Geoscientific
Model Development
Discussions

Interactive comment on "pynoddy 1.0: an experimental platform for automated 3-D kinematic and potential field modelling" *by* J. F. Wellmann et al.

A. Kerkweg

kerkweg@uni-mainz.de

Received and published: 23 November 2015

Dear authors,

In my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.1:

http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/3487/2015/gmd-8-3487-2015.html

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the 'Manuscript Types' section:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirement have not been fully met in the Discussions paper:

- "All papers must include a section, at the end of the paper, entitled 'Code availability'. Here, either instructions for obtaining the code, or the reasons why the code is not available should be clearly stated. It is preferred for the code to be uploaded as a supplement or to be made available at a data repository with an associated DOI (digital object identifier) for the exact model version described in the paper. Alternatively, for established models, there may be an existing means of accessing the code through a particular system. In this case, there must exist a means of permanently accessing the precise model version described in the paper. In some cases, authors may prefer to put models on their own website, or to act as a point of contact for obtaining the code. Given the impermanence of websites and email addresses, this is not encouraged, and authors should consider improving the availability with a more permanent arrangement. After the paper is accepted the model archive should be updated to include a link to the GMD paper."

You provide all the required information. Nevertheless, the existence of a "Code Availability" section is now mandatory for GMD papers. Therefore I ask you to add a "Code Availability" section after the discussion and before the appendix containing the same information as you already provide in A1 and A2.

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 8, 10011, 2015.