

Interactive comment on “Soil carbon stock estimates in a nationwide inventory: evaluating performance of the ROMUL and Yasso07 models” by Aleksi Lehtonen et al.

A. Kerkweg

kerkweg@uni-bonn.de

Received and published: 11 August 2016

Dear authors,

In my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.1:

<http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/3487/2015/gmd-8-3487-2015.html>

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the ‘Manuscript Types’ section:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html

C1

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirement has not been met in the Discussions paper:

- "The main paper must give the model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title."

The above statement is part of the requirements for the model description papers. However, model evaluation papers are closely linked to model description papers and therefore it would be beneficial to specify the exact version of the models the evaluation was performed with in the title. Please consider this upon revision of your article.

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-144, 2016.

C2