

## ***Interactive comment on “On the influence of sea-ice physics in multi-decadal ocean-ice hindcasts” by Petteri Uotila et al.***

**A. Kerkweg**

kerkweg@uni-bonn.de

Received and published: 22 August 2016

Dear authors,

In my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.1:

<http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/3487/2015/gmd-8-3487-2015.html>

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the ‘Manuscript Types’ section:

[http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript\\_types.html](http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html)

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements have not been met in the Discussions paper:

- "The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title."
- "If the model development relates to a single model then the model name and the version number must be included in the title of the paper. If the main intention of an article is to make a general (i.e. model independent) statement about the usefulness of a new development, but the usefulness is shown with the help of one specific model, the model name and version number must be stated in the title. The title could have a form such as, "Title outlining amazing generic advance: a case study with Model XXX (version Y)"."

Therefore I recommend to change the title of your article to something like: "On the influence of sea-ice physics in multi-decadal ocean-ice hindcasts: a NEMO 3.6 case study using the sea-ice schemes LIM2 and LIM3" in your revised submission to GMD.

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg

---

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-187, 2016.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

