voronoi/Delaunay dual grid, with all enclosed angles less than $77.9^{\circ}$.

### 5.3 Regionally-refined North Atlantic grid

A regionally-refined Voronoi-type grid of the North Atlantic region. Global coarse grid resolution is 150 km , with a 15 km eddy-permitting grid-spacing specified over the atlantic ocean basin. Topography is drawn using an exaggerated seale, with elevation from the reference geoid amplified by a factor of 10 in all cases.


Figure 11. A regionally-refined Voronoi-type grid of the North Atlantic region. Global coarse grid resolution is 150 km , with a 15 km eddy-permitting grid-spacing specified over the Atlantic ocean basin. Topography is drawn using an exaggerated scale, with elevation from the reference geoid amplified by a factor of 10 .

Mesh-quality metries associated with the regionally-refined Voronoi-type grid of the North Atlantic region, before (left) and after (right) the application of hill-climbing mesh optimisation. Normalised histograms of element area-length ratio $a_{f}$, enclosed-angle $\theta_{f}$ and relative-length $h_{r}$ are illustrated, with minimum, maximum and mean values annotated.

The multi-resolution capabilities of the JIGSAW-GEO algorithm were investigated in the REGIONAL-ATLANTIC test- case, seeking to build a regionally-resolved, staggered Voronoi/Delatnay-type-Delaunay-type dual grid for high-resolution modelling of the North Atlantic ocean basin. Non-uniform mesh-size constraints were enforced, setting $\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})=150 \mathrm{~km}$ globally, with 15 km eddy-permitting mesh-spacing specified over the north attantic-North Atlantic region. The resulting grid is shown in Figure 13 and contains 358,064 Delaunay triangles and 179,081 Voronoi cells. Grid-generation time was approximately $1 \frac{1}{2}$ minutes, including both the initial restricted Frontal-Delaunay refinement and subsequent hill-climbing type optimisation. Grid-quality metrics are presented in Figure 12, showing distributions before and after the application of the grid-optimisation procedure.

Consistent with results presented previously, a very high-quality Voronoi/Delaunay grid was generated for the REGIONALATLANTIC problem, with each grid-quality metric tightly clustered about its optimal value, such that $a_{f} \rightarrow 1, \theta_{f} \rightarrow 60^{\circ}$ and $h_{r} \rightarrow 1$. The effect of the grid-optimisation procedure can be assessed by comparing the mesh-quality statistics presented in Figure 12. As per the uniform resolution test-case, mesh-optimisation appears to be most aggressive at the 'faits' tails of the


Figure 12. Mesh-quality metrics associated with the regionally-refined Voronoi-type grid of the North Atlantic region, before (left) and after (right) the application of hill-climbing mesh optimisation. Normalised histograms of element area-length ratio $a_{f}$, enclosed-angle $\theta_{f}$ and relative-length $h_{r}$ are illustrated, with minimum, maximum and mean values annotated.
distributions, acting to improve the worst elements in the grid. The minimum area-length metric is improved from $a_{f}=0.60$ to $a_{f}=0.94$, and the distribution of element-wise angles is narrowed from $29^{\circ} \leq \theta_{f} \leq 120^{\circ}$ to $44^{\circ} \leq \theta_{f} \leq 78^{\circ}$. The resulting optimised Voronoi/Delaunay staggered grid is also clearly well-centred, with all angles in the Delaunay trianglulation less than $78.2^{\circ}$. Overall, grid-quality achieves-can be seen to achieve essentially the same levels of optimality as the uniform resolution test-case, showing that the JIGSAW-GEO algorithm can be used to generate high-quality spatially-adaptive grids without obvious degradation in mesh-quality.

### 5.4 Multi-resolution Southern Ocean grid

The JIGSAW-GEO algorithm was then used to mesh the challenging SOUTHERN-OCEAN test-case, allowing its performance for large-scale problems involving rapidly-varying mesh-spacing constraints to be analysed in detail. This test-case seeks to build a multi-resolution, staggered Voronoi/Delaunay-type dual grid for regionally-refined ocean-modelling, with a particular focus on resolution of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC), and adjacent Antarctic processes. Composite mesh-spacing constraints were enforced, consisting of a coarse global background resolution of 150 km , with an eddy-permitting 15 km grid-spacing specified south of $32.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. Additional topographic adaptation is also utilised in the southern annulus region, with grid-resolution increased in regions of large bathymetric gradient. A minimum grid-spacing of 4 km was specified. Topographic gradients were computed using the high-resolution ETOPO1 Global Relief dataset (?). The resulting grid is shown in Figure 1614 , with additional detail shown in Figure 16. The grid contains $3,119,849$ Delaunay triangles and 1,559,927 Voronoi cells. Grid-generation time was approximately 10 minutes, including both the initial restricted Frontal-Delaunay refinement and subsequent hill-elimbing type optimisation stages. The grid-optimisation phase required approximately four times the eomputational effort of the initial Delatnay refinement. Associated grid-quality metrics are presented in Figure 15, showing distributions before and after the application of the grid-optimisation procedure.


Figure 13. Detail of the regionally-refined Voronoi-type grid of the North Atlantic region. Global coarse grid resolution is 150 km , with a 15 km eddy-permitting grid-spacing specified over the Atlantic ocean basin. Topography is drawn using an exaggerated scale, with elevation from the reference geoid amplified by a factor of 10 .

Consistent with the uniform resolution test-case presented previously, visual inspection of Figures 14 and 16 confirm that the JIGSAW-GEO algorithm is capable of generating very high quality multi-resolution grids, containing a majority of nearperfect Delaunay triangles and Voronoi cells. Additionally, it can be seen that grid resolution varies smoothly, even in regions of rapidly-fluctuating mesh-spacing constraints, as per the topographically induced refinement patterns shown in Figure 16. Anal- ysis of the grid-quality metrics shown in Figure 15 shows that very high levels of mesh regularity are achieved, with element area-length scores tightly clustered about $a_{f}=1$ and element-angles showing strong convergence around $\theta_{f}=60^{\circ}$. Interestingly, despite the complexity of the imposed mesh-spacing function, analysis of the relative-length distribution still shows relatively tight conformance, with a sharp clustering about $h_{r}=1$. Overall, mean grid-quality is slightly reduced compared to the uniform resolution case, illustrated by a slight broadening of the grid-quality distributions. Note that such behaviour is expected in the multi-resolution case, with slightly imperfect triangle geometries required to satisfy the non-uniform meshspacing constraints. The minimum enclosed-angle in the un-optimised grid can also be seen to lie exactly at the lower angle bound of $28.4^{\circ}$.

The effect of the grid-optimisation procedure can be assessed by comparing the mesh-quality statistics presented in Figure 15. As per the uniform resolution test-case, mesh-optimisation appears to be most aggressive at the tails' tails of the distributions, acting to improve the worst elements in the grid. The minimum area-length metric is improved from $a_{f}=0.59$ to $a_{f}=0.90$, and the distribution of element-wise angles is narrowed from $28^{\circ} \leq \theta_{f} \leq 121^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ} \leq \theta_{f} \leq 80^{\circ}$. Consistent with


Figure 14. A multi-resolution Voronoi-type grid of the Southern Ocean. Global coarse grid resolution is 150 km , with a 15 km eddypermitting grid-spacing specified south of $32.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. Additional topographic adaptation is also utilised in the southern annulus region, with grid-resolution increased in areas of large bathymetric gradient. Minimum grid-spacing is 4 km . Topography is drawn using an exaggerated scale, with elevation from the reference geoid amplified by a factor of 10 in all cases 10 .


Figure 15. Mesh-quality metrics associated with the multi-resolution Voronoi-type grid of the Southern Ocean, before (left) and after (right) the application of hill-climbing mesh optimisation. Normalised histograms of element area-length ratio $a_{f}$, enclosed-angle $\theta_{f}$ and relativelength $h_{r}$ are illustrated, with minimum, maximum and mean values annotated.


Figure 16. A Detail of the multi-resolution Voronoi-type grid of the Southern Ocean. Global coarse grid resolution is 150 km , with a 15 km eddy-permitting grid-spacing specified south of $32.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. Additional topographic adaptation is also utilised in the southern annulus region, with grid-resolution increased in areas of large bathymetric gradient. Minimum grid-spacing is 4 km . Topography is drawn using an exaggerated scale, with elevation from the reference geoid amplified by a factor of 10 in all eases 10 .
previous results, a slight moderate broadening of the mean components of the distributions can be observed, especially in the enclosed-angle and relative-length metrics. This behaviour shows that, in this case, improvements to worst-case grid-quality are achieved through slight compromises to mean-quality and mesh-spacing conformance, with very high-quality elements being slightly degraded to improve their lower quality neighbours. Note that the resulting optimised Voronoi/Delaunay staggered grid is also well-centred, with all angles in the Delaunay trianglulation less than $80^{\circ}$. This result shows only a marginal degradation compared to the uniform resolution example presented previously - despite the complexity of the imposed grid-spacing function. This result demonstrates the effectiveness of the optimisation strategies presented here, and shows that very high-quality, well-centred grids can be generated even for general multi-resolution cases. Nonetheless, the construction of well-centred grids remains a challenging task, and it is expected that it may be possible to design a test-case that defeats test-cases that defeat the current strategy. As such, the pursuit of alternative mesh optimisation strategies, designed to target grid well-centredness directly, is an interesting avenue for future research.

### 5.5 Computational performance

In addition to the generation of very high-quality grids, the new JIGSAW-GEO algorithm also imposes a relatively moderate computational burden, producing large-scale, multi-resolution grids in a matter of minutes using standard desktop-based computing infrastructure. Specifically, grid-generation for the UNIFORM-SPHERE, REGIONAL-ATLANTIC and SOUTHERN-OCEAN test-cases required 12 seconds, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ minutes and 10 minutes of computation time, respectively, running on a single core of an Intel i7 processor. In all cases, grid-optimisation was found to be approximately four times as expensive as the initial Frontal-Delaunay refinement. Compared to the existing iterative MPI-SCVT algorithm (?), commonly used to generate grids for the MPAS framework, these results represent a significant increase in productivity, with the MPI-SCVT algorithm often requiring days, or even weeks of distributed computing time.

Additionally, practical experience with the MPI-SCVT algorithm has shown that it cannot always be relied upon to generate an appropriate grid, irrespective of the amount of computational time allowed for convergence to be reached. While always generating a locally-orthogonal and centroidal Voronoi tessellation with very high mean grid-quality, the MPI-SCVT algorithm does not provide bounds on worst-case grid-quality. In practice, multi-resolution grids generated using the MPI-SCVT algorithm are often observed to contain a minority of obtuse triangles that violate the well-centred constraint, and, due to the nature of the numerical formulation, such grids are inappropriate for use in an unstructured C-grid model such as the MPAS framework. Grid generation for such models often requires a degree of user-driven trial-and-error as a result, making grid-generation a somewhat arduous task for model-users. Initial experiments conducted using the JIGSAW-GEO algorithm have shown it to be a useful alternative, reliably generating valid well-centred multi-resolution grids for the MPAS ocean and land-ice frameworks given a wide range of user-defined constraints and configuration settings.

## 6 Conclusions \& Future Work

A new algorithm for the generation of non-uniform, locally-orthogonal multi-resolution staggered unstructured grids for largescale general circulation modelling on the sphere has been described. Using a combination of Frontal-Delaunay refinement and hill-climbing type optimisation techniques, it has been shown that very high-quality Voronei/Delatnay type staggered grids models can be generatedfor geophysical applications on the sphere, with a focus on global atmospheric and oceanic type modelling. These new algorithms are- The performance of this new approach has been verified using a number of multi-scale global benchmarks, including difficult problems incorporating highly non-uniform mesh-spacing constraints.

This new algorithm is available as part of the JIGSAW meshing package, providing a simple and easy-to-use tool for the oceanic and atmospheric modelling communities. A number of global-scale benchmark problems have been analysed, verifying examining the performance of the new approach, and demonstrating that very high-quality meshes can be generated for large-seale global problems, including those incorporating highly non-uniform mesh-spacing constraints. The FrontalDelaunay refinement algorithm has been shown to generate guaranteed-quality spheroidal Delaunay triangulations - satisfying worst-case bounds on element-wise angles and exhibiting smooth grading characteristics. This algorithm has been shown to produce very high-quality multi-resolution triangulations, with a majority of elements exhibiting near-perfect conformance to element-shape and grid-spacing based constraints.

The use of a coupled geometrical and topological hill-climbing type optimisation procedure was shown to further improve mesh-quality grid-quality statistics, especially for the lowest quality elements in each mesh. It was demonstrated that these optimisation techniques allow grid-quality to be improved to the extent that fully well-centred mesh configurations are can be achieved, with all angles in the surface triangulation bounded below $90^{\circ}$. For the three global test-cases presented here, enclosed-angles in the range $40^{\circ} \leq \theta_{f} \leq 80^{\circ}$ were achievedwere bounded above $\theta_{f} \geq 40^{\circ}$ and below $\theta_{f} \leq 80^{\circ}$.

The construction of locally-orthogonal staggered polygonal grids ,-appropriate for a range of contemporary unstructured C-grid type general circulation models, has been-was discussed in detail, with a focus on the generation of multi-resolution grids for the MPAS framework. The availability of this new algorithm is expected to significantly reduce the grid-generation burden for MPAS model-users. Future work will focus on a generalisation of the algorithm and improvements to its efficiency, including: (i) support for inscribed geometrical constraints, such as coastlines, (ii) the use of multi-threaded programming patterns to improve computational performance, and (iii) further enhancements to the mesh optimisation procedureprocedures, with a focus on improving the well-centredness of the resulting staggered grids. The investigation of solution-adaptive multiscale representations, in which grid-resolution is adapted to spatial variability in model state (?), is also an obvious direction for future investigation.

[^1]Phillip Wolfram for their assistance on grid-generation for the MPAS-O environment. John Marshall provided feedback on an earlier version of the manuscript.
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## 7 Code availability

5 The JIGSAW-GEO grid-generator can be found online at github.com/dengwirda/jigsaw-geo-matlab

## Appendix A: Spheroidal Predicates

Recalling the methodology described in Section 3, computation of the restricted Delaunay surface tessellation $\left.\operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ requires the evaluation of a single geometric predicate. Given a spheroidal surface $\Sigma$, the task is to compute intersections between edges in the Voronoi tessellation $\operatorname{Vor}(X)$ and the input features $\Sigma$.

## A1 Restricted surface triangles

Restricted surface triangles $\left.f_{i} \in \operatorname{Del}\right|_{\Sigma}(X)$ are defined as those associated with an intersecting Voronoi edge $v_{e} \in \operatorname{Vor}(X)$, where $v_{e} \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset$. These triangles provide a good piecewise linear approximation to the surface $\Sigma$. For a given triangle $f_{i}$, the associated Voronoi edge $v_{e}$ is defined as the line-segment joining the two circumcentres $\mathbf{c}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ associated with the pair of tetrahedrons that share the face $f_{i}$. The task then is to find intersections between the line-segments $v_{e}$ and the surface $\Sigma$.

Let $\mathbf{p}$ be a point on a given Voronoi edge-segment $v_{e}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}=\overline{\mathbf{c}}+t \Delta, \quad-1 \leq t \leq+1, \text { where: } \quad \text { where: } \quad \overline{\mathbf{c}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}+\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}\right), \quad \Delta=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{c}_{j}-\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) . \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (A1) into the expression for the spheroidal surface (4), the existence of real, bounded solutions, such that $-1 \leq$ $t \leq+1$, indicates a non-trival intersection $v_{e} \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset$. Specifically, expanding and rearranging after substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{i}+t \Delta_{i}}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}=1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{i}^{2}+2 t \overline{\mathbf{c}}_{i} \Delta_{i}+t^{2} \Delta_{i}^{2}}{r_{i}^{2}}=1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\Delta_{i}^{2}}{r_{i}^{2}}\right) t^{2}+\left(\frac{2 \overline{\mathbf{c}}_{i} \Delta_{i}}{r_{i}^{2}}\right) t+\left(\frac{\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{i}^{2}}{r_{i}^{2}}-1\right)=0 \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is simply a quadratic expression for the parameter $t$ and can be solved using the standard approach. Given a real solution $-1 \leq t_{\Sigma} \leq+1$ the corresponding point of intersection $\mathbf{p}_{\Sigma}$ can be found using (A1).
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Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-296, 2016.

## GMDD

# Interactive comment on "Locally-orthogonal unstructured grid-generation for general circulation modelling on the sphere*" by Darren Engwirda 

D. Engwirda

engwirda@mit.edu
Received and published: 11 January 2017

Dear reviewer,
Thank you for your comments and recommendations regarding the draft manuscript. I propose to incorporate many of your suggested changes 'as-is' in the revised submission. In some cases, as detailed below, I do not fully agree with the comments made, and have attempted to reply in detail to some of the points raised. In all cases I found the suggestions helpful, and look forward to amending the revised manuscript in response to your review.
Overall, I agree with the suggestion that the revised paper should better articulate the

impact of the techniques described in the present work, and better differentiate them from existing approaches. I include a detailed set of proposed changes below.

Reviewer comments are presented in italics, my responses are included in plain-text.
The current paper deals with high quality surface triangulation applied to general circulation modelling. The paper bypasses a parametric representation of an arbitrary surface by limiting the surface definition to an ellipsoid representing the earth. The main algorithm relies on a coupled Frontal-Delaunay approach. Various examples are provided to illustrate the method.
Overall, the paper is clear and there is obviously a lot of work in it. However, my main critic is that there is not much new brought by the paper, as opposed to what is claimed in the conclusion, except for the fact of applying it to a general circulation modelling. The curvature of the Earth is almost constant so technically it is not difficult to surface mesh it. The paper introduces a lot of concepts such as restricted Delaunay, Frontal Delaunay, Hill-climbing, Gradient based smoothing, etc, which are very classic and well established unstructured mesh techniques. At least, it should be clearly stated what is new.

1. The claim that Voronoi edges are always perpendicular to mesh edges is wrong. It is only valid for an acute triangulation, which is not true in general, particularly because of the boundaries. This is a property that has been pursued by the electromagnetic solvers for a long time for the same reason but only partially reached. This is only briefly mentioned in Section 4.
With respect, I don't believe the reviewer to be correct here. Voronoi edges are indeed (always) perpendicular to their associated Delaunay edges, even when the triangulation is non-acute. This local orthogonality is a fundamental aspect of the VoronoiDelaunay geometric duality, and lies at the heart of many unstructured numerical formulations that are based on a Voronoi-Delaunay grid staggering, including the discreti-
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 sation scheme employed in the MPAS framework referenced in the current paper.What the reviewer may be referring to is the notion of 'well-centred' Voronoi-Delaunay grid staggering, which is a property only realised for 'acute' triangulations (all angles less than 90 degrees). The properties and benefits of 'well-centred' Voronoi-Delaunay staggered grids are discussed in the present paper in Section 4.
Voronoi vertices (the circumcentres of Delaunay triangles) only lie 'within' their associated Delaunay triangles when the triangulation is acute. As a result, Delaunay triangles containing obtuse angles induce an undesirable grid-staggering, with the Voronoi edges associated with these large angles failing to intersect their paired Delaunay edges.

In the context of unstructured general circulation models (i.e. the MPAS model) building such 'well-centred' Voronoi-Delaunay grids is (a) important (the MPAS framework, for instance, requires such constraints to be satisfied to ensure a correct evaluation of the vertex-centred vorticity distribution), and (b) non-trivial (a majority of conventional unstructured meshing algorithms do not produce such grids).
As such, the development of algorithms designed to produce high-quality well-centred grids is, in my view, a new and useful result. I am not aware of other publicly available software for the oceanic/atmospheric modelling communities that offers similar functionality.
In the current work, it's shown that a combination of Frontal-Delaunay refinement and hill-climbing optimisation is an effective strategy - able to produce very high-quality well-centred Voronoi-Delaunay grids even when complex, highly non-uniform grid sizing constraints are imposed. I believe this to be a new result of benefit to the unstructured oceanic/atmospheric modelling communities. Public availability of the associated JIGSAW-GEO grid-generator is also thought to be a further benefit to the community.
Noting the reviewers concerns, and subsequent comments below, I propose to re-work the paper to make the arguments above more clearly, and earlier in the manuscript. I

Interactive propose explicitly defining the notion of 'well-centred' grids in Section 2, and to amend
the abstract/introductory sections accordingly. I propose to include a new figure illustrating the distinction between 'well-centred' and 'non-well-centred' Voronoi-Delaunay grids.
2. Line 28 (page 4). It is not clear at all in general that maximisation of the minimum angle is beneficial. Add references.
Agreed. I will include additional references/explanation in the revised manuscript.
3. The pictures do not clearly show the mesh transitions for size variations in details.

Agreed. I will include better images of transitional mesh regions.
4. The abstract mentions a-priori guaranteed quality bounds while nothing is proved. Empirical studies show good results but no bounds are provided.
Such bounds are rigorously established in the companion paper (Engwirda and Ivers, 2016) that describes the Frontal-Delaunay refinement algorithm in full, with this point mentioned in Section 2.5 (pages 7-8) where references to the companion paper are given and results of the proofs summarised.

The present paper aims to address the question of grid-generation for oceanic/atmospheric modelling from a pragmatic, rather than theory-laden perspective. As such, it was not felt that a reproduction of existing proofs would necessarily aid the reader in this regard.

I propose to amend Section 2.5 (around line 3, page 8) to more explicitly refer interested readers to the companion paper (Engwirda and Ivers, 2016), and offer a more formal summary of theoretical results/proofs.
5. The abstract is misleading. The code may be recently developped but the techniques used in it are not recent.

I do not believe that the methods presented in the present work are preexisting.

The hybrid Frontal-Delaunay surface meshing techniques described here, able to guarantee worst-case bounds on element quality and sizing conformance are, in my view, new. I am not aware of another algorithm with the same properties - able to produce smoothly varying Voronoi-Delaunay grids with very high mean element quality (similar to advancing front type schemes), while also guaranteeing worst-case bounds on element angles and conformance (a'la standard Delaunay-refinement techniques).
Existing methods for unstructured oceanic/atmospheric modelling appear to either lack provable worst-case bounds [Jacobsen et al., 2013], or generally produce grids with somewhat lower overall quality [Lambrechts et al., 2008].

The combination of the Frontal-Delaunay scheme with a coupled hill-climbing optimisation strategy to generate 'well-centred' grids is also, in my view, new. A number of additional remarks regarding the generation and benefits associated with 'well-centred' grids are already included in response to the reviewers first comment above. As per my response to this earlier comment, I believe that by making these arguments more clearly and earlier in the revised submission, the impact and novelty of the approaches pursued in the present work will be more strongly articulated.
6. There is not detail about the initialization on the sphere of the algorithm. You mention that the algorithm scans the triangles that do not verify given criteria, but how are these initial triangles created?
Agreed. I propose amending Section 2.5 in the revised manuscript to contain the following information:

12 points describing a coarse regular icosahedron are initially projected onto the spheroidal surface at the beginning of the refinement process. Refinement then proceeds according to the Frontal-Delaunay scheme outlined in Section 2.5 (i.e. until all constraints - element shape, size - are satisfied).

Please let me know if you have further suggestions or comments regarding the sub-
mission or my responses to your review.
Kind regards,

## Darren Engwirda

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-296, 2016.
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# Interactive comment on "Locally-orthogonal unstructured grid-generation for general circulation modelling on the sphere*" by Darren Engwirda 

D. Engwirda

engwirda@mit.edu
Received and published: 19 February 2017

Dear reviewer,
Thank you for your comments and recommendations regarding the draft manuscript. I propose to incorporate the majority of your suggested changes 'as-is' in the revised submission. In all cases I have tried to respond in detail to your comments, including one or two instances where I have attempted to clarify the intent of the current draft.
I have found all of your suggestions to be helpful, and look forward to amending the manuscript in response to your review.
Overall, I agree with your suggestion that additional algorithmic detail should be pro-

vided, and will include such material in the revised submission. I intend to include additional details on the Frontal-Delaunay algorithm, in addition to brief pseudo-code descriptions of the full grid-generation process.

To better emphasise the novelty of the proposed approach, I additionally suggest a slight reorganisation of the paper. I suggest to move the discussion currently contained in Sections 2.6 (Figure 3 - staggered unstructured C-grid formulations) and pages 1617 (benefits of 'well-centred' staggered orthogonal grids) to the beginning of Section 2. Such a change will better motivate the remaining discussions - explaining that such numerical schemes (i.e. the MPAS framework) require grids that are locally-orthogonal, centroidal and well-centred - a set of constraints that are, in the general case, difficult to satisfy and are not reliably achieved by conventional grid-generation techniques.
Reviewer comments are presented in italics, my responses are included in plain-text.
There is a sufficiently detailed description of the techniques used to improve the mesh quality, but the frontal-Delaunay technique is just mentioned. It would be helpful if it is described in some detail, for the intention of this paper is to help the potential user to learn about the technical details of the algorithm, and it is still a bit difficult to do. It would be very helpful to present a schematic of the algorithm at the very beginning, followed by the description of separate steps. This is partly done for the iterative mesh quality improvement, but I think that the entire algorithm has to be included. Also I would advice to be more clear with what is new in the algorithm.
Fig 1. The coloring used is non-informative. I was struggling to see some familiar topographic features but I could not. I would recommend to omit the topographic height, it does not have any sense here, only distracts you reader. Same concerns other mesh figures.

Agreed. I suggest to adopt a simple flat yellow colour-scheme for the ocean grid-cells, with the existing white-fill adopted for the land-cells. This combination appears to offer good visual contrast.

Page 2, line 6 'A majority of ...' âĂŤ I do not think this statement is correct. Many of practically used ocean circulation models use so-called tripolar meshes with Mercatortype stretching. Cubed sphere is used less frequently (the internal Rossby radius of deformation in the ocean is decreasing toward high latitudes, and meshes refined in high latitudes are more natural)
I agree that both cubed-sphere and tri-polar type grids are currently in wide use. I will update this sentence accordingly.
Line 10 'leading to significant...' If lon-lat mesh is used for ocean modeling, it is of course rotated, so that poles are on the land and there is no singularity. What is discussed has relevance to the atmosphere, but not to the ocean. Since your mesh examples are related to the ocean, the discussion creates misunderstanding.
I agree that this is true for Earth-centric ocean-modelling based on current continental configurations. Our requirements are somewhat more expansive than this though, also seeking to encompass Paleo-Earth studies, aqua-planets, exo-planets, and etc. In such cases, the polar singularities of lat-Ion grids lead to numerical issues as per the current discussion.

I will amend this paragraph to better explain context and requirements here.
Page 3, line 5 The discussion here misses the point that FESOM, FVCOM, SLIM, Fluidity may work on general triangular meshes. SUNTANS (and its numerous predecessors) need orthogonal (well-centered) meshes (with the circumcenters inside respective triangles).
I agree. I will update the text accordingly to note that such models offer more flexibility in terms of grid requirements.

Line 29 I think the main point of Lambrecht et al. is that a care is taken of the shape of coastlines, resolution of passages etc. This question is not reflected in the manuscript (except for conclusions), although it presents the main challenge. It is quality of trian-
gles close to coastlines that is problematic in many practical cases.
I would also recommend to mention Admesh (DOI 10.1007/s10236-012-0574-0) which relies on the Persson's approach.

Agreed. I will add a reference and brief description of Admesh and amend that of Lambretch et al.

Interactive

The focus of the current work though is on multi-resolution global simulations, in which coastal constraints are typically neglected. The main challenge here is the generation of locally-orthogonal, centroidal and well-centred grids appropriate for unstructured Cgrid schemes such as MPAS.

I look forward to incorporating coastal constraints in a future version of the algorithm.
Page 4. Section 2. I would start here with brief description of the entire algorithm. Your reader keeps wondering what is the algorithm before the end of section 3. Present details of the Frontal -Delaunay algorithm and explain that in reality it is a 3D procedure with the central point of the sphere used to form the tetrahedra, and the restriction is just surface triangulation. Otherwise your preliminaries and Fig. 2 are a bit embarrassing for a general reader (who would keep asking about $v_{e}$ and its relation to the surface).
Page 5 Line 13 Restricted Delaunay tessellation âĂŤ try to explain this better, by using illustrations. I do not see your Fig. 2 to be of much help.

Page 6. It is not clear how mesh spacing functions are used in the mesh construction. Are they taken in account in the frontal procedure? It is not mentioned. Help you reader to clearly see the steps of the algorithm.

I agree. I suggest updating Figure 2 - adding additional detail illustrating the underlying tetrahedral grid emanating from the sphere centre. I will also update the description of the 'restricted' Delaunay structure accordingly.

## Printer-friendly version

I will expand the description of the Frontal-Delaunay algorithm as suggested, explicitly
describing the 'off-centre' point-placement scheme and the way in which the meshspacing function is utilised. This material is already available in Engwirda and Ivers (2016), but I will include a summary of the algorithmic detail here.

A full and general description of the Frontal-Delaunay algorithm and it's underlying theory is provided in Engwirda and Ivers (2016), and I am conscious not to dwell on excessive detail here, but to instead focus on a practical application of the techniques to general-circulation modelling. I fully agree though that the reader may benefit from a little more detail, and I will update the manuscript accordingly.
Page 7. Line 10 .. fully inverted elements? Please define what do you mean.
In some cases, unconstrained updates to vertex coordinates and/or grid topology could 'invert' triangles, reversing their orientation. Such cases would represent a local 'tangling' of the grid, which is obviously invalid. I will include the explanation "reversing its orientation".

Fig. 3. Is not it generally known?
Various unstructured general circulation models stagger variables according to a variety of different strategies. The staggered unstructured C-grid scheme described here has proven to be particularly successful (as per the MPAS framework) and I feel it's description is useful to the reader. This particular arrangement of variables also helps explain the necessity of the constraints on the grids themselves - that grids are required to be locally-orthogonal, centroidal and well-centred.
As per my initial remarks, I propose to move this discussion to the beginning of Section 2, better contextualising and motivating the subsequent description of the gridgeneration algorithm.
Page 8 Line 16 Fluid velocity and vorticity are commonly at different locations.
Agreed. I will change this line to: "... and the fluid velocity field and vorticity distribution represented at other spatially distinct grid-points."

Page 9, Line 4 ... Mention that you mean C-grid type techniques. There are other possibilities.
Agreed. I will change this line to: "In this study, the development of locally-orthogonal grids appropriate for staggered unstructured C-grid schemes..."

Page9, line 7 'described previously'? It was not really described here.
I agree. As per the responses above, I will included additional description of the FrontalDelaunay algorithm.
Beginning from line 9, there is discussion that is either well known or irrelevant to the mesh generation. Why do you need it?

I believe a basic description of the generation and usefulness of Voronoi grids - especially the well-centred, centroidal variety - is of use to the general reader. There is currently not consensus as to the optimal staggering of variables for unstructured general circulation models - MPAS uses the locally-orthogonal C-grid scheme described here, FESOM2 uses a type of B-grid finite-volume approach defined using a (nonorthogonal) barycentric dual-cell. A variety of other approaches also exist, including finite-element type formulations.

Additionally, while the use and construction of locally-orthogonal staggered unstructured grids (i.e. Voronoi diagrams) is a well-known concept in computational geometry, it may be less so to general readers in the atmospheric/ocean-modelling communities.

As per my initial remarks, I propose to move this discussion to the beginning of Section 2, better contextualising and motivating the subsequent description of the gridgeneration algorithm.
Page 11. Please define all quantities in (7) and better explain how computations are implemented.
I do not fully understand this comment. All quantities in equation 7 appear to be de-

fined, and the subsequent paragraphs describe the way that the local 'gradient-ascent' type optimisation step is implemented. Please let me know which variables/steps need clarification.

Page 13, line 14 What is the grid-quality vector?
The 'grid-quality vector' is an array of element quality 'scores', one for each triangle in the grid. An 'area-length' quality metric is used in this study. The paragraph at the beginning of Section 3 (page 9, line 30) contains a description of the grid-quality vector.

## Page 13, line 26 What is the lexicographical comparison?

A lexicographical comparison is a 'worst-first' comparison of grid-quality scores. This term is defined on page 10, line 32, where it is first introduced in the manuscript. Use of this terminology is consistent with it use in Klinger and Shewchuk (2008).

Page 20, Section 5. Please clearly define the novelty. What is describe is the selection of known steps.

Page 22 , line 14 (ii)-? I think it is secondary and technical issue. Well-centeredness and coastlines are real algorithmic questions.
Unstructured general circulation frameworks, such as MPAS, require grids that are locally-orthogonal, centroidal and well-centred. It is not my experience that conventional grid-generation algorithms are successful in satisfying this set of constraints in the general case.

The JIGSAW-GEO algorithm introduced here has been shown to effectively produce such grids, allowing the multi-resolution capabilities of a framework such as MPAS to be better utilised. The use of complex grids with highly non-uniform spacing constraints,
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 such as those shown in Figures 9-10, can now be investigated. Fully solution-adaptive simulations, as mentioned at page 22, line 17, can now also be explored as a result. I look forward to reporting on these studies in the future.As per my initial comments, I suggest slightly rearranging the order of the paper moving the discussion of 'local-orhogonality', 'centroidalness' and 'well-centredness' to the beginning of Section 2 and better emphasising the importance and difficulty of satisfying these characteristics in the general case. This change is intended to better contextualise the grid-generation techniques described here - showcasing their novelty and usefulness.

Please let me know if you have further suggestions or comments regarding the submission or my responses to your review.

Kind regards,
Darren Engwirda
Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-296, 2016.

# Interactive comment on "Locally-orthogonal unstructured grid-generation for general circulation modelling on the sphere*" by Darren Engwirda 

D. Engwirda

engwirda@mit.edu
Received and published: 20 February 2017

Dear reviewer,
Thank you for your additional comments. I include my response below. Reviewer comments are presented in italics, my responses are included in plain-text.

My main concern is still about the novelty of the method. You say:
In the current work, it's shown that a combination of Frontal-Delaunay refinement and hill-climbing optimisation is an effective strategy - able to produce very high-quality well-centred Voronoi-Delaunay grids even when complex, highly non-uniform grid sizing constraints are imposed. I believe this to be a new result of benefit to the unstruc-
tured oceanic/atmospheric modelling communities. Public availability of the associated JIGSAW-GEO grid-generator is also thought to be a further benefit to the community.
I do not believe that the methods presented in the present work are preexisting. The hybrid Frontal-Delaunay surface meshing technique described here, able to guarantee worst-case bounds on element quality and sizing conformance are, in my view, new. I am not aware of another algorithm with the same properties - able to produce smoothly varying Voronoi-Delaunay grids with very high mean element quality (similar to advancing front type schemes), while also guaranteeing worst-case bounds on element angles and conformance (a'la standard Delaunay-refinement techniques). Existing methods for unstructured oceanic/atmospheric modelling appear to either lack provable worst-case bounds [Jacobsen et al., 2013], or generally produce grids with somewhat lower overall quality [Lambrechts et al., 2008]. The combination of the Frontal-Delaunay scheme with a coupled hill-climbing optimisation strategy to generate 'well-centred' grids is also, in my view, new.
EVERY mesh generator (edge, face, volume) has a main engine (Delaunay, Frontal, Octree, coupled) and an optimization phase that follows [1], so there is nothing new to that. The facts that you apply it to oceanic/atmospheric communities or that it is publicly available do not make these techniques new. I have added a list of references on high quality surface mesh generation that present the same high quality based on the same techniques [2,3,4,5] on top of the coupled Delaunay advancing front variants which are not fully referenced. Feel free to include them or not.
Nevertheless, I completely agree with the fact that the application of these techniques to the oceanic/atmospheric communities is new and interesting and therefore, the paper should be published.
[1] Frey,P.J. and George,P.L., Meshing, applications to finite elements, Hermes, Paris, 1999.
[2] J. Tristano, S. Owen, S. Canann, Advancing front surface mesh generation in para-
metric space using a Riemannian surface definition, in: IMR, 1998, pp. 429-445.
[3] D. Rypl, P. Krysl, Triangulation of 3D surfaces, Eng. Comput. 13 (1997) 87-98.
[4] C. Lee, Automatic metric advancing front triangulation over curved surfaces, Eng. Comput. 17 (1) (2000) 48-74. 642-667.
[5] Löhner R. Regridding surface triangulations. Journal of Computational Physics 1996; 126:1-10.

I agree with much of what is stated here. After also considering the comments of other reviews/readers, I suggest making a number of changes to address these concerns:

1. I am very happy to add reference to [1-5] as suggested. A brief discussion of these methods will be added in Section 2, throughout the description of the Frontal-Delaunay algorithm.
2. I will include a more detailed description of the Frontal-Delaunay algorithm, and additional pseudo-code descriptions for the full grid-generation process. I will explicitly describe the 'off-centre' point-placement strategy and the way in which it leverages the mesh-spacing function. This material is already available in Engwirda and Ivers (2016), but I will include a summary of the algorithmic detail here.
While the suggested references [1-5] all describe high-quality approaches for surface grid-generation, they do, in some cases, differ in the details. I aim to better position and contrast the methods described in the current work through this extended description.
3. To better emphasise the novelty of the proposed approach, I additionally suggest a slight reorganisation of the paper. I suggest to move the discussion currently contained in Sections 2.6 (i.e. Figure 3 and accompanying text - description of the staggered unstructured C-grid formulation) and pages 16-17 (benefits of 'well-centred' staggered orthogonal grids) to the beginning of Section 2.
This change will better motivate the remaining discussions - explaining that such
numerical schemes (i.e. the MPAS framework) require grids that are locally-orthogonal, centroidal and well-centred - a set of constraints that are, in the general case, difficult to satisfy and are not reliably achieved by conventional grid-generation techniques.

This change will therefore better showcase the performance of the algorithms presented in the current work - demonstrating that such locally-orthongonal, centroidal and well-centred grids can be generated for complex cases, such as the highly nonuniform grids shown in Figures 9-11. Such capability will allow the multi-resolution capabilities of a framework such as MPAS to be better utilised.

Please let me know if you have further suggestions or comments regarding the submission.

Kind regards,
Darren Engwirda
Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-296, 2016.


[^0]:    *A short version of this paper appears in the proceedings of the 24th International Meshing Roundtable (?).
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