Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-178-SC1, 2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



**GMDD** 

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "The Oceanographic Multipurpose Software Environment" by Inti Pelupessy et al.

## A. Kerkweg

kerkweg@uni-bonn.de

Received and published: 13 September 2016

Dear authors,

In my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.1:

http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/3487/2015/gmd-8-3487-2015.html

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the 'Manuscript Types' section:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript\_types.html

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements have not been met in the Discussions paper:

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



- "The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title."
- "If the model development relates to a single model then the model name and the version number must be included in the title of the paper. If the main intention of an article is to make a general (i.e. model independent) statement about the usefulness of a new development, but the usefulness is shown with the help of one specific model, the model name and version number must be stated in the title. The title could have a form such as, "Title outlining amazing generic advance: a case study with Model XXX (version Y)"."

In order to simplify reference to your developments, please add a version number and consider to add the models acronym in the title of your article in your revised submission to GMD.

Additionally, I like to point out, that the Code Availability and the Acknowledgement Sections are distinctive parts of the overall paper structure (see the section "manuscript composition" on http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/for\_authors/manuscript\_preparation.html). In contrast to this, "Extending OMUSE" is not part of this overall structure. Therefore this section is in the wrong place. It should be either a section in the main body of the paper or an appendix section, but it should definitely not be placed between Code Availability section and Acknowledgements .

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-178, 2016.

## **GMDD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

