Author reply to Anonymous Referee #1

5

10

The format of this reply is as follows. We provide a point-by-point response to the comments by the referee. The referee comments are cited in grey italic font. Our replies to the individual comments are given in regular, black font. At the end of this reply, we attach a latexdiff version of the revised manuscript, displaying

the changes we have made. All line numbers given in the reply below refer to this latexdiff version.

This manuscript describes the application of the Met.3D software package to planning aircraft campaigns to study warm conveyor belts. The paper is well written and for the most part clear. The paper would otherwise be ready for publication except for two crucial problems:

1. A severe Eurocentric perspective that affects the balance of the manuscript. Why this perspective is so highly skewed is unclear. Is it author bias against other countries or author ignorance of the history of their discipline?

15 2. Poor quality video, figures and figure captions. Much improvement is needed to explain the figures, as well as make them more readable and more understandable.

We would like to thank Referee #1 for acknowledging the overall clarity and quality of our manuscript and for providing helpful comments on improving text and figures. However, we are surprised that the referee

- 20 interprets a "*severe Eurocentric perspective*" that in the referee's opinion affects the balance of our manuscript. In no way the authors are biased against other countries nor are they ignorant of the history of the discipline. We refuse to tolerate such an allegation. To put our original citation practice in a more rational perspective, we note that, when disregarding the 5 citations to our own related work, we count 8 US and 18 European (only 10 continental-European) authors. However, a distinction into countries of origin is strange to
- us and we want to emphasise that historical key publications in the field of European and non-European origin were cited in the original version of the manuscript (Browning, 1986; Browning and Roberts, 1994; Carlson, 1980; Harrold, 1973). Our intention was that readers mainly interested in the core technical and visualization aspects of the paper (and potentially not familiar with WCBs) should be able to get a quick overview of the investigated weather system. It was not our intention to provide a full review of WCB
- 30 literature. We note that the other two referees did not see our citation practice critical. Referee #3 explicitly states: "*The usage of references is adequate and the authors give a proper credit to related works.*" The short literature survey in the original Sect. 2.1 aimed at investigating previous approaches using objective criteria to select Lagrangian particle trajectories in order to investigate WCBs. This is noted in the original manuscript on p. 2166, II. 27ff. However, we agree that parts of our wording, as well as the original title of
- 35 Sect. 2.1 ("Review of trajectory-based WCB detection") have been misleading, potentially provoking a misunderstanding. We apologise for that and have rewritten the criticised text passages to give a historically more balanced picture.

Regarding figures and video, we have taken great effort to create images that are high-quality while still compliant with the technical (size) restrictions imposed by GMD. For example, the supplement is restricted to 50 MB, requiring the video to be highly compressed. We solve this issue in the final version by also providing the video in its original screen resolution outside the GMD website. We also note that most of the figures were optimised for the final GMD layout and appeared much smaller in the discussions layout. In the revised manuscript attached at the end of this reply, we have scaled the figures to their final intended size. We have revised a number of the employed colour schemes to optimise for clarity in printed versions.

Detailed answers to all referee comments are given below.

Crucial Problems:

50 A. Poor citation practice

1. The paper is written as if Wernli and Davies (1997) is the earliest reference for applying the calculation of air-parcel trajectories to airstreams in extratropical cyclones. On p. 2166, line 23, the paper refers to "more recent studies" that calculate trajectories,

- 55 but none are cited. On p. 2167, the paper reads, "Wernli and Davies (1997) have introduced the usage of Lagrangian particle trajectories to analyse the dynamics of extratropical cyclones." In fact, at least ten different articles were published before 1997 that "introduced" trajectories to the study of extratropical cyclones. In fact, trajectories were already being used in an operational setting within the National Weather Service
- 60 by 1989 (Phillips, 1989). The rest of section 2.1 reads as if no one else other than continental Europeans have been calculating trajectories of WCBs, when in fact the work was done elsewhere first. Such statements in the paper misrepresent the actual history of meteorology and need to be corrected.

- 65 Ying-Hwa Kuo, Marina Skumanich, Philip L. Haagenson, and Julius S. Chang, 1985: The Accuracy of Trajectory Models as Revealed by the Observing System Simulation Experiments. Mon. Wea. Rev., 113, 1852–1867. Jeffrey S. Whitaker, Louis W. Uccellini, and Keith F. Brill, 1988: A Model-Based Diagnostic Study of the Rapid Development Phase of the Presidents's Day Cyclone. Mon.
- Wea. Rev., 116, 2337–2365.
 W. Hibbard, D. Santek, L. Uccellini, and K. Brill, 1989: Application of the 4-D McIDAS to a Model Diagnostic Study of the Presidents' Day Cyclone. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 70, 1394–1403.

Norman A. Phillips, 1989: Routine Forecast of Trajectories. Mon. Wea. Rev., 117, 1351–1354.

Richard J. Reed, Mark T. Stoelinga, and Ying-Hwa Kuo, 1992: A Model-aided Study of the Origin and Evolution of the Anomalously High Potential vorticity in the Inner Region of a Rapidly Deepening Marine Cyclone. Mon. Wea. Rev., 120, 893–913. Ying-Hwa Kuo, Richard J. Reed, and Simon Low-Nam, 1992: Thermal Structure and

- Airflow in a Model Simulation of an Occluded Marine Cyclone. Mon. Wea. Rev., 120, 2280–2297.
 Christopher A. Davis, Mark T. Stoelinga, and Ying-Hwa Kuo, 1993: The Integrated Effect of Condensation in Numerical Simulations of Extratropical Cyclogenesis. Mon.
- Wea. Rev., 121, 2309–2330.
 Schultz, D. M., and C. F. Mass, 1993: The occlusion process in a midlatitude cyclone over land. Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 918–940.
 Mass, C. F., and D. M. Schultz, 1993: The structure and evolution of a simulated midlatitude cyclone over land. Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 889–917.
 Kevin G. Doty and Donald J. Perkey, 1993: Sensitivity of Trajectory Calculations to the
- 90 Temporal Frequency of Wind Data. Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 387–401. Richard J. Reed, Ying-Hwa Kuo, and Simon Low-Nam, 1994: An Adiabatic Simulation of the ERICA IOP 4 Storm: An Example of Quasi-Ideal Frontal Cyclone Development. Mon. Wea. Rev., 122, 2688–2708.
- 95 As noted above, we agree that parts of our wording and the original caption of Sect. 2.1 were misleading. Our aim was to briefly review how objective criteria have been used to automatically select trajectories in order to detect WCB structures from a large number of computed trajectories. To make this issue more clear, we have renamed Sect. 2.1 to "WCB detection based on objectively selected Lagrangian particle trajectories" and rewritten the end of the introduction to Sect. 2 and the beginning of Sect. 2.1 (II. 210ff. in the revised
- 100 manuscript). Sect. 2.1 now starts with a more comprehensive introduction of the use of trajectories for studying extratropical cyclones, citing a number of the suggested references. Based on the references now provided in Sect. 2.1 we assume that an interested reader can easily get access to additional relevant publications. We have clarified that "more recent studies" (now II. 230ff.) refer to the works discussed in the remainder of the section that employ objective criteria. We apologise that our wording sounded as if Wernli and
- 105 Davis (1997) introduced the usage of trajectories for the analysis of extratropical cyclones. We have rewritten the passage to reflect the reference to the objective criteria they have introduced and how these criteria allow to detect the strongest WCB cores (II. 243ff.).

2. Another example of this Euro-centric skewed perspective comes about with the citation of references to the conveyor belt model. Although the warm conveyor belt was named by Harrold and popularized by Browning, it was Carlson (1980) who first integrated the warm conveyor belt into a holistic three-airstream model of airflow through extratropical cyclones. Citations that omit his crucial contribution include the following: p. 2163, line 12; p. 2166, lines 3-8.

115

120

125

We already cited the fundamental contributions by Browning, Carlson, and Harrold in the original manuscript. However, we have put them in a different order and broadened the historical context, to give an overall more balanced introduction of the warm conveyor belt and the airstream model. We have added the Carlson reference at the positions suggested by the referee. The changed parts can be found in the revised manuscript at II. 51ff., I. 181, II. 224ff.

3. DIAMET (Vaughan et al. 2015) also featured study of WCBs and included ensembles as part of its forecasting and part of its scientific purpose. Therefore, that study should be cited in the following locations: p. 2163, line 15; p. 2164, lines 1-6. Vaughan, G., and Coauthors 2015: Cloud banding and winds in intense European cyclones: Results from the DIAMET project. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., doi:

10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00238.1.

Thank you for pointing out this reference. We have added it at the suggested locations (in the revised manuscript I. 62 and I. 94). We also apologise that our original wording that "ensemble predictions have [...] not been used extensively.." (original manuscript p. 2164 l. 1) was not justified given that some other studies in addition to Vaughan et al. (2015) have also reported on some ensemble usage. We have cited those studies (l. 94 in the revised manuscript) and changed our wording to emphasise that ensemble have not been used to create specific (in particular 3D) forecast products for research flight planning. We have also changed the corresponding lines in Part 1 of our study (ll. 111-116 in the revised version of Part 1, see our reply to Referee #1 of Part 1).

4. p. 2163, line 27: 3D visualization techniques have been around much longer than implied by the authors and go well beyond "the few reports" cited by the authors. Consider the following references, which represent just a handful of the available citations. See also Robert Wilhelmson's pioneering work on supercell thunderstorm visualization from the 1000e and 1000e work that continues with more powerful visualization.

from the 1980s and 1990s, work that continues with more powerful visualization tools. Richard Grotjahn and Robert M. Chervin, 1984: Animated Graphics in Meteorological Research and Presentations. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 65, 1201–1208.

145 W. Hibbard, D. Santek, L. Uccellini, and K. Brill, 1989: Application of the 4-D McIDAS to a Model Diagnostic Study of the Presidents' Day Cyclone. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 70, 1394–1403.

James A. Schiavone and Thomas V. Papathomas, 1990: Visualizing Meteorological Data. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 71, 1012–1020.

150 William A. Gallus Jr, Douglas N. Yarger, Carolina Cruz-Neira, and Rex Heer, 2003: An Example of a Virtual Reality Learning Environment. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 84, 18–20.

William A. Gallus Jr., Cinzia Cervato, Carolina Cruz-Neira, Galen Faidley, and Rex Heer, 2005: Learning Storm Dynamics with a Virtual Thunderstorm. Bull. Amer. Me-

155 teor. Soc., 86, 162–163.

It is true that 3D visualization techniques have been used in research settings earlier than the three references that we had listed in the original manuscript. However, there are only very few reports on projects that used 3D visualization of NWP output in operational forecast settings (to which the originally listed references were referring). In our original manuscripts, we have reviewed much more literature on

160 references were referring). In our original manuscripts, we have reviewed much more literature on visualization in Part 1 of the study. However, we agree that we did not put an obvious reference to Part 1 into Part 2, and that the sentence criticised by the referee is misleading. We have clarified the part in the revised manuscript in II. 80-90 by briefly stating the distinction between research and forecast settings and by referencing to the corresponding section in Part 1. We have also cited

- 165 some the of the papers suggested by the referee. To make the reference list in Part 2 more balanced, we have also added some references used in Part 1 to Part 2 (II. 83-85). We have also modified parts of Part 1 to better reflect the history of 3D visualization in meteorology. We had originally considered such information to be outside the scope of the paper, however, agree that they give a more complete picture. We have hence extended Sect. 2 of Part 1 to discuss the references suggested by
- 170 the referee and have also added some more references that we felt are important to provide a balanced view. The modified parts in the revised version of Part 1 are II. 305-329 and II. 354-356 (see our reply to Referee #1 of Part 1).

5. p. 2166, line 10: Pfahl et al. (2014) was not the first or even most important study
to identify latent heat release in the WCB. I'm not sure a reference is needed for this statement, but if citations are going to be included, provide a more balanced citation list.

We do not use the recent study of Pfahl et al. (2014) to underpin the relevance of latent heat release in
 WCBs, but we state that WCBs are important for heavy precipitation events, a systematic link that has been investigated first in the cited study. We have clarified the sentence in the revised manuscript in II. 190-192 by splitting it into two parts and also citing the study by Browning (1986).

B. Figure problems

185

1. Many figures are too small to read the graphics or the labels. For example, Figures 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18.

It is true that the figures have been quite small in the "Discussions" layout of GMDD. Prior to the original

- 190 submission, we had checked that all figures are readable in the final GMD layout, however, had missed that they appear smaller in GMDD. In the attached revised version, we have scaled the figures to the size we intend them to be in the final layout. Also, we have rearranged the layout of Figs. 5 and 6 to make the images larger, as their size has also been criticised by Referee #3.
- 195 2. The colour scheme in many of the figures is difficult to separate the volume from the background map. The colours in each are nearly the same, such as in Figure 14. (In fact, I don't even see that the colour scheme presents any yellows, oranges or reds. Why not?) These need to be fixed. This applies to the figures and the video.
- We agree that in particular in a printed version of the manuscript, the white isosurfaces used in a number of the figures were difficult to distinguish from the background. We have revised a number of figures. In particular, we have changed the colour of the white isosurfaces in Figs. 3, 5, 12, 14 and 19 to a light purple in order to create a better contrast between the isosurfaces and the background map. The colour scheme in Fig. 14 (and also Fig. 12) refers to the normal curves inside the isosurface (which is the reason we chose a white isosurface at first). We have changed the colour map to use more intense colours that are also visible through the new purple isosurfaces. Concerning the video, we do not agree that the employed colour schemes are as difficult to see as in a printed version of the manuscript. We think that the most limiting factor for the video is the strong compression used to make the video fit into the 50 MB size limit of GMD. In the final version of the paper, we also provide the video at full screen resolution outside the GMD homepage and provide a corresponding link in the Supplement.
- The video is a nice addition to the manuscript, but it could be much improved. The white panels introducing the figures could be more descriptive. There could be more annotation and/or a voice-over to explain what the user is doing with the clicks and what the viewer is seeing in the animations. Often times, it wasn't clear to me what I should be seeing or what feature of the software was being displayed.

The white panels are meant to simply reference the corresponding figures in the manuscript. Our intention is that the video is not viewed on its own but side-by-side with the case study in Sect. 5. We have made this point more clear in the revised manuscript (II. 786-788). Also, the revised figure captions in the manuscript (see below) largely describe the figures and their counterparts in the video. We have intentionally not used a voice-over for the video as we do not want readers to require loudspeakers at their workplace to understand the video. However, as the referee suggested, we have added further annotations to the video.

4. Figure 14: What are the branching patterns in this and other figures? It is unclear and not stated in the figure caption.

The branching patterns are the normal curves introduced in Part 1. We agree that this was not very clearly mentioned in the original manuscript. We have made the issue clearer in the revised captions for Figs. 12 and 14. Also, we have added a statement at II. 890-893 in the revised manuscript that references to the corresponding section in Part 1.

5. Interpreting Figure 8 is difficult. It is unclear what is being referred to by all the different coloured lines, and the gray and red shading of the boxes. More clarity is needed.

235 neede

230

We agree that the figure caption was incomplete. We have revised the caption to better describe the figure.

6. All figure captions should be complete. Many figure captions do not fully describe all
the lines within the figures. As just one example of many, Figure 18 needs a description of what the purple contours represent. Fix all figure captions throughout the manuscript so that a complete description of the figure is enclosed.

We have fixed all captions to clearly describe all contents of the figures. This applies in particular to Figs. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19.

7. The white lettering in some of the figures (e.g., Figure 12, left side panels) needs to be more obvious to the reader.

250 We have changed the colour in Fig. 12 to black, as we have also changed the time format (see below) and colour scheme (see above) of the figure. We have checked the readability of the letters in all figures in a printed version of the revised manuscript.

8. Figure 4: There is no statement about what the yellow versus green boxes represent
in the caption. Also, it is unclear what the lines extending from memory manager and task scheduler represent. They connect to nothing.

Thank you for pointing this out. We agree that the figure was difficult to understand without reading the corresponding section in Part 1. We have revised the figure caption to be more descriptive. Also, we have revised parts of the corresponding Sect. 2.3 to better link to the corresponding parts in Part 1 (II. 453-455 and II. 462-473 in the revised manuscript).

9. The color scheme in Figure 7f makes interpreting the figure difficult. What is this feature supposed to be? It is unclear from viewing it. The caption adds no further insight: "All 51 members visualized in a single image, distinguished by colour." What does the colour represent?

The colour scheme distinguishes the individual ensemble members. We have revised the figure caption to make this more clear.

270

265

10. Figure 17: I don't see the white contours. Can these be made more clear?

We have changed the colour of the contours to green. They are now well visible in our printed version of the revised manuscript.

275

11. Figure 10, right column: This colour scheme is difficult to interpret from the base maps.

We have changed to the colour scheme of the background map to grey. The important features of the figure are the coloured clouds, which we think are well legible.

12. Figure 9d: It is difficult to interpret this figure. Ensemble member means nothing to the readers. Should the bars be ranked from highest value to lowest value, or simply be replaced by statistics of the distribution?

285

We have revised the figure caption to better describe the figure.

Major Comments:

290 1. Why is equivalent potential temperature (theta-e) used to identify the cold front in cross section? Because theta-e has a strong component of moisture, theta-e is notably unreliable for looking at fronts, especially in cross sections where strong moisture gradients may show false frontal zones. Regions of potential instability (theta-e decreasing with height) will indicate forward-tilting cold fronts, which are not observed in crossing with height) will indicate forward-tilting cold fronts, which are not observed in creasing with height).

reality. Thus, frontal zones should be properly identified using potential temperature.

The literature contains several examples where moist temperature measures are used to diagnose fronts (e.g. Kašpar, 2003, Hewson, 1998). In Hewson (1998) advantages and disadvantages for both moist and dry temperature measures are explained. As moist temperatures consider modifications of fronts by diabatic ef fects in warm conveyor belts (Jenkner et al., 2010) we decided to stick with theta-e for our purpose of giving an example of visualizations relevant for the mission planning during T-NAWDEX-Falcon. This campaign focused on studying the impact of latent heat release within WCBs on the dynamics. Of course, it is easy for Met.3D users to switch between theta and theta-e visualizations both for all displayed forecast products. This allows a thorough analysis especially in critical regions of, for example, potential instability.

305

Kašpar, M., 2003: Analyses of gust fronts by means of limited area NWP model outputs. Atmospheric Research, 67–68, 333–351, doi:10.1016/S0169-8095(03)00066-8.

Hewson, T. D. (1998). Objective fronts. Met. Apps 5 (1), 37-65.

310

Jenkner, J., M. Sprenger, I. Schwenk, C. Schwierz, S. Dierer, and D. Leuenberger (2010). Detection and climatology of fronts in a high-resolution model reanalysis over the alps. Met. Apps 17 (1), 1-18.

2. The paper has numerous abbreviations that are difficult to remember, not repre-

315 sentative of what they stand for, and not used often enough to be worth it. Please

reduce the number of acronyms within the manuscript to make it more clear for the readers. FQ-A (necessary?), ENS (should be EPS), TNF (T-NAWDEX would be easier to remember), ABL (PBL is more commonly used), etc. Perhaps the worst example of an unnecessary and unclear acronym is "R15P1", which has the look of a specific

320 numerical experiment. Why not just refer to the paper as "Part 1", which is much more intuitive?

We have removed "FQ-A" etc. in both Part 1 and Part 2 of the study and replaced it by "forecast question A" etc. "ENS" is the official abbreviation used by ECMWF, they request other authors to make use of this
abbreviation as well. We do not agree that "TNF" is difficult to remember and have not changed this
abbreviation. "ABL" is just as frequently used as "PBL", there are entire textbooks that use this wording (e.g. Garratt, "The Atmospheric Boundary Layer", 1994; a search on Amazon for the words "atmospheric boundary layer" reveals further titles). We have changed "R15P1" to "Part 1" and "R15P2" to "Part 2" in both revised manuscripts.

330

335

3. Resolution is not the same as grid spacing. Do not refer to "1° by 1° resolution" (e.g., Figure 6 caption; p. 2187, lines 17-18). Fix throughout the manuscript.

Thank you for pointing this out. We have changed the wording to "grid spacing" throughout both Part 1 and Part 2.

Minor Comments:

1. Use standard scientific date/time format: 0000 UTC 15 October. No colons, no "on", no "IT15/00Z", etc. Fix throughout the manuscript, the video, the figures, and the figure

340 no "IT15/00Z", etc. Fix throughout the manusc captions.

We have changed the format to the suggested format throughout both Part 1 and Part 2 of the revised manuscript. However, for the case study, we feel that using an abbreviation makes the text much more
readable. Nevertheless, to be consistent with the fully spelled-out format we have changed to format of the abbreviations to, for example, "12Z/19" (see II. 794ff. in the revised manuscript).

2. p. 2163, Line 20: "despite" is incorrect in this context. One way to fix the sentence would be to rewrite the sentence to start with "Although".

We have fixed the sentence (II. 71-72 in the revised manuscript).

3. The video has several misspellings such as prosition, asses.

355 Thank you for pointing out these typos. We have fixed the misspellings.

4. p. 2163, line 11: Semicolon should be a colon. Check for accuracy in punctuation throughout the rest of the manuscript.

360 We have fixed the colon and checked the remainder of the manuscript.

Author reply to Anonymous Referee #2

The format of this reply is as follows. The referee comments are cited in grey italic font. Our replies to the individual comments are given in regular, black font. We have attached a latexdiff version of the revised manuscript, displaying the changes we have made, at the end of our reply to Anonymous Referee #1. All line numbers given in the reply below refer to this latexdiff version.

The manuscript demonstrates the use of 3-d visualization of probabilities. The probabilities are for WCB trajectories. Computation of the probabilities of trajectories in 3
dimensions is not trivial and the manuscript includes a nice discussion on the issue. I also liked the way of visualise the contributions to low probabilites. I would recommend the manuscript for publication after addresses the minor comments below.

We would like to thank the Referee #2 very much for his/her positive feedback and appreciation of our work. In the following, we reply to the referee's comments.

1. I would the good to include a discussion about other applications for 3-d probabilities of trajectories. One example of another application would be atmospheric chemistry.

380 We agree that further possible applications can be mentioned in the manuscript. We have added a few lines discussing potential application to air chemistry, pollutant dispersion and volcanic ash to the discussion of the article (II. 1186-1193).

2. In Section 3.1 and option S3: What in the CPU timing of the vertical interpolation or is the computational cost very low?

There is no additional vertical interpolation involved in setup S3. While the trajectories are started on a slightly different grid than for setup S2., the wind forecasts used to compute the trajectories remain the same. We have clarified the issue by adding a corresponding statement to II. 554/555 in the revised manuscript.

390

385

3. On several places the model resolution is referred to as "spherical resolution". "Spectral resolution" is a better wording.

Thank you for pointing out this issue. We have changed the wording to "spectral" throughout both Part 1 and Part 2 of the paper.

Author reply to Anonymous Referee #3

- 400 The format of this reply is as follows. The referee comments are cited in grey italic font. Our replies to the individual comments are given in regular, black font. We have attached a latexdiff version of the revised manuscript, displaying the changes we have made, at the end of our reply to Anonymous Referee #1. All line numbers given in the reply below refer to this latexdiff version.
- 405 The main topic of the article is the identification of warm conveyor belts from ensemble weather forecasts for aircraft-based research campaigns in the framework of the Met.3D software system. The authors deal with both the scientific and technical aspects of the problem.
- The overall presentation of the article is clear and the text is logically built up. Each
 problem emerging during the discussion is clearly explained, the possible solutions are thoroughly analysed and the reasoning on why a given method was chosen always seems logical and well supported. The usage of references is adequate and the authors give a proper credit to related works.
- In general it is a well-written article revealing a significant amount of work both from
 the scientific and software engineering point of view. In particular, the handling of the
 low probabilities is a creditable achievement. Therefore I would definitively propose the
 paper for publication.

However, some parts of the manuscript requires further clarification and there are several other (mostly minor) comments that the authors should take into consideration.

420

435

440

445

We would like to thank Referee #3 very much for his/her positive and constructive comments and appreciation of our work. In the following, we reply to the referee's comments.

Optimal usage of data

425 The authors mention several times that the data volume of the ECMWF ENS was huge and caused performance issues. The experiments with setup S4 (p. 2174) clearly showed that it is enough to use only levels up to 100 hPa to detect WCBs, since they play out in the troposphere. However, in setups S1, S2 and S3 (p. 2173-2174) all the 62 model levels are used, although the topmost 10 model levels (so 15% of all the data)

430 are typically located above 100 hPa. It would be interesting to know why the authors did not skip these set of levels.

Thank you for pointing out this issue. In fact, we did start trajectories only on the lower 52 levels of the forecast grid (up to approximately 100 hPa). However, we did not mention this in the manuscript. We have added the corresponding information in the revised manuscript at II. 542-546 and I. 549.

ENS related comments

1. The term "control forecast" is used at several places without explaining actually what it is. It might be worth adding a short description about ensemble prediction in general to clarify its concepts (at least in relation with ECMWF ENS).

True, while we put the corresponding information into Part 1, we missed to also put them into Part 2. We have added a note about the ENS consisting of 50 perturbed members and an unperturbed control run to II. 139-141 of the revised manuscript (note: latexdiff does not highlight changes made to citations, however, the given lines have been changed). Also, we have revised the part that references to the description of the data in Part 1 (II. 329ff. in the revised manuscript) to better point out to the reader where more detailed information about the data can be obtained.

- 2. The number of members in the ECMWF ENS forecast is not used consistently: e.g.
- 450 p. 2175, line 16 mentions "50", but p. 2178, line 2 says "51".

Thank you for pointing out this typo. All numbers should have read "51", we have changed those that read "50" to "51" (e.g. I. 609).

- 455 3. FC-B and FB-D on page 2164 asks "how reliable are the weather predictions" and p. 2181 line 13 also mentions "reliability", but ensemble forecasts in general do not estimate reliability, instead they can measure uncertainty. This is an important conceptual difference.
- 460 This is true, thank you for pointing out the inaccurate wording. We have changed the word in forecast

question B to "uncertain". In question D, we mean how "meaningful" the computed probabilities are in the sense of how they should be interpreted. This corresponds to the proposed region contribution method and the possibility to change dp/dt interactively (as done in the case study in lines 920ff.). We have hence chosen the word "meaningful" to replace "reliable" for question D. We have fixed the wording in both Part 1 and Part 2.

465

500

4. P. 2167, line 8 is using the term "spherical truncation of T213" but it is more precisely a triangular truncation of a spherical harmonic spectral representation (or spectral truncation in short).

5. Similarly p. 2168, line 16. mentions "spherical resolution of T639" but it is actually a 470 spectral resolution.

This was also pointed by Referee #2. We have changed the wording to "spectral" in both Part 1 and Part 2.

475 6. P.2168, line: T799L91 used here without explanation.

We have added a short explanation in brackets (I. 298).

- 7. The article mentions multiple times (e.g. p. 2169, line 3) that the ECMWF model has 480 terrain-following model levels. Well, actually it is a hybrid vertical co-ordinate system: it is terrain following at the bottom-most level and isobaric at the topmost level, in between there is a transition. This is mentioned later in the text but I think this should be clarified for the users at the very beginning.
- This is true. We have changed the wording from "terrain-following" to "hybrid sigma-pressure" throughout the 485 manuscript, referencing the corresponding paper by Untch and Hortal (2004) with the first occurrence at I. 338). Also, we have noted in I. 526 that the uppermost levels do not depend on surface pressure anymore.

8. P. 2173, line 17 mentions that the ENS model levels depend on the surface pressure field. The authors correctly point out that this varies between the ensemble members 490 and deal with the consequences of this fact. However, they fail to mention that it also varies between the time steps and it has implications on the data pre-processing.

We have rewritten II. 529-530 in the revised manuscript to reflect the dependence on time and ensemble 495 member. However, as our method computes the probabilities for each timestep independently, we do not see any further implications on data pre-processing.

9. It would be interesting to know what horizontal and vertical interpolation techniques were used to prepare the input fields from the ECMWF ENS. Also, since it is an operational environment, the computational cost of the pre-processing steps is worth mentioning, especially if it is comparable to the cost of the trajectory computations.

In our setting, the forecast data fields are retrieved in interpolated form from the ECMWF MARS archive. Interpolation is all done by the ECMWF systems during the operational data retrieval. Hence, on our servers

- at DLR, the data are already received in interpolated form. This is the time that we refer to as "data are 505 available from ECMWF" in criterion "a" in the beginning of Sect. 3. Upon reception of the data, we are ready to visualize the data with Met.3D and also to start the computation of the trajectories. Hence, while there is some processing of the data at ECMWF, there is no further pre-processing step on our side. To make this point more clear in the manuscript, we have added information at II. 332ff. (concerning the interpolation done
- 510 by MARS) and at II. 504-506 (concerning the "availability").

10. The article uses the term "initialisation time" for the model run time. I suggest that the authors should use "run time" instead.

"Initialisation time" is used frequently in our environment, hence we would like to stick with this term. 515 However, we have added the terms "base time" and "run time" in a bracket at I. 796 of the revised manuscript to clarify these alternative notations for "initialisation time".

Figure related comments

520 1. Mixed use of "Figure" and "Fig." throughout the article for figure references. I suggest that "Figure" should be used everywhere.

The mixed use is due to the guide lines of GMD, asking to use the abbreviated "Fig." within sentences and

"Figure" at the beginning.

525

2. The caption of Figure 5b mentions ensemble member 12, but the text mentions "control forecast" (see p.2175 line: 21).

Thank you, we have corrected to text to "member 12" (l. 617),

530

3. Figure 5b shows "binary volume rendering" but the caption does not mention it.

We have revised the figure caption and also the corresponding description in Sect. 3.2 (l. 618) to clarify the meaning of the isosurface.

535

4. The details in Figure 5a (red isosurfaces inside transparent white isosurfaces) can only be seen at 3x magnification in the pdf. I wonder how it would work in a printed version. Also, it is somewhat hard to distinguish between the white isosurface and the greyish map background.

540

This issue was also raised by Referee #1. We have changed the colour of the isosurface to a light purple, so that it can be better distinguished from the background. Also, it is true that the figures have been quite small in the "Discussions" layout of GMDD. Prior to the original submission, we had checked that all figures are readable in the final GMD layout, however, had missed that they appear smaller in GMDD. In the revised version, we have scaled the figures to the size we intend them to be in the final layout. Concerning Figs. 5 and 6, we have rearranged the layout of these two figures to make the images larger. In the revised version of the manuscript, the details are now well visible when printed.

5. The colour code of Figure 9d should be explained in the caption.

550

555

545

We have added an explanation to the caption.

6. The details in Figure 12 and Figure 14 are hard to see without magnification and it is somewhat hard to distinguish between the white isosurface and the greyish map background.

Please see the answer to point 4 above.

7. Figure 19 features the same problems as Figure 5a (see point 4 above).

560

Please see the answer to point 4 above.

Minor remarks:

1. p. 2180: abbreviation DLR is first mentioned here but not explanation is given

565

We have added the unabbreviated name (l. 800).

2. p 2167, line 21: a.s.l. stands for "above sea level" but no explanation is given

570 We have added "above sea level" (l. 274).

Manuscript prepared for Geosci. Model Dev. with version 2015/04/24 7.83 Copernicus papers of the LATEX class copernicus.cls. Date: 20 June 2015

3-D visualization of ensemble weather forecasts – Part 2: Forecasting warm conveyor belt situations for aircraft-based field campaigns

M. Rautenhaus¹, C. M. Grams², A. Schäfler³, and R. Westermann¹

¹Computer Graphics & Visualization Group, Technische Universität München, Garching, Germany
 ²Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
 ³Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

Correspondence to: M. Rautenhaus (marc.rautenhaus@tum.de)

Abstract. We present the application of interactive 3-D visualization of ensemble weather predictions to forecasting warm conveyor belt situations during aircraft-based atmospheric research campaigns. Motivated by forecast require- ³⁵

- ⁵ ments of the T-NAWDEX-Falcon 2012 campaign, a method to predict 3-D probabilities of the spatial occurrence of warm conveyor belts has been developed. Probabilities are derived from Lagrangian particle trajectories computed on the forecast wind fields of the ECMWF ensemble prediction system. 40
- ¹⁰ Integration of the method into the 3-D ensemble visualization tool Met.3D, introduced in the first part of this study, facilitates interactive visualization of WCB features and derived probabilities in the context of the ECMWF ensemble forecast. We investigate the sensitivity of the method with ⁴⁵
- respect to trajectory seeding and grid spacing of the forecast wind fieldresolution. Furthermore, we propose a visual analysis method to quantitatively analyse the contribution of ensemble members to a probability region and, thus, to assist the forecaster in interpreting the obtained probabilities. 50
- ²⁰ A case study, revisiting a forecast case from T-NAWDEX-Falcon, illustrates the practical application of Met.3D and demonstrates the use of 3-D and uncertainty visualization for weather forecasting and for planning flight routes in the medium forecast range (three to seven days before take-off). ⁵⁵

25 1 Introduction

Weather forecasting during aircraft-based field campaigns requires the meteorologist to explore large amounts of numerical weather prediction (NWP) data in a short period of time. Atmospheric features relevant to a research flight have to be

identified quickly, and findings have to be communicated to colleagues. Furthermore, assessing the forecast's uncertainty has become indispensable as flights frequently have to be planned several days before take-off.

A challenging element in forecasting methodology is to create clear and intuitive visualizations that allow the meteorologist to perform these tasks in a timely manner. To advance forecasting techniques for research flight planning, this work presents a new approach using interactive threedimensional (3-D) visualization of ensemble weather predictions (the latter a major source of information on forecast uncertainty, Gneiting and Raftery, 2005; Leutbecher and Palmer, 2008) to forecast warm conveyor belt (WCB) situations.

The article is the second part of a two-paper study. The first part (Rautenhaus et al., 2015, hereafter "Part 1") introduces Met.3D, a tool providing interactive 3-D 3-D techniques for the visual exploration of ensemble weather prediction data. This article focuses on the specific application case of forecasting WCBs;-: strong, ascending, and often rain producing airstreams associated with mid-latitude weather systems . WCBs extratropical cyclones. The term "WCB" was introduced by Harrold (1973) and Browning (1971) and consolidated in a conceptual airstream model for extratropical cyclones (also including the cold conveyor belt and the dry airstream) by Carlson (1980). Example references for WCBs include Browning (1990) for an overview, Eckhardt et al. (2004) and Madonna et al. (2014) for a climatology, and Browning (1986) and Pfahl et al. (2014) for relevance in large-scale precipitation. WCBs are an atmospheric feature that has been in the focus of several aircraft-based campaigns (e.g. Pomroy and Thorpe, 2000; Vaughan et al., 2003; Schäfler et al., 2014; Vaughan et al., 2015).

A recent campaign that targeted WCBs is T-NAWDEX-Falcon 2012 (THORPEX – North Atlantic Waveguide and Downstream Impact Experiment, hereafter TNF), which took place in October 2012 in southern Germany. Schäfler et al. (2014) describe the TNF flight planning process. WCBs ¹²⁰ (as well as other atmospheric features targeted by research

- ⁷⁰ flights) are of an inherently three-dimensional nature. However, despite the 3-D nature of the atmosphere although the atmosphere is three-dimensional, the forecasting and flight-planning tools employed during TNF relied on twodimensional (2-D) visualization methods. This is a common
- ⁷⁵ property not only of campaign tools (Flatøy et al., 2000; Blakeslee et al., 2007; He et al., 2010; Rautenhaus et al., ¹²⁵ 2012) but also of meteorological workstations in general (e.g. Heizenrieder and Haucke, 2009; Russell et al., 2010). 3-D visualization methods are not commonly used in forecasting;.
- While 3-D techniques have been used in research settings as early as in the 1980s (e.g. Grotjahn and Chervin, 1984; Hi- 130 bbard, 1986; Hibbard et al., 1989; Wilhelmson et al., 1990) and continue to be used in recent visualization tools (e.g. Hibbard, 2005; Norton and Clyne, 2012; Dyer and Amburn,
- ⁸⁵ 2010; Murray and McWhirter, 2007), only few reports on approaches using 3-D techniques for forecasting have been ¹³⁵ published in the past two decades (Treinish and Rothfusz, 1997; Koppert et al., 1998; McCaslin et al., 2000). Part 1, Sect. 2, provides further details on the listed references and
 ⁹⁰ on 3-D visualization in meteorology.
- Similarly, ensemble predictions while the use of ensemble 140 predictions has been reported for recent field campaigns (e.g. Wulfmeyer et al., 2008; Elsberry and Harr, 2008; Ducrocq et al., 2014; Vaughan et al., 2015), they have, to
- ⁹⁵ the best of our knowledge, not been used extensively during aircraft-based campaignsto create specific 3-D forecast ¹⁴⁵ products for flight planning. However, in particular the possibility to use ensembles to compute 3-D probability fields of the occurrence of features or events is valuable for flight
- planning. For the WCB case, a probability of WCB occurrence can be used to plan flight routes in regions in which the 150 probability to encounter a WCB is at a maximum.

The work presented in this article is motivated by the questions of (1) how interactive 3-D visualization can be used to

¹⁰⁵ improve the exploration of 3-D features of interest to a flight campaign, and (2) how ensemble forecasts (in particular de- ¹⁵⁵ rived probabilities) can be used to improve research flight planning in the medium forecast range (that is, three to seven days before take-off). Our developments have been guided
 ¹¹⁰ by a number of forecast questions that reflect the TNF re-

quirements. They are repeated here from $\frac{\text{R15P1}}{\text{R15P1}}$ for 160 completeness:

- FQ-A: how A: How will the large scale weather situation develop over the next week, and will conditions occur that favour WCB formation?
- FQ-B: how reliable B: How uncertain are the weather predictions?

- FQ-C: where C: Where and when, in the medium forecast range and within the spatial range of the aircraft, is a WCB most likely to occur?
- FQ-D: how reliable D: How meaningful is the forecast of WCB occurrence?
- FQ-E: where E: Where will the WCB be located relative to cyclonic and dynamic features?

The technical basis for (FQ-A) and (FQ-B) questions A and B is laid in R15P1. Part 1. This article addresses (FQ-C) to (FQ-E) questions C to E and presents a case study that demonstrates how the methods developed in both papers are applied to forecasting.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2, we propose a technique to compute 3-D probabilities of WCB occurrence. Our approach is put into relation to previous work in the field, and its integration into the Met.3D architecture is described. During TNF, we followed the approach of Wernli and Davies (1997) and used Lagrangian particle trajectories computed on the forecast wind field to objectively detect WCB airstreams. Using wind forecasts from the European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Ensemble Prediction System (ENS)(ENS; comprising 50 perturbed forecast runs and an unperturbed control run; e.g. Buizza et al., 2006), trajectories were started from the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) for each ensemble member. Those trajectories fulfilling a WCB criterion were gridded into 2-D grids and displayed as probability maps showing the occurrence of either or all of WCB inflow, ascent, and outflow. However, generalising this approach to three dimensions poses challenges, as discussed in Sect. 2. We present an adapted approach using domain-filling trajectories, which is more accurate, albeit computationally more expensive. In order to find the best method that is still computationally tractable in a forecast setting, both approaches are compared in Sect. 3. We analyse their sensitivity to the spatial resolution grid spacing of the forecast wind fields and to the number and locations of the trajectory seeding points.

To facilitate quantitative interpretation of the obtained probabilities, we further propose a visual analysis method for cases in which only low probabilities of the occurrence of WCBs are encountered (Sect. 4). In such cases a flight often might not be planned due to the interpreted high uncertainty. However, low probability can have two causes. Either indeed only a small percentage of the ensemble members predict a WCB feature, or large spatial variation of the features in the individual ensemble members causes only marginal overlap and thus low probabilities. In the latter case the probability that a WCB will occur is actually much larger than suggested by the visualized probabilities. However, there is a large uncertainty in where it will occur. To help the user distinguish between these causes, we propose a method that identifies the contribution of individual members to a probability region.

115

260

- After the introduction of all methods that are required ²²⁰ to explore a forecast to answer questions (FQ-A) to (FQ-E)forecast questions A to E, Sect. 5 revisits the TNF forecast case of 19 October 2012. The case study shows how the proposed 3-D ensemble visualization workflow is applied
- to campaign forecasting, and illustrates the use and added ²²⁵ value of the presented methods.

The paper is concluded with a summary and discussion in Sect. 6.

2 Probability of warm conveyor belt occurrence

- WCBs are Lagrangian airstreams in extratropical cyclones (e.g. Harrold, 1973; Carlson, 1980; Browning, 1990). They transport warm and moist air from the ABL in a cyclone's ²³⁵ warm sector upward and poleward towards the tropopause. The inflow region in the lower troposphere typically extends
- ¹⁸⁵ over several hundred kilometres in diameter. WCB airmasses commonly ascend by about 500 to 600 hPa in 48 h, thereby covering horizontal distances of up to 2000 km (e.g. Wernli ²⁴⁰ and Davies, 1997; Eckhardt et al., 2004). Due to the strong ascent, condensation leads to strong latent heat release and
- the formation of clouds and precipitation , making WCBs (e.g. Browning, 1986). Therefore, WCBs are highly relevant for precipitation extremes in the extratropics (e.g. Pfahl et al., 2014). Once the airmasses reach jet level, an outflow region forms near the tropopause. This region is characterised by ²⁴⁵
- cirrus clouds that extend over several thousand kilometres along the jet stream. Readers interested in further detail are referred to Madonna et al. (2014), who give a comprehensive introduction to the field.

To plan a flight that allows aircraft measurements within ²⁵⁰ a WCB, we are interested in the spatial and temporal distribution of WCB features in the ensemble forecast. As a summary measure of the uncertainty information, the probability of WCB occurrence, p(WCB), is of particular interest. It provides for a given location in 3-D space at a given time ²⁵⁵ the probability of encountering a WCB airmass. To compute p(WCB) from an ensemble weather forecast, we first need to

detect WCB features in the individual ensemble members.

2.1 WCB detection based on objectively selected Lagrangian particle trajectories

In early studies of, for instance, Harrold (1973), Carlson (1980) and Browning (1986), conveyor belt airstreams have been identified by manual inspection of satel-265 lite imagery or by isentropic analysis. In more recent studies, WCBs are frequently detected from numerical weather and climate simulation output by using Lagrangian particle trajectories. This approach allows for an objective identification of the WCB structures. Subsequent studies 270 have used Lagrangian particle trajectories computed with wind fields from numerical model output to investigate case

studies of extratropical cyclones. For example, Whitaker et al. (1988) and Hibbard et al. (1989) show the existence of three distinct airstreams in a modelling case study of the 1979 "President's Day storm" and relate the airstreams to the conceptual model by Carlson (1980). Further case studies, including Kuo et al. (1992), Schultz and Mass (1993), Mass and Schultz (1993), and Reed et al. (1994), also interpret computed trajectories in consideration of the Carlson (1980) model, however, note that they are able to identify rather a continuum of flow paths than discrete airstreams.

In more recent studies (see discussion below), Lagrangian particle trajectories are frequently used to *objectively* detect WCB structures in numerical model output. For our work, we are interested in the specific ways trajectories are used in the literature to detect WCBs. In particular, this includes the employed objective detection criteria and the spatial and temporal resolution spacing of the trajectories as well as the employed wind fields. In Sect. 2.1, we review literature on trajectory-based WCB detection. Our approach to derive 3-D fields of p(WCB) from the detected features is described in Sect. 2.2, and the integration of the method into Met.3D is topic of Sect. 2.3.

2.2 Review of trajectory-based WCB detection

Wernli and Davies (1997) have introduced the usage of Lagrangian particle trajectories to analyse the dynamics of extratropical cyclones introduce objective criteria to extract what they call "coherent ensembles of trajectories" (CET, a bundle of trajectories started at different locations; not to be confused with the meaning of "ensemble" in "ensemble forecasts") from a set of trajectories covering the entire domain of interest. They use wind fields from the ECMWF global atmospheric model, interpolated (from a spherical spectral truncation of T213) to a regular latitude/longitude grid of $0.75^{\circ} \times 0.75^{\circ}$ with 31 levels in the vertical and 6h time resolutiona six-hour time interval. Trajectories are started on every model grid point below 800 hPa (approx. 7 levels). A number of criteria are used to extract what they call "coherent ensembles of trajectories" (CET, a bundle of trajectories started at different locations; not to be confused with the meaning of "ensemble" in "ensemble forecasts"). Wernli and Davies show that nearly identical CETs are obtained by selecting trajectories that experience either a moisture decrease of $12 \,\mathrm{g \, kg^{-1}}$ in 48 h or an ascent of more than 620 hPa in 48 h. The approach allows to focus on the dynamically most relevant cores of an extratropical cyclone's airstreams. In a subsequent article, Wernli (1997) applies the suggested method to the case study of Browning and Roberts (1994) and relates the obtained CETs to the WCB model. Unlike the analysis of a continuum of airstreams in a cyclone this method selects the strongest ascending airmasses within the WCB.

Stohl (2001) and Eckhardt et al. (2004) compute climatologies of WCBs. Stohl (2001) seeds the trajectories on a $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid in the horizontal and on two vertical levels at 500 and 1500 m a.s.l. (above sea level). He notes that the results of his climatology are sensitive to the WCB selection ³²⁵

- results of his climatology are sensitive to the WCB selection a criterion, and settles for the – as he writes – "somewhat arbitrary" criterion of 8000 m in 48 h (the approximate time scale at which air flows through a single synoptic system). Similarly, Eckhardt et al. (2004) start trajectories on a $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid
- ²⁸⁰ at 500 m a.s.l. They note that "any criterion used for an au-³³⁰ tomatic classification of WCBs is necessarily subjective". In their work, trajectories travelling more than 10° eastward and 5° northward and ascending more than 60 % of the average tropopause height within 48 h are classified as WCB trajec-²⁸⁵ tories. ³³⁵
 - A number of studies use the trajectory model LA-GRANTO (Sprenger and Wernli, 2015), originally introduced by Wernli and Davies (1997). Spichtinger et al. (2005) analyse ice supersaturation in the vicinity of a WCB's out-
- flow region, Grams et al. (2011) present a case study of an ³⁴⁰ extratropical transition. Schäfler et al. (2011) analyse aircraft measurements and Madonna et al. (2014) present a climatology of WCBs. All four studies settle for a criterion of an ascent of more than 600 hPa in 48 h to select WCB trajec-
- tories. In terms of seeding, Schäfler et al. (2011) start their ³⁴⁵ trajectories on every model grid point between the surface and 850 hPa of the deterministic ECMWF T799L91 forecast , (spectral truncation of T799, with 91 vertical levels), interpolated to a regular latitude/longitude grid of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$,
- and using the approximately 17 lowest levels. Madonna et al. (2014) seed their trajectories at 80 km distance in ³⁵⁰ the horizontal and at 20 hPa vertical distance on levels between 1050 and 790 hPa. Their wind field is available at $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ resolutiongrid spacing.
- ³⁰⁵ During TNF (Schäfler et al., 2014), LAGRANTO has been used with wind fields from the ECMWF ensemble forecast covering the North Atlantic and Europe. To keep the computational demand tractable for the operational forecast setting, the available ENS spherical resolution of T639L62 spectral
- ³¹⁰ resolution of T639 was interpolated to $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ in latitude and longitude, with . In the vertical, all available 62 levels in the vertical were used. A six-hour time step was used. Trajectories were started for each member at 1° horizontal spacing at ₃₆₀ five levels constant in pressure between 1000 and 800 hPa.
- $_{\rm 315}~$ The selection criterion was set to an ascent of $500\,{\rm hPa}$ in $48\,{\rm h}.$

In summary, the reviewed studies have all restricted trajectory seeding to lower atmospheric levels. The horizontal distance between start points mostly corresponds to the 365

320 resolution grid spacing of the driving wind fields. While the exact selection criterion for WCB trajectories varies, all studies use a criterion that filters trajectories according to a given ascent in a two day period.

370

2.2 Computation of p(WCB)

We follow the approach of Wernli and Davies (1997) and detect WCB features by selecting Lagrangian particle trajectories according to a given ascent Δp in a given time period Δt . Trajectories are computed with LA-GRANTO. We use the same ECMWF ENS wind fields as in R15P1; that is, horizontally interpolated from the available spherical described in detail in Part 1, Sect. 4.1. From the available spectral truncation of T639, the wind forecasts are horizontally interpolated by the ECMWF Meteorological Archive and Retrieval System (MARS) to a regular latitude-longitude latitude/longitude grid of $1^{\circ} \times$ 1° (the same data used during TNF) and (additionally) $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$. Vertically, all In the vertical, the ECMWF model uses hybrid sigma-pressure coordinates (Untch and Hortal, 2004, also cf. Fig. 9 in Part 1), of which all available 62 terrain-following model levels are used. The data are described in more detail in Sect. 4.1 of R15P1.

Once trajectories have been computed and selected, a gridded field of p(WCB) can be derived by relating each ensemble member's trajectories to a binary grid, and by computing for each grid point the relative number of members that predict a WCB feature at that grid point. In a more formal way, the method to compute p(WCB) at time t can be summarised as follows:

- 1. For every ensemble member m and every available forecast time step $t_0 \in (t - 48 \text{ h...}t)$, integrate 3-D Lagrangian particle trajectories, started at a fixed set of seeding points, from t_0 forward in time for $\Delta t = 48 \text{ h.}$
- 2. Select those trajectories that fulfil a specified WCB criterion (e.g. an ascent of $\Delta p = 600$ hPa in $\Delta t = 48$ h).
- 3. For each member m, create a 3-D binary grid B^m that for every grid point with indices k, j, i, B^m_{kji} , contains a set bit $(B^m_{kji} = 1)$ if the grid point is located "inside" a WCB airmass at time t, where "inside" needs to be determined from the trajectory positions at t.
- 4. For each grid point compute the probability of WCB occurrence by counting the number of members with a set bit for the point: $p(WCB)_{kji} = 1/M \sum_m B_{kji}^m$, where *M* denotes the number of ensemble members.

For trajectories seeded approximately in the **a**tmospheric **b**oundary layer, we call this method an *ABL-T method*. Note that the grid topology of B needs to be identical for each member in order to avoid errors due to variations in grid point positions, as is the case for probabilities derived from ECMWF NWP output (cf. Sect. 5 in R15P1Part 1).

The method poses several challenges. With respect to step (1.), trajectory seeding needs to be sufficiently dense to spatially sample the WCB features. The literature reviewed in Sect. 2.1 indicates that grid spacings of 1° or less should

be sufficient. For step (2.), the WCB criterion must be care-

- ³⁷⁵ fully chosen, as the ascent that a trajectory experiences may ⁴³⁰ depend on factors including seasonal variability or the horizontal and vertical resolution grid spacing of the employed wind forecasts. Also, interactivity must be considered to enable a user to change Δp and Δt during forecasting to judge
- the sensitivity of p(WCB) on these parameters. Third, we 435 need to find a suitable gridding strategy that determines in step (3.) whether a grid point is located inside a WCB airmass. The simplest approach is to extract, for each member, the particle positions of all WCB trajectories at time t, and to compute for each particle the grid cell B_{kii}^{m} in which it is 440

contained. During TNF, this simple approach was applied in 2-D to compute p(WCB) for total grid columns, as well as for three vertical intervals to distinguish inflow, ascent, and outflow.

- In the horizontal, a regular grid with a resolution spacing of 445 1° × 1° in latitude and longitude was used. However, with this approach no physical assumptions are made about the air volume represented by each particle. The result is sensitive to both trajectory seeding points and grid topology of *B*, and
- the positions of the WCB particles are only captured with an accuracy on the order of the grid resolution spacing of *B*. Also, due to the changing area of the grid cells with latitude, ⁴⁵⁰ the result is biased towards lower probabilities close to the poles. Examples of the resulting total column p(WCB) field
- are shown in Fig. 1 and can also been found in Schäfler et al.
 (2014, their Fig. 3). Due to the described issues, the results should only be interpreted in a qualitative manner.

In 3-D, more complexity is added as the vertical extent of the grid cells also has to be taken into account. To elim-

- inate bias and sensitivity, one possibility is to assume an air parcel mass and geometry for the trajectory particles, as illustrated in Fig. 2a. In the example, the particle is associ-460 ated with a spherical air parcel. Given the required thermodynamic variables at the particle position at start time t_0 and
- gridding time t, the volume and thus radius of the parcel at t can be computed and the overlapping grid points found. However, due to the large difference in vertical and horizon- 465 tal scale of our grids (on the order of $100 \,\mathrm{km}$ in the horizontal and $100 \,\mathrm{m}$ in the vertical), the usage of spherical ge-
- ⁴¹⁵ ometry requires the computation of a very large number of trajectories. Yet, geometry that reflects the different scales (for example ellipses, cylinders or simple rectangular boxes) ⁴⁷⁰ is difficult to motivate physically. Also, usage of large air parcels neglects potential deformation of the parcels by the
 ⁴²⁰ wind field.

An approach not requiring any such assumptions is to use **d**omain-filling trajectories (in the following referred to as $_{475}$ *DF-T method*). Here, we first specify the grid topology for *B*. Next, as illustrated in Figs. 2b, c and 3, for every member and each grid point B_{kji}^m , a trajectory starting on B_{kji}^m is computed. This way, we can be certain that each B_{min}^m is

⁴²⁵ ber and each grid point B_{kji}^m , a trajectory starting on B_{kji}^m is computed. This way, we can be certain that each B_{kji}^m is placed exactly on a trajectory and no assumptions about the ⁴⁸⁰ shape of the particle volume need to be made. After applying a WCB selection criterion to the trajectories, the bits of the grid points from which WCB trajectories were started are set. However, the approach requires increased computational resources. Seeding points are now required on all tropospheric layers and hence a larger number of trajectories is required. Also, trajectories additionally have to be computed backward in time to also capture those situations in which a WCB trajectory passes its seeding point in the ascent or outflow phase. Step (1.) in the method description above is hence extended to also integrate the trajectories backward in time for Δt hours from time t.

As an example, Fig. 3 shows results of selecting domain-filling trajectories that ascend more than 500 hPa in 48 h (Fig. 3a–c) and more than 600 hPa in 48 h (Fig. 3d–f). Note how the 30% isosurface of p(WCB) over the English Channel almost vanishes with 600 hPa filtering (Fig. 3f).

In Sect. 3, we compare four DF-T and ABL-T setups with varying grid topology with respect to obtained p(WCB) and to computational demand. The comparison allows to find a setup well suited for usage in campaign forecasting.

2.3 Implementation

Trajectories computed with LAGRANTO are stored in NetCDF files. Trajectory selection and the computation of p(WCB) take place in Met.3D and have been implemented in a number of modules in the Met.3D data processing pipeline (described in Part 1, Sect. 4.2of R15P1)... Analogous to Fig. 10 in Part 1, Figure 4 shows an example setup. Separate pipeline modules are responsible for reading trajectory data from disk, filtering the data according to the selection criterion, gridding and probability computation. This architecture allows modules to be exchanged when, for example, data from a different trajectory model should be read or a different selection criterion should be applied.

Hardware permitting, parts of the pipeline (for example, trajectory selection) can be executed in parallel. Intermediate results in the pipeline are cached by a memory manager(R15P1). This increases . Both parallel execution and caching increase the interactivity of the system with respect to changing the selection parameters Δp and Δt . As an example, Fig. 3 shows results of selecting domain-filling trajectories that ascend more than 500in 48h (a–c) and more than 600in 48h (d–f). Note how the 30isosurface of p(WCB) over the English Channel almost vanishes with 600filtering (Fig. 3f). For further details on the Met.3D pipeline architecture, we refer the reader to Part 1, Sect. 4.2.

To select trajectories according to the ascent criterion, the maximum pressure change occurring within a trajectory over the time interval Δt is required. For the grid resolution spacings used here, the data volume of the trajectories of all members amounts to multiple GB per timestep if stored in binary NetCDF format (approximately 2.4 GB for 1° horizontal resolution with 62 levels in the vertical grid spacing if a vertical region of interest of 52 levels is selected, and ap-

545

proximately 38 GB if the horizontal resolution is increased 530 grid spacing is decreased to 0.25°). Reading the data from disk and performing the selection can hence be slow. We thus make use of the fact that the only information required

- to compute the probabilities is whether the trajectory started from a grid point fulfils the selection criterion. The data vol- 535 ume that needs to be loaded can be largely reduced by precomputing the maximum pressure change Δp for a range of time intervals Δt . Now, for a given Δt , only the maximum
- 490 Δp for each trajectory (= grid point) needs to be read. The selection process is reduced to comparing each trajectory's 540 Δp to the given threshold value. This way, we are able to provide an interactively adjustable selection criterion to the user.
- 495

3 Choice of p(WCB) method and grid resolution spacing for forecasting

To use a p(WCB) method for forecasting during a campaign, a number of criteria need to be fulfilled:

- a. The trajectories need to be computed in a short period of $^{\scriptscriptstyle 550}$ 500 time (for our application this is preferably less than one hour), so that results are available soon after the forecast wind fields become available from ECMWF - (with "available" we mean that the retrieval of the forecast data from MARS, yielding the interpolated wind fields 555 505 described in Part 1, Sect. 4.1, has finished),

510

- b. the amount of trajectory data needs to be small enough to be handled interactively in Met.3D,
- c. the resolution grid spacing needs to be fine enough to 560 capture the important features that are present in a "best possible" forecast.

3.1 Evaluated setups

We evaluate four different setups with respect to the given 565 criteria:

S1. As the "best possible" p(WCB) forecast, we use a DF-515 T setup with trajectories computed on the ECMWF ENS wind fields at the highest available resolution grid 570 spacing (T639L62 spectral resolution, horizontally interpolated by MARS to a regular grid of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ in latitude and longitude, with 62 terrain-following hybrid 520 sigma-pressure levels in the vertical). Care must be taken with respect to the choice of the B^m and 575 p(WCB) grids. A straightforward choice is to use the ECMWF grid on which the wind fields are available. However, the vertical position of the grid points on 525 ECMWF model levels all but the uppermost hybrid sigma-pressure levels depends on the surface pressure 580 field (Untch and Hortal, 2004, also cf. Part 1, Sect. 4.1), which varies between ensemble members and time

steps. Hence, if for a given time step the individual members' wind grids are used for the B^m , the problem described in R15P1Part 1, Sect. 5, arises: the grid points are located at different vertical positions across the ensemble, and hence an error is introduced when computing the probability. To avoid this problem while staying as close as possible to the ECMWF grid, we use the grid defined by the time step's ensemble minimum surface pressure for the B^m of all members. The minimum surface pressure is chosen to ensure that all grid points are located above the surface (if the mean surface pressure is used, grid points in the lowest levels can be located below the surface in some members). We focus on a vertical region of interest of up to approximately 100 hPa and for the B^m and p(WCB) grids discard the model levels above this elevation (retaining the lower 52 levels).

- S2. The same setup as (S1.), but with horizontal wind field, B, and p(WCB) resolution grid spacing reduced to $1^{\circ} \times$ 1°. In the vertical all 62 As in (S1.), the lower 52 vertical levels are used for B and p(WCB).
- S3. The same setup as (S2.), but with B and p(WCB) grids defined by a constant surface pressure of 1000 hPa, not by the ensemble minimum surface pressure. The advantage wind forecast data remain as in (S2.). The advantage of this setup is that the p(WCB) grid can be interpreted as a structured pressure level grid and thus be visualized much more efficiently (R15P1Part 1, Sect. 4.3). This way, the interactivity in Met.3D can be improved. The drawback, however, is that some of the lower-level grid points are now located below the surface and become invalid. This reduces the vertical resolution grid spacing in the lower troposphere above mountainous terrain.
- S4. An ABL-T setup using a grid B that is regular in the horizontal with a resolution grid spacing of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ as in (S2.) and (S3.). In the vertical, the grid is regular in pressure with a grid spacing of 10 hPa. This spacing is on the order of the average spacing of the model level grids used in (S2.) and (S3.), and results in a comparable number of vertical levels in the region of interest (90 levels between 1000 and 100 hPa). Usage of a regular pressure level grid can be motivated physically: from hydrostatic balance (e.g. Wallace and Hobbs, 2006, Sect. 3.2), we know that for a column of air with constant mass m the difference in pressure δp between top and bottom boundary of the column stays constant with height: $-\delta p = g\rho\delta z = mgA$, where g is the acceleration due to gravity (assumed to be constant), ρ the density of the air, δz the geometric height of the column and A the cross-sectional area of the column. We start the trajectories on those grid points of B that are located below 700 hPa and classify a grid point as

belonging to a WCB if a particle is positioned in the $_{635}$ corresponding grid cell. This way, while we implicitly assume a particle geometry that is rectangular in longitude, latitude and pressure, the mass represented by the particle remains constant when rising at constant latitude. The artefact of decreasing grid cell area *A* towards $_{640}$ the poles remains, though. For trajectory integration, the same forecast data as in (S2.) and (S3.) are used.

For all trajectory computations, LAGRANTO is driven with ECMWF ENS forecast data at six-hour timesteps. The ⁶⁴⁵ model internally uses a 30 min timestep for the integration, trajectory positions are output at six-hour intervals.

595 3.2 Setup comparison

585

590

In terms of computational resources, setup (S1.) is the most demanding configuration. On our test system (six-core Intel Xeon running at 2.67 GHz; 24 GB RAM; 512 GB solid state drive), the computation of the trajectories of a single timestep

- takes about 50 CPU minutes per member. The data output for 655 a timestep of all members, stored in binary NetCDF format, amounts to approximately 38 GB. While such simulations are feasible for research settings, they are not suited for forecasting. For setups (S2.) and (S3.), the number of trajectories
- decrease by a factor of 16. The time required to compute the 660 trajectories reduces to about three CPU minutes per timestep and member, about 2.4 GB of trajectory data are produced per timestep for the entire ensemble. With the current ENS size of 50 51 members, this setting is feasible for forecast-
- ⁶¹⁰ ing if a small compute cluster is available. For setup (S4.), ⁶⁶⁵ the time further reduces to about one CPU minute and data volume reduces to approximately one GB.

In FigFigs. 5, the three DF-T and 6, the four setups are compared by means of four typical visualizations of the

- Met.3D workflow: (a) the volume rendering of p(WCB) isosurfaces already used in Fig. 3c, (b) a volume rendering of WCB features in the the control forecast forecast member 12 ⁶⁷⁰ (as captured by the binary grid B^{12}), (c) a horizontal section at 410 hPa through the ascent region associated with
- precipitation, and (d) a horizontal section through the inflow region at 950 hPa. The TNF forecast case of 19 October 2012 that already served for the examples in R15P1
 Part 1 is used. The main features (cf. Fig. 1 in R15P1Part 1: 675 inflow over the Mediterranean Sea, ascent over the English
- ⁶²⁵ Channel and Southern England, outflow over Scandinavia and Russia, as well as a strong ascent associated with former Hurricane Rafael over the North Atlantic) are well represented by all setups. However, in the regions of maximum p(WCB), setups (S2.) and (S3.) predict probabilities that are
- decreased by about 10% compared to the "reference" setup (S1.). This is visible in the smaller extent of the 30% isosurface in Fig. 5a as well as in the horizontal sections (Fig. 56c, d). Also, single member WCB structures are more solid in setup (S1.), as illustrated in the 3-D view of the binary vol-

ume of member 12 (Fig. 5b). The decrease is caused by the lower horizontal resolution grid spacing of the driving wind fields, in which fewer trajectories experience strong ascent – potentially due to smoothed vertical velocities. Nevertheless, setups (S2.) and (S3.) capture the shape and location of the p(WCB) features equally well as (S1.).

The differences between setups (S2.) and (S3.) are negligible. While virtually no differences can be found in the visualizations of the WCB ascent at 410 hPa (Fig. 56c), the differences become more pronounced in the lower atmospheric layers (Fig. 56d). This can be explained with the grid topology: at higher altitudes, the elevation of the model levels becomes increasingly independent of surface pressure (cf. R15P1Part 1, Sect. 4.1) and hence the difference in the p(WCB) grids vanishes. However, even at low altitudes the observed differences in p(WCB) remain within a few percent.

Figure 6 shows The bottom rows of Figs. 5 and 6 show the results for the ABL-T setup (S4.). Despite the crude assumption with respect to air parcel geometry, the major p(WCB) features are captured well. However, this setup tends to predict slightly higher probabilities compared to (S2.) and (S3.) in the atmospheric boundary layer, and slightly lower probabilities at higher altitudes.

Results for other time steps are similar (not shown). We conclude that from the presented candidates, setups (S3.) and (S4.) are best suited to be used in a forecast setting. While showing small differences with respect to the absolute predicted values, both capture the shape and locations of regions of elevated p(WCB). Also, both are feasible to compute in less than an hour and the results can, due to the structured vertical grid layout, be visualized more efficiently than the results computed by the setups based on terrain-following hybrid sigma-pressure vertical coordinates (R15P1)cf. Part 1, Table 2)¹.

4 Probability region contribution

The methods introduced so far allow to visualize the computed p(WCB) fields and to find regions in which the occurrence of a WCB is most likely. However, it remains an open question how the magnitudes of the displayed probabilities should be interpreted. A distinct property of the examples presented in Sect. 3 are relatively low probabilities. For instance, in Fig. 3c maximum values only reach about 30%. As mentioned in the introduction, such low magnitudes can have two causes: either indeed only 30% of all ensemble members

¹To provide an order of magnitude of the rendering times: using the same hardware setup as in R15P1-Part 1 Table 1 (Nvidia GeForce GTX 560Ti graphics card with 2 GB of video memory on a six-core Intel Xeon running at 2.67 GHz) and a sampling step size of 0.1, the top row isosurface visualization visualizations in FigsFig. 5and 6 a require on average 361 ms for setup (S2.) and 102 ms for (S3.).

- predict the WCB event, or large spatial variation of the features in the individual members causes only marginal overlap and thus low probabilities. Also, noise in the individual binary volumes can cause empty grid cells in the features and decrease probability values. Interpreting the data correctly
- and being able to distinguish between these causes is very 740 important for making decisions on potential flight routes.

The issue can be approached by looking at the individual ensemble members, as illustrated in Fig. 7. While due to limited print space Fig. 7 only shows a small selection of members, we indeed find that much more than 30 % of the 745 members predict a WCB feature. However, it is difficult for a human user to remember how many of the 51 members showed a WCB feature. Visualizing the WCB features of all members in a single view (Fig. 7f) results in massive clutter and, thus, does not reveal insight. 750

We are interested in the following information: given a region bounded by a probability isosurface, how many individual ensemble members predict a WCB feature that overlaps with this region and that, thus, contributes to the probability

value at any of the grid points inside the isosurface? To de- $_{755}$ termine this number of members, we propose a method that applies region growing to identify the grid points inside the isosurface, then uses the members' binary grids B^m to determine which members have contributed. To efficiently make

⁷⁰⁵ use of the B^m , we condense the binary grids into bitfields ⁷⁶⁰ that are stored together with the probability volume. For the current example and for the 51 members of the ECMWF ensemble, each grid point $p(WCB)_{kji}$ is augmented by a bitfield stored in a 64-bit integer variable (one bit for each member). The bitfields are generated during evaluation of the ⁷⁶⁵

probability criterion (in this case, step (3.) in Sect. 2). Figure 8 illustrates the approach. In a hypothetical ensemble of ten members, nine members predict a WCB feature

(coloured bars). However, the maximum probability value
 that occurs is 30 % (red region). To determine the contribu- 770
 tion to the region, the algorithm scans the volume for grid
 points exceeding the 30 % value. Starting from the first iden tified point, a region growing algorithm determines all grid
 points belonging to the red region. Combining the bitfields

- of the identified points with a bitwise "or"-operation reveals that in total, members 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9, thus 80% of the ensemble, contribute to the region. We hence know that much more than 30% of all members predict a WCB. The 775 information is stored for each of the identified grid points in
- ⁷²⁵ a separate data field, the *contribution volume*. It needs to be recomputed every time the probability isovalue changes. For example, applying the algorithm to the white 10 % region in Fig. 8 yields a contribution of 90 %.

The contribution volume can be used in visualizations of

 $_{730}$ p(WCB) to colour a probability isosurface according to the number of members that contribute. Figure 9 shows the application of the method to the WCB forecast from Fig. 3c, setup (S3.). Whenever an isosurface point is identified and $_{785}$ visualized (cf. R15P1Part 1, Sect. 4.3, for the employed ray-

casting algorithm), the eight data points that enclose the isosurface position are sampled. Since the isosurface value is interpolated from these eight points, at least the point with the maximum probability value is located inside the isosurface, and the point with the lowest value is located outside the isosurface (otherwise no crossing could be found between the points). Thus, by sampling the contribution volume at the grid point with the maximum value (and exploiting the fact that all grid points of a contiguous structure in the contribution volume carry the same value) the number (or percentage) of contributing members can be obtained. Indeed, Fig. 9c shows that about 85 % of the example's ensemble members contributed to the 30 % isosurface – an immediate hint to the forecaster to have a closer look at the predicted structure.

In addition, region growing can be applied to yield information on how many disjoint WCB features contribute from a particular member, and how the sizes of these features compare to the size of the region bound by the probability isosurface. The diagram in Fig. 9d is displayed by Met.3D when the user selects an isosurface with the mouse pointer. It shows the sizes of the WCB features in the individual members in a stacked box plot. The size of the probability isosurface is displayed by the red line. Single features are divided into solid bars, depicting the fraction of the feature that overlaps with the probability isosurface, and a transparent bar, depicting the full size of the feature. If more than one feature contributes from a given member, each disjoint feature is shown in a different colour. For the example in Fig. 9, this information reveals further insight: first, most members contribute exactly one contiguous feature; second, these features are for the most part substantially larger than the isosurface region (also compare the size of the probability isosurface to the WCB features in Fig. 7). We infer that most members' features indeed represent WCB events. A WCB is hence very likely to occur.

Of course, the method can also be applied to probability fields other that p(WCB); similarly low probabilities can also occur for features derived from other NWP fields.

5 Case study

At this point, all visualization and analysis methods are available that are required to use Met.3D to answer the forecast questions listed in the introduction. This section demonstrates how Met.3D can be used in practice. The presented case study revisits the TNF forecast case for 19 October 2012, a case that has already been used in the previous sections and in R15P1-Part 1 and that is also discussed in Schäfler et al. (2014). We supplement the case study with a video accompanying this paper, as it helps convey the full value of Met.3D's interactive 3-D visualizations. The video contains this section's static figures, as well as additional content, in animated form. It is intended

to be used side-by-side with the paper. Start times for the 840 video are provided throughout the following text. To compute p(WCB), setup (S3.) from Sect. 3 is used.

- Assume the forecast activities to take place on Monday, 15 October 2012. The ensemble and deterministic predictions initialised at 00:00 UTC on that day, as well as the ⁸⁴⁵ preceding model runs, are available to the forecaster (in the following, we abbreviate forecasts initialisation and forecast
- valid times as IT15"12Z/00Z, VT1919" for 12:00 UTC 19 October 2012, and forecast initialisation (or base, or run) times as "IT00Z/12Z, etc.) 15" for 00:00 UTC 15 October 850 2012). We are interested in areas that favour WCB development in Central Europe, being reachable with the DLR
- Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR) Falcon aircraft from the campaign base in Oberpfaffenhofen, Southern Germany. Due to requirements from air traffic authori- 855 ties, potential flight routes need to be announced at least three days in advance of a flight. Hence, our aim is to explore the atmospheric situation in order to evaluate suitable flight con-
- ditions towards the end of the week.

5.1 Weather situation

810

Our first step is to study the large scale weather situation in the deterministic high-resolution forecast to analyse whether a promising synoptic situation will develop (FQ-Aforecast 885

question A). The upper level flow is of particular interest. WCBs frequently occur on the leading edge (i.e. downstream) of troughs (where low pressure systems develop), and WCB outflow is often associated with jet streaks. We

start with a Met.3D configuration featuring three views: 870 a horizontal section of wind speed and geopotential height (initially placed at jet stream level at 250 hPa), 3-D isosurfaces of wind speed, and 3-D isosurfaces of cloud cover. We explore the time period from Wednesday, 17 October, to Sun-

⁸²⁰ day, 21 October. Figure 10 shows screenshots of the indi- ⁸⁷⁵ vidual views at three selected timesteps. To capture the 3-D spatial structure of the jet, the isosurfaces of wind speed are visualized at 30 and $50 \,\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$. Cloud cover is visualized by isosurfaces at 0.2 and 0.7, the latter coloured by elevation.

⁸²⁵ Both 3-D views contain contour lines at surface level show- ⁸⁸⁰ ing the mean sea level pressure. The video shows the Met.3D window with the full time animation.

A number of events of interest to our objectives can be observed: a distinct trough over the Atlantic moves eastward and narrows over time. At the same time, high pressure over Central and Eastern Europe intensifies. At upper levels, a pronounced jet stream extends from Spain over Southern

- England to Scandinavia, causing strong winds over Western 885 Europe blowing from a southerly direction. On the leading edge of the trough, upper level cirrus clouds are embedded in the jet, whereas upstream, i.e. on the rear side of the trough,
- only scattered low level clouds are present. Further upstream (south of Greenland in Fig. 10), the large-scale flow and 800 cloud field are perturbed by the extratropical transition of

former Hurricane Rafael (cf. Fig. 1 in <u>R15P1Part 1</u>; cloud field visible in the video). It approaches from the south and transforms into an extratropical cyclone. The leading edge of the trough, covering France and Southern England, would be well reachable with the Falcon.

Before we explore further forecast data, we obtain information about the reliability-uncertainty of the forecast (FQ-Bforecast question B). First, we check the consistency of the deterministic forecast by comparing the currently used forecast (IT15IT00Z/00Z15) to the two previous runs from IT14IT12Z/12Z and IT1414 and IT00Z/00Z. 14. The video (at 00:36 min) shows how the forecast runs are toggled for the forecast valid on VT19/at 18Z. While the IT15/00Z and IT1419. While the IT00Z/12Z-15 and IT12Z/14 runs show a fairly consistent situation, the trough is much broader in the IT14IT00Z/00Z-14 forecast. Also, the strong jet on its leading edge has a different shape and is located further east and further north. For specifying a flight route, this spatial uncertainty is an important factor.

To get a more comprehensive picture, we explore the ensemble forecast of IT15IT00Z/00Z-15 for occurrence, location and intensity of trough and jet in the individual members. Figure 11 shows selected ensemble members and the ensemble mean of the jet stream visualization for the forecast valid on VT19/at 18Z/19 (the animation over the members is contained in the video at 01:14 min). The jet over Europe is present in all members with similar intensity. However, we observe variation in shape and location that is in part stronger than the difference between the IT14IT00Z/00Z and IT1514 and IT00Z/00Z-15 deterministic forecasts. Nevertheless, the majority of the members predict a comparable jet structure over Europe. This also becomes apparent in the ensemble mean, which despite averaging features a jet core of over $50 \,\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$. In contrast, the variation observed in the jet structure further upstream over the central North Atlantic is larger, indicating that the predicted evolution of the extratropical transition of Hurricane Rafael is very uncertain. Here the $50 \,\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$ signal is smoothed out in the mean.

In summary, we conclude that at least parts of the region approximately covering France, Southern England and the Benelux will be located on the downstream side of the trough.

5.2 Warm conveyor belt occurrence

Next, we examine the p(WCB) data to determine whether a suitable WCB event is likely to occur in our region of interest (FQ-Cforecast question C). Figure 12 shows selected timesteps from the IT15IT00Z/00Z-15 forecast; the corresponding animation is shown in the video at 01:55 min. We choose an initial selection criterion of $\Delta p = 500$ hPa in $\Delta t = 48$ h and visualize the predicted fields with a 3-D isosurface of a low probability (10%)and the . To track the temporal evolution of the p(WCB) field inside the isosurface (in particular the evolution of the maxima), the 3-D normal curves proposed in R15P1². Part 1, Sect. 3.4, are used².

- Indeed, we find that on both 18 October and 19 October, 935 WCB airmasses are likely to ascend on the leading edge of the trough over France and Southern England. These airmasses are potentially of interest to a research flight. Since the normal curves reveal larger probabilities on 19 October, we focus on this day. On VT19/At 12Z/19 (Fig. 12b) 940
- and VT19/18Z/19 (Fig. 12c), the ascent signal is most apparent in the prediction. On VT19/At 00Zand VT19/19 and 06Z/19 (Fig. 12a), the airmass is still close to the surface and too far south to be reached by a single Falcon flight. On VT20/At 00Z/20 (Fig. 12d) and VT20/06Z/20, the airmass 945
- ⁹⁰⁵ has reached upper levels and WCB activity is dominated by outflow. For the campaign objectives, the time around VT19/12Zand VT19/19 and 18Z/19 is most interesting to us: the air is ascending and hence meteorologically active (precipitation is associated with the ascending phase of a WCB), 950
- ⁹¹⁰ and it is located in an area that can be well reached by the Falcon. The 3-D visualization allows to judge the vertical extent, shape, and elevation of the region of high probability. The normal curves, coloured by probability, reveal that the maximum values of p(WCB) on 19 October are on the ⁹⁵⁵
- order of 20 to 30 %. By moving the camera and using vertical poles, we see that the region enclosed by the 10 % isosurface is tilted westwards (left column of Fig. 12, video at 02:29 min). On VT19/At 18Z/19, the maximum is located at around 400 hPa.
- ⁹²⁰ Due to the low magnitudes of p(WCB), we next intend to clarify (a) whether indeed only a few ensemble members predict the WCB, and (b) how the predicted probability changes with a changing selection criterion (FQ-Dforecast question D). Figure 13a shows a screenshot of Met.3D with the region
- ⁹²⁵ contribution analysis (Sect. 4) applied to the VT19 forecast valid at 18Z/18Z forecast-19 (video at 02:42 min). A 20% ⁹⁶⁵ isosurface is used to capture the regions of maximum predicted *p*(WCB). Indeed, for both VT19/12Z/19 (not shown) and VT19/18Z/19 the analysis confirms that over 85% of the
 ⁹³⁰ ensemble members have contributed to the 20% probability region over the English Channel. The difference between 20 ⁹⁷⁰ and 85% indicates large spatial variation in the ensemble.

Also, the histogram (on the right side of Fig. 13a) shows that the majority of individual WCB features that overlap with the 20% isosurface cover a larger volume than the resulting probability region itself. This implies that the regions that experience ascent in the individual members are larger than the region enclosed by the isosurface. To validate these findings, we animate over the individual members (Fig. 13b, c, and d; video at 03:16 min). Indeed, almost all members predict a WCB feature on the leading edge of the trough. However, as expected, the variability in shape and location of the predicted features is very large. In addition to members in which the WCB air ascends on VT19/at 18Z/19, members in which the air is already in the outflow stage (elongated features at jet stream level) or still in the inflow stage (close to the surface) are equally present. This indicates additional temporal uncertainty. Hence, while there seems to be a good chance to sample WCB air on 19 October in the region covering Western France to Southern England, the location in space and time of the WCB ascent is still uncertain in the **IT15**IT00Z/00Z 15 forecast.

To judge the strength of the predicted ascent, we modify the trajectory selection criterion. Figure 14 (video at 03:38 min) shows how the predicted p(WCB) changes with Δp . By decreasing Δp (Fig. 14a), we can confirm a high likelihood of ascending airmasses in the region of interest³; the probability increases with decreasing Δp . Increasing Δp (Fig. 14b) reduces the predicted probabilities. However, the location of the maximum remains at the same position. The region in which high probabilities for ascending airmasses are forecast is hence also the region in which the strongest updrafts occur.

5.3 WCB characteristics

The next goal is to characterise the predicted ascent with respect to related atmospheric processes (FQ-Eforecast question E). We take a closer look at the WCB trajectories of the ensemble control run and visualize the trajectory particle positions at single timesteps. Animation over the timesteps of the trajectories computed forward and backward from VT19/18Z/19 reveals that the air that on VT19/at 18Z/19 has ascended to the region over the Channel originates from the ABL over the Western Mediterranean Sea and Northwestern Africa around VT18/18Z/18 (Fig. 15a, video at 04:10 min). It is lifted over Spain in the early hours of 19 October and over the course of the day continues its ascent over Western France, the Channel and Southern England (Fig. 15b, c). By vertically shifting a horizontal section of geopotential height and equivalent potential temperature of the deterministic forecast on VT18/at 18Z/18 (similar to the ensemble control but chosen here for its added detail), we discover a cyclone over the Northern British Isles, and

²Normal curves are well suited in this case to obtain an overview of the situation, as the magnitudes of maximum p(WCB) values and their variation between timesteps are not known beforehand ⁹⁷⁵ (hence it is difficult to choose a suitable value for an inner opaque isosurface as done for the jet visualization; see R15P1, Sect. 3.4). Normal curves converge at local extrema and hence at a glance highlight maxima, regardless of their magnitude.

²Normal curves are well suited in this case to obtain an overview $_{960}$ of the situation, as the magnitudes of maximum p(WCB) values and their variation between timesteps are not known beforehand (hence it is difficult to choose a suitable value for an inner opaque isosurface as done for the jet visualization; see Part 1, Sect. 3.4). Normal curves converge at local extrema and hence at a glance highlight maxima, regardless of their magnitude.

³Note that the normal curves are again advantageous for this interaction as they allow to visually track the location and magnitude of maximum probabilities despite the changing magnitudes.

a weaker surface low located on the west coast of France (Fig. 15d, video at 04:28 min). South of Spain, warm and

- ⁹⁸⁵ moist air (high equivalent potential temperature) is advected northward. This airmass represents the WCB inflow region; it is subsequently lifted by the WCB. In contrast, on the rear¹⁰⁴⁰ side of the trough, colder and drier airmasses over the East Atlantic are transported southward to Spain. Over the follow-
- ⁹⁹⁰ ing 24 h, the cyclone over the British Isles remains stationary, the weaker surface low moves towards Norway (Fig. 15e, f, video at 04:52 min). Animation over the ensemble members¹⁰⁴⁵ reveals that most other members predict similar ascents originating from the Western Mediterranean Sea and Northwest-
- ern Africa. Figure 16 reproduces the visualization of Fig. 15c for the members shown in Fig. 13b, c, and d. The trajectory particles that represent the WCB airmasses are lifted along¹⁰⁵⁰ similar paths. However, the temporal evolution of the WCBs differs in the members. On VT19/At 18Z/19, the airmasses are at different stages of their ascent.

Figure 17 shows vertical sections of potential vorticity (PV) and cloud cover of the VT19deterministic forecast valid at 18Z/18Z deterministic forecast 19 (animated in the video at 05:19 min). The dynamic tropopause, as indicated by the

- ¹⁰⁰⁵ 2-PVU-surface, folds along the trough (Fig. 17a). On the rear¹⁰⁵⁵ side of the trough, dry stratospheric air is transported downward. On its leading edge, the tropopause is elevated where it transitions into the anticyclonic region over Central Europe. Between 700 and 500 hPa, increased values of PV indicate
- regions of diabatic PV production. They coincide with the¹⁰⁶⁰ cold front that can be identified from the strong gradient in equivalent potential temperature (dense contour lines below the clouds in Fig. 17b). The cold front tilts westward with height, matching the tilted structure of the p(WCB) isosur-
- ¹⁰¹⁵ face described in the previous section. Ahead (east) of the¹⁰⁶⁵ front, predicted cloud cover largely coincides with the location of the WCB. Overall, the situation resembles the classic conceptual WCB model (Browning, 1986). The WCB outflow predicted over the North Sea on VT20/at 00Z/20 is re-
- lated to lower PV values aloft. This is consistent with pre-¹⁰⁷⁰ dicted ice water and cloud cover in this region (not shown).

5.4 Potential flight segments

Given the findings from the previous subsections, we inter-¹⁰⁷⁵ pret the p(WCB) maximum as the most likely location for the predicted WCB event and draft potential flight segments. Figure 18 shows the corresponding Met.3D configuration. For VT19/12Zand VT19/19 and 18Z/19, we slide a horizontal section trough the p(WCB) volume to determine precise¹⁰⁸⁰ locations of the maxima (video at 05:42 min). On VT19/At

1030 12Z/19, maximum probabilities are located above the Pyrenees at low levels, in the Bordeaux area between 700 and 600 hPa, and south of Brittany around 400 hPa. Six hours later, the maximum is most prominent above Southern England at altitudes around 400 hPa. A vertical section is used

1035 to explore potential flight segments. It allows to estimate at

which elevation a flight should take place, and, by moving the section, to quickly assess how spatially relocating the leg will impact the expected measurements. In the given case, the 2-D sections suggest flight legs on VT19/at 12Z/19 over France at elevations between 800 and 600 hPa (WCB ascent) and on VT19/at 18Z/19 over Southern England at elevations around 400 hPa (WCB outflow)⁴.

However, given the uncertainty in the temporal evolution of the WCB (previous section), we need to carefully monitor developments in subsequent forecast runs. Figure 19 (video at 07:38 min) shows the predictions for VT19/18Z/19 for forecast runs subsequent to the IT15IT00Z/00Z-15 run. Over the next two days, the ensemble predictions converge toward higher p(WCB) over the English Channel and Southern England. The elevation of the predicted maximum in p(WCB)remains approximately constant. Indeed, the research flights conducted during TNF showed that the targeted WCB occurred as predicted (Schäfler et al., 2014).

6 Conclusions

Motivated by the forecast requirements of the T-NAWDEX-Falcon 2012 campaign, we have demonstrated the feasibility of applying interactive 3-D ensemble visualization to forecasting warm conveyor belt situations during aircraftbased field campaigns. The article extends our work presented in R15P1Part 1, in which we have introduced the new open-source 3-D ensemble visualization tool Met.3D. In the present paper, we have proposed methods to compute and to visually analyse 3-D probabilities of WCB occurrence. The techniques have been integrated into Met.3D and are part of the released version 1.0 (see R15P1Part 1, Sect. 6, for information on code availability). A case study, revisiting a forecast case that occurred during T-NAWDEX-Falcon, has demonstrated how the methods introduced in the two papers can be used for practical forecasting.

Following the literature, our methods detect WCBs by means of Lagrangian particle trajectories. By computing trajectories for each member of the ECMWF ensemble forecast, a distribution of WCB features is obtained from which probabilities of occurrence can be derived. We have discussed different approaches to trajectory seeding and gridding, and have shown that probabilities derived from trajectories computed at a horizontal resolution grid spacing of 1° in latitude and longitude capture the same WCB structures as trajectories computed at a higher resolution grid spacing of 0.25°. A proposed visual analysis method supports the interpre-

⁴During TNF, we did not have the vertical p(WCB) information available. We placed the flight along a horizontally pre-defined flight leg over France which appeared to fit well with the 2-D p(WCB) product. We were only able to guess at which altitudes we should fly. In fact, from the 3-D p(WCB) data we find that the flight should rather have been planned south of the pre-defined flight leg.

tation of the probability fields. The method facilitates fast visual estimation of the number of ensemble members that₁₁₃₅ forecast a WCB feature in a region of interest bounded by a probability isosurface. In particular for situations in which the magnitude of observed probabilities is low, the method helps to distinguish the case in which only few members predict a WCB but at approximately the same location, from the case in which many members predict a WCB but the spatial

variation is high. The method can be applied to probabilities

1090

1095

1085

of other features as well. With Met.3D and the proposed WCB methods, we are now able to analyse ensemble prediction data in a way previously impossible. Three of us (M. Rautenhaus, C. M. Grams, A. Schäfler) have actively been involved in forecasting during aircraft-based field campaigns. With respect to the case study and our experience in research flight planning, we note a few conclusions from our work, reflecting the authors' opinions. 1150

1. Combination of 2-D and 3-D visualization methods gives a more complete picture of the forecast atmo-1100 sphere. 3-D elements can depict different aspects of the data than horizontal and vertical 2-D sections alone. For₁₁₅₅ example, usage of isosurfaces and normal curves allows for very fast initial judgement of the predicted WCB situation. However, we would not want to abandon the fa-1105 miliar 2-D sections; for many tasks (obtaining quantitative information, visualizing multiple forecast parame-1160 ters in the same plot, analysing the vertical structure of the atmosphere along a flight segment) they are superior to 3-D methods. If 3-D visualization is used, achieving 1110 good spatial perception is important, as we have discussed in R15P1. Part 1. 1165

Furthermore, while we think that 3-D visualization helps to understand the atmospheric situation in many cases, it does not work equally well for all forecast variables. For the isosurfaces of wind speed and WCB probability used in the case study, 3-D visualization is well₁₁₇₀ suited. For variables that highly fluctuate in space (as is often the case for variables depending on moisture, such as relative humidity), isosurfaces are problematic. For these cases, additional methods that help the user focus on the regions and features of interest will need to be developed.

2. One of the primary advantages of Met.3D is the high pace at which a forecast can be explored. Interactivity, 1125 the possibility for the user to change a parameter that affects the visualization and to receive immediate visual feedback, is key to this property. It facilitates the very fast analysis of static scenes (moving the camera to explore spatial structure of a feature, moving a vertical axis), of dynamic processes (animation over time), of uncertainty (animation over the ensemble, comparison of different forecast base times), and of sensitivity

(changing a parameter that affects a displayed statistical quantity).

However, we find that while interactivity enables the user to quickly visualize a large amount of data, the user is also confronted with many more images than he would be if he were restricted to, for example, a limited number of horizontal sections. Here, as Trafton and Hoffman (2007) suggest, a virtual "sketchpad" that captures elements discovered by the forecaster and that allows him to represent his "mental model" of the atmosphere would be useful. The sketchpad could also be used to communicate the findings to colleagues, a common challenge during campaigns.

- 3. Our methodology to predict probabilities of WCB occurrence illustrates challenges of feature-based approaches to analyse ensemble data. Our region contribution approach helps to interpret the derived probabilities, however, further work will be useful. For example, we would like to automatically obtain information about how features in different members correspond to each other: do other members predict the same situation but shifted in space or in time? Such information would allow to identify different scenarios forecast by the ensemble, and uncertainty could be differentiated with respect to space and time. Detection and visualization of further 3-D cyclonic features would also be very useful. For a single member we could see, for example, at a glance which WCB transports which airmass along which route, driven by which cyclone and in relation to which front and jet stream. How to meaningfully visualize such features for an entire ensemble to depict their uncertainty is an open research question.
- 4. A drawback of the Met.3D visualization approach is that since it uses the complete ensemble dataset, interactive usage requires the forecast data to be available on the local hard drive. For field campaigns based at remote locations, this is not feasible. In these cases, web based approaches such as DLR's Mission Support System (Rautenhaus et al., 2012) might be the better choice. Alternatively, dedicated ensemble compression schemes might enable more efficient remote handling, or remote visualization solutions such as VirtualGL⁵ could be used to locate data and visualization system at the same site while allowing users to explore the data remotely using a modest internet connection.

We will actively use and further evaluate our developments during upcoming field campaigns, including a future NAWDEX campaign scheduled for 2016. It will again target WCBs. We also intend to continue our work on trajectory-based ensemble analysis. For example, trajectories can be applied to detect further Lagrangian featuresas

1130

1115

1120

⁵http://www.virtualgl.org

1275

- well. Different selection criteria can , for instance, reveal airmasses that have undergone specific physical processes. on¹²³⁵ chemical processes, or that originate in specific geographic regions. Also, ensemble trajectories can be used to track, for instance, the dispersion of pollutants or volcanic ash.
- Modified versions of our proposed methods can be used to derive probabilities that reveal forecast uncertainty in regions in which a pollutant or volcanic ash concentrations exceed a critical threshold. In this respect, more complex selection algorithms and the visualization of combined probabilities of multiple features will be challenging.
 - Considering the ever increasing data volume generated by ensemble weather prediction systems, effective and intuitive visualization methods are and will be important to weather forecasting. The atmosphere is three-dimensional, and while
- we need to conduct user studies to formally prove the added¹²⁵⁰
 value through 3-D visualization, in our opinion forecast analysis can be made much more intuitive by using interactive
 3-D methods, thus decreasing the time a meteorologist needs to analyse a forecast dataset.

¹²⁰⁵ The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/gmd-0-1-2015-supplement.

Acknowledgements. Access to ECMWF prediction data has been kindly provided in the context of the ECMWF special project "Sup-1265 port Tool for HALO Missions". This work was supported by the European Union under the ERC Advanced Grant 291372 – SaferVis

Uncertainty Visualization for Reliable Data Discovery. M. Rautenhaus was supported by a grant from Ev. Studienwerk Villigst e.V.
 C. M. Grams and A. Schäfler were supported by the German Re-1270 search Foundation (DFG) as part of the research unit PANDOWAE
 (FOR896).

,

1210

1220

References

- Blakeslee, R., Hall, J., Goodman, M., Parker, P., Freudinger, L., and He, M.: The Real Time Mission Monitor – A Situational Awareness Tool For Managing Experiment Assets, in: NASA Science and Technology Conference 2007, 19–21 June 2007, College₁₂₈₀
- Park, MD, 2007. Browning, K. A.: Radar measurements of air motion near fronts,
- Weather, 26, 320–340, 1971.
- Browning, K. A.: Conceptual models of precipitation systems, Weather Forecast., 1, 23–41, 1986.
 - Browning, K. A.: Organization of clouds and precipitation in extratropical cyclones, in: Extratropical Cyclones: The Erik Palmén Memorial Volume, edited by Newton, C. W. and Holopainen, E. O., pp. 129–153, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 1990.
- Browning, K. A. and Roberts, N. M.: Structure of a frontal cyclone,1290 Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 120, 1535–1557, 1994.
 - Buizza, R., Bidlot, J.-R., Wedi, N., Fuentes, M., Hamrud, M., Holt, G., Palmer, T., and Vitart, F.: The ECMWF Variable

Resolution Ensemble Prediction System VAREPS, ECMWF Newsletter, 108, 14–20, 2006.

- Carlson, T. N.: Airflow through midlatitude cyclones and the comma cloud pattern, Mon. Weather Rev., 108, 1498–1509, 1980.
- Ducrocq, V., Braud, I., Davolio, S., Ferretti, R., Flamant, C., Jansa, A., Kalthoff, N., Richard, E., Taupier-Letage, I., Ayral, P.-A., Belamari, S., Berne, A., Borga, M., Boudevillain, B., Bock, O., Boichard, J.-L., Bouin, M.-N., Bousquet, O., Bouvier, C., Chiggiato, J., Cimini, D., Corsmeier, U., Coppola, L., Cocquerez, P., Defer, E., Delanoë, J., Di Girolamo, P., Doerenbecher, A., Drobinski, P., Dufournet, Y., Fourrié, N., Gourley, J. J., Labatut, L., Lambert, D., Le Coz, J., Marzano, F. S., Molinié, G., Montani, A., Nord, G., Nuret, M., Ramage, K., Rison, W., Roussot, O., Said, F., Schwarzenboeck, A., Testor, P., Van Baelen, J., Vincendon, B., Aran, M., and Tamayo, J.: HyMeX-SOP1: The Field Campaign Dedicated to Heavy Precipitation and Flash Flooding in the Northwestern Mediterranean, Bull. Amer. Meteor, Soc., 95, 1083–1100, 2014.
- Dyer, J. and Amburn, P.: Desktop Visualization of Meteorological Data Using Paraview, Kitware Source, 14, 7–10, 2010.
- Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A., Wernli, H., James, P., Forster, C., and Spichtinger, N.: A 15-year climatology of warm conveyor belts, J. Climate, 17, 218–237, 2004.
- Elsberry, R. L. and Harr, P. A.: Tropical cyclone structure (TCS08) field experiment science basis, observational platforms, and strategy, Asia-Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 44, 209–231, 2008.
- Flatøy, F., Hov, Ø., and Schlager, H.: Chemical forecasts used for measurement flight planning during POLINAT 2, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 951–958, 2000.
- Gneiting, T. and Raftery, A. E.: Weather forecasting with ensemble methods, Science, 310, 248–249, 2005.
- Grams, C. M., Wernli, H., Böttcher, M., Čampa, J., Corsmeier, U., Jones, S. C., Keller, J. H., Lenz, C.-J., and Wiegand, L.: The key role of diabatic processes in modifying the upper-tropospheric wave guide: a North Atlantic case-study, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 2174–2193, 2011.
- Grotjahn, R. and Chervin, R. M.: Animated Graphics in Meteorological Research and Presentations, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 65, 1201–1208, 1984.
- Harrold, T. W.: Mechanisms influencing the distribution of precipitation within baroclinic disturbances, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 99, 232–251, 1973.
- He, M., Goodman, H. M., Blakeslee, R., and Hall, J. M.: The Waypoint Planning Tool: Real Time Flight Planning for Airborne Science, in: American Geophysical Union 2010 Fall Meeting, #IN31A-1279, San Francisco, CA, 13–17 December 2010.
- Heizenrieder, D. and Haucke, S.: Das meteorologische Visualisierungs- und Produktionssystem NinJo, Promet, 35, 57–69, 2009.
- Hibbard, W. L.: Computer-Generated Imagery for 4-D Meteorological Data, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 67, 1362–1369, 1986.
- Hibbard, W. L.: Vis5D, Cave5D, and VisAD, in: The Visualization Handbook, edited by Hansen, C. D. and Johnson, C., chap. 34, pp. 673–688, Academic Press, 2005.
- Hibbard, W. L., Santek, D., Uccellini, L., and Brill, K.: Application of the 4-D McIDAS to a Model Diagnostic Study of the

Presidents' Day Cyclone, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 70, 1394–1403, 1989.

- Koppert, H. J., Schröder, F., Hergenröther, E., Lux, M., and Trembilski, A.: 3D visualisation in daily operation at the DWD, in: Proceedings of the 6th ECMWF Workshop on Meteorological Operational Systems, 17–21 November 1997, Reading, England, 101–125, 1998.
- Kuo, Y.-H., Reed, R. J., and Low-Nam, S.: Thermal Structure and Airflow in a Model Simulation of an Occluded Marine Cyclone, Mon. Wea. Rev., 120, 2280–2297, 1992.
 - Leutbecher, M. and Palmer, T.: Ensemble forecasting, J. Comput. Phys., 227, 3515–3539, 2008.
- Madonna, E., Wernli, H., Joos, H., and Martius, O.: Warm Conveyor Belts in the ERA-Interim Dataset (1979–2010). Part I: Climatology and potential vorticity evolution, J. Climate, 27, 3–26, 2014.1365
- Mass, C. F. and Schultz, D. M.: The Structure and Evolution of a Simulated Midlatitude Cyclone over Land, Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 889–917, 1993.
 - McCaslin, P. T., McDonald, P. A., and Szoke, E. J.: 3D visualization development at NOAA forecast systems laboratory, ACM₁₃₇₀ SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph., 34, 41–44, 2000.

Murray, D. and McWhirter, J.: Evolving IDV – creating better

- tools for the community, in: 23th Conference on International Interactive Information and Processing Systems (IIPS) for Meteorology, Oceanography, and Hydrology, 15–18 January1375 2007, San Antonio, TX, American Meteorological Society, 3B.5, 2007.
- Norton, A. and Clyne, J.: The VAPOR Visualization Application, in: High Performance Visualization, edited by Bethel, E. W., Childs, H., and Hansen, C., chap. 20, pp. 415–428, CRC Press,¹³⁸⁰ 2012.
- Pfahl, S., Madonna, E., Boettcher, M., Joos, H., and Wernli, H.:
 Warm conveyor belts in the ERA-interim dataset (1979–2010).
 Part II: Moisture origin and relevance for precipitation, J. Climate, 27, 27–40, 2014.
- Pomroy, H. R. and Thorpe, A. J.: The evolution and dynamical role of reduced upper-tropospheric potential vorticity in intensive observing period one of FASTEX, Mon. Weather Rev., 128, 1817–
 - 1834, 2000.
 Rautenhaus, M., Bauer, G., and Dörnbrack, A.: A web service based₁₃₉₀ tool to plan atmospheric research flights, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 55–71, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-55-2012, 2012.
- Rautenhaus, M., Kern, M., Schäfler, A., and Westermann, R.: 3D visualization of ensemble weather forecasts – Part 1: The visualization tool Met.3D (version 1.0), Geosci. Model Dev., to be₁₃₉₅ submitted, 2015.
- Reed, R. J., Kuo, Y.-H., and Low-Nam, S.: An Adiabatic Simulation
 of the ERICA IOP 4 Storm: An Example of Quasi-Ideal Frontal
 Cyclone Development, Mon. Wea. Rev., 122, 2688–2708, 1994.
 - Russell, I., Siemen, S., Ii, F., Kertész, S., Lamy-Thépaut, S., and₁₄₀₀ Karhila, V.: Metview 4 – ECMWF's latest generation meteorological workstation, ECMWF Newslett., 126, 23–27, 2010.
- Schäfler, A., Dörnbrack, A., Wernli, H., Kiemle, C., and Pfahl, S.:
 Airborne lidar observations in the inflow region of a warm conveyor belt, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 1257–1272, 2011.
 - Schäfler, A., Boettcher, M., Grams, C. M., Rautenhaus, M., Sodemann, H., and Wernli, H.: Planning aircraft measurements within a warm conveyor belt, Weather, 69, 161–166, 2014.

- Schultz, D. M. and Mass, C. F.: The Occlusion Process in a Midlatitude Cyclone over Land, Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 918–940, 1993.
- Spichtinger, P., Gierens, K., and Wernli, H.: A case study on the formation and evolution of ice supersaturation in the vicinity of a warm conveyor belt's outflow region, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 973–987, doi:10.5194/acp-5-973-2005, 2005.
- Sprenger, M. and Wernli, H.: The Lagrangian analysis tool LA-GRANTO – version 2.0, Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 8, 1893– 1943, doi:10.5194/gmdd-8-1893-2015, 2015.
- Stohl, A.: A 1-year Lagrangian "climatology" of airstreams in the Northern Hemisphere troposphere and lowermost stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 7263–7279, 2001.
- Trafton, J. G. and Hoffman, R. R.: Computer-aided visualization in meteorology, in: Expertise Out of Context: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Naturalistic Decision Making, edited by: Hoffman, R. R., Chap. 15, Psychology Press, 337–357, 2007.
- Treinish, L. A. and Rothfusz, L. P.: Three-dimensional visualization for support of operational forecasting at the 1996 Centennial Olympic Games, in: Proceedings of the 13th IIPS Conference, 2–7 February 1997, Long Beach, CA, 2–8, 1997.
- Untch, A. and Hortal, M.: A finite-element scheme for the vertical discretization of the semi-Lagrangian version of the ECMWF forecast model, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 130, 1505–1530, 2004.
- Vaughan, G., Garland, W. E., Dewey, K. J., and Gerbig, C.: Aircraft measurements of a warm conveyor belt – a case study, J. Atmos. Chem., 46, 117–129, 2003.
- Vaughan, G., Methven, J., Anderson, D., Antonescu, B., Baker, L., Baker, T. P., Ballard, S. P., Bower, K. N., Brown, P. R. A., Chagnon, J., Choularton, T. W., Chylik, J., Connolly, P. J., Cook, P. A., Cotton, R. J., Crosier, J., Dearden, C., Dorsey, J. R., Frame, T. H. A., Gallagher, M. W., Goodliff, M., Gray, S. L., Harvey, B. J., Knippertz, P., Lean, H. W., Li, D., Lloyd, G., Martínez–Alvarado, O., Nicol, J., Norris, J., Öström, E., Owen, J., Parker, D. J., Plant, R. S., Renfrew, I. A., Roberts, N. M., Rosenberg, P., Rudd, A. C., Schultz, D. M., Taylor, J. P., Trzeciak, T., Tubbs, R., Vance, A. K., van Leeuwen, P. J., Wellpott, A., and Woolley, A.: Cloud Banding and Winds in Intense European Cyclones: Results from the DIAMET Project, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 96, 249–265, 2015.
- Wallace, J. M. and Hobbs, P. V.: Atmospheric Science: An Introductory Survey, Academic Press, 2 Edn., Amsterdam, 2006.
- Wernli, H.: A Lagrangian-based analysis of extratropical cyclones. II: A detailed case-study, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 123, 1677– 1706, 1997.
- Wernli, H. and Davies, H. C.: A Lagrangian-based analysis of extratropical cyclones. I: The method and some applications, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 123, 467–489, 1997.
- Whitaker, J. S., Uccellini, L. W., and Brill, K. F.: A Model-Based Diagnostic Study of the Rapid Development Phase of the Presidents's Day Cyclone, Mon. Wea. Rev., 116, 2337–2365, 1988.
- Wilhelmson, R. B., Jewett, B. F., Shaw, C., Wicker, L. J., Arrott, M., Bushell, C. B., Bajuk, M., Thingvold, J., and Yost, J. B.: A Study of the Evolution of a Numerically Modeled Severe Storm, Int. J. High Perform. C., 4, 20–36, 1990.
- Wulfmeyer, V., Behrendt, A., Bauer, H.-S., Kottmeier, C., Corsmeier, U., Blyth, A., Craig, G., Schumann, U., Hagen,

1350

- M., Crewell, S., Di Girolamo, P., Flamant, C., Miller, M., Montani, A., Mobbs, S., Richard, E., Rotach, M. W., Arpagaus, M., Russchenberg, H., Schlüssel, P., König, M., Gärtner, V., Steinacker, R., Dorninger, M., Turner, D. D., Weckwerth, T., Hense, A., and Simmer, C.: RESEARCH CAMPAIGN: The Convective and Orographically Induced Precipitation Study,
- Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 89, 1477–1486, 2008.



Figure 1. Total column probability of WCB occurrence (%), as available during TNF. Probabilities are computed from ABL-started trajectories filtered for an ascent of 500 hPa in 48 h. Forecasts from (a) 00:00 UTC on-15 October 2012 and from (b) 00:00 UTC on-17 October 2012, both valid at 18:00 UTC on-19 October 2012. Compare to Fig. 3 in Schäfler et al. (2014).



Figure 2. Methods to compute p(WCB). (a) ABL-T method using trajectories started in the atmospheric boundary layer and integrated 48 h forward in time. To get 3-D gridded information on WCB location, an air parcel volume needs to be assumed for each particle so that grid points overlapping with the volume can be determined. (b) DF-T method using domain-filling trajectories started from every grid point of the p(WCB) grid and integrated both 48 h forward and backward in time. No volume has to be assumed as the selected WCB trajectories are located exactly on grid points (c).



Figure 3. Deriving Derivation of p(WCB) with DF-T setup (S3.). (a, d) Trajectories of the control forecast started at 18:00 UTC on 19 October 2012(forecast of 17 October, computed with wind fields from the ensemble control forecast from 00:00 UTC)-17 October 2012, integrated forward and backward in time for 48 h each. Trajectories are filtered selected according to an ascent of 500 (a-c) 500 and (d-f) 600 hPa (d-f) in 48 h. Colour encodes altitude (hPa). (b, e) Gridded Volume rendering of the binary grid *B*, representing the start positions of the selected trajectories. (c, f) Probability of WCB occurrence derived from all 51 members of the ensemble. The red opaque isosurface shows 30 % probability, the white purple transparent isosurface 10 % probability. Vertical axes are labelled with pressure altitude (hPa).



Figure 4. Sample Met 3D data processing pipeline to visualize WCB probability p(WCB), depicted analogous to Fig. 10 in Part 1. Different pipeline modules (yellow) are responsible for reading and selecting trajectories, and for computing the p(WCB) field. In the example, a volume actor (green; for details see Part 1, Sect. 3) visualizes the resulting p(WCB) data. A request for the probability of occurrence of trajectories, emitted by the volume actor, triggers further requests up the pipeline. Intermediate results are cached by the memory manger, connected to each pipeline module (indicated by the black lines). Compare Pipeline execution can be parallel and is controlled by a task scheduler, also connected to Figall pipeline modules (for details see Part 1, Sect. 10 in R15P14.2).



Figure 5. Comparison of DF-T setups to compute p(WCB). Same forecast as in Fig. 3. The selection criterion is set to 500 hPa in 48 h. (a) Volume rendering of p(WCB) (S1-red opaque isosurface shows 30% probability, purple transparent isosurface 10% probability) $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$. (b) Volume rendering of the binary grid *B* of a single member (member 12), representing the WCB features for this member. (S1.) DF-T setup with 62 a horizontal grid spacing of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$, in the vertical the lower 52 (of 62) hybrid sigma-pressure levels (up to approximately 100 hPa) defined by the ensemble minimum surface pressure ; (S2 are used.) as (S2.) As (S1.) but with $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ horizontal resolution; (S3 grid spacing.) as (S3.) As (S2.) but with vertical levels defined by a constant surface pressure of 1000 hPa. (a) Volume rendering (S4.) ABL-T setup with $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ horizontal grid spacing and a regular vertical grid with a grid spacing of p(WCB) (red isosurface shows 30probability, white isosurface 10probability); (b) volume rendering of a hPa.



Figure 6. Same as Fig. single member (member 12); 5, but showing: (c) horizontal Horizontal section of p(WCB) at 410 hPa;. (d) horizontal Horizontal section of p(WCB) at 950 hPa. Colour coding in %. Green contour lines show ensemble mean geopotential height.



Figure 7. Same as Fig. 5, but for the ABL-T setup WCB features (S4.binary grids B^m) with $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ horizontal resolution and a regular vertical grid with a grid spacing of 10.Individual WCB further members m of the forecast case shown in Fig. 3b. Members (a) 2, (b) 4, (c) 34, (d) 36 and (e) 42. The Note that location and shape of the WCB events features vary strongly. (f) All WCB features of all 51 members of the ensemble, visualized in a single image , and distinguished by colour (colour coding denotes member number). Black contour lines in all images show sea level pressure of the corresponding member (of the ensemble mean in (f)).



Figure 8. Schematic 2-D example of a <u>region of case in which</u> many ensemble members predict a WCB feature but spatial variation causes low probability valueseaused by many members. Of Consider an ensemble of ten members, of which nine members that predict the a WCB feature (depicted by the different coloured and numbered lines). In the example, only a maximum of three features overlap in any grid cell (resulting in a maximum probability of 30%; red grid cells). By storing the indices of all members that contribute to a given grid cell, our method is able to determine the members that contribute to a probability region. In the example, 8 members (that is, 80%) contribute to the red region. The grey grid cells illustrate the 10% region.



Figure 9. Application of the region contribution algorithm to the WCB forecast from Fig. 3c. (a) Horizontal section of p(WCB) at 415 hPa over Southern England (colour scale from 0 to 100 coding in %). (b) The grid Grid boxes exceeding that intersect with the 415 hPa surface and that exceed the isosurface threshold of 30 % (the red isosurfaces in Fig. 3c), coloured by the percentage of contributing members as identified by the region growing algorithm (colour scale from 50 to 100 coding in %). Green contour lines in (a) and (b) show ensemble mean geopotential height. (c) The 30% isosurfaces of Fig. 3c coloured by the percentage of contributing members. Purple contour lines show ensemble mean sea level pressure. (d) Size (in grid cells) of the-WCB features in the members contributing to the 30 % isosurface above Southern England. If multiple features contribute from a given member, they are stacked using different colours (in the example, small secondary features exist in members 24, 31, and 46). The bar of each feature is divided into total feature size (light colour) and the fraction of the feature that overlaps with the 30% isosurface (solid colour). The red horizontal line marks the size of the 30 % isosurface.





Figure 11. Navigation through the ensemble. Members (a) 27, (b) 33, (c) 37, (d) 43, (e) 45 and (f) the ensemble mean of horizontal wind speed (forecast from 00:00 UTC on 15 October valid at 18:00 UTC on 19 October 2012). Shown are the 50 ms^{-1} (green opaque) and 30 ms^{-1} (yellow transparent) isosurfaces. Black contour lines show sea level pressure.



Figure 12. Subsequent time steps of p(WCB) (computed with DF-T setup (S3.)), rendered from different viewpoints. Forecast from 00:00 UTC on 15 October 2012, valid at (a) 06:00 UTC, (b) 12:00 UTC, (c) 18:00 UTC on 19 October and at (d) 00:00 UTC on 20 October 2012. Trajectory filtering is set to 500 hPa in 48 h. White isosurface shows The purple transparent isosurfaces show a probability of 10%. Normal The interior structure of the isosurfaces is visualized using the 3-D normal curves approach proposed in Part 1, Sect. 3.4. The normal curves follow the gradient of the p(WCB) field and converge at local maxima. They are coloured by probability (%) to allow to visually track probability maxima (red to black convergence zones). Black surface contour lines show ensemble mean sea level pressure.



Figure 13. Region contribution analysis applied to the ensemble forecast case. from 00:00 UTC 15 October 2012, valid at 18:00 UTC 19 October 2012. (a) Screenshot of the Met.3D configuration. The 20 % isosurface isosurfaces of p(WCB) is are coloured by the percentage of contributing members. The contribution distribution of the feature over Southern England is shown in the histograms on the right side of the window (feature size in (top) grid cells and (bottom) 10^3 km^3 ; see Fig. 9 for details on the diagram). (b–d) WCB airmasses for 18:00UTC on 19 October 2012, features (binary grids *B*) as predicted by the individual ensemble members (b) 2, (c) 9 and (d) 19. Purple surface contours in (a) and black surface contours in (b–d) show sea level pressure.



Figure 14. Adjusting the filter criterion for the probability of WCB occurrence p(WCB) forecast shown in Fig.Forecast 12c (forecast from 00:00 UTC on 15 October 2012, valid at 18:00 UTC on 19 October 2012, 2012). Filter criterion of (a) 400 hPa and (b) 550 hPa in 48 h .Compare to (in Fig. 12, in which c a criterion of 500 hPa in 48 h is used). The purple transparent isosurfaces show a probability of 10%. Normal curves inside the isosurfaces are coloured by probability (%). Black contour lines show ensemble mean sea level pressure.



Figure 15. (**a**-**c**) Particle positions of the (backward) WCB trajectories of the ensemble control forecast, started on Friday, 19 October 2012, at 18:00 UTC 19 October 2012 and computed on the forecast initialised at Monday, 15 October 2012, 00:00 UTC -15 October 2012. Colour codes pressure elevation in hPa. (**d**-**f**) Horizontal sections of geopotential height (contour lines), wind barbs and equivalent potential temperature (colour coded in K) of the deterministic forecast from Monday, 15 October 2012, 00:00 UTC 15 October 2012 at 950 hPa. Forecasts are valid on Thursday, at (**a**, **d**) 18October 2012, 18:00 UTC (**a**, **d**) and Friday, 19 18 October 2012, (**b**, **e**) 06:00 UTC (**b**, **c**) 19 October 2012, and (**c**, **f**) 18:00 UTC (**c**, **f**) 19 October 2012.



Figure 16. The same as Fig. 15c, but for the ensemble members (a) 2, (b) 9 and (c) 19. Also compare to the visualizations of the corresponding binary grids *B* shown in Fig. 13b–d.



Figure 17. (a) Vertical section of potential vorticity (colour coding in PVU; red colours in the left plot mark the 2-PVU surface and thus the dynamic tropopause), potential temperature (grey contour lines), liquid and ice water content (blue and white green contour lines). (b) Vertical section of cloud cover fraction (colour coding) and equivalent potential temperature (red contour lines). Black surface contour lines in both (a) and (b) show sea level pressure. Deterministic forecast from 00:00 UTC on Monday, 15 October 2012, valid at 18:00 UTC on Friday, 19 October 2012.



Figure 18. Planning potential flight legs with Met.3D (ensemble forecast from 00:00 UTC 15 October 2012, valid at 18:00 UTC 19 October 2012). Large The large view in the middle shows a vertical section shows of p(WCB) (colour scale in %), potential temperature (black contour lines), liquid and ice water content (blue and white contour lines). Purple surface contours show ensemble mean sea level pressure. The small view on the upper right shows a vertical section of potential vorticity (same colour scale PVUcoding and contour lines as in Fig. 17a). The small view on the lower right shows a horizontal section is located at 390 hPaand also shows, showing p(WCB) (same colour scale as in the large view) and contour lines of ensemble mean geopotential height. The maximum p(WCB) along the proposed leg can be found over southern England at around 400 hPa. The linked views show vertical section of PV shows how a flight at that altitude, going westward, would penetrate the tropopause shortly after sampling the WCB.



Figure 19. Convergence of the probability of WCB occurrence p(WCB) with decreasing forecast lead time. Forecasts from (a) 12:00 UTC on-15 October 2012 and (b) 12:00 UTC on-16 October 2012, valid at 18:00 UTC on-19 October 2012. Filter criterion is 500 hPa in 48 h. Isosurfaces show 30% (red opaque isosurface) and 10% (white purple transparent isosurface). Black surface contours show ensemble mean sea level pressure. The forecast from 00:00 UTC on-17 October 2012 is shown in Fig. 3c.