Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 8, 5643–5670, 2015 www.geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/8/5643/2015/ doi:10.5194/gmdd-8-5643-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Geoscientific Model Development (GMD). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in GMD if available.

Experiments on sensitivity of meridional circulation and ozone flux to parameterizations of orographic gravity waves and QBO phases in a general circulation model of the middle atmosphere

A. V. Koval¹, N. M. Gavrilov¹, A. I. Pogoreltsev², and E. N. Savenkova¹

 ¹Atmospheric Physics Department, Saint-Petersburg State University, Saint-Petersburg, Russia
 ²Meteorological Forecast Department, Russian State Hydrometeorological University, Saint-Petersburg, Russia



Received: 12 June 2015 - Accepted: 25 June 2015 - Published: 21 July 2015

Correspondence to: A. V. Koval (koval_spbu@mail.ru) and N. M. Gavrilov (gavrilov@pobox.spbu.ru)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

Many atmospheric global circulation models have large biases in predicting meridional and vertical winds and fluxes of gas species in remote regions such as the middle and upper atmosphere. In this study, we make sensitivity simulations to recognize the

- ⁵ role of vital processes associated with dynamical coupling between different atmospheric layers, namely dynamical and thermal impacts of mesoscale orographic gravity waves (OGWs) generated by the Earth's topography and changes from the easterly to westerly QBO phases in the lower equatorial atmosphere. We improved parameterizations of OGW dynamical and thermal effects and QBO flows and implemented them
- into a general circulation model of the middle and upper atmosphere used in different countries. With this model, we study the sensitivity of meridional circulation and vertical velocity to stationary OGWs and to changes in QBO phases at altitudes up to 100 km in January. We also considered respective changes in vertical ozone fluxes in the atmosphere. Accounting stationary OGW effects gives changes up to 40 % in the
- ¹⁵ meridional velocity and associated ozone fluxes in the stratosphere. Transitions from the easterly to westerly QBO phase in tropics may significantly alter the meridional and vertical circulation of the middle atmosphere at middle and high latitudes: up to 60 % from the peak respective values. The improved parameterizations of OGW and QBO effects have impacts on other features of the general circulation model, improving the simulation of general circulation, planetary and tidal wave coupling in the lower, middle
- simulation of general circulation, planetary and tidal wave coupling in the lower, middle and upper atmosphere.

1 Introduction

25

Ozone transfer between the stratosphere and troposphere has an effect on the total ozone distribution in the atmosphere and on its content in the troposphere (Danielsen and Mohnen, 1977; Fishman and Crutzen, 1978). The main supposed mechanism of the global ozone transfer between the troposphere and stratosphere is the ozone



ascend at low latitudes and descend at the middle and high latitudes, which is created by the general circulation of the atmosphere (Holton et al., 1995; Holton, 2002). The dynamical and thermal impacts of wave motions can change the general circulation of the atmosphere and thus have an effect on the global ozone transfer.

- ⁵ One of the important factors of dynamical interactions between the lower and upper atmosphere is energy and momentum transfer by internal atmospheric waves. It is also a substantial contributor to space weather developments (Kelley, 1997). For numerical modeling of the general circulation and thermal regime of the middle and upper atmosphere, it is important to take into account accelerations of the mean flow
- and heating rates produced by dissipating internal waves. One of the major sources of atmospheric waves is the Earth's topography (Gossard and Hooke, 1975). Propagation of the orographic gravity waves (OGWs), generated at the Erath's surface, into the middle and upper atmosphere significantly affects the atmospheric general circulation as well as ozone transport in the middle atmosphere. Simplified algorithms to parameter-
- ¹⁵ ize thermal and dynamical effects of orographic waves have been developed (e.g., Kim and Arakawa, 1995; Lott and Miller, 1997; Scinocca and McFarlane, 2000; Vosper and Brown, 2007; Catry et al., 2008; Geller et al., 2011). Gavrilov and Koval (2013) developed a parameterization of dynamical and thermal effects of stationary OGWs generated by the surface topography and propagating into the middle and upper atmosphere.
- They implemented the parameterization into a general circulation atmospheric model (Gavrilov et al., 2013a) and showed that OGWs may produce substantial changes of the general atmospheric circulation in the middle and upper atmosphere.

Gavrilov et al. (2014) performed numerical experiments with a general circulation model of the troposphere and stratosphere and examined the influence of OGWs prop-

agating upward from the Earth's surface on the zonal-mean meridional and vertical velocity. It was shown that dynamical and thermal OGW impacts in the numerical model could change the meridional circulation and ozone fluxes associated with it by up to 20– 30 % at heights of the ozone layer maximum. Gavrilov et al. (2014) used for comparison



just one-month average meteorology information for January 2004 and two-dimension zonal-mean ozone distribution.

In the present study, we continue these sensitivity experiments using ten-year average meteorological information and three-dimensional ozone distribution, which is a combination of three semiempirical models of ozone mixing ratio (Suvorova and Pogoreltsev, 2011). We focus our experiments on the sensitivity of meridional circulation, vertical velocity, meridional and vertical ozone fluxes in the middle atmosphere to OGW dynamical and thermal effects and changes in QBO phases.

Quasi-biennial changes of the zonal wind direction near equator in the middle at-¹⁰ mosphere, the quasi-biennial oscillations (QBO), can affect the general circulation of the atmosphere at middle and high latitudes (e.g. Baldwin et al., 2001). Therefore, we performed numerical experiments for the background and initial conditions typical for the westerly and easterly QBO phases. The sensitivity experiments are essential for better understanding of the roles of different factors in formation of global dynamical ¹⁵ processes, in transport and mixing of atmospheric gas components and in dynamical coupling of different layers of the lower, middle and upper atmosphere.

2 Numerical model and parameterizations

For experiments studying dependencies of the atmospheric dynamics and ozone fluxes on OGW and QBO phase parameterizations, we use the global circulation of the *middle and upper atmosphere model* (MUAM) (Pogoreltsev, 2007; Pogoreltsev et al., 2007).

2.1 MUAM general circulation model

20

25

The MUAM is a modification of the COMMA_LIM (Cologne Model of the Middle Atmosphere – Leipzig Institute for Meteorology, Froehlich et al., 2003). Both modifications came from the original COMMA model developed in Cologne University, Germany (Ebel et al., 1995). Gavrilov et al. (2005) described briefly the main equations



and physical processes of the model. The horizontal grid spacing is 5° and 5.625° in latitude and longitude, respectively. The model has 48 vertical levels the log-isobaric coordinate covering altitude range from 0 to 135 km.

- The MUAM includes a three-dimensional distribution of the ozone mixing ratio, which takes into account the climatic (averaged for years 1996–2005) longitudinal ozone inhomogeneities (Suvorova and Pogoreltsev, 2011). The model combines data from three data sets of the ozone mixing ratio, namely the ECMWF (European Centre for Medium_Range Weather Forecasts, project ERA_40) at pressure levels from 1000 to 30 hPa; the data of GOME (Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment) between 10 and
- 10 0.3 hPa, and the Berlin Ozone Model from 0.3 to 0.003 hPa. Between 30 and 10 hPa, the ozone mixing ratios are interpolated using the ECMWF and GOME sets. At the level of 0.3 hPa, the ozone mixing ratio is calculated as the mean between the GOME and Berlin Ozone Model values. Figure 1 illustrates distributions of ozone number concentration used in the present simulations. Suvorova and Pogoreltsev (2011) verified the present distributions of between its with the present simulations.
- the ozone distribution used in the MUAM numerical model by comparing it with the empirical model by Randel and Wu (2005).

Previous numerical experiments showed that MUAM can fairly well reproduce climatological mean distributions, but sometimes underestimates seasonal variability. To improve that, a parameterization of the normal atmospheric modes is included into MUAM (Pogoreltsev et al., 2014).

20 MUAM (Pogoreitsev

25

2.2 QBO wind and temperature parameterization

Essential characteristics of the middle atmosphere flows are the quasi-biennial oscillations of the zonal wind at equatorial latitudes (Baldwin et al., 2001). The MUAM does not give accurate modeling of tropospheric dynamics. To parameterize QBOs of zonal wind in the low-latitude troposphere–stratosphere, Pogoreltsev et al. (2014)

added terms *a* and *e* into the MUAM equations for zonal wind, *u*, and temperature, *T*, which are proportional to deviations of simulated zonal mean values u_0 and T_0 from



respective climatological zonal-mean averages u_c and T_c :

$$a = -\Phi(\phi, z)(u_0 - u_c)/\tau, \quad e = -\Phi(\phi, z)(T_0 - T_c)/\tau$$

where φ and *z* are latitude and altitude, respectively; $\Phi(\varphi, z)$ is a function, which is equal to 1 at the equator near the ground and smoothly tends to 0 near the latitudes 17.5° S and 17.5° N and altitude 50 km; τ is the relaxation time. Climatological averages $u_c(\varphi, z)$ and $T_c(\varphi, z)$ in Eq. (1) were obtained from the UK Met Office data separately for years with the easterly and westerly QBO phases (see below). In the present study, we used the generalized bell-shaped functions (MathWorks, 2015) for $\Phi(\varphi, z)$, and the relaxation time τ was tuned equal to 5 days.

- To determine the easterly and westerly QBO phases, Holton and Tan (1980), Yamashita et al. (2011), and Inoue et al. (2011) used directions of, respectively, westward and eastward winds detected in the low-latitude stratosphere. Huesmann and Hitchman (2001) studied QBOs from the NCEP reanalysis data and found that average zonal winds and their annual cycles can give asymmetries in the descent rates of westerly
- and easterly QBO wind zones. To reduce such asymmetries, Huesmann and Hitchman (2001) used the equatorial zonal wind shear anomaly index representing zonal wind differences at two stratospheric heights. To diminish asymmetries in the westerly and easterly wind zones, Pogoreltsev et al. (2014) used the UK Met Office data for January during years 1992–2011 to analyze signs of deviations of annual-mean and climatological (averaged for 20 years) zonal velocities over the equator.

The positive and negative deviations correspond to the westerly and easterly QBO phases, respectively. Pogoreltsev et al. (2014) found maximum zonal velocity deviations at altitudes 30–35 km, selected years with different QBO phases and calculated average zonal-mean distributions of the zonal wind and temperature for the years with

easterly and westerly QBO phases. In this study, we used these distributions as the climatological average winds and temperatures u_c and T_c in Eq. (1) at altitudes 0–50 km and latitudes from 17.5° S and 17.5° N. Examples of the average distributions of the



(1)

zonal wind and their deviations from the climatological means one can find in Figs. 1 and 2 of the paper by Pogoreltsev et al. (2014).

Many studies defined QBO phases using wind measurements at lower than 30–35 km altitudes (e.g., Holton and Tan, 1980; Giorgetta et al., 1999; Inoue et al., 2011;

Yamashita et al., 2011; Watson and Gray, 2015; Krismer and Giorgetta, 2014). Westerly and easterly QBO wind zones have wavelike structures vs. altitude with vertical wavelengths about 20 km (e.g., Baldwin et al., 2001; Huesmann and Hitchman, 2001). Therefore, timing of the westerly and easterly winds very much depends on altitudes of their determination. Such vertical phase shifts one should keep in mind, when compare
 QBO phases determined at different altitudes and pressure levels.

2.3 Parameterization of OGW effects

For studying the OGW influence on atmospheric dynamics, we implemented the parameterization of dynamical and thermal effects of stationary OGWs (Gavrilov and Koval, 2013) into the MUAM. To calculate vertical profiles of the total vertical wave energy

- ¹⁵ flux and the associated accelerations of the mean horizontal winds by stationary OGWs with ground-based observed frequencies $\sigma = 0$, the parameterization uses wave polarization relations that take into account rotation of the atmosphere. Propagation of OGWs in dissipative vertically inhomogeneous atmosphere leads to energy exchange between the background flow and waves, and to heating of the atmosphere due to
- wave energy dissipation. To describe correctly the energy balance of the considered dynamical processes, we use analytical relations between the rate of wave energy dissipation and wave acceleration for nonzero vertical gradients of the mean wind (see Gavrilov and Koval, 2013).

In many cases, mesoscale disturbances of atmospheric parameters produced by air overflowing mountains can be qualified as internal gravity waves having observed frequencies $\sigma = 0$ relative to the ground (e.g. Gossard and Hooke, 1975). When these waves propagate in dissipative atmosphere, they produce an exchange of energy between waves and the mean flow and decay of the wave energy leading to heating of



the atmosphere. Gavrilov (1990) obtained the wave energy equation for the horizontally homogeneous and stationary atmosphere, which has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_{\mathsf{E}}}{\partial z} &= -\overline{\rho}D - \overline{\rho v}_{\alpha}a_{\mathsf{w}\alpha}, \quad a_{\mathsf{w}\alpha} = -\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}\frac{\partial\left(\overline{\rho}\overline{v_{\alpha}'w'}\right)}{\partial z}, \\ F_{\mathsf{E}} &= \overline{\rho'w'} + \overline{\rho v_{\alpha}}\overline{v_{\alpha}'w'} - \overline{(\sigma_{z\beta}' + \tau_{z\beta}')v_{\beta}'} \end{aligned}$$

⁵ where p and ρ are atmospheric pressure and density, respectively; v_{α} and w are velocity components along horizontal axes x_{α} and vertical axis z, respectively; repeating Greek indices denote summation; $F_{\rm E}$ is the total wave energy flux summarizing wave energy propagation and its transfer by background flow and turbulent and molecular diffusion; D is the wave energy dissipation rate; $a_{w\alpha}$ are components of of the mean flow acceleration by waves; $\tau_{\sigma\beta}$ and $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ are tensors of turbulent and molecular viscous stresses; primes indicate wave quantities and overbars mean averaging respective values across the wave period.

The right side of the first equation in Eq. (2) contains the wave energy dissipation rate and the work of forces caused by nonlinear wave-mean flow interactions. Assuming nonzero vertical gradient of the mean wind, Gavrilov (1990, 1997) found approxi-15 mate analytical relations between the wave energy dissipation rate and the wave acceleration and obtained expressions for the total heating rate ε_{w} and for $F_{\rm F}$ produced by mesoscale atmospheric waves. Unfortunately, these expressions give $F_{\rm F} \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon_{\rm w} \rightarrow 0$ for stationary waves with $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. To get more correct formulae for $\varepsilon_{\rm w}$ and $F_{\rm F}$, Gavrilov and Koval (2013) took into consideration rotation of the atmosphere. Tradi-20 tional theory of gravity waves in flat rotating atmosphere (e.g., Gossard and Hooke, 1975) provides polarization relations. They are simple for $\sigma = 0$ and large horizontal $k^2 \gg (f/c)^2$ and vertical $|m| \gg 1/(2H)$ wave numbers (where c is the sound speed, H is the density scale height, f is the parameter of Coriolis). For such waves, Gavrilov and Koval (2013) obtained the following expressions for the total flux of wave energy, the 25 total heating rate ε_w and for wave acceleration along axis x_k parallel to the horizontal



(2)

wave number produced by mesoscale stationary waves:

$$\begin{split} F_{\rm E} &= -\frac{\overline{\rho}f^2U^2}{2mk\overline{v}_k}; \quad m^2 = \frac{N^2}{\overline{v}_k^2} \left(1 - \frac{f^2}{k^2\overline{v}_k^2}\right)^{-1} \\ a_{\rm wk} &= -\frac{m^2U^2}{2\overline{v}_k} (v + K_z) \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\gamma - 1)Pr}\right); \\ \varepsilon_{\rm w} &= (v + K_z)\delta m^2 U^2, \end{split}$$

15

⁵ where K_z and v are kinematic turbulent and molecular viscosities; Pr is effective Prandl number; N is Brunt–Väisälä frequency; δ is a factor, which depends on vertical gradient of horizontal mean velocity (see Gavrilov and Koval, 2013). At $\delta = 1$, the formula for ε_w in Eq. (2) corresponds to the expression for the wave energy dissipation rate caused by turbulent and molecular viscosity. Using Eq. (2) and the first formula in Eq. (3), one can obtain the approximate equation describing altitude variations of U^2 (see Eq. (6) in the paper by Gavrilov and Koval, 2013). Solving this equation within a step of vertical grid, Gavrilov and Koval (2013) obtained the recurrent formula calculating U_{i+1}^2 at the i + 1 vertical node using the value U_i^2 at the *n*th grid node, which has the following form:

$$U_{i+1}^{2} = \frac{r_{i}}{r_{i+1}} U_{i}^{2} \exp\left[-\frac{s_{i}}{r_{i}}\Delta z\right];$$

$$r_{i} = \frac{\overline{\rho}_{i}f^{2}}{2|k|N_{i}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{f^{2}}{k^{2}\overline{v}_{k}^{2}}}, \quad s_{i} = \overline{\rho}_{i}(v_{i} + K_{zi})m_{i}^{2}\delta_{i},$$

where indices *i* denote the values at the vertical grid node with height $z = z_i$. Specifying U_0^2 at the bottom boundary, we can use Eq. (4) to calculate U_i^2 at all heights for specified vertical profiles of the mean wind and temperature. Then Eq. (2) let us getting total heating rates and wave accelerations generated by OGW, which can introduce heating and dynamical effects of mesoscale stationary orographic waves in atmospheric

²⁰ ing and dynamical effects of mesoscale stationary orographic waves in atmospheric dynamic models.



(3)

(4)

To parameterize mesoscale topography, we used a modification of the method developed by Scinocca and McFarlane (2000). This method of the "subgrid orography" takes into account height variations of the Earth's surface with horizontal scales smaller than the horizontal grid spacing of the MUAM. We separated the so-called subgrid to-

- ⁵ pography applying low- and high-frequency numerical filters to the realistic horizontal distributions of the surface (Gavrilov and Koval, 2013). Near each model grid point, we applied an elliptical approximation of the filtered subgrid-scale topography (Lott and Miller, 1997). At the low boundary, we determine OGW amplitudes and effective horizontal wave numbers using the analysis of forces acting on the atmospheric flow mov-
- ¹⁰ ing over the effective elliptical mountain barrier developed by Phillips (1984). These quantities are required for computing the vertical profiles of wave accelerations and heat fluxes, as was described by Gavrilov and Koval (2013). For practical implementation of our OGW parameterization in this study, we used the ETOPO2 (2015) database of the Earth's surface elevations with resolution of two angle minutes along latitude and longitude.

3 Description of numerical experiments

20

25

To study influence of described above parameterizations in the MUAM general circulation model we performed standard sensitivity experiments including and excluding the parameterizations and comparing results of simulations. In the present study, we concentrate on the sensitivity of meridional circulation and vertical ozone fluxes to the inclusion of OGW effects and QBO phase parameterizations into the MUAM.

The MUAM vertical grid has equidistant spacing vs. the log-pressure coordinate $z = H \cdot \ln(p_0 p^{-1})$, where H = 7 km and p_0 is pressure at the Earth's surface. This coordinate is very close to the geopotential height up to 100–150 km. In this simulations, we utilized the version of MUAM with 48 altitude points (with spacing of 2.8 km), and used the 450 s spacing of time integration. For the analysis, we made 4 h outputs of model data.



Simulations started from an initial state without wind and with temperature heightlatitude structures given by the atmospheric model MSISE (Hedin, 1991) for January. We executed several "adjustment" stages during the first model days. During the first 30 days of simulations, we fixed geopotential heights at the bottom boundary, and do ⁵ not incorporate the parameterization of OGW effects. After the 30st model day, the empirical changes of the surface geopotential heights and OGW effects are included. The model used the daily mean heating rates in the first 140 model days, and their daily variations are gradually involved after that. A prognostic equation for the geopotential at the Earth's surface was added after the 140st day of simulations. The simulations ¹⁰ after the 330 day involved seasonal variations of solar heating. We adjusted starting dates of the simulations so that the 330–390 model days match to January–February.

4 Results of sensitivity experiments

We used the described above MUAM model with included parameterizations of OGW dynamical and thermal effects (Sect. 2.1) and QBO phases (Sect. 2.1) in numerical
experiments simulating the changes in the meridional and vertical velocities in the middle atmosphere. In addition, we studied changes in global-scale ozone fluxes in the middle atmosphere caused by orographic waves generated by the Earth's surface topography and by the changes in QBO phase. In the experiments, the meridional and vertical components of wind velocity were simulated for every set of initial data with and without inclusion of the OGW parameterization for the conditions corresponding to

and without inclusion of the OGW parameterization for the conditions corresponding to the easterly and westerly QBO phases. The differences in values between these calculations demonstrate respective velocity differences. Their positive or negative values indicate an increase or decrease in the corresponding velocity component due to OGW effects or changes in QBO phases.



4.1 Meridional circulation

Figure 2a presents an example of simulated height-latitude distribution of the zonal-mean meridional velocity, averaged over January for the easterly QBO phase without OGW parameterization. Lines with arrows correspond to schematic zonal averaged streamlines calculated using the values of vertical velocity *w* multiplied by a factor of 10³ for the sake of illustration. A global meridional cell ascending in high and middle latitudes of the summer (southern) hemisphere and descending in winter (northern) hemisphere exists in Fig. 2a above 50–60 km. Below these heights, in Fig. 1a one can see additional ascend at latitudes 50–70° N and descend at 50–70° forming local circulation sub-cells in both hemispheres.

Figure 2b shows simulated meridional velocity differences (MVD) caused by the inclusion of OGW parameterization into MUAM for the eastern QBO phase. One can see regions of increases or decreases (positive or negative MVD) in the meridional velocity after inclusion of the OGW effects. Hypotheses about nonzero differences in the merid-

- ¹⁵ ional winds in Fig. 1b can be verified with the statistical Student's *t* test (e.g., Rice, 2006). Monthly mean meridional velocities at each latitude and altitude in the MUAM model are averaged over $187 \times 64 = 11968$ grid values in time (4 h outputs) and longitude. From the model runs for the easterly QBO phase with and without OGW effects and for both QBO phases without OGWs, we can get, respectively, 11968 pairs of
- ²⁰ meridional velocities for comparison in each case. Paired *t* tests (Rice, 2006) showed higher than 95 % confidences of nonzero monthly mean meridional wind differences in all latitude-altitude grid points used for plotting Fig. 2b, where their absolute values are larger than 0.1 m s⁻¹. In many cases in Fig. 2b, MVD signs are opposite to those of the meridional velocity in Fig. 2a. Therefore, OGW dynamical and heating effects may lead
- to a weakening of simulated zonal-mean meridional circulation fluxes. Maximum MVDs in Fig. 2b can reach \pm (30–40) % of the zonal-mean meridional velocities at respective heights and latitudes in Fig. 2a.



Simulated MVDs caused by the change from the easterly to westerly QBO phase without inclusion of the OGW effects are shown in Fig. 2c. Application of paired t tests for verification of nonzero MVDs in Fig. 2c gave the same results as those described above for Fig. 2b. There is the main maximum at high latitudes of the Northern Hemi-

sphere at altitudes 40–60 km in Fig. 2c. This corresponds to significant weakening of the simulated southward meridional flux (up to 60%) there. The MVD minimum at altitudes 80–100 km at high latitudes of Southern Hemisphere corresponds to a decrease in the zonal-mean northward meridional velocity up to about 10%.

Figure 3a shows the North Pole stereographic projection of the simulated meridional wind (shaded areas) at altitude 25 km averaged over January without OGW effects and respective streamlines. Figures 3b and c give differences in meridional winds caused by inclusions of the OGW parameterization (sect. 2.3) and change from the easterly to the westerly QBO phase. Figure 4 is similar to Fig. 3 and reveals simulated January mean vertical velocities and their differences produced by OGW effects and changes in QBO phases. Peak values of the differences in Figs. 3b and c, 4b and c can reach

¹⁵ in QBO phases. Peak values of the differences in Figs. 3b and c, 4b and c can reach up to \pm (50–100) % of the respective peak values shown in Figs. 3a and 4a. Therefore, including OGW dynamical and thermal effects and changes in QBO phases in our experiments may produce substantial changes in vertical and meridional velocities in the middle atmosphere simulated with the MUAM model at middle and high latitudes.

20 4.2 Vertical ozone fluxes

The mean vertical ozone flux F_{ozi} at the grid node with the number *i* is calculated with the expression

$$F_{\rm ozi} = N_{\rm ozi} w_i; \quad N_{\rm ozi} = 10^{-6} \rho_i X_{\rm ozi} N_{\rm A} / \rho_0,$$
 (5)

where w_i is the monthly mean vertical velocity, N_{ozi} is the ozone number concentration, ρ_0 is the ground density of the atmosphere at normal conditions, X_{ozi} is the zonal-mean ozone mixing ratio in ppm, and N_A is the Avogadro number.

Figure 5a presents the simulated altitude-latitude structure of the zonal-mean vertical ozone flux calculated with Eq. (5) for January, eastern QBO phase and without inclusion of the OGW parameterization. Above 50–60 km, one can see global cell of ozone flux with ascend of ozone in the Southern Hemisphere and ozone descend in the Northern

- ⁵ Hemisphere. This correlates with distributions of vertical and meridional velocities in Fig. 2a, and with existing knowledge about ozone transfer by the general circulation of the atmosphere (Holton et al., 1995; Holton, 2002). Below 50–60 km, additional ascend exists in Fig. 5a at high latitudes of winter (northern) hemisphere caused by the Polar Vortex and ascend in the middle and high latitudes of summer (southern) hemisphere.
- ¹⁰ Therefore, in Fig. 5a one can observe areas of positive and negative ozone fluxes at middle and high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere. This leads to the appearance of additional cells of ozone transport in the middle and high latitudes analogous to cells of the meridional circulation in Fig. 2a.

The zonal-mean vertical ozone flux differences (OFDs) due to the inclusion of the OGW parameterization into the MUAM model for January are plotted in Fig. 5b. One can see regions of positive and negative OFD values, which correspond to increases or decreases in vertical ozone fluxes. Figure 5c presents OFDs due to change from the easterly to the westerly QBO phase and shows substantial differences in vertical ozone fluxes at altitudes 10–40 km in the Northern Hemisphere (similar to Fig. 5b) and their

- ²⁰ substantial changes near equator. In summer (southern) hemisphere OFD are smaller in Fig. 5b. This can be explained by better conditions of OGW propagation and by the stronger influence of orographic waves on the general circulation of the atmosphere in winter hemispheres as compared to summer ones (Gavrilov and Koval, 2013; Gavrilov et al., 2013a).
- Differences in local vertical ozone fluxes in Fig. 5b and c may change global ozone transfer. Figure 6 gives the January mean vertical ozone fluxes averaged for different latitude belts 0–30° N, 30–60° N and 60–90° N for the easterly QBO without QGW effects (solid lines). One can see that at altitudes of the maximum of ozone number con-



```
centration 20–30 km the main ascending F_{oz} > 0 exists at high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere, which are approximately equal to descending F_{oz} < 0 at middle latitudes.
```

Dashed lines in Fig. 6a show respective vertical ozone fluxes for the easterly QBO simulated with the OGW parameterization included into the MUAM. They show that dy-

- ⁵ namical and thermal OGW effects substantially (up to 20–30 %) increase absolute values of ascending and descending F_{oz} at middle and high northern latitudes. In Fig. 6b, dashed lines show F_{oz} simulated for the westerly QBO phase without OGW effects. Absolute values of these ozone fluxes are by 10–20 % larger above altitudes 20–25 km than those for the easterly QBO phase.
- Figure 7a shows the horizontal distribution of the vertical ozone flux at altitude 25 km calculated with the MUAM for the easterly QBO phase without OGW effects and averaged over January. It corresponds to the vertical wind distribution shown in Fig. 4a. The main downward ozone fluxes occur over Siberia, while upward ozone fluxes exist over North America and Greenland.
- Figure 7b and c reveal ozone flux differences caused by OGW effects for the easterly QBO phase and by changes in QBO phase without OGWs. There are areas of enhancement or weakening of the ozone flux corresponding to the regions of positive and negative VVDs in Fig. 4b and c. Peak differences of the ozone flux in Fig. 7b and c can reach 40–60% of the flux peak values shown in Fig. 7a. Paired *t* tests (see above) confirmed higher than 95% confidences of nonzero monthly mean ozone flux
- differences in about 1100 of 1728 (\sim 64 %) latitude-altitude grid points used for plotting Fig. 7b and c. Smaller than 95% confidences were obtained only in regions with smallest absolute monthly mean ozone flux differences in Fig. 7b and c.

Results of numerical experiments in Figs. 5–7 expose that global-scale vertical ozone fluxes in the MUAM model are very sensitive to OGW dynamical and thermal effects, as well as to changes in QBO phase. These processes require proper parameterizations and inclusion into the numerical models of atmospheric general circulation for accurate simulations the exchange of optically active gas species between the troposphere and stratosphere. Our experiments also show that the improved param-



eterizations of OGW and QBO effects advance other MUAM features, getting better the simulation of coupling general circulation, planetary and tidal waves in the lower, middle and upper atmosphere (see Gavrilov et al., 2013a, b).

5 Conclusions

- In the present paper, we described numerical experiments with the MUAM model simulating the general circulation in the middle atmosphere using ten-year average meteorological information and three-dimensional ozone distribution. We focus our experiments on the sensitivity of meridional circulation, vertical velocity and vertical ozone fluxes in the middle atmosphere to inclusions of recently developed parameterizations
- ¹⁰ of OGW dynamical and thermal effects and changes in QBO phases to understand the role of vital processes associated with dynamical coupling between different atmospheric layers in general circulation models. We performed numerical experiments for the background and initial conditions typical for the westerly and easterly QBO phases in the equatorial atmosphere.
- Our experiments show that OGW dynamical and heating effects may lead to changes in zonal-mean meridional circulation fluxes. Maximum differences of meridional velocity can reach ±(30–40)% of the zonal-mean meridional velocities at respective heights and latitudes. Changes from easterly to westerly QBO phase produce significant changes (up to 60%) in meridional velocities at altitudes 40–60 km. Respective peak changes in vertical velocities can be up to 100% of the maximum and minimum mean vertical velocities.

Simulated absolute peak differences in the zonal-mean vertical ozone flux values caused by OGW effects and changes in QBO phases in the Northern Hemisphere can reach 20–50 % of extreme ozone fluxes at altitudes 10–40 km. Geographical areas

of enhancing or weakening the ozone fluxes correspond to the regions of positive or negative differences of vertical velocity. Peak differences of the ozone flux can reach 40–60 % of the flux peak values.



The sensitivity experiments are essential for better understanding of the roles of different factors of general circulation models in formation of global dynamical processes and in transport and mixing of atmospheric gas components. The study shows that global-scale vertical ozone fluxes in the MUAM model are very sensitive to the param-

5 eterizations of OGW dynamical and thermal effects and changes in QBO phases. We studied only pure dynamical changes in the ozone fluxes, because MUAM does not simulate changes in atmospheric composition.

The parameterizations of OGW and QBO effects improve other MUAM features, allowing better simulations of coupled general circulation and wave processes in the lower, middle and upper atmosphere.

Code availability

10

20

25

The MUAM FORTRAN code is based on the COMMA-LIM and MUAM models described at http://home.uni-leipzig.de/jacobi/comma/fo comma.htm. The MUAM code is registered at the Russian Federal Service for Intellectual Property (Sertificate 2014613929). The code can be distributed and used with the permission from the 15 Russian State Hydro-Meteorological University. Access to the fully functional demoversion of the MUAM computer code, which calculates the experiments described in the present paper, can be granted on demand by request to Andrey Koval (koval spbu@mail.ru), or Alexander Pogoreltsev (apogor@rshu.ru). Any guestions should be directed to the authors.

Acknowledgements. This work was partly supported by the Russian Basic Research Foundation and by the Russian Scientific Foundation (grant 14-17-00685).

References

Baldwin, M. P., Gray, L. J., Dunkerton, T. J., Hamilton, K., Haynes, P. H., Randel, W. J., Holton, J. R., Alexander, M. J., Hirota, I., Horinouchi, T., Jones, D. B. A., Kinnersley, J. S.,



Marquardt, C., Sato, K., and Takahashi, M.: The quasi-biennial oscillation, Rev. Geophys., 39, 179–229, 2001.

- Catry, B., Geleyn, J. F., Bouyssel, F., Cedilnik, J., Broo, R., Derková, M., and Mladek, R.: A new sub-grid scale lift formulation in a mountain drag parameterisation scheme, Meteorol. Z., 17, 193–208, 2008.
- Danielsen, E. F. and Mohnen, J.: Project dustorm report: ozone transport, in situ measurements and meteorological analyses of tropopause folding, J. Geophys. Res., 82, 5867–5877, 1977.
 Ebel, A., Berger, U., and Krueger, B. C.: Numerical simulations with COMMA, a global model
 - of the middle atmosphere, SIMPO Newsletter, 12, 22–32, 1995.

5

25

¹⁰ ETOPO2: Global Gridded, 2 min Database, National Geophysical Data Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, US Dept. of Commerce, available at: http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/etopo2.html(last access on: 01 February 2015), 2015.

Fishman, J. and Crutzen, P. J.: The origin of ozone in the troposphere, Nature, 274, 855–857, 1978.

- ¹⁵ Froehlich, K., Pogoreltsev, A., and Jacobi, C.: Numerical simulation of tides, Rossby and Kelvin waves with the COMMA-LIM model, Adv. Space Res., 32, 863–868, 2003.
 - Gavrilov, N. M.: Parameterization of accelerations and heat flux divergences produced by internal gravity waves in the middle atmosphere, J. Atmos. Terr. Phys., 52, 707–713, doi:10.1016/0021-9169(90)90003-6, 1990.
- Gavrilov, N. M.: Parametrization of momentum and energy depositions from gravity waves generated by tropospheric hydrodynamic sources, Ann. Geophys., 15, 1570–1580, doi:10.1007/s00585-997-1570-4, 1997.
 - Gavrilov, N. M. and Koval, A. V.: Parameterization of mesoscale stationary orographic wave impact for usage in numerical models of atmospheric dynamics, Izv. Atmos. Ocean. Phys.+, 49, 244–251, doi:10.1134/S0001433813030067, 2013.
 - Gavrilov, N. M., Pogoreltsev, A. I., and Jacobi, C.: Numerical modeling of the effect of latitudeinhomogeneous gravity waves on the circulation of the middle atmosphere, Izv. Atmos. Ocean. Phys.+, 41, 9–18, 2005.

Gavrilov, N. M., Koval, A. V., Pogoreltsev, A. I., and Savenkova, E. N.: Numerical simulation of the response of general circulation of the middle atmosphere to spatial inhomogeneities of orographic waves, Izv. Atmos. Ocean. Phys.+, 49, 367–374, doi:10.1134/S0001433813040038, 2013a.



5662

Gavrilov, N. M., Koval, A. V., Pogoreltsev, A. I., and Savenkova, E. N.: Numerical modeling influence of inhomogeneous orographic waves on planetary waves in the middle atmosphere, Adv. Space Res., 51, 2145–2154, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2012.12.024, 2013b.

Gavrilov, N. M., Koval, A. V., Pogoreltsev, A. I., and Savenkova, E. N.: Numerical simula-

- tion of the influence of stationary mesoscale orographic waves on the meridional circulation and ozone fluxes in the middle atmosphere, Geomagn. Aeronomy.+, 54, 381–387, doi:10.1134/S0016793214030050, 2014.
 - Geller, M. A., Zhou, T., and Ruedy, R.: New gravity wave treatments for GISS climate models, J. Climate, 24, 3989–4002, doi:10.1175/2011JCLI4013.1, 2011.
- ¹⁰ Gossard, E. E. and Hooke, W. H.: Waves in the Atmosphere, Elsevier Sci. Publ. Co., Amsterdam, Oxford, New York, 1975.
 - Giorgetta, M. A., Bengtsson, L., and Arpe, K.: An investigation of QBO signals in the east Asian and Indian monsoon in GCM experiments, Clim. Dynam., 15, 435–450, 1999.
 - Hedin, A. E.: Extension of the MSIS thermospheric model into the middle and lower atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 1159–1172, doi:10.1029/90JA02125, 1991.

15

20

25

30

- Holton, J. and Tan, H.: The influence of the equatorial quasibiennial oscillation on the global circulation at 50 mb, J. Atmos. Sci., 37, 2200–2208, 1980.
 - Holton, J. R.: Stratosphere–Troposphere Exchange: Global Aspects, Encycl. Atmos. Sci., edited by: Holton, J. R., Curry, J. A. and Pyle, J. A., Academic Press, Amsterdam, New York, Tokyo, 5, 2137–2143, 2002.
- Holton, J. R., Haynes, P. H., McIntire, M. E., Douglas, A. R., Rood, R. B., and Pfister, L.: Stratosphere–troposphere exchange, Rev. Geophys., 33, 403–439, 1995.
- Huesmann, A. S. and Hitchman, M. H.: The stratospheric quasi-biennial oscillation in the NCEP reanalyses: climatological structures, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 11859–11874, doi:10.1029/2001JD900031, 2001.
- Inoue, M., Takahashi, M., and Naoe, H.: Relationship between the stratospheric quasi-biennial oscillation and tropospheric circulation in northern autumn, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D24115, doi:10.1029/2011JD016040, 2011.

Kelley, M. C.: Aspects of Weather and Space Weather in the Earth's Upper Atmosphere: the

- Role of Internal Atmospheric Waves, Int. Sci. Lect. Ser., Nat. Acad. Press, Washington, D. C., 6, 1–31, 1997.
- Kim, Y. J. and Arakawa, A.: Improvement of orographic gravity wave parameterization using a mesoscale gravity wave model, J. Atmos. Sci., 52, 1875–1902, 1995.



5663

- Krismer, T. R. and Giorgetta, M. A.: Wave forcing of the quasi-biennial oscillation in the Max Planck Institute Earth system model, J. Atmos. Sci., 71, 1985–2006, 2014.
- Lott, F. and Miller, M. J.: A new subgrid-scale orographic drag parameterization: its formulation and testing, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 123, 101–127, 1997.
- 5 MathWorks: Generalized bell-shaped membership function, available at: http://www. mathworks.com/help/fuzzy/gbellmf.html (last access: 10 February 2015), 2015.

Phillips, D. S.: Analytical surface pressure and drag for linear hydrostatic flow over threedimensional elliptical mountains, J. Atmos. Sci., 41, 1073–1084, 1984.

Pogoreltsev, A. I.: Generation of normal atmospheric modes by stratospheric vacillations, Izv. Atmos. Ocean. Phys.+, 43, 423–435, 2007.

10

15

25

Pogoreltsev, A. I., Vlasov, A. A., Froehlich, K., and Jacobi, C.: Planetary waves in coupling the lower and upper atmosphere, J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phy., 69, 2083–2101, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2007.05.014, 2007.

Pogoreltsev, A. I., Savenkova, E. N., and Pertsev, N. N.: Sudden stratospheric warmings: the role of normal atmospheric modes. Geomagn. Aeronomy+, 54, 357–372, 2014.

Randel, W. J. and Wu, F.: A stratospheric ozone profile data set for 1979–2005: variability, trends, and comparisons with column ozone data, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D06313, doi:10.1029/2006JD007339, 2007.

Rice, J. A.: Mathematical statistics and data analysis, 3rd Edn., Duxbury Press, 2006.

Scinocca, J. F. and McFarlane, N. A.: The parameterization of drag induced by stratified flow over anisotropic orography, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 126, 2353–2393, 2000.

Suvorova, E. V. and Pogoreltsev, A. I.: Modeling of nonmigrating tides in the middle atmosphere, Geomag. Aeronomy+, 51, 105–115, 2011.

Vosper, S. B. and Brown, A. R.: The effect of small-scale hills on orographic drag, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 133, 1345–1352, 2007.

Watson, P. and Gray, L.: The stratospheric wintertime response to applied extratropical torques and its relationship with the annular mode. Clim. Dyn., 44, 2513–2537, doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2359-2, 2015.

Yamashita, Y., Akiyoshi, H., and Takahashi, M.: Dynamical response in the Northern Hemi-

³⁰ sphere midlatitude and high-latitude winter to the QBO simulated by CCSR/NIES CCM, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D06118, doi:10.1029/2010JD015016, 2011.





Figure 1. Altitude-latitude (a) and latitude-longitude at height 25 km (b) distributions of ozone number concentration for January (in 10^{15} m^{-3}) used in the MUAM model.





Figure 2. Simulated zonal-mean January meridional velocity (in ms^{-1}) and schematic streamlines for easterly QBO phase without OGW effects (a) and meridional velocity differences (in ms^{-1}) due to inclusion of OGW effects (b) and change from easterly to westerly QBO phase (c).





Figure 3. The North Pole stereographic projection of the simulated January mean meridional velocity (in $m s^{-1}$) at altitude 25 km for easterly QBO phase without OGW effects (a) and meridional velocity differences (in $m s^{-1}$) due to inclusion of OGW effects (b) and change from easterly to westerly QBO phase (c). Contours with arrows show respective streamlines.





Figure 4. Same as Fig. 3, but for the vertical velocity (in $cm s^{-1}$).





Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Figure 6. January mean vertical ozone fluxes averaged over latitude belts $0-30^{\circ} N - 1$, $30-60^{\circ} N - 2$, $60-90^{\circ} N - 3$ for the easterly QBO phase without OGW effects (solid lines), for easterly QBO including OGW effects (**a**, dashed lines) and for the westerly QBO phase without OGW effects (**b**, dashed lines).





Figure 7. Simulated mean vertical ozone fluxes (in $10^{13} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) in January for easterly QBO phase without OGW effects (a) and the ozone flux differences (in $10^{13} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) due to inclusion of OGW effects (b) and change from easterly to westerly QBO phase (c).

