Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 7, C3113–C3114, 2015 www.geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/7/C3113/2015/

© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**GMDD** 

7, C3113-C3114, 2015

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "RRAWFLOW: Rainfall-Response Aquifer and Watershed Flow Model (v1.11)" by A. J. Long

A.J. Long

ajlong@usgs.gov

Received and published: 23 January 2015

Author responses to Anonymous Referee #1 Referee comment is shown, followed by Author's response.

In the submitted manuscript Dr Long presents the functioning and application of the RRAWFLOW model. The model consists of two main routines, one of them to calculate effective precipitation and recharge by a soil moisture index method. The other is meant to calculate groundwater dynamics by a variety of Impulse-response functions (IRFs). The model is highly adaptive to the user's tasks (1) by allowing for turning off the recharge routine (or replacing it), (2) by the wide range of IRFs that are capable of reflecting a wide range of hydrological behavior (including interplay of diffuse and concentrated subsurface flow), and (3) by being able to provide solute transport simu-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



lations simply by replacing the hydrological time series with hydrochemical time series and adapting the IRFs.

The universal applicability as well as the detailed elaborations of the model functioning and its application (including two examples) make me believe that this manuscript is an adequate contribution for GMD. However some corrections, mainly to its structure, are necessary to make it better understandable. The author tends to provide a lot of detail on special cases of the model application within the model description some of which may be better located within the discussion. Also some more elaborations about the use of alternative performance measures (other than Nash Sutcliffe) and alternative calibration routines (other than PEST) would be desirable. For more details please see the commented pdf of the manuscript.

Author's response: I thank the reviewer for these helpful comments. Some of the topics were moved the Discussion and Conclusions section, as suggested. Discussion of alternative performance measures were added. More detailed responses to the commented pdf are included in the supplement to this response.

Please also note the supplement to this comment: http://www.geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/7/C3113/2015/gmdd-7-C3113-2015-supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 7, 5919, 2014.

## **GMDD**

7, C3113-C3114, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

