

Interactive comment on “AerChemMIP: Quantifying the effects of chemistry and aerosols in CMIP6” by William J. Collins et al.

A.T Archibald

ata27@cam.ac.uk

Received and published: 28 July 2016

Collins et al. present a nice summary of the rationale and design of the Aerosol Chemistry Model Intercomparison Project (AerChemMIP), a CMIP6 endorsed project that is designed to quantify the climate and air quality impacts of aerosols and chemically-reactive gases in the atmosphere.

AerChemMIP is a huge project and reading through the number of simulations in the tables it's clear that a huge amount of thought has gone into this and by and large I feel that the requests are reasonable for answering the overarching science questions stated. However, one of the primary reasons for undertaking these experiments (and previous experiments like ACCMIP, CCMI etc) is to understand the functioning of coupled chemistry-climate models in a multi model framework. The climate community are

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



in a great position with the DECK experiments in that they can use these to understand how as a function of time the evolution of models leads to improvements/changes in our understanding of the physical climate system. But what parallel is there for the aerosol and chemistry community? What is our DECK experiment(s)? I think a short discussion on this would make a useful addition to this paper.

Also, I noted the following minor errors in the manuscript:

Page 3 line 10: "contribute" should be "contributes".

Page 3 line 38: after "chemistry-climate models" could you add "(CCMs)"?

Page 3 line 38: similarly, after "chemistry transport models" could you add "(CTMs)"?

Page 4 line 3: Why is "Chemistry-Transport Models" (a) capitalised? (b) hyphenated?

Page 4 line 7: adoptions above mean you could remove "(CCMs)".

Page 4 line 21: The reference list has the "(IPCC 1995)" citation as being published in 1996.

Page 6 line 2: consider replacing "...)" with "etc)"

Page 6 line 25: remove "in" at the end of the line.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-139, 2016.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

