

## *Interactive comment on* "The Oceanographic Multipurpose Software Environment" *by* Inti Pelupessy et al.

## A. Kerkweg

kerkweg@uni-bonn.de

Received and published: 13 September 2016

Dear authors,

In my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.1:

http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/3487/2015/gmd-8-3487-2015.html

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the 'Manuscript Types' section:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript\_types.html

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements have not been met in the Discussions paper:

C1

- "The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title."
- "If the model development relates to a single model then the model name and the version number must be included in the title of the paper. If the main intention of an article is to make a general (i.e. model independent) statement about the usefulness of a new development, but the usefulness is shown with the help of one specific model, the model name and version number must be stated in the title. The title could have a form such as, "Title outlining amazing generic advance: a case study with Model XXX (version Y)"."

In order to simplify reference to your developments, please add a version number and consider to add the models acronym in the title of your article in your revised submission to GMD.

Additionally, I like to point out, that the Code Availability and the Acknowledgement Sections are distinctive parts of the overall paper structure (see the section "manuscript composition" on http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/for\_ authors/manuscript\_preparation.html). In contrast to this, "Extending OMUSE" is not part of this overall structure. Therefore this section is in the wrong place. It should be either a section in the main body of the paper or an appendix section, but it should definitely not be placed between Code Availability section and Acknowledgements.

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-178, 2016.