Geoscientific
Model Development
Discussions

Interactive comment on "NEMOTAM: tangent and adjoint models for the ocean modelling platform NEMO" *by* A. Vidard et al.

R. Marsh

rma@noc.soton.ac.uk

Received and published: 16 December 2014

Dear authors,

In my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/gmd_journal_white_paper.pdf

http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/6/1233/2013/gmd-6-1233-2013.html

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the 'Manuscript Types' section:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements may not have been met in the Discussions paper – if appropriate (see below), please correct this in your revised submission to GMD.

"– The paper must be accompanied by the code, or means of accessing the code, for the purpose of peer-review. If the code is normally distributed in a way which could compromise the anonymity of the referees, then the code must be made available to the editor. The referee/editor is not required to review the code in any way, but they may do so if they so wish. "

"– All papers must include a section at the end of the paper entitled "Code availability". In this section, instructions for obtaining the code (e.g. from a supplement, or from a website) should be included; alternatively, contact information should be given where the code can be obtained on request, or the reasons why the code is not available should be clearly stated. "

"– All papers must include a model name and version number (or other unique identifier) in the title. "

Whether NEMOTAM is a strictly speaking "model" in its own right will determine whether your manuscript is a "Model Description paper" or a "Technical, Development and Evaluation paper" by type, and hence whether the above requirements strictly apply. You are perhaps best placed to make this judgement.

Yours,

Robert Marsh

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 7, 6705, 2014.