Manuscript prepared for Geosci. Model Dev. with version 2015/04/24 7.83 Copernicus papers of the LATEX class copernicus.cls. Date: 25 January 2016

Discrete-Element bonded-particle Sea Ice model DESIgn, version 1.3. Model description and implementation

A. Herman

Institute of Oceanography, University of Gdansk, Pilsudskiego 46, 81-378 Gdynia, Poland

Correspondence to: A. Herman (agnieszka.herman@ug.edu.pl)

Abstract. This paper presents theoretical foundations, numerical implementation and examples of application of a ³⁵ two-dimensional Discrete-Element bonded-particle Sea Ice model DESIgn. In the model, sea ice is represented as an as-

- ⁵ semblage of objects of two types: disk-shaped 'grains', and semi-elastic bonds connecting them. Grains move on the sea surface under the influence of forces from the atmosphere ⁴⁰ and the ocean, as well as interactions with surrounding grains through a direct contact (Hertzian contact mechanics) and/or
- through bonds. The model has an experimental option of taking into account quasi-threedimensional effects related to space- and time-varying curvature of the sea surface, thus 45 enabling simulation of ice breaking due to stresses resulting from bending moments associated with surface waves. Ex-
- ¹⁵ amples of the model's application to simple sea ice deformation and breaking problems are presented, with an analysis of the influence of the basic model parameters ('microscopic' ⁵⁰ properties of grains and bonds) on the large-scale response of the modeled material. The model is written as a toolbox
- ²⁰ suitable for usage with the open-source numerical library LIGGGHTS. The code, together with a full technical documentation and example input files, is freely available with 55 this paper and on the Internet.

1 Introduction

- ²⁵ Sea ice cover in polar and subpolar seas is a complex assemblage of ice blocks of various sizes, thickness, age, structure and properties resulting from their genesis, typically consisting of multiple cycles of partial melting, (re)freezing and mechanical deformation resulting from the action of external
- agents (wind, waves, solar radiation, etc.) and from interactions with surrounding ice. In favorable conditions, the ice blocks may join (freeze) to form larger blocks (ice floes), behaving like semi-rigid bodies, so that the deformation of ice

is localized, limited to narrow shear and compression zones. This type of ice cover is characteristic for the compact, central Arctic ice pack. Close to the ice edge, extensive breaking primarily caused by ocean waves produces ice behaving as a polydisperse granular material composed of individual, relatively small floes of various diameters. In all cases, many important aspects of sea ice dynamics are directly related to its discrete, discontinuous nature. Consequently, although some of the large-scale effects of those processes can be parameterized in continuum sea ice models, mechanisms underlying them can be investigated and understood only by means of models that properly take into account the fundamental physics.

Probably the most appreciable way in which the granular nature of sea ice influences other processes, including its own behavior, is through the floe size (mean and the floesize distribution, FSD). Examples include: mechanical weakening of ice after storms resulting from its fragmentation (Holt and Martin, 2001; Asplin et al., 2012, 2014), manifesting itself for example in the intensity of inertial ice motion (Gimbert et al., 2012; Haller et al., 2014) and influencing large-scale changes of sea ice extent (Kohout et al., 2014); strong contribution of the form drag due to floe edges to the total surface drag coefficient at medium ice concentrations (Steele et al., 1989; Lu et al., 2011; Lüpkes et al., 2012); lateral melting rates dependent on the total floe perimeter within a given area (Steele, 1992); spatial light distribution under ice, with effects analogous to those observed under melt ponds (Frey et al., 2011); and wave propagation and attenuation in the marginal ice zone (MIZ; e.g., Williams et al., 2013a, b). The range of these mechanisms is much wider than this list suggests (see further Sect. 2.2) and only begins to be appreciated as new observational and computational techniques provide new insights into sea ice physics and dynamics.

The Discrete-Element, bonded-particle Sea Ice model DE-SIgn presented here has been developed as a tool for studying the processes mentioned above at the floe level, with hope that it will help to deepen our understanding of ice dynamics at different scales, and possibly to develop parameterizations of relevant processes for continuum models.

- This paper presents the theoretical background, underlying ¹²⁵ assumptions and equations of the model, its numerical implementation and examples of applications to sea-ice problems. The model is an extension of the earlier versions described in Herman (2013a, b). The paper is accompanied by the model
- code and a full technical documentation, so that it can be 130 freely used and modified by anyone, as described in the last section. A full, very detailed description of all equations used in the model is provided, even if some of them are standard in discrete-element models (DEMs) for the sake of complete-
- ness and in order to make it easier for users inexperienced in 135 DEM to configure and run their own simulations. The main purpose of the modeling results presented here is the verification of the model's consistency and its new features rather than validation against observational data, which will be presented in further works.

The model is two-dimensional (2D), but it enables to take into account some wave-related effects, i.e., stresses resulting from flexural moments acting on sea ice when surface waves are present. It can be applied to a wide range of sea ice types,

⁹⁵ although it is worth stressing here that the word 'granular' in the present context describes macroscopic, large-scale ice ¹⁴⁵ properties, i.e., the fact that it is composed of individual ice floes. It does not refer in any way to smaller-scale material structure at the level of (groups of) ice crystals. Also,

in view of specific assumptions underlying the model (e.g., the above-mentioned two-dimensionality), it is not suitable for early stages of sea ice formation, like frazil and grease ¹⁵⁰ ice; pancake ice can be regarded as a rough lower limit of the model validity in terms of floe size. On the other hand, al though the model was designed for sea ice, it can be applied

to other 2D materials composed of disk-shaped grains. The paper is structured as follows: The next section con-155 tains a short review of hitherto attempts to account for the granular nature of sea ice in numerical models of its dynam-

¹¹⁰ ics. Section 3 begins with the general concept and underlying assumptions of the model proposed here, followed by the presentation of the equations. The mechanics of grains and ¹⁶⁰ bonds is discussed in Sects. 4 and 5, followed in Sect. 6 by the presentation of the types of internal forcing implemented

in the present model version. The numerical implementation of the model is described in Sect. 7. Modeling results illustrating the most important aspects of the bond-related 165 model behavior are presented in Sect. 8, followed by a discussion of possible directions of the further model development in Sect. 9.

2 Modeling granular effects in sea ice dynamics – A brief review

2.1 Sea ice floe-size distribution

From the point of view of processes analyzed here, one of the most important properties of sea ice is the FSD. Since the seminal paper of Rothrock and Thorndike (1984), observations from various parts of the world showed that typical FSDs are very wide and can be well approximated by a power law (e.g., Lytle et al., 1997; Holt and Martin, 2001; Paget et al., 2001; Toyota and Enomoto, 2002; Inoue et al., 2004; Toyota et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2008; Steer et al., 2008; Toyota et al., 2011). However, only a few attempts have been made to create models that would explain the observed variation of the FSD shapes, including the values of the exponent of the distribution and deviations from the power law occurring in certain situations. The validity and range of applicability of the proposed statistical models (Herman, 2010; Toyota et al., 2011; Perovich and Jones, 2014) remain to be assessed. Power laws are produced by a very wide range of models, including very simple models of breaking, making the selection of a proper model and the identification of mechanisms that are important in any particular real-world situation a challenge.

2.2 Parametrization of granular effects in continuum models

A number of parameterizations have been developed to improve the performance of continuum sea ice models in situations when granular effects have significant influence on the large-scale sea ice behavior. One group of parameterizations is related to the so-called collisional rheology, describing stress in fragmented sea ice due to inelastic collisions between floes, relevant especially in the MIZ. In the existing collisional rheology models, stress is calculated from the average collision frequency and momentum transferred during collisions, assuming uniform distribution of the floes on the sea surface and either constant (Shen et al., 1984, 1986; Leppäranta et al., 1989) or variable floe sizes (Lu et al., 1989). Although the models proved useful in reproducing some aspects of sea ice flow in MIZ (Feltham, 2005), collisional rheology is very rarely taken into account in ice modelling, presumably partly because the rather unrealistic assumptions on which it is based tend to underestimate the contribution of collisional effects to the total stress.

Tremblay and Mysak (1997) developed a parametrization of dilatation effects, i.e., flow-induced expansion of granular materials accompanying their slow shear deformation and related to the directional distribution of contacts between neighboring grains. Their model relates the effective angle of friction of sea ice – its macroscopic property – to the microscopic angle of friction characterizing the material. Implemented in a continuum sea ice model of the Arctic, the

2

parametrization permits ice concentrations lower than 1 in 225 the central ice pack, mimicking the lead-opening processes.

- Steele (1992) proposed a parametrization of the lateral-¹⁷⁵ melting rate in sea ice composed of separate floes of a given mean diameter. A number of studies concentrate on parametrization of floe-related effects on the effective skin ²³⁰ and drag coefficients over fragmented sea ice (Steele et al., 1989; Lu et al., 2011; Lüpkes et al., 2012, and references
- therein), as well as on various aspects of sea-ice-wave interactions in MIZ. For example, Dumont et al. (2011) formulated the first combined strain and stress failure crite-235 ria for ice breaking in a flexural mode due to the action of waves. Their results were used by Williams et al. (2013a, b)
- to formulate a wave-ice interaction model for MIZ, in which waves break the ice thus determining the maximum floe size and the FSD, and in turn the FSD is used to estimate the 240 wave-attenuation term in the wave energy balance equation.

Following the well-established theory describing the evolution of the ice-thickness distribution in continuum sea ice

¹⁹⁰ fution of the ice-infektiess distribution in continuum sea ice
 ¹⁹⁰ models, Zhang et al. (2015) proposed analogous equations
 for the floe-size distribution, thus providing a general frame-²⁴⁵
 work within which advection, lateral freezing and melting,
 as well as ridging and fragmentation processes can be pa rameterized, making continuum models more suitable for the
 MIZ.

250

2.3 Discrete-element methods in sea ice modeling

Although continuum models remain a standard tool for simulating sea ice dynamics, especially at large scales, a number of discrete-element models have been developed in re- 255 cent decades, in which sea ice is represented as an assemblage of interacting objects ('particles'); although the models share the same underlying idea, they differ in terms of the shape and properties of their building blocks, details of the contact mechanics formulations, parametriza- 260

- tion of physical processes not explicitly accounted for in the model (e.g., ridging), as well as numerical algorithms used to solve the model equations. Some models combine an Eulerian, grid-based approach typical for continuum models
- with a Lagrangian, particle-based approach used in DEMs ²⁶⁵ examples include particle-in-cell (PIC) models (Flato, 1993; Huang and Savage, 1998), distributed-mass/discrete-floe (DMDF) models (Rheem et al., 1997; Fujisaki et al., 2007) or smoothed-particle hydrodynamics (SPH) models
- (Gutfraind and Savage, 1997a, b, 1998; Li et al., 2014). In 270 PIC and DMDF, ice floes are represented by individual particles, advected in a Lagrangian manner based on velocities obtained from momentum equations solved on a fixed grid. To the contrary, in SPH models Lagrangian particles
- 220 represent sets of discrete ice floes. The SPH models with 275 Mohr-Coulomb rheology, implemented within the viscous-plastic approach of Hibler, proved particularly useful for sea ice problems with strong-deformation zones and/or complicated geometry (Gutfraind and Savage, 1997a, b, 1998). It is

worth stressing that the authors use a DEM model, conceptually very similar to the one proposed here (Savage, 1995; Sayed et al., 1995), to verify their SPH model – they treat DEM as "a very useful tool for the determination of the most appropriate rheology to describe ice as a continuum". Their DEM model is 2D, based on disk-shaped particles, and takes into account contact forces as well as air and water drag as external forcing to calculate motion of each particle in the system.

In a series of papers by Hopkins and coworkers (Hopkins and Hibler III, 1991; Hopkins, 1992, 1996; Hopkins et al., 2004; Hopkins and Thorndike, 2006), the ice pack of the DEM model consists of blocks of thick, multiyear ice and thin ice filling spaces between them, with both types of ice approximated as convex polygons. The model contains a ridging parametrization and, in the newer version that includes elastic joints that may fail under tension or compression, enables to simulate crack generation and propagation in compact sea ice and produces realistic floe-area distributions in computations of sustained deformation in the Arctic basin. Hopkins (1994) and Hopkins et al. (2004) developed a joint-particle DEM model of ridging due to flexural failure of a floating ice sheet. The same model with an incorporated shear-rupture mechanism was used by Wilchinsky et al. (2010) to study sea ice fragmentation under convergent wind stresses, and by Wilchinsky et al. (2011) in a study on the influence of changing wind direction on evolution of the crack patterns in sea ice. Hopkins and Tuhkuri (1999) used a 3D DEM model based on disk-shaped particles with a circular edge to study floe rafting and underturning during compression; the same model has been applied to simulations of pancake ice on waves (Hopkins and Shen, 2001; Sun and Shen, 2012). A DEM model of sea ice has been also used by Xu et al. (2012) to study sea ice breaking and floe formation in MIZ due to the action of waves. Although their model is based on the same joint-particle principles as the one proposed in this paper, it is used in 2D-V (2D vertical), i.e., the initial ice floe representing MIZ, composed of a 1-m thick layer of small, bonded particles, is subject to flexural strain induced by the oscillatory motion of prescribed amplitude and frequency. In a very recent work, Rabatel et al. (2015) proposed a DEM sea ice model in which floes are defined as rigid, polygonal objects subject to oceanic and atmospheric drag, and collisions are treated as linear complementarity problems. The model reproduces certain aspects of 'granular' sea ice dynamics, e.g., formation of floe clusters due to dissipation of kinetic energy during collisions.

Finally, the works by Herman (2011, 2012, 2013a, b, c) present results obtained with DEM models of increasing complexity that have led to the development of the model presented here: from a simple event-driven molecular-dynamics type of model suitable for low-concentration sea ice (Herman, 2011, 2012), to a DEM including a contact mechanics model suitable for studying deformation of a compact ice cover (Herman, 2013a, b, c). The common focus of

these works has been on strong polydispersity (i.e., heterogeneity of sizes) of sea ice floes and the role that it plays in sea ice dynamics, including cluster formation of ice floes and stress transmission in ice under shear deformation.

3 Bonded-particle discrete-element sea ice model

285 3.1 General model concept

The sea ice model proposed in this work describes the motion and interactions of two types, or classes, of objects. Following the nomenclature used in similar models of rocks and soils, we will refer to those two types of objects as 'grains'

- or 'particles', and 'bonds'. (In the documentation of the nu-345 merical libraries on which the numerical model is based, the terms 'atoms' and 'bonds' are used independently on the type of those objects; see Sect. 7.) Together they form a heterogeneous granular material with large-scale macroscopic behav-
- ior depending on the mechanical properties of its individual 350 parts interacting at a 'microscopic' scale. In rock mechanics, where bonds represent the cement filling spaces between grains of sedimentary or crystalline rocks, such models have been shown to reproduce a wide range of observed rock be-
- havior, including crack formation and propagation, damage ³⁵⁵ accumulation, and dilational effects (Potyondy and Cundall, 2004; Cho et al., 2007; Asadi et al., 2012; Bahaaddini et al., 2013). Similarly, bonded-particle models are successfully used to study the behavior of cohesive soils, as well as calv ³⁰⁵ ing and fracturing of glaciers (Åström et al., 2013). ³⁶⁰

In the context of sea ice, we define the particles as diskshaped sea-ice blocks moving within a two-dimensional space representing the sea surface. The bonds form when the neighboring disks freeze together. In other words, bonds rep-

- ³¹⁰ resent new, usually thinner ice filling cracks, leads and other open spaces between thicker ice blocks. Throughout this paper, sets of bonded particles will be called floes – similarly as in Hopkins and Thorndike (2006), who treated ice floes as ³⁶⁵ groups of frozen discrete elements of their model. Depending
- on the context and the purpose of a particular model configuration, the ice disks may be interpreted as elementary 'building blocks' of sea-ice floes, or as floes themselves (Fig. 1). In the first case, useful for example in studies of floe forma-370 tion and evolution of the floe-size distribution (FSD), it is
- reasonable to base the simulation on a very large number of disks with sizes much smaller than the expected floe size. In the second case, the FSD may be regarded as given, and its influence on the sea ice behavior analyzed in a way similar 375 to that in Herman (2011, 2012, 2013a, b), whose model is a
 special case of the one presented here.

There are two essentially independent mechanisms of interactions between neighboring particles. The first, based on repulsive and frictional forces between particles, requires ³⁸⁰ that they are in direct contact with each other. The second

requires that the particles are connected with an elastic bond.

Crucially, whereas forces are transmitted in both cases, bonds are also able to transmit momentum. Another substantial difference between the two interaction types results from the fact that bonds have certain tensile strength. Consequently, if they are present in a material, it attains a tensile strength at a macroscopic level as well.

335

340

From the point of view of sea-ice modeling, the bondedparticle approach has a number of important advantages and provides an opportunity to overcome several drawbacks of the existing models. In particular, the model is suitable for arbitrary ice concentration A, from a compact ice cover at $A \simeq 1$ to very loose ice composed of freely drifting floes at $A \ll 1$. The same equations describe short pairwise collisions and semi-permanent contact between floes. Also, the model is suitable for both a rapid flow regime with relatively large ice velocities and to quasi-static deformation in a compact ice pack. Further, non-cylindrical floes can be modeled as bonded assemblages of disk-shaped elementary particles, so that the model equations are still solved for simple, centrally-symmetric objects, without the need to calculate and store their orientation – which is not the case in models based e.g. on polygonal elements, which require complicated algorithms for calculating grain overlap, force momenta and orientation (see, e.g., Hopkins, 1992, 2004).

In typical soil and rock applications of bonded-particle models, the simulations are initiated with fully-bonded material, and the bonds are allowed to break, but not to recover during a simulation (see, e.g., Potyondy and Cundall, 2004; Bahaaddini et al., 2013). In the present version of the sea ice model, new bonds may be created at selected time instances between pairs of grains located closer to each other than a specified distance (see the technical documentation).

3.2 Basic definitions and assumptions

Let us consider an ensemble of N disk-shaped ice grains, each with a constant mass density ρ , and with variable thickness h_i and radii r_i , for i = 1, ..., N. To make a clear distinction between the horizontal and the vertical dimension, we adopt the following notation in a Cartesian coordinate system: $[\mathbf{x}_i, z_i] = [x_{1,i}, x_{2,i}, z_i]$ denotes the position of the center of the *i*th disk, $[\mathbf{u}_i, u_{z,i}] = [u_{1,i}, u_{2,i}, u_{z,i}]$ – translational velocity of its mass center, and $[\boldsymbol{\omega}_i, \boldsymbol{\omega}_{z,i}] = [\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1,i}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_{2,i}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_{z,i}]$ - its angular velocity. As already mentioned, the model is two-dimensional, with some effects related to surface waves included. Precisely, this means that $z_i \equiv 0$, $u_{z,i} \equiv 0$ and the distance between disks *i* and *j* is calculated as $\delta_{ij} = ||\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_i||$ $\mathbf{x}_{i} \parallel -(r_{i}+r_{j})$, i.e., within the $x_{1}x_{2}$ -plane. In the absence of waves, the vertical axes of the disks are perpendicular to the horizontal sea surface. If waves are present, z_i and $u_{z,i}$ are still equal to zero; the only change is that $\omega_i \neq 0$ and the deviation of the disks' axes from the vertical axis are described by a tilt $[\boldsymbol{\theta}_i, 0] = [\theta_{1,i}, \theta_{2,i}, 0]$. For details of the wave-related effects, see further Sect. 6.3.

The horizontal space S available to the particles may be unlimited, or bounded by rigid walls representing shorelines,

- ³⁸⁵ concrete structures etc.; in a general case, they may change their position over time. The motion of the disks within S^{430} is influenced by (i) the body and surface forces from the ocean and the atmosphere, and (ii) the particle–particle and particle–wall interaction forces. For the sake of simplicity
- and conciseness, the particle–wall forces are not included in the equations formulated below (in the model implementation, they are treated in exactly the same way as their particle–particle counterparts, as the contact model used is equally valid for both kinds of contact).
- Let $C_i(t)$ denote the set of all disks in contact with disk *i* at a certain time instance *t*. For each $j \in C_i$, the respective pairwise contact force will be denoted with $\mathbf{F}_{c,ij}$. It is convenient to express this force as a sum of two components, normal and tangential to the plane of contact: $\mathbf{F}_{c,ij,n}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{c,ij,t}$.
- ⁴⁰⁰ The normal component contributes to the translational motion of disks *i* and *j*, and the tangential component – to their rotation. The respective torque $\mathbf{M}_{c,ij}$ equals:

$$\mathbf{M}_{c,ij} = \mathbf{r}_{ij} \times \mathbf{F}_{c,ij,t},\tag{1}^{440}$$

where \mathbf{r}_{ij} is a vector of length r_i pointing from the center of disk *i* to the contact point with disk *j*.

Analogously, let $\mathcal{B}_i(t)$ denote the set of all disks that are bonded to disk *i* at time *t*, and $\mathbf{F}_{b,ij}$, $\mathbf{M}_{b,ij}$ – the bondtransmitted force and torque, respectively, calculated for all $j \in \mathcal{B}_i$. Again, $\mathbf{F}_{b,ij}$ is a sum of two components, $\mathbf{F}_{b,ij,n}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{b,ij,t}$, but the total torque $\mathbf{M}_{b,ij}$ results not only from $\mathbf{F}_{b,ij,t}$, but also includes bending and twisting components,

 $\mathbf{F}_{b,ij,t}$, but also includes belong and twisting components, as detailed in Sect. 5.2.

Finally, the net external force acting on disk *i*, $\mathbf{F}_{e,i}$, can be obtained from the density of surface and body forces, $\mathbf{f}_{s,i}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{b,i}$ (measured in N/m² and N/m³, respectively):

$$\mathbf{F}_{e,i} = \int_{S_i} \mathbf{f}_{s,i} \mathrm{d}s + \int_{V_i} \mathbf{f}_{b,i} \mathrm{d}v, \qquad (2)$$

where S_i and V_i denote the total surface area and volume of the disk. Analogously, the net moment of those forces is:

$$\mathbf{M}_{e,i} = \int_{S_i} \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{f}_{s,i} \mathrm{d}s + \int_{V_i} \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{f}_{b,i} \mathrm{d}v, \qquad (3)$$

where \mathbf{r} denotes distance from the disk center.

3.3 Momentum equations for grains

415

425

1

Let us define a unit vector pointing upward, $\mathbf{n} = [0, 0, 1]$, and a 3×3 projection matrix H from the 3D space to the $x_1x_{2^{-}_{460}}$ plane: $H_{11} = H_{22} = 1$ and all remaining elements are zero. By definition, the horizontal position \mathbf{x}_i and tilt $\boldsymbol{\theta}_i$ are related to \mathbf{u}_i and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$, respectively, through:

$$\mathbf{u}_i = \frac{d\mathbf{x}_i}{dt},\tag{4}_4$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_i = \frac{d\boldsymbol{\theta}_i}{dt}.$$
(5)

The general form of the equation describing the translational motion of the *i*-th grain is:

$$m_i \frac{d\mathbf{u}_i}{dt} = \mathbf{H} \left[\mathbf{F}_{e,i} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}_i(t)} \mathbf{F}_{c,ij,n} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{B}_i(t)} \mathbf{F}_{b,ij,n} \right], \quad (6)$$

where $m_i = \pi \rho h_i r_i^2$ is the mass of the grain and t denotes time. Analogously, the angular-momentum equations can be written in the form of Euler's rotation equations for a rigid body:

$$I_{x,i}\frac{d\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}}{dt} = (I_{z,i} - I_{x,i})\boldsymbol{\omega}_{z,i}\mathbf{n} \times [\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_{z}] + \mathbf{H}\left[\mathbf{M}_{e,i} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{B}_{i}(t)} \mathbf{M}_{b,ij,t}\right],$$
(7)

$$I_{z,i}\frac{d\omega_{z,i}}{dt} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \left[\mathbf{M}_{e,i} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}_i(t)} \mathbf{M}_{c,ij} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{B}_i(t)} \mathbf{M}_{b,ij,t} \right].$$
(8)

In the grain-fixed frame of reference, in which these equations are formulated, the inertia tensor is diagonal and its principal values are:

$$I_{x_1,i} = I_{x_2,i} = I_{x,i} = \frac{1}{12} m_i \left(3r_i^2 + h_i^2 \right), \quad I_{z,i} = m_i \frac{r_i^2}{2}.$$
(9)

In accordance with the assumptions formulated in the previous section, the torques due to contact forces have only vertical components and hence do not appear in (7).

4 Grain-grain contact mechanics

445

Each of the normal and tangential forces $\mathbf{F}_{c,ij,n}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{c,ij,t}$ is a sum of two terms. The two normal forces are: the repulsive force and the viscoelastic damping force; the tangential force is a sum of the shear force and the damping force. The damping components depend on the relative velocity between the interacting particles. At each time step, the amplitude of the tangential force is limited through the Coulomb friction law:

$$|\mathbf{F}_{c,ij,t}| \le \mu |\mathbf{F}_{c,ij,n}|,\tag{10}$$

where μ is the static yield criterion.

The details of the formulation of the contact forces depend on the selected contact model and on the geometry of the interacting particles, as described in the Supplementary Note S1, which also contains the derivation of the full set of equations used in the present sea ice model. Both alternative formulations available are based on the Hertzian contact mechanics. Additional details of the Hertzian model in a general context can be found, e.g., in Brilliantov et al. (1996);

Zhang and Makse (2005); Schwager (2007); Zhou (2011). In sea ice studies, (elements of) this model were used, e.g., by Hopkins and Thorndike (2006); Fortt and Schulson (2011); Xu et al. (2012).

- Notably, in the quasi-3D version of the model, the con-515 470 tact forces are calculated in the same way as in the 2D version, i.e., without taking into account the tilt between grains - which amounts to the assumption that the orientation of the axes of symmetry of neighboring grains do not deviate significantly from each other. 475

5 Elastic bonds

480

5.1 **Bond** properties

In the following, the bonds are identified by the pair of indices of grains that they connect. Each bond, cuboid in shape, is characterized by the following set of properties: thickness

 h_{ij} ; length b_{ij} ; width $2R_{ij}$ (measured in the direction perpendicular to the line connecting the centers of grains i and *j*, see Fig.2); Young modulus E_b ; ratio of the normal to shear ⁵³⁰ stiffness λ_{ns} ; tensile strength $\sigma_{t,\max}$; compressive strength $\sigma_{c,\max}$; shear strength τ_{\max} . In the present model formula-485 tion:

$$R_{ij} = \lambda_R \min\{r_i, r_j\},\tag{11}$$

$$b_{ij} = \lambda_b (r_i + r_j), \tag{12}^{53}$$

where $\lambda_R \in (0,1]$, $\lambda_b \in (0,1]$ – similarly as E_b , $\sigma_{t,\max}$, $\sigma_{c,\max}$, τ_{\max} – are global parameters, common for all bonds 490 of a given type (the model enables to define a number of bond types with different properties). When $\lambda_b = 1$, elastic deformation is calculated as if it were distributed across grains 540 (as, e.g., in the sea ice model of Hopkins et al., 2004); when $\lambda_b \rightarrow 0$, it is limited to narrow zones at the grains' bound-495

aries. Note that the distance δ between the grains' edges (Fig. 2) is not included in the calculation of b_{ij} . The normal and shear stiffness, $k_{n,ij}$ and $k_{t,ij}$, depends on E_b and the bond's length:

500
$$k_{n,ij} = \frac{E_b}{b_{ij}}$$
 and $k_{t,ij} = \frac{k_{n,ij}}{\lambda_{ns}}$. (13)

The moments of inertia of the bond are: $I_{x_1,ij} = I_{x_2,ij} =$ $I_{x,ij} = \frac{1}{6}h_{ij}^3 R_{ij}, I_{z,ij} = \frac{2}{3}h_{ij}R_{ij}^3$, and the polar moment of inertia $J_{ij} = I_{x,ij} + I_{z,ij}$. 550

Bond mechanics 5.2

- The forces and torques acting on the grains connected with a 505 bond result from the (finite) relative displacement and rotation of those grains; they can be decomposed into axial, tangential, bending and twisting components (Obermayr et al., 2013). Similarly to the history effects in the contact model,
- the force increment during a time period Δt can be calculated 510

based on differences between the grains' linear and angular velocities, $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{ij} = \mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_i$ and $\Delta \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_j - \boldsymbol{\omega}_i$.

The components of the force due to the relative displacement are calculated from a linear elastic material law, in which the force is proportional to the displacement, given by $\Delta t \Delta \mathbf{u}_{ii}$:

$$\mathbf{F}_{b,ij,n}(t) = \gamma_d \mathbf{F}_{b,ij,n}(t - \Delta t) + k_{n,ij} S_{ij} \Delta t \Delta \mathbf{u}_{ij,n}, (14)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{b,ij,t}(t) = \gamma_d \mathbf{F}_{b,ij,t}(t - \Delta t) + k_{t,ij} S_{ij} \Delta t \Delta \mathbf{u}_{ij,t}, (15)$$

where $S_{ij} = 2R_{ij}h_{ij}$ is the cross-sectional area of the bond,
 γ_d is a damping coefficient (preventing spurious oscillations
of the forces), and $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{ij,n}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{ij,t}$ denote components
of $\Delta \mathbf{u}_{ij}$ normal and tangential to the plane of contact (or,
equivalently, parallel and perpendicular to the bond axis). In
the 2D model, both forces act in the horizontal plane and thus
 $\mathbf{F}_{b,ij,t}$ contributes to the grains' rotation around the z-axis.

Analogously, twisting and bending moments due to changes of the relative orientation of grains i and j are given by:

$$\mathbf{M}_{b,ij,tw}(t) = \gamma_d \mathbf{M}_{b,ij,tw}(t - \Delta t)$$

$$- k_{t,ij} J_{ij} \Delta t [\Delta \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ij,n}, 0],$$
(16)

$$\mathbf{M}_{b,ij,bn}(t) = \gamma_d \mathbf{M}_{b,ij,bn}(t - \Delta t)$$

$$- k_{n,ij} \Delta t [I_{x,ij} \Delta \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ij,t}, I_{z,ij} \Delta \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ij,z}],$$
(17)

where $\Delta \omega_{ij,n}$, $\Delta \omega_{ij,t}$ denote the normal and tangential components of $\Delta \omega_{ij}$, respectively.

In total, $\mathbf{M}_{b,ij,t}$ in (7) and (8) is given by:

$$\mathbf{M}_{b,ij,t} = \mathbf{r}_{ij} \times \mathbf{F}_{b,ij,t} + \mathbf{M}_{b,ij,tw} + \mathbf{M}_{b,ij,bn}.$$
 (18)

In the 2D version of the model, the twisting moment $\mathbf{M}_{b,ij,tw} \equiv 0$ and only the z-component of the bending moment $\mathbf{M}_{b,ii,bn}$ can be different from zero. Note that in (14)-(17), the forces and moments have to be rotated after each time step due to changes of the orientation of the bond.

5.3 Breaking criteria

According to the classical beam theory, the shear stress acting on the bond can be calculated as:

$$\tau_{b,ij} = \frac{|\mathbf{F}_{b,ij,t}|}{S_{ij}} + \frac{|\mathbf{M}_{b,ij,tw}|R_{ij}}{J_{ij}}.$$
(19)

The normal stress reaches its maximum value at the bond peripheries. It has two components, one from the bending moment resulting from the relative rotation of the grains (letters 'C' and 'T' in Fig. 3), and one from the normal force. The bending moment produces tension and compression on the opposite sides of the bond, which may be enhanced or reduced by the normal force depending on its sign. Thus, the maximum tensile and compressive normal stress can be written as:

$$\sigma_{t,ij} = -\frac{\mathbf{F}_{b,ij,n}}{S_{ij}} + \frac{|\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}_{b,ij,bn}|h_{ij}}{I_{x,ij}} + \frac{|\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{M}_{b,ij,bn}|R_{ij}}{I_{z,ij}}$$
(20)
$$\sigma_{c,ij} = \frac{\mathbf{F}_{b,ij,n}}{S_{ij}} + \frac{|\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}_{b,ij,bn}|h_{ij}}{I_{x,ij}} + \frac{|\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{M}_{b,ij,bn}|R_{ij}}{I_{z,ij}}$$
(21)

In the present version, the bond breaks if at least one of the $_{600}$ stress components (19)–(21) acting on that bond exceeds the bond strength, i.e., if:

$$\sigma_{t,ij} > \sigma_{t,\max}$$
 or $\sigma_{c,ij} > \sigma_{c,\max}$ or $\tau_{b,ij} > \tau_{\max}$.
(22)

560

6 External forcing

In terms of the formulation of forces acting on the grains, the model is very flexible and enables to specify any combination of forces that may be space- and time-varying and

- depend on the properties of the individual grains (e.g., their mass or size). To make the configuration of the model more ⁶¹⁰ convenient, formulae describing the forces most relevant to the motion of sea ice on the sea surface have been implemented in the code and the corresponding forces can be activated easily by means of simple commands described in the
- User's Guide. These forces include the Coriolis force, and the skin and form drag due to the wind and surface current.

6.1 The Coriolis force

The Coriolis force acting on the *i*th grain, $\mathbf{F}_{C,i}$, is given as:

575
$$\mathbf{F}_{C,i} = -m_i f \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{u}_i, \tag{23}$$

where the Coriolis parameter $f = 2\Omega_Z \sin \phi$, Ω_Z denotes the angular velocity of the Earth, and the latitude ϕ can be con-⁶²⁰ stant or spatially variable. The net torque due to the Coriolis force $\mathbf{M}_{C,i} \equiv 0$.

580 6.2 Wind and surface currents

In most real-world situations, the dominating surface forces acting on sea ice floes are the atmospheric and oceanic skin drag, $\tau_{ha,i}$ and $\tau_{hw,i}$, and body drag, $\tau_{va,i}$ and $\tau_{vw,i}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ha,i} = \rho_a C_{ha} | \mathbf{u}_a | \mathbf{u}_a, \qquad (24)_{\text{63}}$$

585
$$\mathbf{\tau}_{hw,i} = \rho_w C_{hw} |\mathbf{u}_w - \mathbf{u}_i| (\mathbf{u}_w - \mathbf{u}_i),$$
 (25)

$$\mathbf{\tau}_{va,i} = \rho_a C_{va} |\mathbf{u}_a| \mathbf{u}_a, \tag{26}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{vw,i} = \rho_w C_{vw} |\mathbf{u}_w - \mathbf{u}_i| (\mathbf{u}_w - \mathbf{u}_i), \qquad (27)$$

where ρ_a and ρ_w denote the air and water density, \mathbf{u}_a and \mathbf{u}_w 635 are the wind and current velocities, and C_{ha} , C_{hw} , C_{va} , C_{vw}

- ⁵⁹⁰ drag coefficients at the respective surfaces of the grain. The skin drag acts on the upper and lower surface of the grains, respectively, with surface area of both equal πr_i^2 . The atmospheric and oceanic body drags, $\tau_{va,i}$ and $\tau_{vw,i}$, act on the ⁶⁴⁰ grain's edges above and below the water line, respectively.
- ⁵⁹⁵ Here we assume that the vertical area exposed to $\tau_{va,i}$ equals $\pi r_i h_{f,i}$, and the area exposed to $\tau_{vw,i}$ equals $\pi r_i (h_i h_{f,i})$, where $h_{f,i} = h_i (\rho_w \rho) / \rho_w$ is the grain's freeboard. In the case of deformed ice, additional drag acting on the slopes of 645 ridges and keels could be taken into account by modifying

these expressions. In the present form, the net forces from the atmosphere and the ocean integrated over the respective surface areas become:

$$\mathbf{F}_{a,i} = \pi r_i^2 \rho_a \left(C_{ha} + \frac{h_i}{r_i} \frac{\rho_w - \rho}{\rho_w} C_{va} \right) |\mathbf{u}_a| \mathbf{u}_a, \qquad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{w,i} = \pi r_i^2 \rho_w \left(C_{hw} + \frac{h_i}{r_i} \frac{\rho}{\rho_w} C_{vw} \right) |\mathbf{u}_w - \mathbf{u}_i| (\mathbf{u}_w - \mathbf{u}_i)$$

The net torque of $F_{a,i}$ equals zero (a direct consequence of the assumption that the air–ice stress does not depend on the grain's motion). Because of the dependence of $F_{w,i}$ on the disk's velocity relative to the water, the z-component of the torque associated with this force, $M_{z,w,i}$, is different from zero and has a damping effect on the disk's rotation:

$$M_{z,w,i} = -\pi \frac{r_i^4}{2} \rho_w \left(C_{hw} + \frac{h_i}{r_i} \frac{\rho}{\rho_w} C_{vw} \right) \omega_{z,i}.$$
 (30)

6.3 Surface waves

6.3.1 General idea

625

In MIZ, as well as in regions with low ice concentration, sea ice is affected by surface waves (wind waves and, most importantly, swell). Flexural stresses related to the curvature of the sea surface are one of – or presumably *the* – dominant factor leading to floe breakup (e.g., Dumont et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2013a). However, these stresses, being related to forces and torques acting out of the horizontal x_1x_2 plane, cannot be taken into account in a 2D model. A question emerges, if – and how – some of the wave-induced effects can be included in the model without introducing full three-dimensionality, i.e., without having to abandon the obvious advantage of calculating the floe–floe distances and solving the equations within a 2D plane.

The wave-related effects available in the present version of the model are under development and should be treated as a starting point for more advanced models. At present, two wave-related processes have been implemented: forces due to the oscillating surface current, and a net moment of buoyancy forces due to the time-varying sea surface slope and curvature. These two mechanisms tend to be relevant in different conditions. The alternating convergence and divergence associated with oscillatory motion of the sea surface, and the resulting tensile and compressive stress, influences pancake ice formation and dynamics (Shen et al., 2004). It is generally significant for relatively small floes, whereas larger ones are affected by the curvature of the sea surface (Dumont et al., 2011).

Obviously, there are a number of other wave-related effects that are very important, but not included in the present model. Most crucially, the wave properties have to be prescribed – they may be spatially and temporarily variable, but are unaffected by the ice, which means that, for example, wave scattering and reflection at the floes' edges cannot be

taken into account. Similarly, although the tilt of the grains is calculated, this is not the case for their vertical movement. Whereas some of these additional aspects of wave-ice in-

teractions can be relatively easily implemented in the type 690 of model described here, other, like for example rafting and ridging during compression, would require either a fully 3D computations (like in Hopkins and Tuhkuri, 1999) or some kind of parametrization – see the last section for more dis cussion.

6.3.2 Oscillating surface current

Let us suppose that the sea surface elevation $\xi = \xi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is given as a superposition of N_{wv} propagating harmonic deepwater waves:

$$\xi = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{wv}} a_n \cos(\mathbf{k}_n \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega_n t + \phi_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{wv}} a_n \cos\varphi_n, \quad (31)$$

where a_n , ω_n and ϕ_n denote wave amplitude, frequency and phase, respectively, of the *n*th component and $\mathbf{k}_n = [k_{1,n}, k_{2,n}, 0]$ is its wavenumber vector. The instantaneous horizontal velocity $\mathbf{u}_{wv,n}$ of water particles at the sea surface (z = 0), associated with that component equals:

$$\mathbf{u}_{wv,n} = \omega_n a_n \frac{\mathbf{k}_n}{k_n} \cos \varphi_n. \tag{32}$$

After summing the contribution from all elementary waves 710 and averaging over the surface of the *i*-th grain we obtain:

$$\mathbf{u}_{wv,i} = \frac{1}{\pi r_i^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{wv}} \omega_n a_n \frac{\mathbf{k}_n}{k_n} \int_{S_i} \cos \varphi_n \mathrm{d}S$$

670 and finally:

665

$$\mathbf{u}_{wv,i} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{wv}} \omega_n a_n \frac{\mathbf{k}_n}{k_n} \frac{\sin(k_{1,n}r_i)}{k_{1,n}r_i} \frac{\sin(k_{2,n}r_i)}{k_{2,n}r_i} \cos\varphi_n. \quad (33)^{\tau_{12}}$$

The oscillatory current is effective only for small grains and long waves $(k_n r_i \rightarrow 0)$, when $\sin(k_n r_i)/(k_n r_i) \rightarrow 1$. At the opposite extreme, when the grain diameter is large in comparison to the wavelength, the influence of the oscillations cancels. Formula (33) has a computationally convenient ⁷²⁰ form, as it is a product of a time-dependent term evaluated at the position of the grain's center, and terms that are functions only of the grain's size.

If the oscillating current is to be taken into account in the model, $\mathbf{u}_{wv,i}$ is added to the formula for the current-induced force (29): $\mathbf{u}_w = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_w + \mathbf{u}_{wv,i}$, where $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_w$ denotes the slowly-⁷²⁵ varying component of the total current.

6.3.3 Wave-induced horizontal torque

As mentioned above, the presence of waves and the as-730 sociated space- and time-varying slope of the sea surface

induces torque and rotation around the horizontal axes of the ice grains. In the following, an assumption is made that the x-components of torque are produced by the unbalanced buoyancy forces acting on a disk if its upper surface is not parallel to the local sea surface, as shown in Fig.4. It is also assumed for simplicity that exactly half of the disk experiences an excess of buoyancy, the other half – an excess of gravity (see also Dumont et al., 2011). As defined in Sect. 3.2, $\theta_i = [\theta_{1,i}, \theta_{2,i}, 0]$ denotes the tilt of the disk, i.e., $\theta_{1,i}$ and $\theta_{2,i}$ are angles between the z axis and the projection of the symmetry axis of the disk onto the x_1z - and x_2z -plane, respectively. Further, let $\theta_{s,i} = [\theta_{s,1,i}, \theta_{s,2,i}, 0]$ denote the mean sea surface slope 'under' the disk: $\tan \theta_{s,1,i} = (\xi(x_{1,i} + r_i, x_{2,i}) - \xi(x_{1,i} - r_i, x_{2,i}))/(2r)$, $\tan \theta_{s,2,i} = (\xi(x_{1,i}, x_{2,i} + r_i) - \xi(x_{1,i}, x_{2,i} - r_i))/(2r)$.

The unbalanced part of the (vertical) buoyancy force acting on an elementary volume $\tilde{V}(r)$ at the horizontal distance $\mathbf{r} = r[\cos\theta, \sin\theta, 0]$ from the grain center (dashed area in Fig. 4) is:

$$F_{wv,z,i}(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\rho}g\hat{h}_i(\mathbf{r})rd\theta dr$$

where $h_i(\mathbf{r}) = \beta_i \cdot \mathbf{r}$, $\beta_i = \tan(\theta_i - \theta_{s,i})$, and $\hat{\rho}$ equals ρ or ρ_w for the emerged or submerged part of the grain. Thus, the total torque, integrated over the disk's volume, is:

$$\mathbf{M}_{wv,i} = \int_{0}^{r_i} \int_{0}^{2\pi} F_{b,z,i}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{r} d\theta dr,$$

which gives:

$$\mathbf{M}_{wv,i} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{\rho + \rho_w}{2} g r_i^4 \mathbf{n} \times \boldsymbol{\beta}_i.$$
(34)

It should be added as part of $\mathbf{M}_{e,i}$ to the right-hand side of (7); as the vertical component of $\mathbf{M}_{wv,i}$ equals zero, it does not contribute to (8).

For unbonded grains, their angular momentum resulting from (34), and thus variation of their tilt, depends both on their size and the wave characteristics: they decrease with increasing grain radius and wave length.

7 The numerical model

The numerical model is based on two libraries designed for effective simulation of large systems of objects interacting through a variety of short- or long-range forces: LAMMPS (Large-scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator; Plimpton, 1995, http://lammps.sandia.gov/) and LIGGGHTS (LAMMPS Improved for General Granular and Granular Heat Transfer Simulations; Kloss and Goniva, 2010, 2011; Kloss et al., 2012.http://www.cfdem.com). The code of the DE-SIgn model has a form of a toolbox that – thanks to the modular, easily extendable nature of the above libraries -

can be incorporated into the standard LIGGGHTS program in a straightforward manner, as described in the attached documentation. Importantly, many changes to the model

- ⁷³⁵ configuration, including specification of additional forcing types, can be made via configuration files, without modification and recompilation of the code. All information on the availability of the code can be found in Sect. 10 at the end of this paper.
- 740 Details regarding the numerical aspects of the model can be found in the User's Guide (available in the 'doc' 790 folder in the attached material) and in the documentation of LAMMPS/LIGGGHTS. DESIgn uses the standard methods of the solution of the governing equations implemented in LACCOMPACT.
- LIGGGHTS. Therefore, only the most important facts regarding the numerical aspects of the model are given here.
 The momentum equations are integrated in time using the

energy-conserving velocity Verlet solver suitable for finitesize grains and taking into account not only position and ve-

⁷⁵⁰ locity of the center of mass of the particles, but also their angular velocity. Due to numerical stability and so-called en- ⁸⁰⁰ ergy drift issues, the computations require very small time steps. For sea ice simulations, in which grains typically have diameters of $10^0 - 10^2$ m, time steps of $10^{-4} - 10^{-3}$ s are

necessary, making the model very expensive computationally. Therefore, realistic model configurations require paral- 805 lel computations.

8 Modeling results

All simulations described in Herman (2011, 2012, 2013a, b, c), obtained with older, LAMMPS-based versions of the model, can be reproduced with its present version, with ⁸¹⁵ proper model settings. Therefore, the results presented here concentrate on the new model features, related to the functioning of bonds and to the influence of waves on sea ice.

- They have been obtained with very simple configurations and can be treated as test cases that verify the model behavior in 820 clearly defined, easily interpretable situations. Both groups of calculations presented below (Sects. 8.1 and 8.2) were performed for the so-called reference model setting, as well as
- ⁷⁷⁰ for a number of settings differing from the reference ones in terms of one selected parameter, so that the influence of ⁸²⁵ that parameter on the model behavior could be analyzed. The model parameters used in the two reference simulations are listed in Tables 1–3. Simulations similar to those presented
- ⁷⁷⁵ below are useful for calibration purposes, as they help to find relationships between the 'microscopic' properties of grains ⁸³⁰ and bonds, and the desired 'macroscopic' properties of sea ice such as its compressive or tensile strength, which in real sea ice varies strongly with changing temperature, ice poros-
- 780 ity, microstructure, etc. For a summary of material properties of sea ice, see, e.g., Schulson (1999) and Petrovic (2003). 835

8.1 Sea ice breakup under plane stress

In the first set of simulations, a rectangular sample of compact sea ice (densely packed grains with uniform size distribution, fully connected with their neighbors) is subject to a prescribed uniaxial tensile, uniaxial compressive, or shear strain. The strain rate is obtained by setting to zero the velocity of the grains located at the lower boundary of the domain, and moving the grains located at the upper boundary with a specified velocity until terminal failure (see Herman, 2013c, for a similar model configuration without bonds). In all cases the strain rate increased linearly in time from ε_0 to the maximum value ε_e . In the great majority of cases, macroscopic failure of the sample occurred before the end of the simulation. Figure 5 shows examples of damage patterns resulting from compressive, tensile and shear deformation. The evolution of the global maximum normal stress and the shear stress under compressive and shear strain is shown in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively. Analogous plots for tensile strain simulations are shown in Supplementary Fig. 3.

In all cases, the initial increase of strain results in a fast, approximately linear increase of stress. The rate of the stress accumulation in the material depends on its properties. In particular, it increases with increasing mean bond thickness h_m and bond Young modulus E_b (panels a-d in Figs. 6, 7) and Supplementary Fig. 3). To the contrary, the width of the bond-thickness distribution δ_h – that is, the spatial inhomogeneity of the bond thickness - hardly influences the slope of the stress curves. However, it understandably does influence the final damage pattern: for a given value of h_m , higher δ_h implies larger number of thin, weak bonds distributed throughout the material, and thus more potential spots where breaking can be initialized. Consequentially, a more complex damage pattern develops, with a larger number of fracture zones with more-ragged surfaces. This can be seen even in the simplest configurations, like those in Fig. 5a,b: under tension, a uniform material tends to break along an approximately straight line, whereas large δ_h in situation in panel b resulted in two competing fractures propagating in opposite directions. Easier initiation of breaking is also responsible for slightly lower macroscopic strength of samples with larger δ_h (panels a,b in Figs. 6, 7 and Supplementary Fig. 3).

810

The role of λ_{ns} , defined in (13), is more complex, as its influence on the macroscopic material behavior depends on the type of deformation. (It is worth stressing that λ_{ns} determines the shear stiffness k_t ; it has no influence on the normal stiffness k_n .) Under uniaxial compression, higher k_t stabilizes the bonds and contributes to the overall strength of the material. Under shear strain, varying λ_{ns} manifests itself in very different fracture patterns. Small λ_{ns} , i.e., large k_t , produces fracture zones aligned approximately with the direction of motion of the upper boundary of the sample, as in Fig. 5f. The dominating bond breaking mechanism in this case is related to the shear-stress criterion (19) in zones of high velocity gradient. To the contrary, large λ_{ns} and small

k_t are conducive to breaking related to extensive normal stresses acting on bonds. As a result, tensile fractures de- 890 velop, penetrating deep into the sample, as for example in Fig. 5e.

- In all simulations initialized with $\varepsilon_0 = 0$, the initial gradual buildup of stress was related with only isolated breaking of single bonds. This phase was followed by a rapid, 895 avalanche-like increase of bond-breaking rates, manifesting itself in terminal failure of the material and the associated
- ⁸⁴⁵ sudden drop in internal stress as the fraction of broken bonds increased (Figs. 8, 9). Under compression, damage has a character of a single, clearly defined event; under shear, dam- 900 age tends to occur through a large number of events of comparable magnitude. However, the course of stress buildup
- and the associated deformation of the sample is obviously strongly related to the history of strain, which can be illustrated by varying ε_0 . In the case of compressive strain, 905 putting ε_0 close to ε_e amounts to suddenly hitting the modeled sample, which results in very different failure patterns
- than those described above, with wide damage zones see Fig. 5d and, for more extreme examples with rapidly increasing strain rates, Supplementary Fig. 4.

A very important aspect of the model, mentioned in the introduction, is that both types of interactions – those due to ⁹¹⁰

- bonds and those due to a direct contact between grains act mutually and contribute to the overall properties of the modeled sea ice. In the simulations discussed above, the majority of grains were in direct contact with their neighbors. Even though, macroscopically, the stress due to pairwise interac-
- tions tends to be more than an order of magnitude lower than the stress transmitted through bonds (e.g., it never exceeded 2–3% in the reference model run), it in many ways influences the sea ice behavior. In particular, pairwise interactions play ⁹¹⁵ a crucial role in the development of the fracture zones, as, ob-
- viously, after bond breaking they are the only type of grain– grain interactions present. Thus, friction between grains influences sliding along deformation zones, as well as their width. Figures 10 and 11 show example snapshots of instan-st taneous stress acting on individual grains under compres-
- sive and shear deformation, respectively. Fig. 10 illustrates how the strands of grain–grain forces span the whole sample, transmitting the stress across the fracture zone. Fig. 11 illustrates the complementary role of bonded and pairwise ⁹² interactions in transmitting shear stress through the sample (the spatial pattern of normal stresses is similar): grain–
- ple (the spatial pattern of normal stresses is similar): graingrain forces are strong in regions subject to compression and along fractures (bottom right in Fig. 11b), whereas bondtransmitted forces are strongest in regions under tension.

8.2 Wave-induced sea ice fragmentation

⁸⁸⁵ In the second group of simulations, compact, undamaged sea ice is subject to flexural stresses generated by regular deepwater surface waves with a prescribed, spatially uniform am- $_{935}$ plitude *a*, period *T* and length *L*. All computations are performed until no bond breaking occurs. For the purpose of the basic verification of the model concept described in Section 6.3, simple one-dimensional (1D) simulations are performed first (Section 8.2.1), in which a set of N linearly arranged identical grains bonded to their neighbors are subject to a prescribed propagating wave.

This 1D setting is equivalent to a 2D one in which a unidirectional wave propagates through a regular matrix of identical grains, each bonded to its four neighbors. Because in this case the moments acting on bonds oriented parallel to the wave crests are identically zero, the model produces long parallel stripes with a breaking pattern exactly the same as that obtained with a 1D model version. Therefore, in Section 8.2.2, devoted to 2D simulations, only results obtained with an irregular arrangement of grains are analyzed, with a focus on the influence of this factor on the obtained floe sizes and shapes.

8.2.1 One-dimensional simulations

Let us consider a set of N identical grains with radius r and thickness h, arranged regularly (with spacing 2r) along a line parallel to the wave propagation direction. In this case, in the absence of other forcing, the problem reduces to $2N + N_b$ equations, where N_b denotes the number of bonds:

$$\frac{d\theta_i}{dt} = \omega_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \tag{35}$$

$$I_g \frac{d\omega_i}{dt} = M_{vw,i} + M_{i,i+1} - M_{i,i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, M36$$

$$\frac{dM_{i,i+1}}{dt} = -k_n I_b(\omega_i - \omega_{i+1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N_b.$$
(37)

These equations follow directly from equations (5), (7), and (17) with an assumption that the damping coefficient $\gamma_d = 1$. Some indices have been omitted for the sake of simplicity, and it is assumed that $I_{x_1,i} = I_g$ for all grains, and $I_{x_1,i,i+1} = I_b$, $k_{n,i,i+1} = k_n$ for all bonds. If the initial floe is freely floating on the sea surface, the outermost grains (i = 1 and i = N) have only one neighbor, $N_b = N - 1$ and $M_{0,1} = M_{N,N+1} = 0$. If one end of a floe is 'frozen', e.g., connected to the coast or the landfast ice which remains motionless, we set $N_b = N$ and assume $\omega_{N+1} = \theta_{N+1} = 0$ and $M_{0,1} = 0$.

The wave forcing is calculated directly from (34), and the breaking criteria (19)–(22) reduce to a single one:

$$|M_{i,i+1}|h_b/I_b > \sigma_{t,max},\tag{38}$$

where again $h_{i,i+1} = h_b$ for all bonds.

930

In the reference simulation summarized in Table 2, the initial floe has length equal n_0L , where $n_0 = 100$, L is the wavelength, and with the selected grain radius of 3 m, there are roughly 26 grains per wavelength. Initially, no breaking is allowed (hence the infinite bond strength in Table 2) and n_0 is varied to analyze the response of floes of various sizes to the wave forcing. Figures 12 and 13 show the range of variability

of the grains' tilt (separated into the rigid and flexural component) and the stress acting on bonds for three selected cases: small ($n_0 = 1$ and $n_0 = 5$) freely floating floes (Fig. 12a–d), and large ($n_0 = 100$) floes both freely floating (Fig. 12e,f) 995

and connected to a landfast ice (Fig. 13a,b). In all cases, three floe lengths, $(n_0 - \frac{1}{2})L$, n_0L and $(n_0 + \frac{1}{2})L$ are considered, because the motion of floes with lengths being an exact multiple of the wavelength tends to be different from the mo-

- tion of similar floes that do not fulfill this criterion. Whereas in general, as expected, the amplitude of the rigid floe motion increases with decreasing floe size, and for small floes $(n_0 < 10)$ exceeds the amplitude of the flexural motion by at least an order of magnitude (compare continuous and dashed
- lines in Fig. 12a,c), the rigid motion remains negligible for¹⁰⁰⁵ floes with $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and their flexural response is dominated by bending in the middle of the floe. Notably, the smallest floe considered, that of size 0.5L (green lines in Fig. 12a), follows the slope of the sea surface, which in the case con-
- sidered reaches ±1.15°, almost exactly, even with a slightion
 inertia-related 'overshoot'. An analysis of periodograms of the grains' tilts in the cases presented in Figs. 12, 13 shows that for grains within large floes the power spectra have one dominating frequency that of the external forcing whereas
 additional peaks are present in spectra representing grains
- additional peaks are present in spectra representing grains within small floes, corresponding to the normal modes of the floes.

Understandably, if only one end of the floe is allowed to move freely, the above-mentioned influence of the exact ratio of the floe size to the wavelength is much less pronounced

(Fig. 13a).

For large floes, the amplitude of their flexural motion is₁₀₂₀ largest in vicinity of their free ends: in the cases tested, it has a roughly exponential profile within the distance of 10–20 wavelengths from the free floe's ends (Figs. 12e, 13a). This is extremely important from the point of view of stress acting on the ice (Figs. 12f, 13b) and, consequently, on the pat-₁₀₂₅ tern of breaking and the final distribution of floe sizes. Figure 14 shows an example of breaking history of a large floe like that shown in red in Fig. 13, obtained with bond strength $\sigma_{t,max} = 0.33 \cdot 10^5$ Pa, so that the wave-induced stresses exceed this value close to the floe edge, but not in its inner₁₀₃₀ parts. In this case, breaking starts at the floe boundary, gradually progresses deeper into the ice, and continues until no

- floes larger than 0.5L remain (inset in Fig. 14a). The pattern of dots in Fig. 14 shows that breaking events are clustered and tend to occur in series spanning a few wavelengths and₁₀₃₅ a small fraction of the wave period. Indeed, almost 40% of breaking events occur within the distance of one wavelength
- from the previous one, and the histogram of distances between subsequent breaking events has a clear maximum at $\sim 0.25L$ (not shown). The final pattern of floe sizes is not₁₀₄₀ perfectly regular (Fig. 14b), but there is one clearly dominating floe size seen in the histogram in Fig. 14a.
- ⁹⁹⁰ Two aspects of these results are worth stressing. First, this breaking pattern, progressing from the ice edge towards in-

ner regions, has been obtained with spatially constant wave amplitude. In a more realistic configuration, with wave amplitude decreasing with the distance from the ice edge due to attenuation, this effect would be even stronger. Second, with a constant wave amplitude, progressive breaking is possible only if the wave-induced stress acting on the ice far from its edge remains smaller than its strength. In real-world situations, such 'tuning' of the wave steepness to the ice strength is presumably rare in stationary settings, but can be expected to occur frequently during periods of wave amplitude increasing in time, e.g., when the onshore wind strengthens or when swell from distant locations arrives at the ice edge with gradually increasing amplitude. Thus, breaking similar to that shown in Fig. 14 may be more frequent than the oversimplified model setting used here suggests. On the other hand, obviously, the great majority of combinations of the model parameters produce either very strong, almost instantaneous fragmentation of the whole initial floe (with the majority of bonds destroyed and a clearly defined maximum floe size), or almost no fragmentation (with only a very small fraction of bonds broken).

8.2.2 Two-dimensional simulations

As already mentioned in the introduction to this section, a 2D model initialized with a regular, square matrix of identical grains produces floes in the form of long stripes parallel to the wave crests. However, in order for the model to be applicable to more general conditions, e.g., with waves coming from different directions, it is desirable that the model produces realistic results (in terms of both floe sizes and shapes) when it is initialized with randomly distributed grains of different sizes, and thus contains bonds with a range of spatial orientations, not aligned with the wave direction.

As can be expected, most aspects of the behavior of the 2D model are fully analogous to those of the 1D model. In particular, the dependence of the amplitude of the flexural and rigid motion of the floes on their size, described in the previous section, is very similar in 1D and 2D. As previously, the model has been run for a reference run (Table 3) and for a set of configurations with one selected parameter varied. Obviously - as in the 1D case - most combinations of the coefficients produce either almost no breaking or very intense breaking (like that shown in Fig. 15b). However, within a narrow range of the model parameters between these two 'regimes', breaking results in floes with rather unrealistic shapes, with 'branches' of connected grains stretching in different directions (some of these features can be seen in Fig. 15a) or even floes with 'holes' in the middle, filled with loose grains or smaller floes. This tendency for 'branching' floes to survive breaking is related to another rather peculiar aspect of these configurations: a wide range of sizes of the floes. For example, as shown in Fig. 16, for wave period T = 25 s (i.e., the reference run, illustrated in Fig. 15a) the floe sizes span at least three orders of magnitude. The

- results are very different for both longer and shorter waves. 1045 With wave period of less than 23 s, hardly any floes contain more than 10 grains (Figs. 16 and 15b); with wave period higher than 26 s, a few largest floes contain more than 90%1100 of all grains in the system, i.e., most of the ice remains intact.
- Varying other model parameters produces similar model be-1050 havior, see Supplementary Figs. 5 and 7 for examples showing the effects of changing the mean bond thickness h_m and the bond elastic modulus E_b , respectively. (Notably, increas-1105 ing spatial variability of the bonds' thickness, and thus their stiffness, has almost no influence on the resulting floe-size 1055

1060

1065

distribution; see Supplementary Fig. 6.) It was not possible to obtain a wide distribution of floe sizes in the 1D model, which supports the notion that this1110 feature is directly linked to irregular floe shapes in the 2D model. Also, it must be remembered that these features tend to disappear if some irregularity is introduced to the model (e.g., if the forcing is specified as a superposition of more than one elementary waves with different directions and 115 phases), so that it may seem irrelevant in more realistic model settings than those analyzed here, but nevertheless it signals an undesired feature of the model. The tendency to produce

- non-convex floes decreases with increasing shear stiffness and decreasing shear strength of bonds (relative to their nor-1120 mal stiffness and compressive strength, respectively), but it
- remains to be investigated whether adjusting these parame-1070 ters accordingly does not produce any other negative effects. In any case, the general conclusion is that the orientations of bonds in the model do determine the resulting geometry of1125 the floes. In particular, although the floes tend to be elongated in the direction of the wave crests (Fig. 15), it is not possible 1075 to obtain long stripes even with perfectly unidirectional wave forcing.

1130

9 Discussion and further perspectives

The modeling results presented in this paper have been limited to very simple configurations, with the goal to test the ba-1135 1080 sic model features and to analyze the influence of the model parameters on its behavior. Further work is necessary to verify the model - its underlying assumptions, numerical algorithms etc. - in a wider range of configurations. Importantly, the modular structure of LIGGGHTS in general and of the1140 1085 sea ice toolbox in particular make it relatively easy to modify and/or replace some parts should better or alternative solutions become available. In the same way, the model may be extended with new features, including time variability of the

- properties of grains and bonds (e.g., changes of their thick-1145 1090 ness due to thermodynamic processes), other contact models or bond breaking criteria, or a model of wave-sea ice interactions in which the wave characteristics are not prescribed, but modified based on the properties of the ice cover. As noted
- earlier in Sect. 6.3.1, the wave-induced flexural breaking of₁₁₅₀ 1095 ice is just one of a number of breaking mechanisms that may

be of different importance in different conditions. In particular, it is desirable to include at least some of those mechanisms in DESIgn, e.g., by adding the vertical component to the grains' positions and taking into account buoyancy forces acting on the ice on the vertically moving sea surface. This is planned for the next version of the model that will be available soon. It is also worth mentioning that the model can be applied to simulate the motion of icebergs (solitary or embedded in sea ice) and any other objects floating on the sea surface, provided suitable forcing is formulated. On the other hand, the basic assumption regarding two-dimensional nature of contact forces between grains, makes it difficult to implement in the model processes that are inherently threedimensional, like for example rafting and ridging. Some parameterizations of these processes will be necessary to make the model applicable to situations when ridging cannot be disregarded.

The fact that DESIgn is a toolbox of LIGGGHTS offers a possibility to relatively easily combine this model with a wide range of functionalities offered by LIGGGHTS. In particular, the mesh-free SPH method available in the opensource LIGGGHTS version could be used to simulate sea ice in a manner similar to Gutfraind and Savage (1997a, b, 1998). Also, coupling the DEM sea ice model with a continuum model of the ocean and/or atmosphere is possible thanks to the CFD-DEM Engine (Goniva et al., 2012), where CFD stands for Computational Fluid Dynamics (see also Zhao and Shan, 2013). The tool provides solvers for both unresolved and resolved coupling. In the first method, the grains are taken into account in the Navier-Stokes equations by means of a volume fraction they occupy in each computational cell. The second method, suitable for relatively large grains, resolves the fluid motion around each grain. The CFD-DEM could be used, e.g., to solve the equations of the ocean mixed layer under fragmented ice; or to simulate the wave-ice interactions as a fully coupled problem. The above-mentioned resolved coupling would be particularly useful for that purpose, allowing for simulation of 3D water motion around floating ice floes. Among more technical issues, meshes with complex geometry can be used in simulations with coastlines and other boundaries.

Among the challenges related to the usage of DESIgn (and other, similar models) to realistic sea ice problems, validation with observational data undoubtedly belongs to the most urgent ones. Although substantial progress has been made in recent years in terms of availability of high resolution remote-sensing data, the temporal and spatial resolution of that data is still too low to capture some rather subtle effects described in Herman (2011, 2012, 2013a, b, c) and in this paper. Moreover, they only provide information on the position of objects within images, from which average velocities between subsequent snapshots can be calculated with the help of motion-tracking algorithms. Validation at shorter time scales and in terms of other variables requires carefully planned and usually expensive in situ or laboratory measure-

ments, e.g., with accelerometers and stress sensors placed on (a number of) ice floes within a given area, combined with meteorological and oceanographic observations. Because of very high costs of such undertakings, numerical models may1205 be a particularly useful in planning of experiments, suggest-

ing processes worth investigating and methods suitable for their analysis.

10 Code availability

- The code of DESIgn, together with a full techni-1160 cal documentation and example input files, is freely₁₂₁₅ available with this paper and at the internet page http://herman.ocean.ug.edu.pl/LIGGGHTSseaice.htuths in first-year sea ice: A comparison with freshwater ice, J. The present version of the model is 1.3; effort will be taken
- to keep the code of the toolbox compatible with future 1165 versions of LIGGGHTS, although no guarantee can be given1220 that there is a DESIgn version suitable for every version of LIGGGHTS, as its code is evolving very fast and new releases appear every couple of months.
- All comments, questions, suggestions and critics regarding $_{1225}$ 1170 the functioning of the DESIgn model can be directed to the author of this paper.

Acknowledgements. The development of the sea ice model would₁₂₃₀ not be possible without LAMMPS and LIGGGHTS. In particular,

the bond-related code of DESIgn is based on the experimental ver-1175 sion of the bond package available through the LIGGGHTS home page. Part of the simulations presented in this paper have been conducted at the Academic Computer Center in Gdansk (TASK,1235 http://task.gda.pl/).

References 1180

1200

225, 2013.

- Asadi, M., Rasouli, V., and Barla, G.: A bonded particle model simulation of shear strength and asperity degradation for rough rock fractures, Rock Mech. Rock Engng, 45, 649-675, 2012.
- Asplin, M., Galley, R., Barber, D., and Prinsenberg, S.: Fracture of summer perennial sea ice by ocean swell as a1245 1185 result of Arctic storms, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C06025, doi:10.1029/2011JC007221, 2012.
 - Asplin, M., Scharien, R., Else, B., Howell, S., Barber, D., Papakyriakou, T., and Prinsenberg, S.: Implications of fractured Arctic perennial ice cover on thermodynamic and dy-1250
- 1190 namic sea ice processes, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 2327-2343, doi:10.1002/2013JC009557, 2014.
 - Åström, J., Riikilä, T., Tallinen, T., Zwinger, T., Benn, D., Moore, J., and Timonen, J.: A particle based simulation model for glacier dynamics, The Cryosphere, 7, 1591-1602,1255
- 1195 doi:10.5194/tc-7-1591-2013, 2013.
 - Bahaaddini, M., Sharrock, G., and Hebblewhite, B.: Numerical investigation of the effect of joint geometrical parameters on the mechanical properties of a non-persistent jointed rock mass under uniaxial compression, Computers and Geotechnics, 49, 206-1260

- Brilliantov, N., Spahn, F., Hertzsch, J.-M., and Pöschel, T.: Model for collisions in granular gases, Phys. Rev. E, 53, 5382-5392, 1996.
- Cho, N., Martin, C., and Sego, D.: A clumped particle model for rock, Int. J. Rock Mech. and Mining Sci., 44, 997-1010, doi:10.1016/j.ijrmms.2007.02.002, 2007.
- Dumont, D., Kohout, A., and Bertino, L.: A wave-based model for the marginal ice zone including floe breaking parameterization, J. Geophys. Res., 116, C04 001, doi:10.1029/2010JC006682, 2011.
- Feltham, D.: Granular flow in the marginal ice zone, Phyl. Trans. Royal Soc. A, 363, 1677-1700, doi:10.1098/rsta.2005.1601, 2005.
- Flato, G.: A particle-in-cell sea ice model, Atmos.-Ocean, 31, 339-358, doi:10.1080/07055900.1993.9649475, 1993.
- Fortt, A. and Schulson, E.: Frictional sliding across Coulombic
- Geophys. Res., 116, C11012, doi:10.1029/2011JC006969, 2011.
- Frey, K., Perovich, D., and Light, B.: The spatial distribution of solar radiation under a melting Arctic sea ice cover, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L22 501, doi:10.1029/2011GL049421, 2011.
- Fujisaki, A., Yamaguchi, H., Duan, F., and Sagawa, G.: Improvement of short-term sea ice forecast in the southern Okhotsk Sea, J. Oceanogr., 63, 775-790, 2007.
- Gimbert, F., Jourdain, N., Marsan, D., Weiss, J., and Barnier, B.: Recent mechanical weakening of the Arctic sea ice cover as revealed from larger inertial oscillations, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C00J12, doi:10.1029/2011JC007633, 2012.
- Goniva, C., Kloss, C., Deen, N., Kuipers, J., and Pirker, S.: Influence of rolling friction modelling on single spout fluidized bed simulations, Particuology, 10, 582-591, 2012.
- Gutfraind, R. and Savage, S.: Marginal ice zone rheology: Comparison of results from continnum-plastic models and discreteparticle simulation, J. Geophys. Res., 120, 12647-12661, 1997a.
- Gutfraind, R. and Savage, S.: Smoothed particle hydrodynamics for the simulation of broken-ice fields: Mohr-Coulomb-type rheology and frictional boundary conditions, J. Comput. Phys., 134, 203-215, 1997b.
- Gutfraind, R. and Savage, S.: Flow of fractured ice through wedgeshaped channels: smoothed particle hydrodynamics and discreteelement simulations, Mechanics of Materials, 29, 1-17, 1998.
- Haller, M., Brümmer, B., and Müller, G.: Atmosphere-ice forcing in the transpolar drift stream: results from the DAMOCLES ice-buoy campaigns 2007-2009, The Cryosphere, 8, 275-288, doi:doi:10.5194/tc-8-275-2014, 2014.
- Herman, A.: Sea-ice floe-size distribution in the context of spontaneous scaling emergence in stochastic systems, Phys. Rev. E, 81, 066123, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.81.066123, 2010.
- Herman, A.: Molecular-dynamics simulation of clustering processes in sea-ice floes, Phys. Rev. E, 84, 056104, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.84.056104, 2011.
- Herman, A.: Influence of ice concentration and floe-size distribution on cluster formation in sea ice floes, Cent. Europ. J. Phys., 10, 715-722, doi:10.2478/s11534-012-0071-6, 2012.
- Herman, A.: Numerical modeling of force and contact networks in fragmented sea ice, Annals Glaciology, 54, 114-120, doi:10.3189/2013AoG62A055, 2013a.
- Herman, A.: Molecular-dynamics simulation of contact and force networks in fragmented sea ice under shear deformation, Proc.

1210

3rd Int. Conf. Particle-Based Methods, pp. 659–669, 18–20 Sep. 2013, Stuttgart, Germany, 2013b.

- Herman, A.: Shear-jamming in two-dimensional granular materials¹³²⁰ with power-law grain-size distribution, Entropy, 15, 4802–4821, doi:10.3390/e15114802, 2013c.
- Holt, B. and Martin, S.: The effect of a storm on the 1992 summer sea ice cover of the Beaufort, Chukchi, and East Siberian Seas, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 1017–1032, doi:10.1029/1999JC000110,1325 2001.
- Hopkins, M.: The numerical simulation of systems of multitudinous polygonal blocks, Tech. rep., Cold Reg. Res. Engng Lab., U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hanover, N.H., USA, cRREL Report 92-22, 74 pp., 1992.
- Hopkins, M.: On the ridging of intact lead ice, J. Geophys. Res., 99, C8, 16351–16360, 1994.
 - Hopkins, M.: On the mesoscale interaction of lead ice and floes, J. Geophys. Res., 101, C8, 18315–18326, 1996.
 - Hopkins, M.: Discrete element modeling with dilated particles, En-1335 gng Comput., 21, 422–430, 2004.
- Hopkins, M. and Hibler III, W.: Numerical simulation of a compact convergent system of ice floes, Ann. Glaciol., 15, 26–30, 1991.
 Hopkins, M. and Shen, H.: Simulation of pancake-ice dynamics in a wave field, Ann. Glaciology, 33, 355–360, 2001.
- Hopkins, M. and Thorndike, A.: Floe formation in Arctic sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 111, C11S23, doi:10.1029/2005JC003352, 2006.
 - Hopkins, M. and Tuhkuri, J.: Compression of floating ice fields, J. Geophys. Res., 104, C7, 15815–15825, 1999.
 - Hopkins, M., Frankenstein, S., and Thorndike, A.: Formation of an₁₃₄₅ aggregate scale in Arctic sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 109, C01 032, doi:10.1029/2003JC001855, 2004.
- Huang, Z. and Savage, S.: Particle-in-cell and finite difference approaches for the study of marginal ice zone problems, Cold Regions Sci. Tech., 28, 1–28, 1998.
- Inoue, J., Wakatsuchi, M., and Fujiyoshi, Y.: Ice floe distribution in the Sea of Okhotsk in the period when sea-
- ice extent is advancing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L20303, doi:10.1029/2004GL020809, 2004. Kloss, C. and Goniva, C.: LIGGGHTS: a new open source discrete¹³⁵⁵
- element simulation software, in: Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Discrete Element Methods, London, UK, 25–26 August 2010, pp. 25–26, 2010.
- Kloss, C. and Goniva, C.: LIGGGHTS open source discrete element simulations of granular materials based on Lammps, in:1360
 Suppl. Proc.: Materials Fabrication, Properties, Characterization, and Modeling, vol. 2, pp. 781–788, 2011.
- Kloss, C., Goniva, C., Hager, A., Amberger, S., and Pirker, S.: Models, algorithms and validation for opensource DEM and CFD-DEM, Progress in Comput. Fluid Dynamics, 12, 140–152, 2012.1365
- Kohout, A., Williams, M., Dean, S., and Meylan, M.: Storminduced sea-ice breakup and the implications for ice extent, Nature, 509, 604–607, doi:10.1038/nature13262, 2014.
 - Leppäranta, M., Lensu, M., and Lu, Q.-M.: Shear flow of sea ice in the marginal ice zone with collision rheology, Geophysica, 25,1370 57–74, 1989.

1375

Li, B., Li, H., Liu, Y., Wang, A., and Ji, S.: A modified discrete element model for sea ice dynamics, Acta Oceanol. Sin., 33, 568– 63, doi:10.1007/s13131-014-0428-3, 2014.

- Lu, P., Li, Z., Zhang, Z., and Dong, X.: Aerial observations of floe size distribution in the marginal ice zone of summer Prydz Bay, J. Geophys. Res., 113, doi:10.1029/2006JC003965, 2008.
- Lu, P., Li, Z., Cheng, B., and Leppäranta, M.: A parameterization of the ice-ocean drag coefficient, J. Geophys. Res., 116, doi:10.1029/2011JC006878, 2011.
- Lu, Q., Larsen, J., and Tryde, P.: On the role of ice interaction due to floe collisions in marginal ice zone dynamics, J. Geophys. Res., 94, 14525–14537, 1989.
- Lüpkes, C., Gryanik, V., Hartmann, J., and Andreas, E.: A parametrization, based on sea ice morphology, of the neutral atmospheric drag coefficients for weather prediction and climate models, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D13112, doi:10.1029/2012JD017630, 2012.
- Lytle, V. I., Massom, R., Worby, A. P., and Allison, I.: Floe sizes in the East Antarctic sea ice zone estimated using combined SAR and field data, in: Third ERS Symposium on Space at the service of our Environment, edited by Danesy, T.-D. G. . D., vol. 414 of *ESA Special Publication, 14–21 March 1997, Florence, Italy*, pp. 931–+, 1997.
- Obermayr, M., Dressler, K., Vrettos, C., and Eberhard, P.: A bonded-particle model for cemented sand, Computers and Geotechnics, 49, 299–313, 2013.
- Paget, M. J., Worby, A. P., and Michael, K. J.: Determining the floe-size distribution of East Antarctic sea ice from digital aerial photographs, Annals of Glaciology, 33, 94–100, 2001.
- Perovich, D. and Jones, K.: The seasonal evolution of sea ice floe size distribution, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 119, 8767–8777, doi:10.1002/2014JC010136, 2014.
- Petrovic, J.: Mechanical properties of ice and snow, J. Materials Sci., 38, 1–6, 2003.
- Plimpton, S.: Fast parallel algorithms for short-range molecular dynamics, J. Comp. Phys., 117, 1–19, 1995.
- Potyondy, D. and Cundall, P.: Bonded-particle model for rock, Int. J. Rock Mech. and Mining Sci., 41, 1329–1364, 2004.
- Rabatel, M., Labbé, S., and Weiss, J.: Dynamics of an assembly of rigid ice floes, J. Geophys. Res., 120, 5887–5909, doi:10.1002/2015JC010909, 2015.
- Rheem, C., Yamaguchi, H., and Kato, H.: Distributed mass/discrete floe model for pack ice rheology computation, J. Mar. Sci. Technol., 2, 101–121, 1997.
- Rothrock, D. and Thorndike, A.: Measuring the sea-ice floe size distribution, J. Geophys. Res., 89, 6477–6486, 1984.
- Savage, S.: Mobile Particulate Systems, chap. Marginal ice zone dynamics modelled by computer simulations involving floe collisions, pp. 305–330, E. Guazelli, L. Oger (Eds.), Springer, Netherlands, 1995.
- Sayed, M., Neralla, V., and Savage, S.: Yield conditions of an assembly of discrete ice floes, in: Proc. 5th Int. Offshore Polar Engng Conf., The Hague, the Netherlands, vol. II, pp. 330–335, Int. Soc. Offshore Polar Engineers, 11–16 June 1995, 1995.
- Schulson, E.: The structure and mechanical behavior of ice, JOM J. Mineral, Metals Meterials Soc., 51, 21–28, 1999.
- Schwager, T.: Coefficient of restitution for viscoelastic disks, Phys. Rev. E, 75, 051 305, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.75.051305, 2007.
- Shen, H., Hibler III, W., and Leppäranta, M.: On the rheology of a broken ice field due to floe collision, MIZEX Bulletin III, US-ACREL Special Report 84-28, pp. 29–34, 1984.

14

1265

- Shen, H., Hibler III, W., and Leppäranta, M.: On applying granular flow theory to a deforming broken ice field, Acta Mechanica, 63,1435 143–160, 1986.
- Shen, H., Ackley, S., and Yuan, Y.: Limiting diameter of pancake

1380

1390

ice, J. Geophys. Res., 109, C12 035, doi:10.1029/2003JC002123, 2004.

Steele, M.: Sea ice melting and floe geometry in a simple ice–ocean model, J. Geophys. Res., 97, C11, 17729–17738, 1992.

Steele, M., Morison, J., and Untersteiner, N.: The partition of airice-ocean momentum exchange as a function of ice concentration, floe size, and draft, J. Geophys. Res., 94, C9, 12739– 12750, doi:10.1029/JC094iC09p12739, 1989.

- Steer, A., Worby, A., and Heil, P.: Observed changes in sea-ice floe size distribution during early summer in the western Weddell Sea, Deep-Sea Res. II, 55, 933–942, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.12.016, 2008.
- Sun, S. and Shen, H.: Simulation of pancake ice load on a circular cylinder in a wave and current field, Cold Reg. Sci. Tech., 78, 31–39, doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2012.02.003, 2012.
- Toyota, T. and Enomoto, H.: Analysis of sea ice floes in the Sea of Okhotsk using ADEOS/AVNIR images, in: 16th Int. Symp. on Ice, Int. Assoc. for Hydraul. Res., Dunedin, New Zealand, 2–6 December 2002, pp. 211–217, 2002.
- Toyota, T., Takatsuji, S., and Nakayama, M.: Characteristics of sea
 ice floe size distribution in the seasonal ice zone, Geophys. Res.
 Lett., 33, L02 616, doi:10.1029/2005GL024556, 2006.
- Toyota, T., Haas, C., and Tamura, T.: Size distribution and shape properties of relatively small sea-ice floes in the Antarctic marginal ice zone in late winter, Deep Sea Res. II, 9–10, 1182–1193, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.10.034, 2011.
- Tremblay, L.-B. and Mysak, L.: Modeling sea ice as a granular material, including the dilatancy effect, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 27, 2342–2360, 1997.

Wilchinsky, A., Feltham, D., and Hopkins, M.: Effect of shear rup-

ture on aggregate scale formation in sea ice, J. Geophys. Res.,
 115, C10 002, doi:10.1029/2009JC006043, 2010.

Wilchinsky, A., Feltham, D., and Hopkins, M.: Modelling the reorientation of sea-ice faults as the wind changes direction, Ann. Glaciology, 52, 83–90, 2011.

- Williams, T., Bennetts, L., Squire, V., Dumont, D., and Bertino,
 L.: Wave-ice interactions in the marginal ice zone. Part
 1: Theoretical foundations, Ocean Modelling, 71, 81–91,
 doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.05.010, 2013a.
- Williams, T., Bennetts, L., Squire, V., Dumont, D., and Bertino,
 L.: Wave-ice interactions in the marginal ice zone. Part 2: Numerical implementation and sensitivity studies along 1D transects of the ocean surface, Ocean Modelling, 71, 92–101, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.05.011, 2013b.
- Xu, Z., Tartakovsky, A., and Pan, W.: Discrete-element model for the interaction between ocean waves and sea ice, Phys. Rev. E, 85, 016 703, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.85.016703, 2012.
 - Zhang, H. and Makse, H.: Jamming transition in emulsions and granular materials, Phys. Rev. E, 72, 011301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.72.011301, 2005.
- ⁴³⁰ Zhang, J., Schweiger, A., Steele, M., and Stern, H.: Sea ice floe size distribution in the marginal ice zone: Theory and numerical experiments, J. Geophys. Res., 120, 1–15, doi:10.1002/2015JC010770, 2015.

- Zhao, J. and Shan, T.: Coupled CFD-DEM simulation of fluidparticle interaction in geomechanics, Powder Technol., 239, 248–258, doi:10.1016/j.powtec.2013.02.003, 2013.
- Zhou, Y.: A theoretical model of collision between soft-spheres with Hertz elastic loading and nonlinear plastic unloading, Theor. Appl. Mech. Lett., 1, 041 006, doi:10.1063/2.1104106, 2011.

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units
Grains			
Density	ρ	910	kg/m ³
Thickness	h	1.5	m
Minimum radius	r_{\min}	50.0	m
Maximum radius	$r_{\rm max}$	150.0	m
Elastic modulus	E	$6.0 \cdot 10^9$	Pa
Poisson's ratio	ν	0.33	_
Static yield criterion	μ	0.7	
Bonds			
Length coefficient	λ_b	0.8	
Radius coefficient	λ_R	1.0	
Mean thickness	h_m	1.0	m
Width of thick. distr.	δ_h	0.0	m
Ratio of normal to shear stiffness	λ_{ns}	2.5	—
Elastic modulus	E_b	$6.0 \cdot 10^9$	Pa
Compressive strength	$\sigma_{c,\max}$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{6}$	Pa
Tensile strength	$\sigma_{t,\max}$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{5}$	Pa
Shear strength	$ au_{ m max}$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{6}$	Pa
No. of grains	N	7829	
Initial no. of bonds	N_b	15967	—
Time step	Δt	0.0005	s
Initial strain rate	ε_0	0.0	s^{-1}
Final strain rate	ε_e	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$	s^{-1}

 Table 1. Physical and numerical model parameters used in the reference simulations in Sect. 8.1

> Table 3. Physical and numerical model parameters used in the reference simulations in Sect. 8.2.2

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units
Grains			
Density	ρ	910	kg/m ³
Thickness	h	1.5	m
Minimum radius	r_{\min}	12.5	m
Maximum radius	$r_{\rm max}$	37.5	m
Elastic modulus	E	$6.0 \cdot 10^9$	Pa
Poisson's ratio	ν	0.33	_
Static yield criterion	μ	0.7	
Bonds			
Length coefficient	λ_b	0.4	
Radius coefficient	λ_R	1.0	
Mean thickness	h_m	0.5	m
Width of thick. distr.	δ_h	0.0	m
Ratio of normal to shear stiffness	λ_{ns}	2.0	—
Elastic modulus	E_b	$9.0.10^{9}$	Pa
Compressive strength	$\sigma_{c,\max}$	$5.0 \cdot 10^5$	Pa
Tensile strength	$\sigma_{t,\max}$	$5.0 \cdot 10^4$	Pa
Shear strength	$ au_{ m max}$	$5.0 \cdot 10^4$	Pa
Waves			
Amplitude	a	1.5	m
Period	T	25.0	S
No. of grains	N	30000	
Initial no. of bonds	N_b	73421	
Time step	Δt	0.0005	S

Table 2. Physical and numerical model parameters used in the reference simulations in Sect. 8.2.1

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units
Grains			
Density	ρ	910	kg/m ³
Thickness	h	1.0	m
Radius	r	3.0	m
Bonds			
Length coefficient	λ_b	1.0	_
Radius coefficient	λ_R	1.0	_
Thickness	h_m	0.8	m
Elastic modulus	E_b	$9.0 \cdot 10^9$	Ра
Tensile strength	$\sigma_{t,\max}$	∞	Ра
Waves			
Amplitude	a	0.5	m
Period	T	10.0	S
No. of grains	N	2602	
Initial no. of bonds	N_b	N-1	_
Time step	Δt	0.0001	s



Figure 1. Two approaches to the usage of grains and bonds in DE-SIgn: without bonds, when ice floes identical with grains and the FSD is prescribed (a); with bonds, when ice floes are assemblages of bonded grains and the FSD is obtained as a solution (b).



Figure 2. Geometry of two grains, i and j, connected with a semielastic bond.



Figure 3. Forces and torques acting on an elastic bond connecting grains *i* and *j*: top view (a) and side view (b). Letters C and T denote points where maximum compressive and tensile stress, respectively, occurs due to the bending moment caused by $\Delta \omega_{ij,z}$ in (a) and $\Delta \omega_{ij,t}$ in (b). Gray curved arrow in (b) denotes twisting moment associated with $\Delta \omega_{ij,n}$



Figure 4. A sketch of a circular grain on a sloping sea surface, illustrating the variables involved in calculation of the wave-induced torque (see text for details).



Figure 5. Example damage patterns obtained in simulations of an initially compact sample under uniaxial tensile (a,b), uniaxial compressive (c,d), and shear (e,f) strain. Thick gray lines show the bonds between grains. Model parameters that differed from the reference run are given with each panel. See Supplementary Figs. 3–5 for more images.



Figure 6. Amplitude of the maximum normal (a,c,e) and shear (b,d,f) stress due to bonded interactions in simulations under uniaxial compressive strain, with variable model parameters.



Figure 7. As in Fig. 6, but in simulations under shear strain.



Figure 8. Temporal evolution of the fraction of broken bonds and the rate of bond breaking (a); relationships between the fraction of broken bonds and and the global normal and shear stress in simulations under uniaxial compression (reference model settings).



Figure 9. As in Fig. 8, but in simulation under shear strain.



Figure 10. Instantaneous normal stress (color scale; in Pa) acting on individual grains in simulation under uniaxial compressive strain short after terminal failure of the material (t = 600 s, see Figs. 6,8). Panel (b) shows a fragment within the rectangle marked in (a), with bonds between grains illustrated with thick blue lines. Reference model settings.



Figure 11. Instantaneous shear stress (color scale; in Pa) acting on individual grains due to bond interactions (a) and pairwise interactions (b) in simulation under shear strain at t = 2000 s (see Figs. 7,9). Reference model settings. Note different color scales in (a) and (b).

Figure 12. Results of 1D simulations of sea ice response to waves (without breaking): tilt of the grains (a,c,e) and stress acting on bonds (b,d,f), for floe lengths equal $(n_0 - \frac{1}{2})L$ (green), n_0L (red) and $(n_0 + \frac{1}{2})L$ (blue); $n_0 = 1$ in (a,b), $n_0 = 5$ in (c,d), and $n_0 = 100$ in (e,f). In all cases, both ends of the floe could move freely (see text). The distance along the floes is measured relative to the floes' centers. In (a,c,e), continuous and dashed lines show the range of variability (i.e., the minimum and maximum values recorded during a simulation) of the flexural and rigid components of the floe's motion, respectively. In (b,d,f), the continuous and dashed lines show the maximum and the standard deviation of the stress, respectively. Note different ranges of the vertical axes in the panels. In (a), the dashed and continuous red lines overlap.

Figure 13. As in Fig. 12e, f, i.e., for $n_0 = 100$, but for a floe with the right end 'frozen' (see text). The distance along the floes is measured relative to the floes' right edges.

Figure 14. Wave-induced breaking of an ice floe with initial size 100L, 'frozen' at its right end (x = 0). In the main panel in (a), individual breaking events are shown with dots in function of their position and time. The inset in (a) shows the histogram of the floe sizes (l/L) after breaking. In (b), a fragment of the initial floe, 20L-long, is shown, with breaking positions marked with vertical lines.

Figure 15. Fragments of the model domain at the end of the reference simulation, with T = 25 s (a; wavelength L = 976 m), and a simulation with T = 23 s (b; wavelength L = 826 m), showing the pattern of floes. The wave propagation direction is from top to bottom. Note that the whole area of both panels is covered with ice, i.e., the large white spaces are large floes, not open water.

Figure 16. Rank-order statistics of floe sizes (a: number of grains in a floe; b: floe surface area) obtained in simulations with different wave period T. The dashed line in (b) marks the area of the largest individual grain in the ensemble. Results of the reference run are shown with crosses.