Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 8, C4224–C4225, 2016
www.geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/8/C4224/2016/

Geoscientific Model Development Discussions
Open Access
EGU

Interactive comment on "Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organisation" *by* V. Eyring et al.

V. Eyring et al.

veronika.eyring@dlr.de

Received and published: 11 March 2016

Reply to Julia Hargreaves (Editor)

I called quite a few reviewers for this paper, as I thought it would be good to have a wide range of opinions, and we obtained 3 official reviews in the end. I am very glad to see that so many have added their own comments. The MetOffice are to be particularly congratulated for their industry in this regard! Looking through the comments I do not see any that stand out as obviously foolish. Rather I see many points raised that I am curious to see the response to. So please respond

Full Screen / Esc
Printer-friendly Version
Interactive Discussion
Discussion Paper

to them all, point-by-point in the same manner as the official reviews.

There are quite a lot of details but nothing of major concern so please just let us know if you need extra time to do the revision. I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript in due course.

On the topic of the code/data availability section, the issue with the positioning of the section has now been resolved with Copernicus. Please move the section back to the original position, directly following the Conclusions and before the Appendices.

We thank the editor for finding three good reviewers and for the prompt guidance during the submission of our manuscript. The reviews and short comments are very constructive indeed. We have now revised our manuscript in light of all comments we have received. A pointwise reply is given in our responses to each review and short comment.

Thanks also for the clarification on the 'Data Availability' section. As said in our response to Astrid Kerkweg's comment, this section was correctly placed in our submission, but it has been mistakenly moved by the editorial office during the typesetting. It is now in its original position, directly following the Conclusions and before the Appendix.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 8, 10539, 2015.