

# ***Interactive comment on “A global wetland methane emissions and uncertainty dataset for atmospheric chemical transport models” by A. Anthony Bloom et al.***

**A. Kerkweg**

kerkweg@uni-bonn.de

Received and published: 24 October 2016

Dear authors,

In my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.1:

<http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/3487/2015/gmd-8-3487-2015.html>

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the ‘Manuscript Types’ section:

[http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript\\_types.html](http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html)

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements have not been met in the Discussions paper:

- “Inclusion of Code and/or data availability sections is mandatory for all papers and should be located at the end of the article, after the conclusions, and before any appendices or acknowledgments. For more details refer to the code and data policy” (Editorial v1.1, Appendix A1)
- “Papers describing data sets designed for the support and evaluation of model simulations are within scope. These data sets may be syntheses of data which have been published elsewhere. The data sets must also be made available, and any code used to create the syntheses should also be made available.” (Editorial v1.1, Appendix A5)

For these papers the same criteria as for model description papers apply, i.e., “The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title.” (Editorial v1.1, Appendix A2)

In this case the “model” is the “data set”.

Please add a data availability section and include the data sets name and version number in the title in your revised submission to GMD.

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg

---

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-224, 2016.

**GMDD**

Interactive  
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

