- 1 ^{GA}SAKe : forecasting landslide activations by a Genetic-Algorithms based hydrological model
- 3 Oreste G. Terranova¹, Stefano Luigi Gariano^{2,3*}, Pasquale Iaquinta¹ & Giulio G.R. Iovine¹
- ¹⁾ CNR-IRPI (National Research Council Research Institute for Geo-Hydrological Protection),
 via Cavour 6, 87036, Rende, Cosenza, Italia.
- ²⁾ CNR-IRPI (National Research Council Research Institute for Geo-Hydrological Protection),
 via Madonna Alta 126, 06128, Perugia, Italia.
- ³⁾ University of Perugia, Department of Physics and Geology, via A. Pascoli, 06123, Perugia,
 Italia.
- *Corresponding Author: gariano@irpi.cnr.it, Phone: +39 075 5014424.
- 12

4

13 ABSTRACT

^{GA}SAKe is a new hydrological model aimed at forecasting the triggering of landslides. The model is

- 15 based on Genetic Algorithms and allows to obtain thresholds for the prediction of slope failures
- 16 using dates of landslide activations and rainfall series. It can be applied to either single landslides or
- 17 set of similar slope movements in a homogeneous environment.
- 18 Calibration of the model provides families of optimal, discretized solutions (kernels) that maximize
- 19 the fitness function. Starting from the kernels, the corresponding mobility functions (i.e., the
- 20 predictive tools) can be obtained through convolution with the rain series. The base time of the
- 21 kernel is related to the magnitude of the considered slope movement, as well as to the hydro-
- 22 geological complexity of the site. Generally, shorter base times are expected for shallow slope
- 23 instabilities compared to larger-scale phenomena. Once validated, the model can be applied to
- estimate the timing of future landslide activations in the same study area, by employing measured orforecasted rainfall series.
- Examples of application of ^{GA}SAKe to a medium-size slope movement (the Uncino landslide at San
- Fili, in Calabria, Southern Italy) and to a set of shallow landslides (in the Sorrento Peninsula,
- 28 Campania, Southern Italy) are discussed. In both cases, a successful calibration of the model has
- been achieved, despite unavoidable uncertainties concerning the dates of occurrence of the slope
- 30 movements. In particular, for the Sorrento Peninsula case, a fitness of 0.81 has been obtained by
- 31 calibrating the model against 10 dates of landslide activation; in the Uncino case, a fitness of 1 (i.e.,
- neither missing nor false alarms) has been achieved using 5 activations. As for temporal validation,
- the experiments performed by considering further dates of activation have also proved satisfactory.
 In view of early-warning applications for civil protection, the capability of the model to simulate the
- occurrences of the Uncino landslide has been tested by means of a progressive, self-adaptive
- procedure. Finally, a sensitivity analysis has been performed by taking into account the main
- 37 parameters of the model.
- 38 The obtained results are quite promising, given the high performance of the model against different
- types of slope instabilities characterized by several historical activations. Nevertheless, further
- 40 refinements are still needed for application to landslide risk mitigation within early-warning and
- 41 decision-support systems.
- 42
- 43 *Key words:* hydrological model, rainfall threshold, landslide triggering, genetic algorithm
- 44
- 45

46 1 INTRODUCTION

A nationwide investigation, carried out by the National Geological Survey, identified approximately 5×10⁵ slope movements in Italy, with an average of 1.6 failures per square kilometre (Trigila,

49 2007). According to other investigations, this figure would rather be a low estimate (cf. Servizio

50 Geologico, Sismico dei Suoli, 1999; Guzzetti et al., 2008). In the period 1950–2009, at least 6349

51 persons were killed, went missing, or were injured by landslides, with an average of 16 harmful

events per year, thus confirming the notable risk posed to population (Guzzetti, 2000; Salvati et al.,
2010).

54 Petley (2008) estimated that about 90% of worldwide casualties can be attributed to landslides

triggered by rainfall. With reference to the Italian territory, about 70% of landslides result to be

triggered by rainfall (cf. CNR-GNDCI AVI Project, Alfieri et al., 2012). Slope instability conditions

are in fact influenced by rainfall that, infiltrating into the slopes, cause temporary changes in

58groundwater dynamics (Van Asch et al., 1999). The combination of infiltration and runoff may

cause different types of mass-movements (either slope failure or erosion processes) depending on

60 the intensity and duration of the rainfall and the values of soil suction (Cuomo and Della Sala,

61 2013). Concentration of water deriving from either contemporary or antecedent storms at specific

62 sites plays a major role in triggering landslides – as testified by slope instabilities that commonly

63 follow the heaviest phases of rainfall events.

To model the relationships between rainfall and landslide occurrence, two distinct approaches are generally adopted in literature. The first, named "complete" or "physically-based", attempts to

66 determine the influence of rainfall on slope stability by modelling its effects in terms of overland

flow, groundwater infiltration, pore pressure and related balance of shear stress and resistance (cf.

68 e.g., Montgomery and Dietrich, 1994; Wilson and Wieczorek, 1995; Crosta, 1998; Terlien, 1998;

69 Crosta et al., 2003; Pisani et al., 2010). With regard to this latter purpose, numerical models are

round a notable (and expensive) amount of detailed data is commonly required to define

the geological scheme of the slope in litho-structural, hydrogeological, morphologic and

72 geotechnical terms. The second approach (adopted in the present study), named "empirical" or

⁷³ "hydrological" (Cascini and Versace, 1988), is based on a statistical-probabilistic analysis of

rainfall series and of dates of occurrence of slope movements (see, among the others, Campbell,

⁷⁵ 1975; Caine, 1980; UNDRO, 1991; Sirangelo and Versace, 1996; Guzzetti et al., 2007; 2008,

76 Brunetti et al. 2010, Gariano et al., 2015). In literature, methodological examples generally focus on

thresholds obtained for *i*) single phenomena or *ii*) given types of landslides within a homogeneous
geo-environmental setting (cf. e.g., Jakob and Weatherly, 2003).

In this study, the hydrological model ${}^{GA}SAKe$ (i.e., the Genetic-Algorithms based release of the

80 model <u>Self Adaptive Kernel</u>), developed to forecast the triggering of slope movements, is described.

81 The model can be applied to either single landslides or to a set of similar phenomena within a

82 homogeneous study area. Model calibration is performed by means of Genetic Algorithms: in this

83 way, a family of optimal, discretized kernels can iteratively be obtained from initial tentative

solutions. In a different release of the model ($^{CM}SAKe - i.e.$, *Cluster model SAKe*) the calibration is instead performed through an iterative procedure (Terranova et al., 2013).

86 Examples of application of the model to a medium-size landslide (the Uncino landslide at San Fili)

and to shallow slope movements in the Sorrento Peninsula are discussed in the following sections.

88 Temporal validation is discussed for both cases, in view of early-warning applications of ${}^{GA}SAKe$

89 for Civil Protection purposes. Moreover, a progressive, self-adaptive procedure of calibration and

validation is discussed, by considering the Uncino case study, to verify changes in fitness,

- 91 predictive ability and base time when an increasing number of dates of activation is employed.
- 92 Finally, the results of preliminary, parametric analyses are presented, aimed at investigating the role
- 93 of the main parameters of the model.
- 94

95 2 BACKGROUND

96 Physical systems evolve in time due to their own inner dynamics and/or as a consequence of 97 external causes. Suitable observational tools can be employed to monitor their evolution, and 98 arranged to promptly send reports or warnings to authorities of civil protection to support the management of emergencies (Cauvin et al., 1998; for applications to landslides, cf. also Keefer et 99 al., 1987; Iovine et al., 2009; Capparelli and Versace, 2011; Pradhan and Buchroithner, 2012). 100 101 In the case of complex systems (e.g., nuclear power stations, telecommunication networks, etc.), many parameters, in part interdependent, have to be monitored. Missing an automated phase of 102 analysis and proper filtering, a great number of reports may be delivered by the monitoring 103 apparatus in few seconds. At this purpose, the concepts of threshold (Carter, 2010), event and 104

- 105 warning must therefore be suitably defined.
- 106 Regarding slope movements, the notions of threshold and warning have long been investigated. In
- 107 particular, a threshold constitutes a condition generally expressed in quantitative terms or through
- 108 a mathematical law whose occurrence implies a change of state (White et al., 1996). According to
- the ALARM study group (Cauvin et al., 1998), an event is i) a portion of information extracted
- from either continuous or discrete signals (i.e., a significant variation), transmitted by a component of the monitoring network; or *ii*) a set of data concerning the considered context (e.g., restorations,
- actions, observations). According to such definition, an event must be instantaneous and dated. As
- for warning, its definition derives from that of event: it is a discrete indicator aimed at triggering a
- human or an automated reaction. The warning can be classified into distinct levels (e.g., in terms of
- security) or by type (e.g., related to a distinct component of the dynamic system under
- 116 consideration), to be transmitted by the monitoring system.
- 117 In complex systems, causal factors responsible for emergency conditions may be difficult to
- identify. Therefore, warnings may be issued according to pre-fixed thresholds related to suitable
- 119 physical properties of the system. In these cases, the timing of data sampling of the monitoring
- instruments should be progressively adapted to the evolution of the phenomenon. A further issue
- 121 concerns the chances of missing and false alarms, as well as the camouflage of an alarm among
- simultaneous others.
- 123 In physical terms, slope instability can occur when the shear strength gets lower than a given
- 124 threshold (Terzaghi, 1962). Rain infiltration may temporarily change the dynamics of groundwater
- 125 (Van Asch et al., 1999): due to an increase in pore water pressure, the effective shear strength of the
- 126 material decreases, and a slope movement can be triggered. Groundwater may reach a given
- 127 location within the slope by different paths. The main natural mechanisms include: *i*) surface flow,
- strongly influenced by morphology; *ii*) direct infiltration from the surface; *iii*) flow within the soil
- 129 mantle (*throughflow*) from upslope and sideslopes; *iv*) seepage from the bedrock toward the
- 130 overlying colluvium. The length of the different paths may be quite different, and characterized by
- 131 distinct velocities: as a consequence, aliquots of the same rainfall event may reach a given site at
- different times, variously combining with other groundwater amounts (Ellen, 1988).
- 133 To apply a hydrological approach, empirical relations have to be determined by means of thresholds
- to distinguish among conditions which likely correspond to landslide occurrence or not. To this
- aim, different hydrological parameters can be selected (Guzzetti et al., 2007; 2008 and

136 http://rainfallthresholds.irpi.cnr.it/): the cumulative rain recorded in a given temporal window

- 137 (hours/days/months) before landslide activation; the average rain intensity in the same temporal
- 138 window; rains normalized to reference values (e.g., annual averages). Simplified hydrological
- balances can also be adopted in empirical approaches, by considering losses of aliquots of rains byrun-off, evapo-transpiration, etc.

141 As concerns superficial landslides, triggering thresholds can be derived from relations between the

- 142 "triggering" rain (daily, hourly or shorter), corresponding to the onset of the slope movement, and
- the rain cumulated over an "antecedent period" (usually, few days to two weeks before landslide
- activation) (e.g., Campbell, 1975; Cannon and Ellen, 1985; Wieczorek, 1987; Terlien, 1996; Crosta,
- 145 1998; Zêzere and Rodrigues, 2002). In other cases, thresholds refer to relations between rain
- intensity, *I*, and duration, *D* (e.g., Brunetti et al., 2010, Berti et al., 2012, Peres and Cancelliere,
- 147 2014). In some studies, antecedent rains are also considered, allowing to obtain better results (e.g.,
- 148 Campbell, 1975). Larger amounts of antecedent rain should allow slope movements to be activated
- by less severe triggering storms. In general, a direct relationship between antecedent rain and 150
- landslide dimension can be observed (Cascini and Versace, 1986); though, in some peculiar
 conditions (e.g., Hong Kong case studies, caused by suction reduction Brand et al., 1984) this is
- not the case, and the role of antecedent rains looks less important. In addition, as underlined by
- 153 Cuomo and Della Sala (2013), time to runoff, time to failure and runoff rates strongly depend on
- soil water characteristic curves, soil initial conditions, rainfall intensity and slope angle in
- unsaturated shallow deposits. Moreover, soil mechanical parameters affect the time to failure,
- 156 which can result either shorter or longer than time to runoff.
- 157 Due to physical and economic issues, difficulties in hydrological modelling of landslides generally
- increase when dealing with deeper and larger phenomena (Cascini and Versace, 1986). In such
- 159 cases, landslide activation depends on the dynamics of deeper groundwater bodies. By the way, it is 160 not by chance that most studies do refer to small and superficial slope movements. Large landslides
- 161 usually show complex relationships with rains, as different groundwater aliquots may combine and
- 162 reach the site of triggering. Depending on type (cf. dimension, material, kinematics, etc.), different
- 163 hydrological mechanisms should be considered, thus limiting the possibility of generalization of the
- thresholds (Dikau and Schrott, 1999; Corominas, 2001; Marques et al., 2008). Again, the
- 165 mobilization of deeper phenomena commonly requires greater rainfall amounts, spanned over
- longer periods, with respect to shallow landslides (Aleotti, 2004; Terranova et al., 2004; Guzzetti et
- al., 2007; 2008;). In these cases, rain durations responsible for landslide activations commonly
- range from ca. 30 days to several months, even beyond a single rainy season (Brunsden, 1984; Van
 Asch et al., 1999; Gullà et al., 2004; Trigo et al., 2005).
- Asch et al., 1999, Ouna et al., 2004, The et al., 2005).
 To analyse the triggering conditions of slope movements either shallow or deep-seated a
- threshold-based modelling approach can be employed. Empirical thresholds (e.g., Aleotti, 2004;
- Wieczorek and Glade, 2005; Terranova et al., 2004; Vennari et al., 2014) can be expressed in terms
- of curves, delimiting the portion of the Cartesian plane which contains "all and only" the
- 174 hydrological conditions related to known activations (cf. e.g., the *I-D* chart proposed by Caine,
- 175 1980). A further improvement to this approach can be obtained by considering hydrological
- conditions not related to landslide activations (Crozier, 1997; Sengupta et al., 2010; Gariano et al.,
- 177 2015). In general, no changes of state are assumed to occur below the threshold (z_t) , while they do
- happen above it. Alternatively, a range of conditions can be defined (Crozier, 1997), delimited by:
- 179 \checkmark a lower threshold (z_{low}), below which changes of state do never occur, and
- 180 \checkmark an upper threshold (z_{upp}), above which changes always happen.

- 181 For values between z_{upp} and z_{low} , the probability that the state changes can be defined, essentially
- depending on *i*) the incompleteness of knowledge on the physical process under investigation, and
- *ii*) the incapacity of the model to fully replicate the behaviour of the same process. In probabilisticterms:

$$P(E_t) = 0 \text{ for } z(t) < z_{low}$$

$$P(E_t) = 1 \text{ for } z(t) > z_{upp}$$

$$P(E_t) = G[z(t)] \text{ for } z_{low} \le z(t) \le z_{upp}$$
(1)

- in which: *P* is the probability of occurrence (1=success, 0=unsuccess); E_t is a process (succession of events) whose state changes with time *t*; z(t) is the value assumed, at time *t*, by the variable that determines the change of state; z_{low} and z_{upp} are the minimum and maximum thresholds,
- respectively; G[z(t)] is a probability function, monotonically increasing with t in the range]0,1[.
- 189 In hydrological models, to express the influence of rainfall on runoff and groundwater dynamics, a
- 190 "kernel" (also named "filter function") can be employed, usually defined in terms of simple,
- 191 continuous analytical function (Chow et al., 1988). In such a way, suitable weights can be assigned
- to the precipitations occurred in the last hours/days before a given geo-hydrological process (e.g.,
- discharge, measured at a generic river cross section; landslide activation), as well as to earlier rains
- 194 recorded weeks/months before. The mostly employed types of kernels are Beta, Gamma, Nash,
- 195 negative exponential distribution. Furthermore, the "base time" (t_b) expresses a sort of memory with
- respect to rainfall: in classic rainfall-runoff modelling, t_b defines the time of concentration, while in slope stability analyses it represents the time interval, measured backward from landslide activation, during which rainfall is deemed to effectively affect groundwater dynamics, and contributes to destabilization.
- To modelling slope stability, both the shape and the base time of the kernel must be properly selected depending on type and dimension of the investigated phenomena, as well as geo-structural and hydrogeological characteristics. Unfortunately, in several real cases, the above-mentioned analytical functions may fail in properly capturing the complexity of groundwater dynamics, as well as the related landslide activations. In this respect, the adoption of discretized kernels, automatically calibrated through iterative computational techniques, may offer effective solutions.
- 206

$207 \quad 3 \qquad \text{THE MODEL } {}^{GA}SAKe$

^{GA}SAKe is an empirical-hydrological model for predicting the activation of slope movements of
 different types. It is based on a classic threshold scheme: the exceedance of the threshold
 determines a change of state, i.e. the triggering of the landslide. The scheme is inspired from the

211 *FLaIR* model (*F*orecasting *La*ndslides *I*nduced by *R*ainfall), proposed by Sirangelo and Versace

- 212 (1996): through changes of state in time, the variable z(t) assumes the meaning of "mobility
- 213 *function*". In other terms, the values of z(t) depend on the amount of rain stored in the aquifer.
- In hydrology, rainfall-runoff modelling is commonly performed by adopting a linear, steady scheme
- 215 (Chow et al., 1988). Such approach implies that the transformation of rainfall in runoff can be
- described by an integral of convolution between a unitary impulsive response of the basin the
- 217 kernel, h(t) and the rainfall, p(t).

The *kernel (filter function*) represents the unitary volume influx in an infinitesimal period, and isdefined as:

$$\int_0^\infty h(t)dt = 1 \tag{2}$$

220 in which $h(t) = h(-t), h(t) \ge 0, \forall t$.

In practical applications, the lower bound (t=0) corresponds to the beginning of the flood-wave rising, and the kernel assumes a finite duration (t_b). The integral of convolution is therefore expressed as:

$$z(t) = \int_{0}^{t_{b}} h(t-\tau) p(\tau) d\tau = \int_{0}^{t_{b}} h(\tau) p(t-\tau) d\tau$$
(3)

in which z(t) represents the discharge at the time *t*. For a specific case study, the kernel can be determined by means of calibration procedures, by relating discharge measurements to rains. In discretized terms, the elements of the kernel are characterized by width Δt and height h_i , and equation (3) can be written as:

$$z_u = \sum_{i=1}^u h_i \cdot p_{u-i+1} \cdot \Delta t \tag{4}$$

228

229 Sirangelo and Versace (1996) proved that the same approach may turn out promising also in slopestability modelling. Capparelli and Versace (2011) stressed that the *I-D* chart of Caine (1980) 230 corresponds to a kernel defined by a power function $h(t) = a t^b$, with b < 0. Exporting the well-231 established knowledge of rainfall-runoff modelling (usually based on many measurements) to 232 rainfall-landslide modelling is not trivial, due to scarcity of adequate information for proper 233 234 calibration. Only few dates of activation are, in fact, commonly available in rainfall-landslide modelling (often with unsatisfactory details on location and phenomena), and the values of z(t) are 235 unknown. From a mathematical point of view, such a problem can be handled by assuming that the 236 237 timing of the maxima of z(t) corresponds to the dates of landslide activation. When studying the triggering conditions of landslides, calibration can be therefore performed by maximizing the 238

239 mobility function in correspondence to the dates of activation.

240 Scarcity of information inevitably reflects on the resulting kernel, whose shape may turn out highly

241 indeterminate: different functions, or different parameters of the same function, can in fact

maximize z(t) in correspondence to the dates of mobilization. Model optimization – and its reliable utilization for early-warning purposes – can turn out an awkward issue.

In this work, an innovative modelling approach – based on discretized kernels, automatically

calibrated through iterative computational techniques – is proposed, which may help in facing the

above-cited difficulties. For modelling purposes, the rainfall series and a coherent set of dates of

247 landslide occurrence – either related to a given slope movement, or to a set of landslides of the same

type in a homogeneous geo-environmental zone – must be given as input.

249 Unfortunately, when dealing with the timing of occurrence, historical notices may refer either to

250 portions of the considered phenomena or to entire landslide bodies. Therefore, dates should be

251 properly selected to consider only consistent cases. Moreover, dates of activation are usually known

with only a broad approximation: with respect to the reports, the actual timing of occurrence may be

located backward (documents may assign a later date) or forward (in case of later, more relevant
 movements). For modelling purposes, it is then useful to specify a temporal window, lasting from

an initial (d_{t-from}) to a final date (d_{t-to}) , containing the presumable timing of occurrence.

256 Rainfall series are commonly reconstructed from data recorded at rain gauges located within a

reasonable proximity of the study site. The temporal window of the hydrological analysis is defined

by the intersection of *i*) the period of observation of the rains and *ii*) the period delimited by the

ancientmost and the recentmost dates of activation of the landslide. A potential source of

- uncertainty lies in the fact that, occasionally, the recorded rainfall amounts notably differ from those
- actually experienced at landslide location. Furthermore, landslide triggering may also be due to
- other causes (e.g., human activity, earthquakes): a thorough preliminary analysis has always to be
- performed to verify the significance of rainfall preceding landslide activation, to detecting cases notto be considered in the hydrological study.
- In the model, rains older than t_b are neglected. Suitable maximum and minimum values (t_{b-max} and
- 266 t_{b-min}) have to be initialized to allow the model to determine optimal values. Commonly, t_b ranging
- 267 from few hours to some weeks are suggested for shallow landslides, while greater values (up to
- several months) sound suitable for deep-seated phenomena.
- Based on the geological knowledge of the phenomenon under investigation, the initial shape of the
- kernel can be assumed among a set of basic types. Among these, i) a "rectangular" shape can be
- adopted if older precipitations have the same weight of more recent rains; *ii*) a "decreasing
- triangular", if older precipitations have a progressively smaller weight than more recent rains; *iii*)
- 273 "increasing triangular", if older precipitations have a progressively greater weight than more recent
- rains. A casual shape or any other function can also be implemented in the model (e.g., Beta,
- 275 Gamma, Nash, Negative exponential distribution).

277 **3.1 Model Calibration**

- In ^{GA}SAKe, model calibration is performed against real case studies through Genetic Algorithms
 (GAs). These latter are general-purpose, iterative search algorithms inspired by natural selection
- and genetics (Holland, 1975). Since 1970's, GAs have been applied to several fields of research,
- from applied mathematics (Poon and Sparks, 1992), to evolution of learning (Hinton and Nowlan,
- 1987), evolutionary robotics (Nolfi and Marocco, 2001), and debris-flow modelling (Iovine et al.,
- 283 2005; D'Ambrosio et al., 2006). GAs simulate the evolution of a population of candidate solutions
 284 to a given problem by favouring the reproduction of the best individuals. The candidate solutions
 285 are codified by genotypes, typically using strings, whose elements are called genes.
- GAs explore the solution space, defined as the set of possible values of the genes. At the beginning of a given optimization experiment, the members of the initial population of genotypes (in this
- study, the *kernels*) are usually generated at random. The performance of each solution, in terms of
 phenotype (i.e., the *mobility function*), is evaluated by applying a suitable *fitness function*, so
- determining its "adaptability", i.e. the measure of its goodness in resolving the problem.
- 291 The sequence of random genetic operators *selection*, *crossover* and *mutation*, constrained by
- prefixed probabilities, constitutes a single GA-iteration that generates a new population of candidatesolutions. At each iteration, best individuals are in fact chosen by applying the selection operator.
- 294 To form a new population of offspring, crossover is employed by combining parents' genes.
- 295 Mutation is successively applied to each gene, by randomly changing its value within the allowed
- range. Thanks to the GA approach, better individuals (i.e., those characterized by higher fitness
 values) can be obtained over time. In fact, according to individual probabilities of selection, any
- values) can be obtained over time. In fact, according to individual probabilities of selection, anychange that increases the fitness tends to be preserved over GA iterations (Holland, 1975). For
- 299 further details on GAs, cf. Goldberg (1989) and Mitchell (1996).
- In the present study, a steady-state and elitist GA (cf. De Jong, 1975) was employed to obtain the
- family of optimal kernels that maximize the mobility function in correspondence to known dates of
- landslide activations. The procedure employed for calibration of ${}^{GA}SAKe$ is schematized in Figure 1.
- At the beginning of an optimization experiment, the initial population of *N* kernels is generated at
- random, and the fitness of the related mobility functions is evaluated (cf. below). In order to evolve

- the initial population of candidate solutions, and to progressively obtain better solutions, a total number of Λ GA-iterations follows.
- At each iteration of the GA, the operators selection, crossover and mutation are applied as follows (Fig. 2):
- 309 selection
- 310 *i.* n_e "elitist" individuals are merely copied in a "mating pool" from the previous generation, by 311 choosing the best ones;
- 312 *ii.* the remaining N- n_e candidate solutions are chosen by applying the "tournament without
- *replacement*" selection operator. More in detail, a series of tournaments are performed by
- selecting two individuals at random from the previous generation: the winner (i.e., the one
- characterized by the highest fitness) is copied into the mating pool, according to a prefixed
- surviving probability (p_s) , which is set greater for the fittest individual. Note that, when
- 317 choosing the N- n_e candidate solutions, a given individual cannot be selected more than once.
- 318 crossover
- After the mating pool is filled with *N* individuals, the crossover operator is applied, according to a prefixed probability (p_c) :
- *i.* two parent individuals are chosen from the mating pool at random;
- 322 *ii.* a cutting point (*crossover point*) is then selected at random in the range $]t_{b-min}, t_{b-max}[;$
- *iii.* the obtained portions of parents' strings are exchanged, thus mixing the genetic informationand resulting in two children (Fig. 3).
- 325 When the crossover is not applied, the two parents are merely copied into P_{new} .
- **326** *mutation*
- Based on a prefixed probability (p_m) , a random number of elements of the kernel $(p_{me}, \text{ expressed})$ as a percentage of t_b) is mutated, by adding to each element an amount *dh* that is randomly obtained in the range $[p_{mh1}, p_{mh2}]$, as a function of the maximum value of the kernel (h_{max}) . Then
- 330 dh ranges from dh_1 to dh_2 :

$$dh_1 = p_{mh1} \cdot h_{max}$$

$$dh_2 = p_{mh2} \cdot h_{max}$$

- (5)
- Furthermore, the base time is also mutated (increased or decreased) within the bounds [t_{b-min} , t_{b-332} max], according to a random factor dt_b selected in the range [$1/p_{mtb}$, p_{mtb}] (Fig. 4).
- Children obtained by either crossover or mutation must be normalized before being included in the population P_{new} , by properly scaling the elements of the kernels to ensure validity of equation 2.
- During calibration, the shape of the kernel and its t_b are iteratively refined. Note that the shape is not
- subject to any constraint, while t_b is allowed to vary in the range $[t_{b-min} t_{b-max}]$. The fitness is
- computed for each examined mobility function, and new populations of kernels are generated asdescribed above.
- As for the fitness function, in ${}^{GA}SAKe$ it is defined as follows:
- the *L* available dates of landslide activation as derived from the historical analyses are arranged in a vector $S = \{S_1, S_2, ..., S_i, ..., S_L\}$;
- the vector of the relative maxima of the mobility function, $\mathbf{Z} = \{z_1, z_2, ..., z_j, ..., z_M\}$, is sorted in decreasing order (M = number of relative maxima);
- the vector of the partial fitness is $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_i, ..., \varphi_L\}$, where $\varphi_i = k^{-1}$ depends on the rank kof the relative maxima of z_j that coincide with known dates of activation, S_i . In case S_i does not correspond to any relative maximum, it is $\varphi_i = 0$.

- With reference to a given kernel, the resulting fitness is expressed by $\Phi_u = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \varphi_i$. To generalize
- the results for an easier comparison with other study cases, a normalized fitness index is adopted, $\Phi = \Phi_u / \Phi_{max}$, defined in the range [0,1], being $\Phi_{max} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} 1/i$.
- For instance, if two dates of activation are available and both are well captured by the mobility
- function (i.e., they correspond to the highest peaks), the obtained fitness is $\Phi_u = 1 + \frac{1}{2} = 1.5$. On the
- other hand, in case only one of the dates is captured and the remaining one ranks fifth, $\Phi_u = 1 + \frac{1}{5}$ = 1.2.
- Thanks to the above procedure, a family of "optimal kernels" which maximizes the fitness can be determined. The mobility function is, in fact, forced toward a shape characterized by relative maxima (z_j) coinciding with the dates of landslide occurrence (S_i) . An optimal solution leads to a mobility function having the highest peaks in correspondence to such dates; further peaks may also be present, characterized by lower values. Nevertheless, kernel solutions generally determine mobility functions whose highest peaks only partly match with the dates of landslide occurrence
- 360 (i.e., some dates may neither correspond to the highest peaks nor to any peak at all).
- 361 To select the most suitable kernel from a given family of optimal ones, let's define:
- 362 z_{j-min} as the lowest of the peaks of the mobility function in correspondence to one of the dates of 363 activation (S_i);
- z_{cr} as the "*critical threshold*", i.e. the highest peak of the mobility function just below z_{j-min} ;

365 • the "safety margin",
$$\Delta z_{cr} = (z_{j-min} - z_{cr}) / z_{j-min}$$
.

- When applying the fitness function to evaluate a given kernel, either incompleteness or low accuracy of input data may lead to "false alarms" – i.e., peaks of the mobility function (z_j) which are greater than the threshold z_{cr} , but do not correspond to any of the known dates of activation. Such alarms can actually be of two different types: 1) "untrue false", due to an informative gap in the archive (i.e., correct prediction); 2) "true false", in case of real misprediction of the model. On such cases, further historical investigations may help to discriminating between the mentioned types of false alarms.
- Also depending on the specific purpose of the analysis, the most suitable kernel can therefore be selected by one or more of the following criteria: *i*) the greatest Δz_{cr} ; *ii*) the shortest t_b ; *iii*) the smallest $\mu_0 = \sum_{i \le t_b} (i - 0.5) h_i \Delta t$, i.e. the first-order momentum of the kernel with respect to the vertical axis. The first criterion allows for the activation of early-warning procedures with greatest advance; the remaining ones (to be employed when Δz_{cr} is too small) generally correspond to more impulsive responses to rainfall.
- 379 Differently from what usually experienced in rainfall-runoff models, ^{GA}SAKe therefore provide 380 multiple equivalent solutions – i.e., a number of optimal kernels with same fitness, Φ_u , despite
- different shapes. This may depend on the limited number of available dates of activations, and on
- 382 other noises in input data (e.g., rain gauges located too far from the site of landslide activation;
- inaccurate information on dates of activation or on the phenomenon). The adoption of synthetic
 kernels e.g., obtained by averaging a suitable set of optimal kernels permits to synthetize the
- family of results for successive practical applications: in this work, the best 100 kernels obtained for
- each case study were in fact utilized to synthetize "average kernels" (see below) to be employed for
- 387 validation purposes.
- 388
- 389 4 CASE STUDIES

- 390 The case studies considered in this paper are: *i*) a set of shallow landslides in the Sorrento Peninsula
- between Gragnano and Castellammare di Stabia (Campania, Southern Italy); and *ii*) the Uncino
- 392 landslide at San Fili (Calabria, Southern Italy).
- Note that, as the numbers of known historical activations in the study areas were adequate, some
- dates could be excluded from calibration, and were successively employed for validation purposes.
- In particular, the recentmost dates of landslide activation (cf. Tables 1 and 2) were employed to
- validate the average kernels (these latter obtained from the families of optimal solutions defined
- through calibration). The procedure employed for validation is schematized in Figure 5.
- 398

399 4.1 Shallow landslides in the Sorrento Peninsula - Campania

- 400 The Sorrento Peninsula is located in western Campania, Southern Italy (Fig. 6). In the area,
- Mesozoic limestone mainly crop out, covered by Miocene flysch, Pleistocene volcanic deposits
 (pyroclastic fall, ignimbrite), and Pleistocene detrital-alluvional deposits (Di Crescenzo and Santo,
 1999). The carbonate bedrock constitutes a monocline, gently dipping towards WNW, mantled by
 sedimentary and volcanoclastic deposits, with thickness ranging from few decimetres to tens of
 meters.
- Rainfall-induced shallow landslides are widespread in the pyroclastic soils covering the slopes of
 the study area. Among the various factors affecting the spatial distribution and the type of slope
- instabilities, Cascini et al. (2014) pointed out that both the rainfall conditions and the consequent
- instabilities, Cascini et al. (2014) pointed out that both the rainfall conditions and the consequer
 seasonal variations of soil suction play a significant role. In particular, when suction is low and
- 410 frontal rainfall occurs (from November to May), first time shallow landslides are triggered; when
- 411 suction is high or very high and convective or hurricane-type rainfall occurs (from June to October),
- 412 mostly erosion phenomena occur, often turning into hyperconcentrated flows.
- 413 The study area is characterized by hot, dry summers and moderately cold and rainy winters.
- 414 Consequently, its climate can be classified as Mediterranean (Csa in the Köppen-Geiger's
- 415 classification). In particular, the mean annual temperature ranges from 8-9°C, at the highest
- elevations of M. Faito and M. Cerreto, to 17-18°C along coasts and valleys. Average annual rainfall
- 417 varies from 900 mm west of Sorrento to 1500 mm at M. Faito; moving inland to the East, it reaches
- 418 1600 mm at M. Cerreto and 1700 mm at the Chiunzi pass (Ducci and Tranfaglia, 2005). On
- average, annual totals are concentrated in about 95 rainy days. During the driest six months (from
- 420 April to September), only 30% of the annual rainfall is recorded in about 30 rainy days. During the
- three wettest months (November, October, and December), a similar amount is recorded in about 34
- rainy days (Servizio Idrografico, 1948-1999). In the area, convective rainstorms may occur,
 characterized by a very high intensity, at the beginning of the rainy season (from September to
- characterized by a very high intensity, at the beginning of the rainy season (from September to
 October). In Autumn-Winter, either high intensity or long duration rainfall are usually recorded,
- 425 while uniformly distributed rains generally occur in Spring (Fiorillo and Wilson, 2004). As for
- 426 annual maxima of daily rainfall recorded at the sea level, the Amalfi coast (southern border of the
- 427 Sorrento Peninsula) is characterized by smaller values (59 mm) of average annual maxima of daily
- rainfall than the Sorrento coast (86 mm), on the northern border. Such difference seems to persist
- even at higher elevations (up to 1000 m a.s.l.), with 84 mm vs. 116 mm for the southern and
- 430 northern mountain slopes, respectively (Rossi and Villani, 1994).
- 431 Severe storms frequently affect the study area, triggering shallow landslides that propagate seaward,
- 432 often causing casualties and serious damage to urbanized areas and transportation facilities (Mele
- and Del Prete, 1999; Calcaterra and Santo, 2004; Di Crescenzo and Santo, 2005). In the second half
- 434 of the XX century, several shallow landslides activated nearby Castellammare di Stabia: in Table 1,

- the major events recorded between Vico Equense and Gragnano are listed, with details on types of
- 436 events, affected sites and references. Shallow landslides listed in Table 1 occurred between
- 437 November and March, a period characterised by a medium to low suction range and included in the
- rainy season (October to April), according to Cascini et al. (2014). The same Authors pointed out
- that, in this period, frontal rainfall typically occurs and may trigger widespread first-time shallow
- 440 landslides, later propagating as debris flow or debris avalanches.
- 441 Rainfall responsible for landslide occurrences in the Sorrento Peninsula are shown in Fig. 7, in
- terms of cumulated antecedent rains, extracted from the records of the nearest gauges (Tramonti,
- 443 Castellammare, and Tramonti-Chiunzi cf. Fig. 6). The trends of antecedent rains look quite
- different, ranging from abrupt (cf. curves 5, 6, 7) to progressive increases (cf. 2, 4, 10). On the other
- hand, the curve 0 does not highlight significant amounts of rainfall in the 14 days preceding
- landslide activation: therefore, the occurrence recorded on 14 April 1967 was excluded by the
- hydrological analysis. Quite moderate amounts of cases 6 and 7 (occurred on 4 November 1980 and
 14 November 1982, resp.) were instead recorded in short periods, thus resulting into high-intensity
 events that could be considered as triggering factor of the observed landslides.
- As a result, the dates of activation from #1 to #10 were selected for calibration, whilst #11 was employed for validation. As shallow landslides were being considered, the rainfall period employed for calibration spanned from 17 January 1963 to 10 December 1996; for validation, the rainfall series extended from 11 December 1996 to 10 February 1997 – i.e., to the validation date $+t_b$ (this latter as obtained from calibration).
- 454 455

456 **4.2** The Uncino landslide - San Fili (Northern Calabria)

- San Fili (Fig. 8) is located on the western margin of the Crati graben, a tectonic depression along 457 458 the active Calabrian-Sicilian Rift Zone (Monaco and Tortorici, 2000). In the area, vicarious, N-S trending normal faults mark the base of the Coastal Chain, at the transition between Palaeozoic 459 metamorphic rocks, to the West, and Pliocene-Quaternary sediments, to the East (Amodio Morelli 460 et al., 1976). Nearby San Fili, Palaeozoic migmatitic gneiss and biotitic schist, generally weathered, 461 are mantled by a Late Miocene sedimentary cover of reddish continental conglomerate, followed by 462 marine sandstone and clays (CASMEZ, 1967). In particular, the village lies in the intermediate 463 sector between two faults, marked by a NE-SW trending connection fault, delimiting Miocene 464 sediments, to the North, from gneissic rocks, to the South. 465
- 466 In Calabria, the Tyrrhenian sector (including the study area) results rainier than the Ionian (about
- 467 1200-2000 mm vs. 500 mm). Nevertheless, the most severe storms occur more frequently in the
- 468 Ionian sector (Terranova, 2004). The average annual temperature is about 15°C: the coldest months
- 469 are January and February (on average, 5° C), followed by December (8° C); the hottest months are
- 470 July and August (24° C), followed by June (22° C).
- 471 As in most of the region, the climate at San Fili is Mediterranean (Csa, according to Köppen, 1948).
- 472 Being located on the eastern side of a ridge, the area is subject to *Föhn* conditions with respect to
- 473 perturbations coming from the Tyrrhenian sea. It is characterized by heavy and frequent Winter
- 474 rainfall, caused by cold fronts mainly approaching from North-West, and Autumn rains, determined
- by cold air masses from North-East. In Spring, rains show lower intensities than in Autumn, whilst
- 476 strong convective storms are common at the end of Summer. The average monthly rains recorded at
- the Montalto Uffugo gauge (the closest to San Fili) are listed in Table 3. From October to March
- 478 (i.e., the wet semester), 77% of the annual rainfall is totalized in about 77 rainy days; 36% of the

- annual rainfall is recorded in 38 days during the three wettest months; finally, from June to August
- 480 (i.e., the three driest months), 6% of the annual rains fall in 11 days.
- The Uncino landslide is located at the western margin of San Fili (Fig. 8). It is a medium-size rock slide (maximum width = 200 m, length > 650 m, estimated maximum vertical depth = 25 m), with a
- 483 deep-seatedness factor (sensu Hutchinson, 1995) that may be classified as "intermediate". The slope
- 484 movement involves Late Miocene conglomerate, arenite and marly clay overlaying Palaeozoic
- 485 gneiss and biotitic schist. It repeatedly affected the village, damaging the railway and the local road
- 486 network, besides some buildings: the ancientmost known activation dates back to the beginning of
- the XX Century (Sorriso-Valvo et al., 1996); from 1960 to 1990, seven dates of mobilization are
- 488 known (as listed in Table 2). On such events, the railroad connecting Cosenza to Paola was
- damaged or even interrupted. By the way, on 28 April 1987, the railway was put out of service,
- 490 hence the relevance of the infrastructure decreased, together with media attention. Usually, such
- type of information is collected from archives not compiled by landslide experts, and is therefore
- affected by intrinsic uncertainty (e.g., concerning the dates of activity, and the partial or totalactivation of the phenomenon), with unavoidable problems of homogeneity of the data employed
- 494 for model calibration.
- 495 The informative content of the Uncino case study is quite high, and allows for a more accurate
- 496 calibration of the kernel with respect to the Sorrento Peninsula case: consequently, a smaller family
- 497 of optimal solutions is expected. Nevertheless, the known activations still suffer from uncertainties498 related to dates and affected volumes.
- 499 Cumulated antecedent rains, corresponding to the Uncino landslide occurrences, are shown in Fig.
- 500 9. Rainfall data were extracted from the records of the Montalto Uffugo rain gauge (cf. Fig. 8). The
- trends of antecedent rains may be distinguished into 3 main patterns: the curve 2 shows a constant
- 502 increase of rainfall in time, totalizing the greatest amounts from ca. 90 to 180 days. On the other
- 503 hand, the case 0 shows the lowest values throughout the considered accumulation period. The
- 504 curves 1, 3, 4, and 5 totalize intermediate values, with abrupt increases from 120 to 180 days for 505 curves 3 and 5. Finally, the case 6 looks similar to case 2 between 30 and 90 days, but shows no
- 506 more increases in the remaining period (analogously to 1 and 4).
- As the curve 0 does not highlight significant amounts of rainfall in the 30-180 days preceding the
- ⁵⁰⁸ landslide activation, the occurrence recorded on 23 November 1988 was excluded from the
- 509 hydrological analysis. Of the remaining curves, case 1 generally shows the lowest amounts from ca.
- 510 40 to 180 days. Consequently, the dates of activation from #1 to #5 were selected for calibration, 511 whilst #6 was employed for validation. Since a medium-size landslide was being considered, the
- rainfall period employed for calibration spans from 1 September 1959 to 31 August 1980; for
- validation, it ranges from 1 September 1980 to 31 March 1981 i.e., including the validation date
- 514 by $\pm t_b$ (this latter as obtained from calibration).

515 516 **5 RESULTS**

- ^{GA}SAKe was applied to shallow-landslide occurrences in the Sorrento Peninsula and to a medium size slope movement at San Fili, by considering the dates of activation and the daily rainfall series
- 519 mentioned in section §4.1 and §4.2, and adopting the values of parameters listed in Table 4.
- 520 Among the kernels obtained from calibration, several provided similar fitness values. Thus,
- ⁵²¹ "average kernels" were computed for the considered case studies, by averaging the best 100 kernels.
- 522
- 523 **5.1 Application to shallow landslides in the Sorrento Peninsula**

- In Table 5, the statistics related to the best 100 filter functions obtained from calibration (optimal
- kernels) are summarized. From such values, a low variability of Φ , t_b and μ_0 can be appreciated;
- 526 instead, Δz_{cr} shows a greater range of values. The average kernel is shown in Figure 10: it is
- 527 characterized by fitness = 0.806, Δz_{cr} = 0.00282, and t_b = 28 days. From such kernel, antecedent
- rainfall mostly affecting landslide instability range from 1 to 12 days, and subordinately from 25 to
- 529 26 days (negligible weights refer to rains occurred in the remaining period).
- The mobility function related to the average kernel is shown in Fig. 11. In this case, 4 out of 10
- dates of landslide activation are well captured by the model (being ranked at the first 7 positions of
- the mobility function maxima); the remaining 6 dates do also correspond to relative maxima of the
- function, but are ranked from the 43^{rd} to the 151^{st} position. When considering the remaining relative maxima, several false positives can be recognized, mainly up to 1979.
- 535 During calibration, the best fitness ($\Phi = 0.807$) was first reached after 1749 iterations (at 6th
- individual), with $\Delta z_{cr} = 0.00441$ and $t_b = 26$ days. The kernel corresponding to such individual looks
- similar to the best one in terms of t_b , Δz_{cr} , and μ_0 (Fig. 12). The pattern of the best kernel is only
- slightly dissimilar from the average one: significant weights can, in fact, be appreciated up to 14
 days, and then between 20-22 and 25-26 days.
- 540 By applying the average kernel, a validation was performed against the remaining date of activation
- 541 (cf. Table 1, #11, multiple event occurred on 10 January 1997). Validation resulted fully satisfied,
- as shown in Fig. 13: the value of the mobility function for the event #11 is well above the z_{cr}
- threshold (49.01 vs. 18.05), and is ranked as the second highest value among the function maxima
- (Fig. 13a). The same peak can also be appreciated as the maximum of the period $\pm t_b$ (Fig. 13b).
- Accordingly, if adopting the average kernel, the event #11 of landslide activation could properly bepredicted by the model.
- 547

548 **5.2 Application to the Uncino landslide**

- In Table 6, the statistics related to the family of optimal kernels are summarized. From such values, a low variability of t_b and Δz_{cr} can be appreciated. The average kernel (Fig. 14) is characterized by fitness = 1, Δz_{cr} = 0.0644, and t_b = 66 days. Based on such kernel, antecedent rains from 1 to 17 days, and from 27 to 45 days, mainly affect landslide instability. Relatively smaller weights pertain to the rains occurred more than 53 days before the triggering; for periods older than 66 days, the weights are negligible.
- In Fig. 15, the mobility function related to the average kernel highlights that all the 5 dates of
- activation are well captured by the model (they are ranked at the first 5 positions among the
- 557 function maxima). When considering the remaining relative maxima of the function, only 4 of them
- evidence quasi-critical situations (between 1965 and 1966, and subordinately in 1970 and 1977).
- 559 During calibration, the best fitness ($\Phi = 1$) was first reached after 684 iterations (at 13th individual)
- with $\Delta z_{cr} = 0.0595$. The best kernel (Fig. 16) was obtained at iteration 993, at 8th individual, with
- 561 $\Delta z_{cr} = 0.0631$. Its pattern results very similar to the average one, with a t_b of 66 days.
- 562 By applying the average kernel, a validation was performed against the last known date of
- activation (cf. Table 2, #6, occurred on December 1980). Validation resulted fully satisfied, as
- shown in Fig. 17: the value of the mobility function for the event #6, in fact, is well above the z_{cr}
- threshold (17.49 vs. 16.87), and is ranked as the sixth highest value among the function maxima
- 566 (Fig. 17a). The same peak can be appreciated as the maximum of the period $\pm t_b$ (Fig. 17b).
- Accordingly, if adopting the average kernel, the event #6 could properly be predicted by the model.

569 6 SELF-ADAPTIVE PROCEDURE AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSES

The capability of the model to react and self-adapt to input changes, such as new dates of landslide activation, was evaluated by a progressive, self-adaptive procedure of calibration and validation, using the information available for the Uncino case study. To simulate the adoption of ${}^{GA}SAKe$ in a landslide warning system, the model was iteratively calibrated by the first 2, 3, 4, and 5 dates of activation (*L*), and validated against the remaining 4, 3, 2, 1 dates, respectively. In each experiment,

the GA-parameters listed in Table 4 were adopted. Finally, the model was merely calibrated by

- 576 considering all the 6 dates of activation. The results of the self-adaptive procedure are listed in 577 Table 7. The related kernels are shown in Fig. 18. As a result, a progressive increase in fitness and 578 predictive ability (Δz_{cr}), together with the base time (ranging from 30 to 80 days), can be 579 appreciated when employing a greater number of dates of activation
- appreciated when employing a greater number of dates of activation.
- 580 Furthermore, aiming at evaluating the sensitivity of the model with respect to the GA parameters, a 581 series of analyses was performed by considering again the Uncino case study. The experiments
- carried out are listed in Table 8. Each simulation stopped after 1500 iterations: GA-parameters were 582 initialized by considering the "benchmark experiment" (cf. values in Table 4), except for the 583 584 parameter that was in turn varied, as indicated in Table 8. The obtained maximum fitness (Φ_{max}), safety margin (Δz_{cr}), number (n_i) of iterations needed to first reach Φ_{max} , and base time (t_b) of the 585 average kernel are shown in Fig. 19. If experiments with $\Phi_{max} = 1$ are only taken into account, the 586 minimum and maximum numbers of GA-iterations needed to reach Φ_{max} (min Λ , max Λ), the 587 minimum and maximum base times of the average kernel (min_{t_b}, max_{t_b}) , and the minimum and 588 maximum safety margins of the average kernel (*min* Δz_{cr} , max Δz_{cr}) are listed in Tables 9, 10 and 589 590 11, respectively.
- 591
- 592

7 DISCUSSION E CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper, the model ^{*GA*}*SAKe* is presented with examples of application to shallowlandslides in Sorrento Peninsula (Campania), and to the medium-size Uncino landslide at San Fili (Calabria). Furthermore, the capability of the model to simulate the occurrence of known landslide activations was evaluated by a progressive, self-adaptive procedure of calibration and validation against the Uncino case study. Finally, the sensitivity of the model with respect to the GA parameters was analysed by a series of experiments, performed again by considering the latter landslide.

- As concerns the Sorrento Peninsula case study, the maximum fitness obtained during calibration is 600 smaller than unity. For the best 100 kernels, Φ_{max} , Δz_{cr} and t_b vary in a small range (ca. 0.1%, 4.8%, 601 and 13%, respectively). Furthermore, as mentioned above, for specific types of application (e.g., 602 civil protection), the observed small values of Δz_{cr} would imply short warning times. Consequently, 603 a suitable kernel should be rather selected by privileging the shortest t_b or the smallest μ_0 . From Fig. 604 12, it can be noticed that the greatest weights for the first 12-15 days are obtained by selecting the 605 kernel characterized by the smallest μ_0 , thus allowing for the most timely advice if used within an 606 early-warning system. In the average kernel, the greatest weight can be attributable to the first 12 607 days, with a maximum base time of about 4 weeks, reflecting the general shape of the curves in Fig. 608 7, and in good agreement with the shallow type of slope instability considered. Furthermore, the 609 validation of the average kernel is satisfactory, as the validation date (#11 in Table 1) corresponds 610
- to the second highest peak of the mobility function. In addition, no missing alarms and only four

- false alarms in about 5 years are to be found (i.e., in the period from the last date used for
- calibration to the one for validation). The peaks of the mobility function corresponding to the
- activation dates can roughly be grouped in two sets, characterized by distinct values: a first set, with
- 615 z(t)>40, generally includes the ancientmost plus the validation dates (#1, #2, #4, #5, #6, and #11); a
- second set (#3, #7, #8, #9, and #10), with 18 < z(t) < 25. False alarms result more frequent and higher
- 617 in the first period (from 1963 to 1980), presumably due to a lack of information on landslide
- 618 activations.
- Regarding the Uncino case study, the maximum fitness in calibration reaches unity. With respect to
- 620 the Sorrento Peninsula case study, Δz_{cr} and t_b of the best 100 kernels vary in a greater range (ca.
- 621 25%, and 30.5%, respectively), with Δz_{cr} one order of magnitude greater. In this case, the kernel 622 would in fact allow for a safety margin of ca. 5%. In the average kernel, three main periods can be
- recognized with heavier weights, attributable to i) the first 17 days, ii) 27-45 days, and iii) 54-58
- 624 days. The base time ranges from about 8 to 12 weeks, in good agreement with the medium-size type
- of the considered slope instability. Furthermore, the validation of the average kernel performed
- successfully: in fact, the validation date (#6 in Table 2) corresponds to the third highest peak of the
- mobility function; even in this case, neither missing alarms nor false alarms in about 2 years (from
- the last date calibration date to the validation one) are to be found. The peaks of the mobility
- 629 function corresponding to the activation dates are characterized by z(t)>18.
- 630 In the self-adaptive procedure applied to the Uncino case study, values for L=6 merely refer to 631 calibration, whilst the ones for $2 \le L \le 5$ concern validation. With regard to Table 7 and Fig. 20, it can 632 be noticed that:
- for $2 \le L \le 5$, t_b increases 2.7 times with *L*, and then remains constant for $L \ge 5$;
- from *L*=2 to *L*=4, z_{j-min} and z_{cr} slightly decrease, and then abruptly increase for *L* \geq 5;
- 635 for $L \ge 4$, Δz_{cr} monotonically increases 72 times with L (being almost constant in the 2-4 636 transition);
- 637 Φ_{ν} monotonically increases 1.7 times with *L*.
- As a whole, a satisfying performance is obtained starting from 3 dates (i.e., correct predictions in more than 3 out of 4 times). For L=5, only one false alarm is observed. Finally, the calibration performed by considering all the 6 dates of activation provided fully satisfying results. Accordingly, the results of the progressive procedure underlined how ^{GA}SAKe can easily self-adapt to external changes by optimizing its performances, providing increasing fitness values.
- The average kernels obtained by considering from 2 to 6 dates of landslide activation show
- 644 increasing base times, with significant weights for the ancientmost rains of the temporal range (Fig.
- 18). Such result is in good accordance with the extent of the slope movement and, therefore, with
- the expected prolonged travel times of the groundwater affecting landslide activation.
- 647 In the sensitivity analyses, again performed by considering the Uncino landslide, $\Phi_{max} = 1$ was 648 obtained in 60% of the experiments (cf. Table 8). The results (cf. Fig. 19 and Tables 9, 10, and 11)
- 649 permit to select the set of parameters that allow for faster GA performances. More in detail:
- a ratio between the number of elitist individuals and the whole population of $n_e/N=10/20$ or 8/15 allow for the fastest GA performances ($min_A_i \sim 41\%$ of the reference value); powertheless, for increasing both n_e and N_e this effect secure to variable ($n_e = n_e/N + 12/25$);
- 652 nevertheless, for increasing both n_e and N, this effect seems to vanish (e.g., $n_e/N=12/25$);
- with respect to the benchmark experiment, the explored changes in p_c , p_m , p_{mh1} , p_{me} , and p_{mtb} do not substantially affect the GA performances with respect to *min* Λ_i ;

- with respect to the benchmark experiment, the explored changes of parameters determine variation of t_b from 66 to 219%;
- in case of civil protection applications, the combination of parameters with p_{mhl} =55 allows for activating early-warning procedures with the greatest advance;

concerning max_ Δz_{cr} , the best result (increase by 10 times) is obtained when reducing N to 15. 659 • The calibration experiments discussed in this paper were performed on a standard PC platform 660 (CPU 3 GHz, RAM 4 GB, standalone system SQL and application process). For the study cases of 661 Sorrento Peninsula and Uncino landslide, 2.5 and 1.1 GA-iterations were respectively performed 662 per minute, reaching Φ_{max} in $11^{h}40^{m}$ and $10^{h}20^{m}$. Depending on availability of High-Performance 663 Computing Clusters, the mentioned durations may strongly be reduced, thus allowing for prompt 664 Civil Protection applications, e.g. based on short-term weather forecasts. By the way, the time 665 666 needed to calibrate the model can profitably be shortened by properly initializing the kernel, based on expected characteristics of the phenomena under consideration (e.g., the range of t_b strongly 667 depends on landslide size). 668

In this study, a 2-steps efficiency criterion was employed: the relative position of the peaks of the

mobility function with respect to the dates of landslide activation was first considered, and the

fitness computed. Based on the value of Δz_{cr} , the obtained solutions were further ranked. Average,

672 synthetic filter functions could then be computed by selecting the best 100 kernels for successive

validation purposes. Alternative metrics (cf., among the others, Krause et al., 2005) for the fitness

674 function are being tested. However, due to uncertainties concerning input data (i.e., rainfall and

dates of landslide activation), the adoption of sophisticated techniques does not sound very
 promising. In addition, problems of over-fitting may depend on both data uncertainties and number

promising. In addition, problems of over-fitting may depend on both data uncertainties and numbof parameters. Commonly, kernels characterized by a complex pattern (and then by many

parameters) are needed for simulating groundwater dynamics (Pinault et al., 2001). Nevertheless,

more complex kernels do not necessarily imply higher predictive uncertainties (Fienen et al., 2010;

Long, 2015). Still, the adopted discrete approach allows focusing only on the timing of the peaks of

the mobility function, thus somehow relieving the computational effort. Due to the cited

uncertainties in input data, a "temporal window" was in fact employed to help matching dates of

activation with the peaks of the mobility function. Further attempts of defining the fitness function

by different metrics, and the analysis of its effects on calibration and validation, are being

considered against another case study (San Benedetto Ullano, in Calabria, Southern Italy), whose
mobility phases have been recently monitored by the same authors (Iovine et al., 2010; Capparelli et al., 2012).

As mentioned above, model calibration may be hampered by either quality or completeness of input data. Commonly, missing dates of activation (mainly in remote periods or in isolated areas) and

690 unsuitability of the rain gauge network (e.g., due to excessive distance of gauges from the

691 landslides) negatively affect model results. Depending on availability of new dates of activation,

692 stemming from further mobilizations or improvement of historical investigations, the predictive

693 capability of the model can be increased through additional calibrations, hence providing new

694 families of optimal solutions, constituted by fewer, highly significant kernels.

695 The above considerations suggest an indirect link between the model – despite empirical in type –

and the physical characteristics of the slope movements (e.g., dimensions, permeability, initial

697 water content of the slope, length of subsurface water paths). In general, to select the kernel to be

- applied, it is rather preferable to consider a set of optimal kernels or the average one, instead of asingle solution.
- Further efforts are in progress to improve the model and its chances of practical application, mainly
- concerning the implementation of different GA techniques of optimization (in addition to the elitist,
- here employed), the parallelization of the model, and the adoption of a Genetic Programming
- approach. Finally, through the analytical study of the optimal kernels, a mathematical formulation
- of discrete filter functions is presently being attempted, aiming at synthetizing optimal and average
- kernels for an easier comparison with the results of other models available in literature.
- 706

707 8 CODE AVAILABILITY

- The release ^{GA}SAKe of the Self Adaptive Kernel model, discussed in this paper, has been developed
 by scientists working at CNR-IRPI under Microsoft Windows, Visual Studio, and SQL Server
- 710 integrated development environment. It can be requested by the public to the corresponding author
- of the paper, together with examples of input data and technical support (a user manual is not
- available yet, but it should be released soon). The model is presently undergoing further refinements
- and developments, mainly concerning types of GA-selection techniques, the post-processing of the
- results in terms of continuous analytical functions, and the implementation of a library of case
- studies. Authors are willing to cooperate with external users to further improving the model through
- applications to case studies from different geo-environmental contexts.
- 717

718 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- For the rainfall series of the Calabrian rain gauges, we are grateful to: *Regione Calabria*, Ing.
- 720 Raffaele Niccoli, Direttore del Centro Funzionale Multirischi dell'ARPACal.
- For the rainfall series of the Campanian rain gauges, we are grateful to: *Regione Campania*, Ing.
- 722 Generoso Schiavone, Dirigente del Settore "Programmazione Interventi di Protezione Civile sul
- 723 *Territorio*", and Ing. Mauro Biafore, *Dirigente del Servizio 04, Responsabile CFD* "Centro
- *funzionale per la previsione meteorologica e il monitoraggio meteo-idro-pluviometrico e delle frane*".
- Finally, we thank the Editors and two anonymous Referees for their constructive comments and
- insights that allowed us to considerably improve the manuscript.
- 728

729 **REFERENCES**

- Aleotti, P.: A warning system for rainfall-induced shallow failures, Eng. Geol., 73, 247–265, 2004.
- Alfieri, L., Salamon, P., Pappenberger, F., Wetterhall, F., and Thielen, J.: Operational early warning
 systems for water-related hazards in Europe, Environ. Sci. Policy, 21, 35–49, 2012.
- Amodio-Morelli, L., Bonardi, G., Colonna, V., Dietrich, D., Giunta, G., Ippolito, F., Liguori, V.,
- Lorenzoni, S., Paglionico, A., Perrone, V., Piccarreta, G., Russo, M., Scandone, P., Zanettin
 Lorenzoni, E., and Zuppetta, A.: L'arco calabro-peloritano nell'orogene appenninicomaghrebide, Mem. Soc. Geol. Ital., 17, 1–60, 1976 (in Italian).
- 737 AMRA: Overview of intense rainfall on volcanic soils-regional and local scale, in: SafeLand -
- 738 Living with landslide risk in Europe: Assessment, effects of global change, and risk
- management strategies, edited by: Crosta, G.B., Agliardi, F., Frattini, P., Sosio, R., 101–122.
- 740 2012.

- Berti, M., Martina, M.L.V., Franceschini, S., Pignone, S., Simoni, A., and Pizziolo, M.:
 Probabilistic rainfall thresholds for landslide occurrence using a Bayesian approach, J.
- 743 Geophys. Res., 117, F04006, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2012JF002367, 2012.
- Brand, E.W., Premchitt, J., and Phillipson, H.B.: Relationship between rainfall and landslides, in:
 Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Landslides, Toronto, vol. 1, BiTech
 Publishers, Vancouver, Canada, 377–384. 1984.
- 747 Brunetti, M.T., Peruccacci, S., Rossi, M., Luciani, S., Valigi, D. and Guzzetti, F.: Rainfall
- thresholds for the possible occurrence of landslides in Italy, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 10,
 447-458, 2010.
- Brunsden, D.: Mudslides, in: Slope Instability, edited by Brunsden, D., and Prior, D.B., John Wiley
 & Sons, London, 363–418, 1984.
- Caine, N.: The rainfall intensity-duration control of shallow landslides and debris flows,
 Geografiska Annal, 62A, 23–27, 1980.
- Calcaterra, D., and Santo, A.: The January 10, 1997 Pozzano landslide, Sorrento Peninsula, Italy,
 Eng. Geol., 75, 181–200, 2004.
- Campbell, R.H.: Debris flow originating from soil slip during rainstorm in southern California, Q. J.
 Eng. Geol., 7, 377–384, 1975.
- Cannon, S.H., and Ellen, S.D.: Rainfall conditions for abundant debris avalanches, San Francisco
 Bay region, California, California Geology, 38 (12), 267–272, 1985.
- Capparelli, G., and Versace, P.: FLaIR and SUSHI: two mathematical models for early warning of
 landslides induced by rainfall, Landslides, 8, 67–79, 2011.
- Capparelli, G., Iaquinta, P., Iovine, G., Terranova, O.G., and Versace P.: Modelling the rainfallinduced mobilization of a large slope movement in northern Calabria, Nat. Hazards, 61(1),
 247–256, 2012.
- Carter, J.: The notion of Threshold: an investigation into conceptual accompaniment in Aristotle
 and Hegel, Conserveries mémorielles, 7, available at: http://cm.revues.org/431, last access: 10
 December 2014, 2010.
- Cascini, L., and Versace, P.: Eventi pluviometrici e movimenti franosi, in: Atti del XVI Convegno
 Nazionale di Geotecnica, Bologna, Italy, 14-16 may 1986, 171–184, 1986 (in Italian).
- Cascini, L,, and Versace, P.: Relationship between rainfall and landslide in a gneissic cover, in:
 Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Landslides, Lausanne, Switzerland, 565–
 570, 1988.
- Cascini, L., Sorbino, G., Cuomo, S., Ferlisi, S.: Seasonal effects of rainfall on the shallow
 pyroclastic deposits of the Campania region (southern Italy), Landslides, 11, 779–792, 2014.
- CASMEZ: Carta Geologica della Calabria, F.229IIINE "Montalto Uffugo" (in scale 1:25000),
 Poligrafica & CarteValori, Ercolano, Napoli, Italy, 1967 (in Italian).
- Cauvin, S., Cordier, M.-O., Dousson, C., Laborie, P., Lévy, F., Montmain, J., Porcheron, M.,
 Servet, I., and Travé-Massuyès, L.: Monitoring and alarm interpretation in industrial
 environments, AI Commun., 11 (3-4), 139–173, 1998.
- Chow, V.T., Maidment, D.R., and Mays, L.W.: Applied Hydrology, Mc Graw Hill, New York,
 USA, 572 pp., 1988.
- Corominas, J.: Landslides and climate, Keynote lecture, in: Proceedings of the 8th International
 Symposium on Landslides, vol. 4, Cardiff, United Kingdom, 26-30 June 2000, 1-33, 2000.
- 784 Crosta, G.B.: Regionalization of rainfall thresholds: an aid to landslide hazard evaluation, Environ.
- 785 Geol., 35 (2-3),131–145, 1998.

- Crosta, G.B., Dal Negro, P, and Frattini, P.: Soil slips and debris flows on terraced slopes, Nat.
 Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 3, 31–42, 2003.
- Crozier, M.J.: The climate-landslide couple: a southern hemisphere perspective, in: Rapid mass
 movement as a source of climatic evidence for the Holocene, edited by: Matthews, J.A.,
 Brunsden, D., Frenzel, B., Gläser, B., and Weiß, M.M., Gustav Fischer, Stuttgart, 333–354,
 1997.
- Cuomo, S. and Della Sala, M.: Rainfall-induced infiltration, runoff and failure in steep unsaturated
 shallow soil deposits, Eng. Geol., 162, 118–127, 2013.
- D'Ambrosio, D., Spataro, W., and Iovine, G.: Parallel genetic algorithms for optimising cellular
 automata models of natural complex phenomena: an application to debris-flows, Computer and
 Geosciences, 32, 861–875, 2006.
- De Jong, K.A.: An analysis of the behavior of a class of genetic adaptive systems, Ph.D.
 dissertation, Department of Computer and Communication Sciences, University of Michigan,
 Ann Arbor, USA, 1975.
- Del Prete, M., Guadagno, F.M., and Hawkins, A.B.: Preliminary report on the landslides of 5 May
 1998, Campania, southern Italy, Bull. Eng. Geol. Env., 57, 113–129, 1998.
- Di Crescenzo, G., and Santo, A.: Analisi morfologica delle frane da scorrimento-colata rapida in
 depositi piroclastici della Penisola Sorrentina (Campania), Geografia Fisica e Dinamica
 Quaternaria, 22, 57–72, 1999 (in Italian).
- Di Crescenzo, G., and Santo, A.: Debris slides-rapid earth flows in the carbonate massifs of the
 Campania region (Southern Italy): morphological and morphometric data for evaluating
 triggering susceptibility, Geomorphology, 66, 255–276, 2005.
- Dikau, R., and Schrott, L.: The temporal stability and activity of landslides in Europe with respect
 to climatic change (TESLEC): main objectives and results, Geomorphology, 30 (1–2), 1–12.
 1999.
- Bucci, D., and Tranfaglia, G.: L'impatto dei cambiamenti climatici sulle risorse idriche sotterranee
 in Campania, Geologi. Boll. Ordine Geologi della Campania, 1–4, 13–21, 2005 (in Italian).
- Ellen, S.D.: Description and mechanics of soil slip/debris flows in the storm, in: Landslides, floods,
 and marine effects of the storm of January 3-5, 1982, in the San Francisco Bay region,
- California, edited by Ellen, S.D., and Wieczorek, G.F., U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 1434, 63–
 112, 1988.
- Fienen, M.N., Doherty, J.E., Hunt, R.J., and Reeves, H.W.: Using prediction uncertainty analysis to
 design hydrologic monitoring networks: example applications from the Great Lakes water
 availability pilot project, US Geol. Surv., Reston Virginia, Scientific Investigations Report
 2010-5159, 44 pp., 2010.
- Fiorillo, F., and Wilson, R.: Rainfall induced debris flows in pyroclastic deposits, Campania
 (southern Italy), Eng. Geol., 75, 263–289, 2004.
- Gariano, S.L., Brunetti, M.T., Iovine, G., Melillo, M., Peruccacci, S., Terranova, O., Vennari, C.,
 and Guzzetti, F.: Calibration and validation of rainfall thresholds for shallow landslide
 forecasting in Sicily, southern Italy, Geomorphology, 228, 653–665, 2015.
- Goldberg, D.E.. Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization and Machine Learning, AddisonWesley, Boston, USA, 1989.
- Gullà, G., Aceto, L., Antronico, L., Cilento, M., Niceforo, D., Perna, E., and Terranova, O.: Failure
 and post failure conditions of a landslide involving weathered and degraded rocks, in

- Proceedings of the IX International Symposium on Landslide, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 28 June 2 July 2 2004, 1241–1245, 2004.
- B32 Guzzetti, F.: Landslide fatalities and evaluation of landslide risk in Italy, Eng. Geol., 58, 89-107,
 B33 2000.
- Guzzetti, F., Peruccacci, S., Rossi, M., and Stark, C.P.: Rainfall thresholds for the initiation of
 landslides in central and southern Europe, Meteorol. Atmos. Phys., 98, 239–267, 2007.
- Guzzetti, F., Peruccacci, S., Rossi, M., and Stark, C.P.: The rainfall intensity-duration control of
 shallow landslides and debris flow: an update, Landslides, 5, 3–17, 2008.
- Hinton, G.E., and Nowlan, S.J.: How learning can guide evolution, Complex Systems, 1, 495–502,
 1987.
- Holland, J.H.: Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems. University of Michigan Press, Ann
 Arbor, USA, 1975.
- 842 Hutchinson, J.N.: Deep-seated mass movements on slopes, Mem. Soc. Geol. It., 50, 147–164, 1995.
- Iovine, G., D'Ambrosio, D., and Di Gregorio, S.: Applying genetic algorithms for calibrating a
 hexagonal cellular automata model for the simulation of debris flows characterised by strong
 inertial effects, Geomorphology, 66, 287–303, 2005.
- Iovine, G., Iaquinta, P., and Terranova, O.: Emergency management of landslide risk during
 Autumn-Winter 2008/2009 in Calabria (Italy). The example of San Benedetto Ullano, in:
 Proceedings of the 18th World IMACS Congress and MODSIM09 International Congress on
- Modelling and Simulation, Modelling and Simulation Society of Australia and New Zealand
 and International Association for Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, July 2009, 2686–
 2693, 2009.
- Iovine, G., Lollino, P., Gariano, S.L., and Terranova, O.G.: Coupling limit equilibrium analyses and
 real-time monitoring to refine a landslide surveillance system in Calabria (southern Italy), Nat.
 Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 10, 2341–2354, 2010.
- Jakob, M., and Weatherly, H.: A hydroclimatic threshold for landslide initiation on the North Shore
 Mountains of Vancouver, British Columbia, Geomorphology, 54, 3-4, 137–156, 2003.
- Keefer, D.K., Wilson, R.C., Mark, R.K., Brabb, E.E., Brown III W.M., Ellen S.D., Harp E.L.,
 Wieczorek G.F., Alger C.S., and Zatkin, R.S.: Real-Time Landslide Warning During Heavy
 Rainfall, Science, 238 (4829), 921–925, 1987.
- Köppen,W.: Climatologia, con un estudio de los climas de la tierra, Fondo de Cultura Economica,
 Mexico, 479 pp., 1948.
- Krause, P., Boyle D.P., and Bäse, F.: Comparison of different efficiency criteria for hydrological
 model assessment, Adv. Geosciences, 5, 89–97, 2005
- Lanzafame, G., and Mercuri, T.: Interruzioni ferroviarie in Calabria conseguenti a fenomeni naturali
 (1950-1973), Geodata, 3, Cosenza, Italy, 46 pp., 1975 (in Italian).
- Long, A.J.: RRAWFLOW: Rainfall-Response Aquifer and Watershed Flow Model (v1.15), Geosci.
 Model Dev., 8, 865–880, 2015.
- Marques R., Zêzere J., Trigo R., Gaspar J., and Trigo, I.: Rainfall patterns and critical values
 associated with landslides in Povoação County (São Miguel Island, Azores): relationships with
- the North Atlantic Oscillation, Hydrol. Process., 22(4), 478–494, 2008.
- Mele, R., and Del Prete, S.: Lo studio della franosità storica come utile strumento per la
 valutazione della pericolosità da frane. Un esempio nell'area di Gragnano (Campania), Boll.
- 873 Soc. Geol. Ital., 118, 91–111, 1999 (in Italian).

- Mitchell, M.: An Introduction to Genetic Algorithms, MIT Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom,
 1996.
- Monaco, C., and Tortorici, L.: Active faulting in the Calabrian arc and eastern Sicily, J. Geodyn.,
 29, 407–424, 2000.
- Montgomery, D.R., and Dietrich, W.E.: A physically-based model for the topographic control on
 shallow landsliding, Water Resour. Res., 30, 1153–1171, 1994.
- Nolfi, S., and Marocco, D.: Evolving robots able to integrate sensory-motor information over time,
 Theor Biosci, 120, 287–310, 2001.
- Peres, D.J., and Cancelliere, A.: Derivation and evaluation of landslide-triggering thresholds by a
 Monte Carlo approach, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 4913–4931, 2014.
- Petley, D.N.: The global occurrence of fatal landslides in 2007, in: International Conference on
 Management of Landslide Hazard in the Asia-Pacific Region, Tokyo, Japan, 590–600, 2008.
- Pinault, J.-L., Plagnes, V., and Aquilina, L.: Inverse modeling of the hydrological and the
 hydrochemical behavior of hydrosystems: Characterization of karst system functioning, Water
 Resour. Res., 37(8), 2191–2204, 2001.
- Pisani, G., Castelli, M., and Scavia, C.: Hydrogeological model and hydraulic behaviour of a large
 landslide in the Italian Western Alps, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 10, 2391–2406, 2010.
- Poon, P.W., and Parks, G.T.: Optimizing PWR reload core design, Parallel Solving from Nature, 2,
 371–380, 1992.
- Pradhan, B., and Buchroithner, M.: Terrigenous Mass Movements: Detection, Modelling, Early
 Warning and Mitigation Using Geoinformation Technology, Springer, 400 pp., 2012.
- Rossi, F., and Villani, P. (eds.): Valutazione delle piene in Campania, CNR-GNDCI publications,
 Grafica Metellioana &C., Cava de' Tirreni, Italy, 310 pp., 1994.
- Salvati, P., Bianchi, C., Rossi, M., and Guzzetti, F.: Societal landslide and flood risk in Italy. Nat.
 Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 10, 465–483, 2010.
- Sengupta, A., Gupta, S., and Anbarasu, K.: Rainfall thresholds for the initiation of landslide at
 Lanta Khola in north Sikkim, India, Nat. Hazards, 52, 31–42, 2010.
- 901 Servizio Geologico, Sismico dei Suoli: I numeri delle frane, Regione Emilia-Romagna Publisher,
 902 Bologna, 94 pp., 1999 (in Italian).
- Servizio Idrografico: Annali Idrologici, Parte I, Compartimento di Napoli, Istituto poligrafico e
 Zecca dello Stato, Rome, Italy, 1948-1999.
- Sirangelo, B., and Versace, P.: A real time forecasting for landslides triggered by rainfall,
 Meccanica, 31, 1–13, 1996.
- Sorriso-Valvo, G.M., Agnesi, V., Gulla, G., Merenda, L., Antronico, L., Di Maggio, C., Filice, E.,
 Petrucci, O., and Tansi, C.: Temporal and spatial occurrence of landsliding and correlation with
 precipitation time series in Montalto Uffugo (Calabria) and Imera (Sicilia) areas, in: Temporal
- 910 occurrence and forecasting of landslides in the European Community. Final Report, II,
- European Community, Programme EPOCH, Contract 90 0025, edited by Casale, R., Fantechi,
 B. Elegeplet LC 825 860 1004
- 912 R., Flageollet, J.C., 825–869, 1994.
- 913 Sorriso-Valvo, G.M., Antronico, L., Catalano, E., Gullà, G., Tansi, C., Dramis, F., Ferrucci, F., and
- Fantucci, R.: Final Report (June 1996), CNR-IRPI, in: The temporal stability and activity of
 landslides in Europe with respect to climatic change (TESLEC), Final Report, Part II, edited
 by: Dikau P. Sabrot L. Dahn M. Hannrich K. and Pasamann S. 87, 152, 1006
- by: Dikau, R., Schrot, L., Dehn, M., Hennrich, K., and Rasemann, S., 87–152. 1996.
- 917 Terlien, M.T.J.: The determination of statistical and deterministic hydrological landslide-triggering
 918 thresholds, Environ. Geol., 35 (2-3), 125–130, 1998.

- 919 Terranova, O.: Caratteristiche degli eventi pluviometrici a scala giornaliera in Calabria, in: XXIX
 920 Convegno di Idraulica e Costruzioni Idrauliche, Trento, Italy, 7-10 september 2004, 343–350,
 921 2004 (in Italian).
- Terranova, O., Antronico, L., and Gullà, G.: Pluviometrical events and slope stability on weathered
 and degraded rocks (Acri, Calabria, Italy), in Proceedings of the IX International Symposium
 on Landslide, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 28 June 2 July 2004, 335–342, 2004.
- Terranova, O., Iaquinta, P., Gariano, S.L., Greco, R., and Iovine, G.: ^{CM}SAKe: A hydrological
 model to forecasting landslide activations, in: Landslide Science and Practice, vol. 3, edited by:
 Margottini, C., Canuti, P., and Sassa K., Springer, 73–79, 2013.
- Terzaghi, K.: Stability of steep slopes on hard unweathered rock, Geotechnique, 12 (4), 251–270,
 1962.
- Trigila, A.: Rapporto sulle frane in Italia. Il Progetto IFFI. Metodologia, risultati e rapporti
 regionali, APAT, Roma, Italy, 681 pp., 2007 (in Italian).
- Trigo, R.M., Zêzere, J.L., Rodrigues, M.L., and Trigo, I.F.: The influence of the North Atlantic
 Oscillation on rainfall triggering of Landslides near Lisbon, Nat. Hazards, 36 (3), 331–354,
 2005.
- UNDRO: Mitigating Natural Disasters. Phenomena, Effects and Options, United Nations, New
 York, USA, 164 pp., 1991.
- Van Asch, Th.V.J., Buma, J., and Van Beek, L.P.H.: A view on some hydrological triggering
 systems in landslides, Geomorphology, 30 (1-2), 25–32, 1999.
- Vennari, C., Gariano, S.L., Antronico, L., Brunetti, M.T., Iovine, G., Peruccacci, S., Terranova, O.,
 and Guzzetti, F.: Rainfall thresholds for shallow landslide occurrence in Calabria, southern
 Italy, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 14, 317–330, 2014.
- White, I.D., Mottershead, D.N., and Harrison, J.J.: Environmental Systems, 2nd Edition, Chapman & Hall, London, United Kongdom, 616 pp., 1996.
- Wieczorek, G.F.: Effect of rainfall intensity and duration on debris flows in central Santa Cruz
 Mountains, California, in: Debris Flows/Avalanches: Processes, Recognition and Mitigation,
 edited by: Costa, J.E., and Wieczorek GF, Geological Society of America, Reviews in
 Engineering Geology 7, 93–104. 1987
- Wieczorek, G.F., and Glade, T.: Climatic factors influencing occurrence of debris flows, in: Debris
 flow hazards and related phenomena, edited by: Jakob, M. and Hungr, O., Berlin Heidelberg,
 Springer, 325–362, 2005.
- Wilson, R.C., and Wieczorek, G.F.: Rainfall thresholds for the initiation of debris flow at La
 Honda, California, Environ. Eng. Geosci., 1, 11–27, 1995.
- Zêzere, J.L., and Rodrigues, M.L.: Rainfall thresholds for landsliding in Lisbon area (Portugal), in
 Landslides, edited by: Rybar, J, Stemberk, J, and Wagner, P., A.A. Balkema, Lisse, The
 Netherlands, 333–338, 2002.
- 956

Table 1. Dates of activation of the shallow landslides in the Sorrento Peninsula. Key: date = day of occurrence; type =
widespread (multiple) or few (single) activation; site = municipality including the affected location; period employed =
dates used for calibration (except for #11); rank = relative position of the corresponding maximum of the mobility
function obtained by calibration. An asterisk marks the date employed for validation. In Italics, the activation date (#0)
excluded due to hydrological constraints.

962

#	Date	type	site	reference	period employed	rank
1	17 February 1963	multiple; single	Gragnano, Pimonte; Castellammare	Del Prete et al. 1998	17 Feb 1963	17 Feb 1963 (1)
2	23 November 1966	single	Vico Equense (Scrajo), Arola, Ticciano	Del Prete et al. 1998	23 Nov 1966	24 Nov 1966 (4)
0	14 April 1967	single	Castellammare (Pozzano)	Del Prete et al. 1998; AMRA, 2012	-	-
3	15 March 1969; 24 March 1969	multiple; multiple	Cava de' Tirreni, Agerola, Scrajo Seiano	Del Prete et al. 1998; AMRA, 2012	15-24 Mar 1969	25 Mar 1969 (65)
4	02 January 1971	single	Gragnano	Del Prete et al. 1998	02 Jan 1971	3 Jan 1971 (3)
5	21 January 1971	single	Gragnano	Del Prete et al. 1998	21 Jan 1971	21 Jan 1971 (7)
6	04 November 1980	single	Vico Equense (Scrajo)	Del Prete et al. 1998	04 Nov 1980	6 Nov 1980 (94)
7	14 November 1982	single	Pozzano	Del Prete et al. 1998	14 Nov 1982	15 Nov 1982 (151)
8	22 February 1986	multiple	Palma Campania, Castellammare, Vico Equense	Del Prete et al. 1998	22 Feb 1986	24 Feb 1986 (120)
9	23 February 1987	single	Gragnano, Castellammare	Del Prete et al. 1998; AMRA, 2012	23 Feb 1987	23 Feb 1987 (73)
10	23 November 1991	single	Pozzano	Del Prete et al. 1998	23 Nov 1991	24 Nov 1991 (43)
11	10 January 1997	multiple	Pozzano; Castellammare, Nocera, Pagani, Amalfitana Coast	Del Prete et al. 1998 AMRA, 2012	10 Jan 1997	*

963

965 Table 2. Dates of activation of the Uncino landslide. Periods (instead of singular dates) were considered in case of
966 uncertain timing of activation. Key = #: Identification number of the date (in bold, used for calibration); dates/periods
967 derived from literature; dates/periods employed for calibration or validation; references: sources of information on
968 activation dates; rank: relative position and dates of the maxima of the mobility function during calibration. An asterisk
969 marks the activation employed for validation. In Italics, the activation date (#0) excluded due to hydrological
970 constraints.

971

#	date	reference	period	rank
1	16, 21 January 1960	Sorriso-Valvo et al., 1996	16-21 Jan 1960	18 Jan 1960 (5)
2	Winter 1062	Service Velue et al. 1004	01 Nov 1962 –	20 Mar 1062 (1)
2	winter 1963	Somso-valvo et al., 1994	14 Apr 1963	29 Mar 1965 (1)
3	15 April 1964 (h 22:00)	Sorriso-Valvo et al., 1994	15 Apr 1964	14 Apr 1964 (3)
4	14 December 1966	Lanzafame and Mercuri, 1975	14 Dec 1966	16 Dec 1966 (2)
5	10-14, 21 February 1979	Sorriso-Valvo et al., 1994	10-21 Feb 1979	15 Feb 1979 (4)
6	December 1980	Sorriso-Valvo et al., 1994	01-31 Dec 1980	*
0	23 November 1988	Sorriso-Valvo et al., 1996	-	-

974 Table 3. Average monthly rainfall and number of rainy days at the Montalto Uffugo rain gauge (468 m a.s.l.).975

	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	year
rainfall (mm)	70.4	125.1	187.9	220.8	198.1	160.3	132.8	98.9	64.6	27.8	18.3	28.6	1333.6
rainy days	6.9	10.6	12.8	14.3	14.3	12.5	12.6	10.7	8.26	4.7	2.62	3.84	114.0

978 Table 4. Value	es of the parameters of ^C	GASAKe adopted in the	e calibration procedure	(benchmark experiment).
--------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

symbol	parameter	value
Ν	individuals of each GA population	20
	base time (Uncino landslide)	$30 \div 180 \text{ days}$
l_b	base time (shallow landslides in the Sorrento Peninsula)	$2 \div 30 \text{ days}$
p_{mh1}	percentages of the maximum height of the kernel,	500/ 1500/
p_{mh2}	used to defining the range in which <i>dh</i> is randomly obtained	50%, 150%
p_c	probability of crossover	75%
p_m	probability of mutation	25%
p_{me}	number of mutated elements of the kernel, expressed as a percentage of t_b	25%
p_{mtb}	factor defining the range in which dt_b is selected	$0.2 \div 5$
4	number of GA-iterations (Uncino landslide case study)	5000
/1	number of GA-iterations (Sorrento Peninsula case study)	3000
n _e	number of "elitist" individuals	8

Table 5. Sorrento Peninsula case study. Statistics for the best 100 kernels.

983

	Φ	Δz_{cr}	t_b	μ_0
min	0.806	3.82E-05	26.0	9.460
average	0.806	0.00418	30.4	9.567
max	0.807	0.00801	31.0	10.448
median	0.806	0.00499	31.0	9.567
mode	0.806	0.00499	31.0	9.567
dev. st.	7.65E-05	0.00183	0.862	0.146

Table 6. Uncino landslide case study. Statistics for the best 100 kernels. $\frac{\Delta z_{cr}}{min} \frac{t_b}{0.0524} = 57.6$

stics for the best 100 kernels.							
	Δz_{cr}	t_b					
min	0.0524	57.0					
average	0.0581	69.5					
max	0.0692	82.0					
median	0.0581	69.0					
mode	0.0558	69.0					
dev. st.	0.00373	3.12					

Table 7. Uncino landslide case study. Results of progressive calibration. Key: L, t_b , z_{j-min} , z_{cr} , Δz_{cr}): model parameters concerning calibration (for explanation, cf. text); Φ_v) fitness obtained by validating the "average kernel", obtained in calibration, against the 6 dates of activation. In Italics, results obtained when calibrating the model by using all the 6 available dates (no validation performed).

L	t_b	Zj-min	Zcr	Δz_{cr}	Φ_{v}
2	30	13.93	13.89	0.0029	0.59
3	54	11.05	11.04	0.0009	0.78
4	55	10.21	10.20	0.0010	0.87
5	80	16.44	16.34	0.0061	0.95
6	80	18.63	17.43	0.0644	1.00

994

Table 8. Uncino landslide case study. Values of the parameters adopted in the sensitivity analyses. In bold, the experiments with $\Phi_{max} = 1$. Boxes evidence the worst experiment (in Italics), and the best one (underlined). 998

symbol			values				
n _e	6	7	^{a)} 8	9	<u>10</u>		
\mathcal{D}_c	60%	67.5%	^{a)} 75%	82.5%	90%		
\mathcal{D}_m	20%	22.5%	^{a)} 25%	27.5%	30%		
$\mathcal{D}_{mhl},$	60%,	55%,	^{a)} 50%,	45%,	40%,		
o_{mh2}	140%	145%	^{a)} 150%	155%	160%		
) _{me}	20%	22.5%	^{a)} 25%	27.5%	30%		
D _{mtb}	$0.25 \div 4$	$0.22 \div 4.5$	^{a)} $0.2 \div 5$	$\textbf{0.18} \div \textbf{5.5}$	0.17 ÷ 6		
N, n _e		25, 8	^{a)} 20, 8	15, 8			
N, n _e		25, 12	25, 10	25, 8			

^{a)} Reference values (i.e., those of the benchmark experiment - cf. Table 4)

999

Table 9. Minimum (min_{Λ_i}) and maximum (max_{Λ_i}) numbers of GA iterations needed to reach Φ_{max} (only experiments

1002 with $\Phi_{max} = 1$ are considered). In the first column, the letters refer to Fig. 19. In bold, the best and worst experiments. 1003 An asterisk marks the experiment *e*, in which Φ_{max} was reached only for $p_c=75$. In Italics, the combinations of

1004 parameters of the benchmark experiment (cf. Table 4).

1005

ş	Ν	parameter	min_A_i	max_{Λ_i}
a	20	$n_e=8$		684
a	20	$n_e=10$	279	
c	25	$n_e=8$	469	
c	25	$n_e=12$		1477
е	20	$p_c=75$	684*	
g	20	$p_m=25$	684	
g	20	$p_m = 27.5$		1086
i	20	$p_{mhI}=50$	684	
i	20	$p_{mhl}=55$		836
k	20	$p_{me}=25$	684	
k	20	$p_{me}=30$		996
т	20	$p_{mtb}=5$	684	
m	20	$p_{mtb}=5.5$		1052
0	15	$n_e=8$	405	

1006

Table 10. Minimum (*min_t_b*) and maximum (*max_t_b*) base time of the average kernel (only experiments with $\Phi_{max} = 1$

are considered). In the first column, the letters refer to Fig. 19. In bold, the best and worst experiments. An asterisk

1010 marks the experiment *e*, in which Φ_{max} was reached only for $p_c=75$. In Italics, the combinations of parameters of the 1011 benchmark experiment (cf. Table 4).

1011 benchmark experiment (ci 1012

ş	Ν	parameter	min_t _b	max_t_b
а	20	$n_e=8$	66,59	
a	20	$n_e=10$		144,85
c	25	$n_e=8$		132,00
c	25	$n_e=12$	56,17	
е	20	$p_c=75$	66,59*	
g	20	$p_m=25$	66,59	
g	20	$p_m = 27.5$		139,20
i	20	$p_{mhl}=50$		66,59
i	20	$p_{mhl}=55$	44,00	
k	20	$p_{me}=25$	66,59	
k	20	$p_{me}=30$		146,93
т	20	$p_{mtb}=5$	66,59	
m	20	$p_{mtb}=4$		136,06
0	15	$n_e=8$		145,79

1013

- **1015** Table 11. Minimum ($min_{\Delta z_{cr}}$) and maximum ($max_{\Delta z_{cr}}$) safety margin of the average kernel (only experiments with
- 1016 $\Phi_{max} = 1$ are considered). In the first column, the letters refer to Fig. 19. In bold, the best and worst experiments. An

1017 asterisk marks the experiment *e*, in which Φ_{max} was reached only for $p_c=75$. In Italics, the combinations of parameters of 1018 the benchmark experiment (cf. Table 4).

1019

8	N	narameter	min A7	max Az
8	20	purumerer n -7	$mm_\Delta z_{cr}$	0.007
a	20	n_{e-1}	0.000	0.007
а	20	$n_e=9$	0.002	
с	25	$n_e=8$		0.014
с	25	$n_e=12$	0.002	
е	20	$p_c=75$	0.005*	
g	20	$p_m = 22.5$		0.006
g	20	$p_m = 27.5$	0.001	
i	20	$p_{mhl}=50$		0.005
i	20	$p_{mhl}=55$	0.004	
k	20	$p_{me}=25$	0.005	
k	20	$p_{me}=30$		0.006
т	20	$p_{mtb}=5$	0.005	
m	20	$p_{mtb}=4$		0.009
0	15	$n_e=8$		0.055
0	20	$n_e=8$	0.005	

1020



1022 Figure 1. Scheme of the calibration procedure of the model ^{GA}SAKe.





Figure 3. Example of crossover. The genetic codes of the parents (elements in orange and green) are first mixed; then,
 the children are normalized (black elements) to ensure validity of equation 2.



36 of 54

1032 Figure 4. Examples of mutation. On the left, the genetic code of the parent individual (elements in blue). In the second

histogram, mutation is applied to some elements of the parent (in red, added amounts; in grey, subtracted amounts).
Then, the base time can either be decreased (upper sequence) or increased (lower sequence). Finally, the children is
normalized (black elements) to ensure validity of equation 2.





1038 Figure 5. Scheme of the validation procedure of the model ^{GA}SAKe.

- 1041 Figure 6. Geological map of the Sorrento Peninsula (after Di Crescenzo and Santo, 1999, mod.). Key: 1) beach deposit
- 1042 (Holocene); 2) pyroclastic fall deposit (Late Pleistocene-Holocene); 3) Campanian ignimbrite (Late Pleistocene); 4)
- 1043 detrital alluvial deposit (Pleistocene); 5) flysch deposit (Miocene); 6) limestone (Mesozoic); 7) dolomitic limestone
- 1043 (Mesozoic). Red squares mark sites affected by shallow landslide activations; blue circles, the rain gauges; black
- squares, the main localities; yellow triangles, the highest mountain peaks.



1046 1047

Figure 7. Cumulative daily rainfall (in mm) during the 14 days preceding landslide occurrences. Key: in blu, red, and
 green = values from the Tramonti, Castellammare, and Tramonti-Chiunzi rain gauges, respectively. Numbers refer to id.
 in Table 1 (cf. first column).



1053Figure 8. Location of the study area (red square: San Fili village; blue circle: Montalto Uffugo rain gauge). On bottom1054left, an extract from the geological map of Calabria (CASMEZ, 1967). Key: sbg) gneiss and biotitic schist with garnet1055(Palaeozoic); sbm) schist including abundant granite and pegmatite veins, forming migmatite zones (Palaeozoic); M_3^{ar})1056arenite and silt with calcarenite (Late Miocene); M_3^{a}) marly clay with arenite and marls (Late Miocene); m_3^{cl}) reddish1057conglomerate with arenite (Late Miocene); q^{cl}) loose conglomerate of ancient fluvial terraces (Pleistocene). The site1058affected by the Uncino landslide is marked by a red star.



1059 1060

Figure 9. Cumulative daily rainfall (in mm) from 30 to 180 days before landslide occurrences (Montalto Uffugo

1062 gauge). Numbers refer to identification number (#) in Table 2 (cf. first column).





Figure 10. Sorrento Peninsula case study. Average kernel obtained from the best 100 filter functions.

Figure 11. Sorrento Peninsula case study. Mobility function, z(t), of the average kernel. The red line ($z_{cr} = 22.53$) shows the maximum value of the mobility function (critical condition) that is unrelated to known landslide activations. The green line ($z_{j-min} = 22.63$) – almost overlapping with the red line in this case – shows the minimum value of the mobility function related to known landslide activations. When the mobility function exceeds the threshold marked by the red line, landslide activation may occur. The red dots represent the maxima of the mobility function corresponding to the dates of landslide activation considered for calibration.







Figure 12. Sorrento Peninsula case study. Kernels providing (a) the best fitness ($\Phi_{max} = 0.807$), (b) the minimum base time $t_b \min (26 \text{ days})$, (c) the $\Delta z_{cr} \max (0.00801)$, and (d) the minimum first order momentum, $\mu_0 \min (9.460)$.

1080Figure 13. Sorrento Peninsula case study. a) Validation of the average kernel against the #11 event. b) Particular of1081Fig.13a, limited to the period $\pm t_b$, including the date of validation. Key as in Fig.11. The blue label indicates the date of1082validation. Grey background marks the period after the event that may be employed for re-calibration.



1083 1084



Figure 14. Uncino landslide case study. Average kernel obtained from the best 100 filter functions.

Figure 15. Uncino landslide case study. Mobility function, z(t), of the average kernel. The red line ($z_{cr} = 17.85$) shows the maximum value of the mobility function (critical condition) that is unrelated to known activations. The green line ($z_{j-min} = 18.98$) shows the minimum value of the mobility function related to known activations. When the mobility function exceeds the threshold marked by the red line, landslide activation may occur. The red dots represent the maxima of the mobility function corresponding to dates of landslide activation considered for calibration.





1095 Figure 16. Uncino landslide case study. Kernel providing the best fitness.

1098Figure 17. Uncino landslide case study. a) Validation of the average kernel against the #6 event. b) Particular of1099Fig.17a, limited to the period $\pm t_b$ including the date of validation. Key as in Fig. 15. The blue label indicates the date of1100validation. Grey background marks the period after the event that may be employed for re-calibration.



1101 1102



Figure 18. Uncino landslide case study. Average kernels obtained in calibration against the 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 dates of activation.









