

Interactive comment on “Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organisation” by V. Eyring et al.

J. C. Hargreaves (Editor)

jules@blueskiesresearch.org.uk

Received and published: 17 February 2016

I called quite a few reviewers for this paper, as I thought it would be good to have a wide range of opinions, and we obtained 3 official reviews in the end. I am very glad to see that so many have added their own comments. The MetOffice are to be particularly congratulated for their industry in this regard! Looking through the comments I do not see any that stand out as obviously foolish. Rather I see many points raised that I am curious to see the response to. So please respond to them all, point-by-point in the same manner as the official reviews.

There are quite a lot of details but nothing of major concern so please just let us

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



know if you need extra time to do the revision. I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript in due course.

On the topic of the code/data availability section, the issue with the positioning of the section has now been resolved with Copernicus. Please move the section back to the original position, directly following the Conclusions and before the Appendices.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 8, 10539, 2015.

GMDD

8, C4024–C4025, 2016

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C4025

