Anonymous Referee #1

General comments

1. This paper documents the development of a module to describe organic aerosol composition and evolution in the atmosphere (ORACLE) within the ECHAM5/MESSy Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC) model. The paper comprises a very comprehensive description of the module and is well written and clear; I would recommend its publication in GMD following clarification on the below very minor issues.

We would like to thank the referee for the positive response. Below are our responses to the issues raised.

Specific comments

2. Saturation vapour pressures of organic aerosol (in the nucleation mode) can reach as low as 10⁻² to 10⁻³ μg m⁻³ (Pierce et al., 2011), and recently Ehn et al. (2014) identified the production of extremely low-volatility organic compounds (ELVOCs) from the oxidation of biogenic VOCs. In ORACLE, the lowest volatility SOA generated from VOCs is 1 μg m⁻³. The authors explain that additional bins may be added by the user, but it may be worth mentioning that the current configuration does not account for the generation of oxidation products with extremely low volatility?

Indeed ORACLE can be used to simulate the formation of extremely low volatility SOA (SOA-elv) with saturation concentration lower than $10^{-2} \,\mu g \, m^{-3}$, however, this should not be confused with the work of Pierce et al. (2011) and Ehn et al. (2014) who refer to the secondary organic compounds condensation on (or formation in) ultrafine aerosols (nucleation growth). Nucleation growth is far less understood than the partitioning of material between the condensed and gas phases and is not currently included in ORACLE. ORACLE is an equilibrium model and while partitioning and condensational growth can be accurately described in equilibrium for fine aerosols, the condensational growth of ultrafine aerosols demands a dynamical representation since the surface tension increases the effective saturation concentration of each SOA species in ultrafine particles and both the surface tension and the saturation vapor pressures of the organic molecules condensing on (or forming in) the ultrafine aerosol are largely unknown. Following the reviewers recommendation, in the revised manuscript we mention that the current configuration does not account for the generation of SOA-elv and we also refer to the limitations of ORACLE in simulating nucleation growth events of SOA-elv.

Technical comments

3. p5474, line 27: There's a mismatch between the range of saturation vapour pressures covered by SVOCs in this sentence and in Figure 2, so (unless I misunderstood!) one of these needs correcting.

Style Definition: Header: Font: 10 pt Style Definition: Footer: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Left: 25.4 mm, Right: 25.4 mm, Width: 215.9 mm, Height: 279.4 mm, Header distance from edge: 12.7 mm, Footer distance from edge: 12.7 mm, Numbering: Restart each page SVOCs have saturation concentrations between 0.01 and 100 μ g m⁻³. The range of saturation vapor pressures in Figure 2 starts from 10⁻¹ μ g m⁻³ since in this application we have used only two surrogate species to describe the SVOCs with $C^* = 10^{-1}$ and 10 μ g m⁻³. Nevertheless, even if it is not used in the current application, we have extended the range of SVOCs saturation concentrations to 10⁻² in Figure 2 in order to be consistent with the theory.

2

Anonymous Referee #2

General comments

1. The paper by Tsimpidi et al. describes the organic aerosol module ORACLE, coupled with the global model EMAC, which takes into account the semi-volatility of all organic aerosols, both primary and secondary, from anthropogenic and biogenic sources, including the IVOCs. The module is flexible in design, both with regard to the amount of volatility bins used, and the processes included, like aging. The paper is very clearly written and the module is thoroughly documented. I recommend publication after addressing the following minor points.

We would like to thank the referee for the positive response and for raising important issues after thoughtful review.

Minor comments

2. Although the model includes aerosol microphysics that take into account the aerosol hygroscopicity, no discussion is made on size-resolved and hygroscopicity results. I understand that this might be outside the scope of the paper, especially if evaluation has to come into play, but some basic discussion is missing. This includes questions like: Which mode has most of the aerosol mass? Which species condenses the most in each mode? How do organic aerosols affect the hygroscopicity of the modes? What are the assumptions made for the hygroscopicity of each volatility bin? Does hygroscopicity play a role on the size-dependence partitioning described in section 3.6? Is there any link (in the model) between hygroscopicity and volatility?

In ORACLE, the user is allowed to use up to 3 hydrophilic modes (Aitken, accumulation, coarse) for the size distribution of all OA surrogate species. In the current application, we used only one mode (accumulation) in order to limit the computational cost of the module. During a two-year simulation that we conducted as a sensitivity test by using all 3 modes, the model predicted that 70% of the total OA existed in the accumulation mode. Currently, all OA surrogate species are assumed to have a constant hygroscopicity parameter of 0.14. The total hygroscopicity of the aerosol is estimated based on a simple mixing rule. The above information has been added to the text.

3. Abstract, line 18: "domain-average" refers to which domain?

The domain-average results refer to global averages. In the revised manuscript "the domain-average global surface OA concentration" has been replaced with "the global surface average OA concentration".

4. p. 5470, l. 20-21: One year of spinup is probably not enough for semi-volatile organics that tend to accumulate in the upper layers of the troposphere where temperatures are very low, and their lifetime is higher since they are above clouds. This can be tested by looking at the organics optical depth, or upper tropospheric burden, as a function of time.

We tested the middle-upper tropospheric burden (above 840 mb) as a function of time and we found that one year is satisfactory time for spin up (Figure 1). The tropospheric burden of OA is relatively low during the beginning of 2004 (the first year of simulation) but it increases rapidly and becomes comparable to the rest of the simulated years even before the beginning of 2005.



Figure 1: Predicted middle-upper tropospheric (above 840 mb) OA burden in Tg during the years of 2004-2009.

5. p. 5471: please add a sentence/reference or two regarding the aqueous formation of sulfate, and, if any, organics.

The formation of sulfate through the aqueous phase oxidation of SO₂ is treated by the SCAV submodel (Tost et al., 2006) which calculates the aqueous phase redox reactions based on the prognostically predicted pH of clouds. As mentioned later in the manuscript (page 5481, line 21) the aqueous phase formation of SOA is not currently treated by ORACLE. This discussion has been added to the revised manuscript.

6. p. 5473, l. 15-16: Isn't $1e^{-1}$ too volatile for ELVOCs?

Yes, this is correct. ELVOCs are considered to have saturation concentrations of the order of 10^{-4} or less. However, their accurate representation in a model is generally useful for studies related to aerosol nucleation and growth, which is out of the scope of the current work. ORACLE does not currently simulate nucleation since it is an equilibrium partitioning model focusing on particle mass and not number. From a mass perspective, which is the focus of this application, ELVOCs exist solely in the particulate phase (as SOA-elv) under all atmospheric conditions. The lowest volatility bin used in this study has saturation concentration 10^{-1} , and is used to represent all the low volatility organics (with C* $\leq 10^{-1}$) which are mostly in the particulate phase, even in remote areas. This information has been added to the revised manuscript.

7. Section 3.4: are there primary marine organic emissions in the model?

The current application does not include any POA surrogate species for marine sources. Therefore, primary marine organic emissions are not simulated. However, marine POA can have a non-negligible influence on total aerosol forcing of climate and therefore will be included in ORACLE for future applications. This information has been added in the revised manuscript.

8. Do you have a reference for the ONLEM submodel?

In the revised manuscript, we have added the appropriate reference for the ONLEM submodel (Kerkweg et al., 2006).

9. What was the impact of the inclusion of aVOCs to the model's gas-phase chemistry?

The gas phase chemistry was modified by including the photochemical oxidation of VOCs that are considered as SOA precursors and the photochemical aging of aSOA-v, SOA-sv, and SOA-iv. These additional reactions have resulted in a slight decrease of the oxidant levels in the troposphere. O_3 decreased by 0.3% while OH (which is the only oxidant participating in the simulated aging reactions) decreased by 6%.

10. Why not use RCP emissions for combustion, for consistency, and use the AeroCom ones instead?

RCP emissions were only used for the anthropogenic VOCs species that can form SOA and were missing from the gas phase chemistry submodel MECCA. All of the other emissions used in this work were already included in EMAC and have been tested and evaluated extensively in previous model applications. Their use assists in the intercomparison with previous EMAC model versions and different model set ups. For climate applications, i.e., future projections, the RCP emissions should be considered.

11. Also, technically speaking, the RCP emissions are not IPCC, but CMIP5.

This has been clarified in the revised manuscript.

12. p. 5476, l. 10-15: This approach has limitations, since e.g. aVOCs are also emitted by biomass burning.

The reviewer makes a valid point here. Indeed a fraction of the aVOC emissions included in our work is due to biomass burning sources. However, given that these emissions represent only 10% of the total, the error that is introduced by assuming that these emissions are under high NO_x conditions is rather limited. This has been clarified in the text. A more accurate representation would be to use NO_x -depended aerosol yields and this is something that will be included in future versions of ORACLE.

13. p. 5476, l. 20: where does the 7.5% mass increase is based?

The 7.5% mass increase is consistent with each generation of reactions adding 1 oxygen atom to a C_{15} precursor, or 2 oxygen atoms to a C_{30} precursor. This information has been added to the revised manuscript.

14. p. 5477, l. 1: why this is not the case for all other OA?

Functionalization tends to decrease volatility while fragmentation tends to increase it. Unfortunately, isolating the rates at which they occur in smog-chamber experiments is extremely difficult. Therefore, the relative importance of these two processes cannot yet be quantified. However, while smog-chamber results indicate a net average decrease in volatility (and increase in SOA production) for anthropogenic SOA after their multigenerational aging (Hildebrandt et al., 2009), it appears there is not an important net average change in volatility (and SOA mass) of biogenic SOA (Ng et al., 2006; Donahue et al., 2012). Murphy et al. (2012) attributed this to a balancing of fragmentation and functionalization effects during the photochemical aging of bSOA-v. More precisely, they developed a detailed functionalization-fragmentation parameterization and predicted bulk OA concentrations similar to their base-case model configuration, which employs an organic aging module consistent with our study and excludes the aging of bSOA-v. The above are now discussed in section 3.6.

15. The aging parameterization appears inconsistent to me. bSOA do not age, aSOA age by steps of a factor of 10, and all others age by steps of a factor of 100. Are there chemical (or other) evidence for that? The enthalpy of vaporization has a similar inconsistency, why not use an enthalpy of vaporization as a function of the volatility for all species?

As discussed above, there is evidence that the oxidation of bSOA-v does not lead to any significant change on the corresponding mass concentration due to a rough balance between functionalization and fragmentation (Murphy et al., 2012; Wang et al., in preparation). Furthermore, we have distributed aSOA-v in 4 volatility bins with C^{*} equal to 1, 10, 10², and 10³; and SOA-sv/SOA-iv in 4 volatility bins with C^{*} equal to 1, 10, 10², and 10³; and SOA-sv/SOA-iv in 4 volatility bins with C^{*} equal to 10^{-1} , 10^{1} , 10^{3} , and 10^{5} in order to minimize the computational cost by covering their volatility range $(10^{-2} to 10^{6})$ with a low number of surrogate species. To express the decrease of volatility with aging, the products of aging reactions are shifted down one volatility bin which in the case of SOA-sv/SOA-iv is a factor of 10^{2} reduction in C^{*} while in the case of aSOA-v is a factor of 10. Lastly, the enthalpy of vaporization for SOA-sv/SOA-iv is based on data for large saturated species commonly found in primary emissions (Donahue et al., 2006) while the effective value used for SOA-v is based on data for a-pinene and is roughly 30 kJ mol⁻¹ (Pathak et al., 2007). This has been clarified in the text.

16. What molecular weights have you used for each volatility bin?

Based on Tsimpidi et al. (2010), the molecular weight of POA, SOA-sv, and SOA-iv is 250 g mol⁻¹. The molecular weight of bSOA-v and aSOA-v is 180 g mol⁻¹ and 150 g mol⁻¹, respectively. This information has been now added in section 3.6.

17. Results: Frequently Congo is mentioned, when the maximum is much wider than the country of Congo.

We refer to the Congo Basin Rainforest across the Democratic Republic of Congo, most of the Republic of Congo, the southeast of Cameroon, southern Central African Republic, Gabon, and Equatorial Guinea. In the revised manuscript we use the "Congo Basin Rainforest" and not simply "Congo" to avoid confusion.

18. In addition there is a strong seasonal cycle, from Sahel to southern Africa, which is not clearly visible in the annual mean, but needs to be mentioned.

Indeed over the subtropical Africa there are two main seasons, the dry season (October-March) and the wet season (April-September). The dry season is characterized by intense agricultural fires in the sub-Sahelian region and forest fires in the Congo Basin rainforest. During this period, the predicted total OA average concentration is 17.4 μ g m⁻³, mainly due to high biomass burning emissions over the area. The wet season is characterized by low biomass burning emissions, therefore, OA consists mainly of biogenic SOA and the predicted average total OA concentration is 7.8 μ g m⁻³. While part of this discussion already exists in the evaluation section (4.2), it has been also added to the discussion of model predictions as well (section 4.1).

19. p. 5481, l. 21-22: In my opinion, missing processes add primarily to the model bias, not the model uncertainty, since there is a missing source or sink. The uncertainty of the missing parameterization is second order.

Following the reviewers recommendation we have replaced the phrase "adds to uncertainty" with "adds to the model bias".

20. Why not exclude Ispra completely from the analysis, since the model is not able to capture the unique characteristics of the station? In any case, even if Ispra stays in the analysis, how do the statistics change in case Ispra is dropped?

In the revised manuscript the measurements from the Ispra site have been omitted from the statistical analysis.

21. Sections 4.3-4.7: when mentioning global average surface concentrations, it would be useful to also mention the mean over land only, since most of the aerosols are there. You can also add these numbers in table 7.

Following the reviewer's recommendation, we have added the average surface values over land in Table 7 and in the discussion of the results in sections 4.3-4.6.

22. Section 4.3, tPOA: The discussion for cities is laid in a way that might give the wrong impression that you can actually resolve them. Use something like "the greater Beijing area" or "the gridbox that includes Beijing" or similar when it comes to large urban centers.

We have adopted the reviewer's recommendation in the discussion.

23. p. 5486, l. 1-2: This is very interesting, are there any measurements that support it?

Several field campaigns over Megacities have shown that hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol (HOA; a surrogate species for POA) consists of primary combustion particles and decreases with distance from the urban source areas, due to evaporation and deposition, remaining at low levels in surrounding areas. Oxygenated organic aerosol (OOA; a surrogate species for SOA) on the other hand, consists of more oxygenated and photo-chemically processed organics which remain at high concentrations in suburban and rural sites (Aiken et al., 2009; Morgan et al., 2010; Hildebrandt et al., 2010). A short discussion about the findings of field campaigns on the matter has been added to the text.

24. p. 5489, l. 25-27: There are studies that have challenged this statement in the past, e.g. the work of Spracklen et al.

That is correct; in section 4.7 we had cited other studies that highlight the importance of anthropogenic SOA for the total global budget. In the same section we have now added the work of Spracklen et al. (2011) as recommended by the reviewer. Also in the conclusion section we have rephrased the sentence "Such high anthropogenic OA fractions challenge some previous results suggesting that anthropogenic sources do not strongly contribute to global OA concentrations." as follows "Such high anthropogenic OA fractions challenge the results of the traditional CCMs and add to the most recent findings that suggest a strong contribution of anthropogenic sources to global OA concentrations."

25. Figure 2: The aging arrows for SOA-v only apply to aVOCs, not bVOCs, it should be clearly mentioned. The legend should say "Gas/Particle Partitioning" not "Partition". "Rhombi", although literally correct, is better to be "diamonds", since this is the symbol we are interested in the figure, not the geometrical shape. You should explain what the circles are, and probably add arrows showing which species can be emitted. Lastly, the footnote with the star should also be added at the bottom of p. 5473.

This figure corresponds to a schematic overview of the capabilities of the ORACLE module. The user can decide to include aging reactions for bSOA-v. However, in this specific application we assume that bSOA-v does not participate in aging reactions, which is mentioned in the footnote of the figure. "Gas/Particle Partition" has been replaced by "Gas/Particle Partitioning". "Rhombi" has been replaced by "diamonds". Circles indicate primary organic material that can be emitted either in the gas or in the aerosol phase; this has been added to the figure caption. Lastly, section 3.3 describes only the volatility basis set theory which assumes the distribution of organic compounds into groups with logarithmically spaced effective saturation concentrations. The photochemical aging reactions are described in section 3.5 and the information that bSOA-v are assumed not to participate in aging reactions for this application already exist in this section.

Technical corrections

26. p. 5467, l. 12: "aerosol related" needs a hyphen.

Corrected

27. p. 5468, l. 11: "logarithmically spaced" needs a hyphen.

Corrected

28. p. 5469, l. 8: "two product" needs a hyphen.

Corrected

29. p. 5469, l. 12: "most cases" should be "some cases". In the next line, "etc." is not needed: Pye lacks aging, Farina lacks semivolatiles; is there anything else included in "etc."?

That is correct; the text has been modified accordingly.

30. p. 5470, l. 24: NO2 is not an oxidant, maybe you mean NO3 radical?

Yes, "NO₂" has been replaced by "NO₃".

31. p. 5471, l. 9: It appears there is something missing here: "same size range" with their hydrophilic counterparts?

The sentence has been rephrased into: "The 3 hydrophobic modes have the same size range with the hydrophilic modes apart from the nucleation mode."

32. p. 5472, l. 4: Please add "as described in section 3.6" (or something like that) after "size modes".

We have added in parenthesis the section number in which each process simulated by ORACLE is discussed.

33. p. 5472, l. 8: "high number": please add the exact number under the present configuration.

We have added the "(i.e., 48 species are used in the current configuration)" after the "total atmospheric OA"

34. p. 5473, l. 13: Please change "groups with" with "groups, each with".

The proposed change has been implemented.

35. p. 5473, l. 19: "exist exclusively" should be "exist almost exclusively".

Corrected

36. p. 5474, l. 27: The range from 0.01 to 100 is elsewhere mentioned as 0.1-10, including Fig. 1. Same for the 1e3-1e6 range 2 lines later, which is mentioned 1e3-e5 elsewhere (including Fig. 1).

In principle, SVOCs have saturation concentrations ranging from 10^{-1} to $10^2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ and IVOCs have saturation concentrations ranging from 10^3 to $10^6 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$. In the present application we have selected two surrogate species for SVOCs with $C^* = 0.1$ and $10 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ and two for IVOCs with $C^* = 10^3$ and $10^5 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ to cover the range reported above. So, in this part we refer to the theoretical range of SVOCs' and IVOCs' saturation concentrations while later on we refer to the exact volatility bins used in this study. This has been clarified in the revised manuscript. Similarly, on the left side of Fig. 2 the theoretical range of SVOCs' and IVOCs' saturation concentrations is reported, while the symbols are placed on the exact volatility bins used by the current application. We assume that the reviewer means Fig. 2 since Fig. 1 does not include any reference to saturation concentrations

37. p. 5475, l. 25: "monoterpene" should be "monoterpenes".

Corrected

38. Eq. R8/10/12/14: The indices should be i-1, or the indices in R6 should be i. However, none of these reactions are needed here, since they show the partitioning, which is described in the following section. In 12

any case, they are rather trivial reactions which are nicely described in the text, so they can be omitted. Line 3 from the following page also has a similar issue, it should be i-1 produces i-2, unless you change reaction R6. Lastly, this sentence (in line 3, p. 5478) should end by "until they reach the lowest volatility bin".

We agree with the reviewer that these reactions are simple and are part of the process described in the next section but we still believe that their addition here makes clear to the reader that the photo-oxidation of aSOG, fSOG, and bbSOG can further increase the production of SOA significantly. Therefore, following the reviewers recommendation, we have changed the indices of reactions R8/10/12/14 to *i*-1. Furthermore, we also believe that the "until they reach the lowest volatility bin" is a nice addition to the last sentence of the section and we have adopted this change as well.

39. The first sentence of section 4.3 is repetitive and is not needed.

This sentence summarizes which organic material is considered as POA by ORACLE and will help the reader to understand the behavior of POA reported on the results. Therefore we prefer not to make the suggested change.

40. p. 5485, l. 17: "more chemically processed" compared to which?

They are more chemically processed than their precursor. To avoid potential ambiguity we have rephrased the sentence to: "IVOCs are emitted in the gas phase where they react with OH, becoming less volatile and more chemically processed, and condense to the aerosol phase to produce secondary organic aerosols (SOA-iv)."

41. p. 5485, l. 19-20: "4 times higher than of SVOC (Table 4)": I don't see that in the table, but it would be good to have it there, please add it.

This information exists in Table 4 in the emission factors used for SVOCs and IVOCs. The sum of the emission factors for SVOCs is 0.5 while the sum of the emission factors for IVOCs is 2 (4 times higher than

0.5). However, in order to point out the difference between the SVOC and IVOC emissions we have now split the last column into the individual contributions of SVOCs and IVOCs.

42. p. 5485, l. 26: "if" should be "though".

Corrected

43. p. 5488, l. 27: Where exactly is the "higher up" that has 92% of SOA?

It is in the free troposphere. The sentence has been rephrased to "This results in a higher fraction of SOA in total OA in the free troposphere than at the surface (92% compared to 82% at the surface)." to avoid confusion.

44. Table 1: POG comes from direct emissions, or only from evaporation of emitted POA?

POG can come either from direct emissions or from the evaporation of POA. SVOCs are assumed to be emitted as POA while IVOCs are emitted as POG. Then, they are allowed to partition between the gas and particle phase which can result in some POG from the evaporation of POA on the SVOC volatility bins (10⁻¹ and 10¹). This information has been now added to section 3.4.

45. Table 4: Is the factor 2.5 already applied in the numbers in the last two columns, or it is applied on these numbers? Also, can you split the last column into the individual contributions of the S/IVOC?

Yes, these factors are already applied in the last column. That is why they are 2.5 times higher than the nonvolatile POA emissions reported in the table. Also, following the reviewer's recommendation, we have split the last column into the individual contributions of the SVOC and IVOC emissions.

46. Table 6: The RMSE is not discussed at all in the manuscript. Either mention it, or drop it, don't simply include the numbers in the table.

We would like to thank the reviewer for noticing this. RMSE is an important error metric since it incorporates both the variance of the prediction and its bias. Therefore we have added it to the discussion in section 4.2.

References

- Aiken, A. C., Salcedo, D., Cubison, M. J., Huffman, J. A., DeCarlo, P. F., Ulbrich, I. M., Docherty, K. S., Sueper, D., Kimmel, J. R., Worsnop, D. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Stone, E. A., Schauer, J. J., Volkamer, R. M., Fortner, E., de Foy, B., Wang, J., Laskin, A., Shutthanandan, V., Zheng, J., Zhang, R., Gaffney, J., Marley, N. A., Paredes-Miranda, G., Arnott, W. P., Molina, L. T., Sosa, G., and Jimenez, J. L.: Mexico City aerosol analysis during MILAGRO using high resolution aerosol mass spectrometry at the urban supersite (T0) Part 1: Fine particle composition and organic source apportionment, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 6633-6653, 2009.
- Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Coupled partitioning, dilution, and chemical aging of semivolatile organics, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2635-2643, 2006.
- Donahue, N. M., Henry, K. M., Mentel, T. F., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Spindler, C., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Dorn, H. P., Fuchs, H., Tillmann, R., Wahner, A., Saathoff, H., Naumann, K.-H., Moehler, O., Leisner, T., Mueller, L., Reinnig, M.-C., Hoffmann, T., Salo, K., Hallquist, M., Frosch, M., Bilde, M., Tritscher, T., Barmet, P., Praplan, A. P., DeCarlo, P. F., Dommen, J., Prevot, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.: Aging of biogenic secondary organic aerosol via gas-phase OH radical reactions, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109, 13503-13508, 10.1073/pnas.1115186109, 2012.
- Hildebrandt, L., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: High formation of secondary organic aerosol from the photo-oxidation of toluene, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 2973-2986, 2009.
- Hildebrandt, L., Engelhart, G. J., Mohr, C., Kostenidou, E., Lanz, V. A., Bougiatioti, A., DeCarlo, P. F., Prevot, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Mihalopoulos, N., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Aged organic aerosol in the Eastern Mediterranean: the Finokalia Aerosol Measurement Experiment-2008, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 4167-4186, 10.5194/acp-10-4167-2010, 2010.
- Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., Tost, H., and Jöckel, P.: Technical note: Implementation of prescribed (OFFLEM), calculated (ONLEM), and pseudo-emissions (TNUDGE) of chemical species in the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3603-3609, 10.5194/acp-6-3603-2006, 2006.
- Morgan, W. T., Allan, J. D., Bower, K. N., Highwood, E. J., Liu, D., McMeeking, G. R., Northway, M. J., Williams, P. I., Krejci, R., and Coe, H.: Airborne measurements of the spatial distribution of aerosol chemical composition across Europe and evolution of the organic fraction, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 4065-4083, 10.5194/acp-10-4065-2010, 2010.
- Murphy, B. N., Donahue, N. M., Fountoukis, C., Dall'Osto, M., O'Dowd, C., Kiendler-Scharr, A., and Pandis, S. N.: Functionalization and fragmentation during ambient organic aerosol aging: application of the 2-D volatility basis set to field studies, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 10797-10816, 10.5194/acp-12-10797-2012, 2012.

- Ng, N. L., Kroll, J. H., Keywood, M. D., Bahreini, R., Varutbangkul, V., Flagan, R. C., Seinfeld, J. H., Lee, A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Contribution of first- versus second-generation products to secondary organic aerosols formed in the oxidation of biogenic hydrocarbons, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2283-2297, 2006.
- Pathak, R. K., Presto, A. A., Lane, T. E., Stanier, C. O., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Ozonolysis of alpha-pinene: parameterization of secondary organic aerosol mass fraction, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 3811-3821, 2007.
- Spracklen, D. V., Jimenez, J. L., Carslaw, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., Evans, M. J., Mann, G. W., Zhang, Q., Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J., Coe, H., McFiggans, G., Rap, A., and Forster, P.: Aerosol mass spectrometer constraint on the global secondary organic aerosol budget, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 12109-12136, 10.5194/acp-11-12109-2011, 2011.
- Tost, H., Jockel, P. J., Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: A new comprehensive SCAVenging submodel for global atmospheric chemistry modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 565-574, 2006.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Zavala, M., Lei, W., Molina, L., Ulbrich, I. M., Jimenez, J. L., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of the volatility basis-set approach for the simulation of organic aerosol formation in the Mexico City metropolitan area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 525-546, 2010.
- Wang, N., Kostenidou, E., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Aging of a-pinene ozonolysis products by reactions with OH, in preparation.

ORACLE: <u>A module for (v1.0)</u>: <u>Module to simulate</u> the <u>description of</u> ORganic Aerosol Composition and Evolution in the atmosphere

Tsimpidi A.P.¹, Karydis V.A.¹, Pozzer A.¹, Pandis S.N.^{2,3}and Lelieveld J.^{1,4}

¹ Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany
 ² Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Patras, Patras, Greece
 ³ Department of Chemical Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
 ⁴ Energy, Environment and Water Research Center, Cyprus Institute, Nicosia, Cyprus
 *Corresponding author e-mail: <u>a.tsimpidi@mpic.de</u>

Abstract

A computationally efficient module for the description of to describe organic aerosol (OA) partitioning and chemical aging has been developed and implemented into the EMAC atmospheric chemistry-climate model. The model simulates the formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) from semi-volatile (SVOCs), intermediate-volatility (IVOCs) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The modelIt distinguishes SVOCs from biomass burning and all other combustion sources using two surrogate species for each -source category with an effective 298K298 saturation concentration at of Κ $C^* = 0.1$ and 10 µg m⁻³. Two additional surrogate species with $C^* = 10^3$ and $\frac{10^5 \mu g 10^5 \mu g}{\mu m^{-3}}$ are used for the IVOCs emitted by the above-two source categories. Gas-phase photochemical reactions that change the volatility of the organics are taken into account. The oxidation products (SOA-sv, SOA-iv, and SOA-v) of each group of precursors (SVOCs, IVOCs, and VOCs) are simulated separately in the module to keep track of their origin. ORACLE efficiently describes the OA composition and evolution in the atmosphere and can be used to i) estimate the relative contributions of SOA and primary organic aerosolsaerosol (POA) to total OA, ii) determine how SOA concentrations are affected by biogenic and anthropogenic emissions, and iii) evaluate the effects of photochemical aging and long-range transport on the OA budget. Here weWe estimate that the predicted domain- global average global near-surface OA concentration is 1.5 µg m⁻³ and consists of 7% POA from fuel combustion, 11% POA from biomass burning, 2% SOA-sv from fuel combustion, 3% SOA-sv from biomass burning, 15% SOA-iv from fuel combustion, 28% SOA-iv from biomass burning, 19% biogenic SOA-v, and 15% anthropogenic SOA-v. The modeled tropospheric burden of OA components is-predicted to be 0.23 Tg POA, 0.16 Tg SOA-sv, 1.41 Tg SOA-iv, and 1.2 Tg SOAv.

1. Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols adversely affect <u>air quality and human health</u>, and play an important role in climate change. Depending on the physicochemical properties, aerosols affect the energy budget of the Earth's atmosphere by scattering and absorbing solar radiation (direct effect) and influencing the reflective properties of clouds, their lifetime, and precipitation formation (indirect effects). Organic aerosol (OA) is an important constituent of atmospheric particulates and varies with <u>geographicalgeographic</u> region, accounting for 20 to 90% of the submicron particulate mass (Zhang et al., 2007).(Zhang et al., 2007). However, the understanding of OA sources, atmospheric processing and removal is limited. Given that anthropogenic carbonaceous emissions from developing and emerging economies are expected to dramatically increase in the future (IPCC, 2013), a better understanding of the chemical evolution of OA is essential to reduce the aerosol-(IPCC, 2013), a better understanding of the chemical evolution and improve air quality and climate assessments.

OA consists of primary material (POA, directly emitted from sources such as fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning) and secondary particulate matter (SOA, formed within the atmosphere from the oxidation of gas-phase precursors). The relative contribution of POA and SOA to the overall OA budget remains controversial. Recent studies show that OA is dominated by SOA not only in tropical regions but also in urban environments (Zhang et al., 2005).(Zhang et al., 2005). The formation of SOA is often underestimated in atmospheric chemistry-climate models (CCMs) and chemistry-transport models (CTMs) (Heald et al., 2005). Robinson et al. (2007)(Heald et al., 2005). Robinson et al. (2007) attributed this "unexplained" SOA to the evaporation of POA, oxidation of the resulting vapors in the gas phase and subsequent recondensation, SOA formation from intermediate-volatility organic compounds (IVOCs) and chemical aging of the first generation products of the volatile organic compounds (VOCs), which were) that are not taken into account by models. Laboratory and field studies have confirmed that the photo-oxidation of fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning emissions can lead to the formation of substantial SOA mass that cannot be explained by the traditional treatment of SOA in CTMs and CCMs (Kroll and Scinfeld, 2008; Jimenez et al., 2009; Grieshop et al., 2009; Hennigan et al., 2011; Miracolo et al., 2011; May et al., 2012).(Kroll and Seinfeld, 2008;

Jimenez et al., 2009; Grieshop et al., 2009; Hennigan et al., 2011; Miracolo et al., 2011; May et al., 2012).

CCMs have traditionally treated POA and SOA as nonvolatile and nonreactive particles that are emitted directly into the atmosphere (Kanakidou et al., 2005). Most of these(Kanakidou et al., 2005). Most of these(Kanakidou et al., 2005). Most models convert "hydrophobic" OA to "hydrophilic" OA using an assumed aging rate constant to account for the chemical conversion of fresh OA to more water soluble compounds and itsit's mixing with other soluble aerosol components without any mechanistic detail. In order to describe the OA volatility changes that accompany this chemical conversion, Donahue et al. (2006)(2006) developed the volatility basis-set (VBS) framework. This framework describes the OA absorptive partitioning, where OA is assumed to be semi-volatile and photochemically reactive and is distributed in logarithmically–spaced volatility bins. With this approach, the intermediate and semi-volatile primary emissions and the SOA formation and its chemical aging can be simulated in a common framework that is well suited for regional and global modeling (Murphy and Pandis, 2009; Tsimpidi et al., 2010; Jathar et al., 2011).

Several recent regional scale modeling studies have accounted for the semi-volatile nature and chemical aging of organic compounds demonstrating improvements in reproducing the organic aerosol budget and its chemical resolution (Murphy and Pandis, 2009; Tsimpidi et al., 2010; Hodzic et al., 2010; Tsimpidi et al., 2011; Fountoukis et al., 2011; Shrivastava et al., 2011; Bergstrom et al., 2012; Athanasopoulou et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Fountoukis et al., 2014). However, such efforts on a global scale are limited. Farina et al. (2010)(Murphy and Pandis, 2009; Tsimpidi et al., 2010; Hodzic et al., 2010; Tsimpidi et al., 2011; Fountoukis et al., 2011; Shrivastava et al., 2011; Bergstrom et al., 2012; Athanasopoulou et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Fountoukis et al., 2014). However, such efforts on a global scale are limited. Farina et al. (2010) implemented the VBS in the Goddard Institute for Space Studies General Circulation Model II' (GISS II') to describe the formation of SOA from VOCs, while assuming that POA emissions were nonvolatile and non-reactive and not accounting for IVOC emissions. Pye and Seinfeld (2010) estimated the global OA production from emissions of semivolatile and intermediate volatility organic compounds(2010) estimated the global OA production from emissions of semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) and IVOCs using the global CTM GEOS-Chem. However, this study simulated only the first generation of reactions neglecting the subsequent 20

chemical aging. In contrast to other studies, their implementation of semivolatile POA led to decreases in predictedmodeled total surface-level OA concentrations due to the partial evaporation of POA upon emission. Jathar et al. (2011) Jathar et al. (2011) modified the model of Farina et al. (2010) to account explicitly for the semivolatile and reactive POA and predicted a global dominance of SOA, which brought the POA/SOA fractions into better agreement with measurements. Jo et al. (2013)(2013) employed the VBS framework in the GEOS-Chem global CTM to study the effect of photochemical aging on global SOA. They found that the model results were in better agreement with all observations relative to the simulations without aging and to those of the traditional two--product approach. All of the above studies showed improved representation of POA and/or SOA in the corresponding global CTMs and that the changes brought the model predictions closer to field measurements. However, the number of studies that have employed the recent OA findings is rather limited and in mostsome cases lack one or more potentially important processes (i.e., the aging reactions, the semivolatile character of POA₇ etc.)-).

This study aims to improve the description of organic aerosols in large-scale models, making use of the VBS approach and recent developments based on laboratory and field measurements. A new computationally efficient module for the description of organic aerosol composition and evolution in the atmosphere (ORACLE) has been developed and implemented in the ECHAM/MESSy Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC) model (Jöckel et al., 2006) to simulate POA and SOA formation and evolution.(Jöckel et al., 2006) to simulate POA and SOA formation and growth. ORACLE uses a novel lumping method that allows the use of a small number of species, minimizing the computational cost. At the same time it provides valuable information about the OA sources and physicochemical evolution during its atmospheric lifetime. The ORACLE user has full control of the complexity of the OA scheme and is able to adjust the number of species and reactions, depending on the application and the desired chemical resolution (number of compounds and volatility bins), as well as the physicochemical properties of OA components (aging reaction constants, emission factors, etc.). The application of this advanced OA module can help identify gaps in our understanding of the OA formation and composition, improve the predictive capability of OA and help in identifying the major uncertainties that should be addressed in future experimental and modeling studies.

Formatted: Danish (Denmark)

2. Global Model Description

2.1 EMAC Model

The ECHAM5/MESSy Atmospheric Chemistry model (EMAC) (Jöckel et al., 2006; 2010)(Jöckel et al., 2006; 2010) is used as the host model for ORACLE. This is a numerical chemistry and climate simulation system that includes sub-models describing lower and middle atmospheric processes and their interaction with oceans, land and human influences. EMAC combines the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy; Jöckel et al., 2005) and the 5th generation of the European Centre Hamburg general circulation model (ECHAM5; Röckner et al., 2006). (MESSy; Jöckel et al., 2005) and the 5th generation of the European Centre Hamburg general circulation model (ECHAM5; Röckner et al., 2006). ECHAM5 simulates the atmospheric flow with the prognostic variables vorticity, divergence, temperature, total moisture and the logarithm of the surface pressure, and is integrated in the base model layer of MESSy. The interface structure of MESSy allows the use of different modules for atmospheric chemistry, transport, and diagnostic tools. EMAC has been extensively described and evaluated against ground-based and satellite observations, and can be run on a range of spatial resolutions (Jöckel et al., 2006; Pozzer et al., 2012; de Meij et al., 2012). In this study, the applied spectral resolution of the EMAC model(Jöckel et al., 2006; Pozzer et al., 2012; de Meij et al., 2012). In this study, the applied spectral resolution is T42L31, corresponding to a horizontal grid resolution of approximately 2.8°x2.8° and 31 vertical layers extending to 25 km. EMAC is applied for 6 years covering the period 2004-2009 and the first year is used as spin-up.

The EMAC model simulates gas-phase species online through the Module Efficiently Calculating the Chemistry of the Atmosphere submodel (MECCA; Sander et al., 2011). MECCA calculates online the concentration of the major oxidants (OH, H_2O_2 , NO_2 , and O_3) by using a chemical scheme based on the MIM mechanism (Pöschl et al., 2000)(MECCA; Sander et al., 2011). MECCA calculates online the concentration of the major oxidants (OH, H_2O_2 , NO_3 , and O_3) by using a chemical scheme based on the MIM mechanism (Pöschl et al., 2000)(MECCA; Sander et al., 2011). MECCA calculates online the concentration of the major oxidants (OH, H_2O_2 , NO_3 , and O_3) by using a chemical scheme based on the MIM mechanism (Pöschl et al., 2000) including 218 gas phase reactions, 69 photolysis reactions, and 12 heterogeneous reactions of 129 gases in total. Inorganic aerosol microphysics and gas/particle partitioning are calculated by the Global Modal-aerosol eXtension aerosol module (GMXe; Pringle et al., 2010).(GMXe; Pringle et al., 2010).

<u>2010</u>). This submodel is computationally efficient and is suitable for medium to long-term simulations with global and regional models.

The aerosol microphysics are described using 7 interacting lognormal modes (4 hydrophilic and 3 hydrophobic modes). The 4 hydrophilic modes cover the full aerosol size spectrum (nucleation, Aitken, accumulation, and coarse modes). Each size range has fixed size boundaries and a variable mean radius. The 3 hydrophobic modes have the same size range apart from the nucleation mode. The aerosol composition within each mode is uniform with size (internally mixed), though the composition can vary between modes (externally mixed). The removal of gas and aerosol species through dry deposition is calculated within the DRYDEP submodel (Kerkweg et al., 2006) based on the big leaf approach. The sedimentation of aerosols calculated within the SEDI submodel (Kerkweg et al., 2006) using a first order approach. scavenging of gas and aerosol species is simulated using the SCAV submodel (Tost et al., Cloud properties of the aerosol (optical thickness. single asymmetry factor) are calculated within the AEROPT submodel (Lauer et al., 2007) based on pre calculated look up tables from Mie theory. The CLOUD submodel (Jöckel et al., 2006) is used to calculate the cloud cover as well as cloud micro physics including precipitation. The detailed two moment liquid and ice cloud microphysical scheme of Lohmann et al. (2007), The 3 hydrophobic modes have the same size range as the hydrophilic modes apart from the nucleation mode. The aerosol composition within each mode is uniform with size (internally mixed), though the composition can vary between modes (externally mixed). The removal of gas and aerosol species through dry deposition is calculated within the DRYDEP submodel (Kerkweg et al., 2006a) based on the big leaf approach. The sedimentation of aerosols is calculated within the SEDI submodel (Kerkweg et al., 2006a) using a first order approach. The formation of sulfate through the aqueous phase oxidation of SO2 is treated by the SCAV submodel (Tost et al., 2006) that calculates the aqueous phase redox reactions based on the prognostically predicted pH of clouds. SCAV also simulates cloud scavenging and rainout of gas and aerosol species. The optical properties of aerosols (optical thickness, single scattering albedo, asymmetry factor) are calculated within the AEROPT submodel (Lauer et al., 2007) based on pre-calculated look-up tables from Mie theory. The CLOUD submodel (Jöckel et al., 2006) is used to calculate the cloud cover as well as cloud micro-physics including precipitation. The detailed two-moment <u>liquid and ice-cloud microphysical scheme of Lohmann et al. (2007)</u>, which enables a physically based treatment of aerosol-cloud interactions, is used to compute cloud microphysical processes.

3. ORACLE module description

3.1 Module Overview

The ORACLE module (i) uses logarithmically-spaced saturation concentration bins to describe the OA components based on their volatility, (Section 3.3), (ii) simulates the contribution of semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) and IVOCs from fuel combustion and biomass burning emissions and anthropogenic and biogenic VOCs to the formation of SOA (Section 3.4), (iii) monitors the gas-phase photochemical reactions of SOA precursors, (Section 3.5), (iv) assumes bulk equilibrium between the gas and particulate phases (Section 3.6), and (v) distributes the OA in size modes, (Section 3.6). A schematic overview of the ORACLE module and how it is implemented in EMAC is provided in Figure 1.

3.2 OA Terminology

The ORACLE module describes the chemical life <u>cycle</u> of a <u>highlarge</u> number of different species involved in the formation of total atmospheric OA<u>(i.e., 48 species are used in the current</u> <u>configuration</u>) in order to cover and track the distribution of these species in multiple simultaneously occurring phases, their participation in a large number of possible formation pathways

(i.e., multigenerational oxidation), their volatility distribution and the origin of their sources. The complexity of this <u>descriptionsystem</u> demands a systematic classification of the organic compounds that will be in line with ongoing field and laboratory studies and model development. Following the Murphy et al. (2014)(2014) naming convention for classifying OA components, the ORACLE classification system has the following syntax:

[Source root name]-[initial volatility]

with the "source root name" indicated by an acronym currently used in the field and the "initial volatility" of the species upon emission indicated by an alphabetical lowercase suffix. Table 1 contains a full description of<u>lists</u> the root terms and suffixes used for identifying the emitted 24

Formatted: Font color: Black

volatility of the organic components described by ORACLE module-in this application. The source root name of organic components consist of lowercase alphabetical characters (Modifiers), that describe their source type (i.e., "f" for fuel combustion), followed by three capital letters (Base Term): the first letter declares if the organic component is primary ("P"), coming from primary emissions, or secondary ("S"), formed from the oxidation of gas phase species; the second is always the letter "O" denoting organic; and the third identifies the phase of the species ("A" for aerosol and "G" for gas). The exact names of all the organic compounds simulated by ORACLE are listed in Table 2.

3.3 Volatility Basis Set Theory

The ORACLE module adopts the volatility basis set approach proposed by Donahue et al. (2006).(2006). It subdivides the thousands of organic compounds into groups (surrogate species) with logarithmically-spaced effective saturation concentrations. This framework abandons the traditional distinction between POA and SOA and allows the EMAC model to efficiently treat both semivolatile primary emissions and SOA production, and then simulate the chemical evolution of these species under a unified framework. The exact volatility resolution defined and used by ORACLE can be controlled through the interface layer of the module, offering the flexibility to investigate different schemes. For the current application, eight surrogate species are used for POA divided into two groups each with saturation concentrations C* at 298 K equal to 10⁻¹, 10¹, 10³, 10⁵µg m⁻³: i) POA from biofuel combustion, fossil fuel combustion, and other urban sources (fPOA) and ii) POA from biomass burning (bbPOA). The least volatile fraction, at $10^{-1} \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$, describes the low volatility organics in the atmosphere that are mostly in the particulate phase even in remote locations. On the other hand, even under highly polluted conditions the majority of the material in the 105µg m-3 volatility bin will exist almost exclusively in the vapor phase. The user has fullcan control-of the complexity of the ORACLE module and therefore can easily add bins at either end of the range to describe and investigate unique conditions. A similar approach is followed for SOA that is formed from the VOC emissions. Following the approach of Lane et al. (2008) It is worth mentioning that the use of low volatility bins to accurately represent the extremely low volatility organic compounds (e.g., with C^* lower than 10⁻³) would be useful for the study of new particle formation which is outside the scope of the current work and is not included in the current version of ORACLE. A similar 25 approach is followed for SOA formed from VOCs. Following the approach of Lane et al. (2008) it is assumed that the oxidation of the anthropogenic and biogenic VOC species (aVOC and bVOC, respectively) results in four products for each precursor distributed in four volatility bins with effective saturation concentrations at 298K equal to 1, 10, 10^2 and $10^3 \ \mu g m^3 at 298K$. This range is typically constrained by available smog chamber data (Stanier et al., 2008). $10^3 \ \mu g m^3 at 298K$. This range can also be used and tested through the ORACLE interface. The different aerosol types and chemical processes modeled in the proposed framework are illustrated in Figure 2.

3.4 Emission inventory of OA Precursors

VOC emissions: The emissions of isoprene and monoterpenes are calculated online by EMAC with the ONLEM submodel (Kerkweg et al., 2006b) and depend on ecosystem type, temperature, and solar radiation. The AIRSEA submodel estimates the oceanic isoprene emissions from chlorophyll concentrations (Pozzer et al., 2006).(Pozzer et al., 2006). In this application, isoprene and monoterpene global emissions are 477 and 62.4 Tg yr⁻¹, respectively. The emissions of the aVOCs that are considered SOA precursors are derived from the IPCC RCP4.5 emission inventory (Clarke et al., 2007).CMIP5 RCP4.5 emission inventory (Clarke et al., 2007). These compounds were not considered by the original gas phase chemistry submodel MECCA. Therefore, six lumped aVOC species have been added to MECCA to assess the aSOA formation in ORACLE. These lumped species are grouped similarly to the Statewide Air Pollution Research Center SAPRC99 chemical mechanism (Carter, 2000)(Carter, 2000) into: two alkane species, two olefins, and two aromatics. The aVOCs that are considered SOA precursors and their annual global emissions are listed in Table 3.

IVOC and SVOC emissions: The EMAC model considers the contribution of fuel combustion and biomass burning to POA emissions. The AEROCOM database is used for the aerosol emissions from fossil and biofuel burning based on the year 2000 (Dentener et al., 2006). The biomass burning contribution is based on the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED version 3.1) which is monthly resolved and covers the period 1997 2009 (van der Werf et al., 2010). These emission datasets treat POA as non-reactive and non-volatile. However, the POA concentration upon emission is highly sensitive to ambient conditions, including dilution and 26

temperature

(Hildemann et al., 1989; Lipsky and Robinson, 2006). ORACLE accounts for the volatility of POA emissions by distributing the traditional nonvolatile emissions into emissions of SVOCs and IVOCs. SVOCs have saturation concentrations between 0.01 and 100 µg m⁻³ and exist in both the gas and particulate phases under typical ambient conditions. IVOCs have saturation between and concentrations $10^6 \mu g m^3$ and exist in the gas phase. while primary marine organic emissions are not included in this application. The AEROCOM database is used for the aerosol emissions from fossil and biofuel combustion based on the year 2000 (Dentener et al., 2006). The biomass burning contribution is based on the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED version 3.1) which is monthly resolved and covers the period 1997-2009 (van der Werf et al., 2010). These emission datasets treat POA as non-reactive and non-volatile. However, the POA concentration upon emission is highly sensitive to ambient conditions, including dilution and temperature (Hildemann et al., 1989; Lipsky and Robinson, 2006). ORACLE accounts for the volatility of POA emissions by distributing the traditional nonvolatile emissions into emissions of SVOCs and IVOCs. SVOCs have saturation concentrations between 0.01 and 100 µg m⁻³ and exist in both the gas and particulate phases under typical ambient conditions. IVOCs have saturation concentrations between 10³ and 10⁶ µg m⁻³ and exist in the gas phase (Pandis et al., 2013).

For the current application, the model distinguishes <u>SVOCSVOCs</u> from biomass burning and other combustion sources (biofuel and fossil fuel combustion, and other urban sources) using two surrogate species for each emission category with effective saturation concentration at <u>298K298</u> <u>K</u> of $C^* = 0.1$ and 10 µg m⁻³- to cover the volatility range of SVOCs (0.01 to 100 µg m⁻³). For IVOCthe IVOCs two additional surrogate species with $C^* = 10^3$ and 10^5 µg m⁻³ are used for each of the above two source categories- to cover the corresponding volatility range (10^3 to 10^6 µg m⁻³]. The emission factors used for the distribution of traditional POA emissions into SVOCs and IVOCs are based on the work of Tsimpidi et al. (<u>2010)</u>. These emission factors also account for the additional IVOC emissions that were not included in the original emission inventory used by EMAC. Traditional emission inventories account only for a small fraction of the IVOCs since they are based on samples using quartz and/or Teflon filters collected at aerosol concentrations upp

 $10^4 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ (Shrivastava et al., 2008; Robinson et al., 2010). $10^4 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ (Shrivastava et al., 2008; 27

Robinson et al., 2010). The amount of IVOC emissions missing from traditional inventories is estimated to be between 0.25 and 2.8 times the traditional POA emissions (Schauer et al., 1999; 2001; 2002). (Schauer et al., 1999; 2001; 2002). In this study, we assume that the missing IVOC emissions are 1.5 times the traditional emission inventory (Shrivastava et al., 2008; Tsimpidi et al., 2010) (Shrivastava et al., 2008; Tsimpidi et al., 2010) and are assigned to the fourth volatility bin with $C^* = 10^5 \mu g m^{-3}$. Table 4 lists the emission factors for each SVOC and IVOC and their total annual global emissions from fossil fuel and biomass burningfuel combustion use and biomass burning. SVOCs are assumed to be emitted as POA while IVOCs are emitted as POG. Then, they are allowed to partition between the gas and particle phase, which can result in some POG from the evaporation of POA.

3.5 Photochemical Reactions

Photo-oxidation of VOCs: The photochemical oxidation of VOCs that are considered as SOA precursors has been implemented into MECCA. The updated mechanism considers the oxidation of alkenes, aromatics and isoprene by OH, and the oxidation of olefins and monoterpenemonoterpenes by O₃, O, OH, and NO₃. The oxidation products from anthropogenic (alkenes, aromatics, and olefins) and biogenic (monoterpenemonoterpenes and isoprene) VOCs are lumped into two groups: 1) secondary organic aerosols from the oxidation of biogenic VOCs (aSOA-v), and 2) secondary organic aerosols from the oxidation of biogenic VOCs (bSOA-v). These groups are further distributed into volatility bins with logarithmically-spaced effective saturation concentrations (Figure 2). The photo-oxidation of aVOCs and bVOCs is described by the following reactions:

aVOC + Oxidants $\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i aSOG-v_i$	(R1)
$aSOG-v_i \leftrightarrow aSOA-v_i$	(R2)
bVOC + Oxidants $\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i bSOG-v_i$	(R3)
$bSOG-v_i \leftrightarrow bSOA-v_i$	(R4)

where a_i is the aerosol yield, *i* is the corresponding volatility bin, and *n* is the total number of volatility bins. In this application, four volatility bins are considered and the corresponding aerosol yields are those used by Tsimpidi et al. (2010) based on laboratory results from smog-

Formatted: Font color: Black

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Danish (Denmark)
Formatted: Danish (Denmark), Subscript
Formatted: Danish (Denmark)
Formatted: Danish (Denmark)
Formatted: Danish (Denmark)
Field Code Changed
Formatted: Danish (Denmark), Subscript
Formatted: Danish (Denmark)
Formatted: Danish (Denmark)

chamber experiments under high-NO_x conditions for aVOCs and low-NO_x conditions for bVOCs (Table 5). This is based on the assumption that urban areas are characterized by high NO_x conditions

(Tsimpidi et al., 2008; Karl et al., 2009) and forested regions by low NO_* conditions (Pugh et al., 2010; Browne et al., 2013). This is based on the assumption that urban areas, where most of aVOCs are emitted (~90%), are characterized by high- NO_x conditions (Tsimpidi et al., 2008; Karl et al., 2009) and forested regions by low- NO_x conditions (Pugh et al., 2010; Browne et al., 2013).

Chemical Aging Reactions: ORACLE treats all OA compounds as chemically reactive. However, only homogeneous gas-phase aging is considered since it occurs rapidlyis rapid compared to heterogeneous reactions with OH (Donahue et al., 2013). The(Donahue et al., 2013). Smog-chamber results indicate a net average decrease in volatility (and increase in SOA production) of aSOA-v after multigenerational aging (Hildebrandt et al., 2009). In this application, the volatilities of aSOA-v reacting with OH, are reduced by a factor of 10 (Figure 2) with an OHa rate constant of 1×10⁻¹¹ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Tsimpidi et al., 2010)(Tsimpidi et al., 2010) and a 7.5% increase in mass to account for theone added oxygen, assuming a C_{15} precursor. aSOA-v can participate in up to three generations of oxidation reaching a final organic matter-to-/organic carbon ratio (OM/OC) of up to 2.2 (assuming an initial OM/OC of 1.8), which is within the limits (OM/OC:1.8-2.4) of the observed OM/OC of the oxygenated organic aerosols (Aiken et al., 2008). It(Aiken et al., 2008). Existing evidence suggests that the aging of bSOA-v does not result to an important change in its mass concentration (Ng et al., 2006; Donahue et al., 2012). Murphy et al. (2012) attributed this to a balancing of fragmentation and functionalization effects during the photochemical aging of bSOA-v. Therefore, it is assumed here that the chemical aging of bSOA-v does not result in any increase of the SOA concentrations due to a balance between functionalization and fragmentation reactions (Ng et al., 2006; Fountoukis et al., 2011), a net increase of the corresponding SOA concentration. The chemical aging of aSOA-v is described by the following reaction:

aSOG-
$$v_i + OH \rightarrow 1.075 \text{ aSOG-} v_{i-1}$$
 (R5)
aSOG- $v_{i-1} \leftrightarrow aSOA-v_{i-1}$ (R6)

Formatted: Danish (Denmark)
Formatted: Danish (Denmark)

SOA-V_{i-1}

29

The volatilities of SVOCs and IVOCs are reduced by a factor of 100 (Figure 2) as a result of the OH reaction with a rate constant of 2×10^{-11} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Pye and Seinfeld, 2010) and a 15% increase in mass to account for the added oxygens.(Pye and Seinfeld, 2010) and a 15% increase in mass to account for two added oxygens, assuming a C₁₅ precursor. This represents a more aggressive addition of oxygen and reduction in volatility compared to aSOA-v. In the present application, SVOCs and IVOCs can participate in up to three generations of oxidation reaching a final OM/OC of up to 1.8 (assuming an initial OM/OC of 1.2), which is consistent with the observed OM/OC (OM/OC:1.8-2.4) of the oxygenated organic aerosols (Aiken et al., 2008).(Aiken et al., 2008). The oxidation products of SVOCs and IVOCs are called SOA from the oxidation of fuel combustion and biomass burning SVOCs (fSOA-sv and bbSOA-sv, respectively) and SOA from the oxidation of fuel combustion of SVOCs and IVOCs is described by the following reactions:

$fSVOC_i + OH \rightarrow 1.15 fSOG-sv_{i-1}$	(R7)
fSOG-sv _{i-l} ↔ fSOA-sv _i -l	(R8)
fIVOC _i + OH→1.15 fSOG-iv _{i-1}	(R9)
$\mathrm{fSOG}\text{-}\mathrm{iv}_{i\underline{-1}} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{fSOA}\text{-}\mathrm{iv}_{i\underline{-1}}$	(R10)
$bbSVOC_i + OH \rightarrow 1.15 \ bbSOG\text{-}sv_{i\text{-}1}$	(R11)
$bbSOG\text{-}sv_{i\underline{-1}} \leftrightarrow bbSOA\text{-}sv_{i\underline{-1}}$	(R12)
$bbIVOC_i + OH {\rightarrow} 1.15 \ bbSOG\text{-}iv_{i\text{-}1}$	(R13)
bbSOG-iv _{i-1} ↔ bbSOA-iv _i —-1	(R14)

Formatted: Danish (Denmark)
Formatted: Danish (Denmark)

where *i* is the corresponding volatility bin. The products of reactions R7-R14 are allowed to<u>can</u> be further oxidized by OH forming species with lower saturation concentration (i.e.<u>until they</u> reach the lowest volatility bin (i.e., the oxidation of fSOA-sv_i will produce the fSOA-sv_{i-1}). Overall, all OA surrogate species are assumed to have a constant hygroscopicity parameter kappa of 0.14 that remains constant during their atmospheric aging.

3.6 Gas/Aerosol Partitioning

ORACLE calculates the partitioning of organic compounds between the gas and particle phases by assuming bulk equilibrium between the two phases and that all organic compounds form a pseudo-ideal solution. The gas/aerosol partitioning is performed in two steps as follows:

Bulk <u>equillibriumequilibrium</u>: ORACLE calculates the bulk equilibrium gas and aerosol concentrations following the approach of the SOAM II model of Strader et al. <u>(1999). (1999).</u> Considering partitioning of n organic compounds and assuming pseudo-ideal solution, a set of n nonlinear equations is obtained:

$$c_{a,i} = c_{t,i} - x_i c_i^*$$
 for $i = 1, n$

$$x_{i} = \frac{c_{a,i}/M_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{a,j}/M_{j}}$$

where $c_{i,i}$ and $c_{a,i}$ are the total and aerosol-phase concentrations of product *i* in µg m⁻³, respectively, c_i^* is the effective saturation concentration of speciesproduct *i*, x_i is the mole fraction of product *i* in the absorbing organic phase, and M_i is the molecular weight of product *i*. The molecular weights of all POA, SOA-sv, and SOA-iv components are assumed to be 250 g mol⁻¹ while the molecular weights of bSOA-v and aSOA-v are 180 and 150 g mol⁻¹, respectively (Tsimpidi et al., 2010), The temperature dependence of saturation concentrations is described by the Clausius–Clapeyron equation:

$$c_{i}^{*} = c_{i,0}^{*} \frac{T_{0}}{T} \exp\left[\frac{\Delta H}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_{0}} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right],$$

where c_i^* and $c_{i,0}^*$ are the saturation concentrations at temperature *T* and *T*₀, respectively, *R* is the gas constant, and ΔH is the enthalpy of vaporization. In this application a<u>an effective</u> ΔH of 30 kJ mol⁻¹ is used for all aSOA-v and bSOA-v species <u>based on data for α -pinene (Pathak et al., 2007)</u>, and a ΔH of 106, 94, 82, and 70 kJ mol⁻¹ is used for the 10⁻¹, 10¹, 10³, 10⁵ µg m⁻³ volatility bins, respectively, for all organic compounds from fossil-fuel <u>combustion</u> and biomass burning sources (Tsimpidi et al., 2010). based on data for large saturated species commonly found in primary emissions (Donahue et al., 2006). ORACLE solves this equation set, which yields the bulk aerosol composition at equilibrium.

Formatted: Font color: Black

Aerosol size distribution: The aerosol size distribution is determined by distributing the change in aerosol mass after the bulk equilibrium into each size mode using a weighting factor (Pandis et al., 1993). (Pandis et al., 1993). Assuming pseudo-ideal solution, the fraction, $f_{i,k}$, of total flux of species *i* between gas and aerosol phases that condenses onto or evaporates from an aerosol mode *k* is given by:

$$f_{i,k} = \frac{N_k d_k \left(c_i - x_{i,k} c_i^* \right) / (\beta_k + 1)}{\sum_{l=1}^m N_l d_l \left(c_i - x_{i,l} c_i^* \right) / (\beta_l + 1)},$$

where N_k and d_k are the number and mean diameter of particles in the mode k, respectively, m is the total number of aerosol modes, $\beta_k = 2\lambda/\alpha d_k$, α is the aerosol accommodation coefficient, and λ is the mean free path of air molecules (Pandis et al., 1993).(Pandis et al., 1993). The above equation is solved iteratively at each time step and determines the OA composition of each mode. Overall, the user is allowed to use up to 3 hydrophilic modes (Aitken, accumulation, coarse) for the size distribution of all OA surrogate species. In the current application, only the accumulation mode is used to limit the computational cost of the module.

4. Model results

4.1 Total OA concentrations

The model calculated global average surface OA concentration is 1.5 µg m⁻³ (Figure 3).• High OA concentrations are predictedmodeled over regions affected by biomass burning and biogenic VOC emissions: the tropical forests and savannas of South America (Brazil<u>Amazon</u> Basin), Africa (Congo<u>Basin</u>), and Southeast Asia. These areas are characterized by a pronounced annual cycle due to the influence of two distinct seasons, the wet and dry periods. The dry season is characterized by intense wildfires and OA consists mainly of biomass burning OA. The wet season is characterized by low biomass burning emissions; therefore, OA consists mainly of biogenic SOA. Considerable OA concentrations are also predictedcalculated over the industrialized regions of the Northern Hemisphere (i.e., China, Europe, and Eastern US), where strong fossil and biofuel combustion related sources are located. The model predicts<u>simulates</u> a continental background OA concentration of 1-2 µg Formatted: Indent: Left: 5 mm, First line: 5 mm, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers m⁻³, which is mainly formed by the condensation of oxidized low volatility organic gases. These gases are formed from the photochemical aging of VOCs, IVOCs and SVOCs, which have been emitted in the gas phase and have been efficiently transported remote from their sources. The effects of trade wind transport of bbOA off the subtropical west coasts of Africa and America are discernible in Figure 3. In these regions, the OA concentration is increased by long-range transport of continental air masses to adjacent ocean areas.

4.2 Model performance evaluation

Figure 4 shows the comparison of model predictions of OA concentration againstcalculated OA concentrations with measurements from the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme

(EMEP; <u>http://nilu.no/projects/ccc/emepdata.html1onlinedata/pm/</u>) and the Interagency Monitoring Visual (IMPROVE; of protected Environments http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/improve_/Data/IMPROVE/improve_data.htm), as well as-against short-term measurement data collected over East Asia as summarized by Jo et al. (2013). Each point of the scatter plots represents a monthly averagedaverage value at a particular monitoring station. The mean bias (MB), mean absolute gross error (MAGE), normalized mean bias (NMB), normalized mean error (NME), and the root mean square error (RMSE) were also calculated (Table 6) to assess the model performance:

$$MAGE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |P_i - O_i| \qquad MB = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)$$

$$NME = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} |P_i - O_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} O_i} \qquad NMB = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} O_i}$$

$$RMSE = \left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where P_i is the predicted value of the modeled OA mass, O_i is the observed value of OA at the same monthly averaged time, and N is the total number of data points used for the comparison.

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt Field Code Changed NME (in %) and MAGE (in μ g m⁻³) provide an assessment of the overall discrepancy between model predictions and observations, while NMB (in %) and MB (in μ g m⁻³) are indicative of systematic errors. RMSE (in μ g m⁻³) incorporates both the variance of the prediction and its bias. Both NME and MAGE inherently include the corresponding bias, which is the reason why their magnitude is equal or larger than NMB and MB_x respectively. For an unbiased prediction<u>calculation</u>, NME and MAGE express the variance. When NME and NMB or MAGE and MB, respectively, are close to each other in magnitude, the discrepancy is explained as a systematic bias rather than scatter. When the magnitude of NME/MAGE is larger than NMB/MB, part of the discrepancy between predictions and observations is explained as scatter.

The model, despite its coarse resolution, captures relative well the monthly average concentrations of OA over the USA, Europe, and Asia (Table 6). This is rather encouraging given the expected uncertainties in the emission inventory and in a number of parameters used by the model such as the emission fractions for POA from fossil-fuel combustion and biomass burning, the reaction rates and aerosol yields that accompany the formation of SOA from SVOCs, IVOCs, and VOCs, etc. In addition, the fact that the formation of SOA from aqueousphase reactions and heterogeneous reactions, including processes, like oligomerization, have not been accounted for, adds to uncertainty, the model bias. However, despite the above weaknessesthese limitations, model predictions formodeled OA the total concentrationconcentrations are generally in reasonable agreement with the measurements. More precisely, over the USA, the model overpredicts overestimates the OA with a NMB of 57%. The high NME (89%) indicates that part of the discrepancy between predictionsmodel results and observations is explained as scatter, which is visible in Figure 4a. Over Europe, the model underpredictsoverestimates OA with an NMB of -22%. However, this underprediction is mostly related to the measurement station Ispra, located in a topographically pronounced part of Italy. The measurements of OA in the station of Ispra, Italy, are systematically high (up to 22 µg m⁻³) and are not captured by the model due to its low spatial resolution (Figure 4b). Due to the small number of available measurement siteshave been excluded from the EMEP network, this discrepancy over Ispra significantly affects the statistics of the statistical analysis. The model evaluation over Europe. Similarly, performs worst over East Asia, the model underpredicts (RMSE = 5.5) and underestimates OA concentrations with an NMB of -36% since it cannot capture the high values measured over Beijing and Shijiazhuang (up to 32 μ g m⁻³) <u>possibly</u> due to its limited spatial resolution.

In contrast to highly populated areas, long-term observations of OA over forests are unavailable, therefore we have collected OA data measured during the short-term field campaigns of DABEX, DODO, and AMMA over the subtropical West Africa (Capes et al., 2008; Capes et al., 2009), (Capes et al., 2008; Capes et al., 2009), AMAZE-08 and SAMBBA over the Amazonian rainforest (Chen et al., 2009; Brito et al., 2014); (Chen et al., 2009; Brito et al., 2014), and measurement data collected over the Canadian boreal forest (Schwartz et al., 2010; Takahama et al., 2011). (Schwartz et al., 2010; Takahama et al., 2011). Capes et al. (2008) performed aircraft measurements during the DABEX and DODO field experiments (January and February of 2006) over the subtropical West Africa at altitudes up to 4000 m. This period was characterized by intense agricultural fires in the sub-Sahelian part of West Africa resulting in high **OA** concentrations (4-16 µg m⁻³). EMAC agrees reasonably well with the observations since the predicted calculated OA concentrations during January and February of 2006 range between 2 and 12.5 μ g m⁻³, mainly due to high biomass burning emissions over the area. During the wet season of the same year (July and August 2006), Capes et al. (2009) carried out aircraft measurements over the subtropical West Africa in the frame of the AMMA project. This period is characterized by low biomass burning emissions; therefore, OA consists according to EMAC mainly of biogenic SOA. The observed median concentration is 1.1 µg m⁻³ while EMAC predictscalculates an average value of 2.7 µg m⁻³. In the Northwestern Amazon basin, Chen et al. (2009) reported OA concentrations of $0.6 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ and $0.9 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ on average, during the wet season of 2008 (February and March) as part of the AMAZE-08 experiment. The corresponding-predicted average OA concentration by EMAC is 2.7 µg m⁻³. During the dry season (September 2012), Brito et al. (2014) reported an average OA concentration of 13.7 µg m⁻³ in the Southwestern Amazon basin during the SAMBBA field experiment. Since this value is mostly affected by biomass burning emissions (which vary significantly interannually) and the EMAC model applied emissions during the years 2005-2009, a direct comparison between observations and measurements is not possible. However, EMAC captures the increased concentrations during the dry seasons since OA is predicted to varyvaries between 9.8 µg m⁻³ and

30 µg m⁻³ over the same area during the months of September. Finally, Schwartz et al. (2010) and Takahama et al. (2011) performed measurements of OA over the boreal forest of British Columbia, Canada during May-June 2008 and March-September 2009, respectively. The reported values were 1.3 µg m⁻³ (May-June 2008), 0.6 µg m⁻³ (March-April 2009), and 4.1 µg m⁻³ ³ (May-September 2009). The predicted-OA concentrations <u>calculated</u> by EMAC fit well with this range of observations with values of 1.4 μ g m⁻³, 0.9 μ g m⁻³, and 4.5 μ g m⁻³, respectively.

4.3 Primary Organic Aerosol

POA is the fraction of the organic emissions that is emitted and contained in the aerosol phase and has not undergone chemical reactions. POA in the present application is divided into fPOA and bbPOA depending on its source as described in section 3.3. fPOA and bbPOA have high concentrations close to their sources; further downwind the concentrations rapidly decrease due to dilution and evaporation (Figure 5).

fPOA: The global and land average surface concentration concentrations of fPOA is are 0.11 μg

(and 0.22 µg m⁻³, respectively (Table 7; Figure 5a). Fossil fuels and biofuels are used in the industrial, residential, and transport sectors, therefore the fPOA concentration peaks over densely populated and highly industrialized areas. In fact, the highest fPOA concentration is predictedcalculated over Eastern China (up to 8 µg m⁻³ over Beijing), India and Bangladesh (1-4 µg m⁻³). In Europe the highest concentration is predicted found over Eastern European countries (1-2 µg m⁻³ over Poland and Romania), Central Europe (0.5-1 µg m⁻³ over the greater Paris and the Rhine-Ruhr area areas), and in the vicinity of Moscow (0.5-1 µg m⁻³). Over Africa, fPOA concentrations peak over the western part of the continent (1-3 µg m⁻³ over Nigeria), while they are it is also high over the eities greater areas of Addis Ababa, Kampala, and Johannesburg $(0.5-1.5 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$. Over North America, the highest fPOA levels are predicted overmodeled around Los Angeles (0.5-1 μ g m⁻³), the northeastern USA (0.5-1.5 μ g m⁻³), and the Mexico City

metropolitan area (0.5-1 μ g m⁻³), while over South America, fPOA is high over the greater area

m⁻³
of	Rio

d	le	2

Janeiro

$(0.5-1 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}).$

bbPOA: The global <u>and land</u> average surface <u>concentrationconcentrations</u> of bbPOA <u>isare</u> 0.12 μg m⁻³ (and 0.39 μg m⁻³, respectively (Table 7; Figure 5b). The bbPOA levels are affected by emissions from forest, woodland, peatland, and savannah fires as well as agricultural waste burning. Therefore, high concentrations are predicted modeled over the tropical rainforest and savannas in the Amazon and Congo <u>basinsBasins</u> (5-10 μg m⁻³), Southeast Asia (3-6 μg m⁻³), and the boreal forests of Alaska, Canada, and Russia. <u>During the dry season</u>, bbPOA concentrations increase significantly (e.g., 10-50 μg m⁻³ during January over the Congo Basin) while during the wet season they are much lower (e.g., 0.5-3 μg m⁻³ during July over the Congo Basin).

4.4 Secondary Organic Aerosols Aerosol from SVOCs

SVOCs are emitted in both the aerosol and gas phasesphase and can be transferred from phase to phase due to cooling and dilution as the air masses travel from their sources. SVOCs in the gas phase can be oxidized and possibly re-condense to the aerosol phase forming secondary organic aerosols (SOA-sv). In ORACLE₇ SOA-sv is subdivided into fSOA-sv and bbSOA-sv depending on its source as described in section 3.5. fSOA-sv and bbSOA-sv concentrations are high according to our simulation downwind of polluted urban areas and megacities and the major rainforests (Figure 6). However, as most of the SVOCs are in the aerosol phase after emissions (as POA) and remain there without undergoing chemical reactions during their atmospheric lifetime, <u>ffSOAfSOA</u>-sv and bbSOA-sv concentrations are significantly lower compared to the corresponding fPOA and bbPOA concentrations (Figures 5, 6).

fSOA-sv: The global and land average surface concentration concentrations of fSOA-sv is are 0.03 µg m⁻³ (and 0.04 µg m⁻³, respectively (Table 7; Figure 6a). fSOA-sv disperses over the continents but remains at low levels in most cases (lower than 0.2 µg m⁻³). The highest concentrations of fSOA-sv are predicted modeled over India and Bangladesh (0.3-0.7 µg m⁻³), and in the greater Beijing area and Nigeria (0.1-0.5 µg m⁻³).

bbSOA-sv: The domainglobal and land average surface eoncentration<u>concentrations</u> of bbSOA-sv isare 0.05 μg m⁻³ (and 0.07 μg m⁻³, respectively (Table 7; Figure 6b). bbSOA-sv has

higher concentrations in the Southern Hemisphere where the main rainforests of the world are located (Amazon, Congo, Basin, part of SE Asia) and the meteorological conditions favor the partition of SVOC emissions into the gas phase where they are subject to oxidation. The highest bbSOA-sv concentrations (up to 1 μ g m⁻³) are predicted<u>calculated</u> over the west coast of Central Africa, affected by the biomass burning emissions from the Congo Basin rainforest. These emissions are the source of the relatively high bbSOA-sv concentrations (0.3-0.7 μ g m⁻³) that are predicted<u>calculated</u> over the Southern Atlantic Ocean. The-predicted concentration of bbSOA-sv over the Amazon basin is up to 0.7 μ g m⁻³. The atmosphere over the Pacific and Indian Oceans is also affected by biomass burning emissions from the Amazon rainforest and the rainforests of southeastern Asia, respectively (bbSOA-sv concentration is 0.1-0.3 μ g m⁻³). Over the boreal forests, bbSOA-sv reaches only-low levels (less than 0.1 μ g m⁻³) as most of the biomass burning SVOCs are emitted directly into the aerosol phase forming bbPOA.

4.5 Secondary Organic Aerosols Aerosol from IVOCs

IVOCs are emitted in the gas phase where they react with OH-and produce SOA iv, which is, becoming less volatile and more chemically processed-, and condense to the aerosol phase to produce secondary organic aerosol-(SOA-iv). SOA-iv is subdivided into fSOA-iv and bbSOA-iv depending on the source as described in section 3.5. In the current application, IVOC emissions are assumed to be 4 times higher than of SVOC (Table 4). Therefore, IVOCs are a significant source of SOA and the SOA-iv concentration by far exceeds that of SOA-sv and POA (Figures 5-7). Furthermore, the effective long-range transport of IVOCs results in the formation of significant SOA-iv amounts far from the sources (Figure 7remote from the sources (Figure 7). This is consistent with several field campaigns over megacities which have shown that the concentration of primary combustion organic particles decreases with distance from the urban source areas due to evaporation and deposition, remaining at low levels in surrounding areas, while secondary oxygenated and photo-chemically processed organics remain in high concentrations in suburban and rural sites (Aiken et al., 2009; Hildebrandt et al., 2010; Morgan et al., 2010).

Formatted: English (United States)

fSOA-iv: The global <u>and land</u> average surface <u>concentrationconcentrations</u> of fSOA-iv <u>isare</u> 0.22 μg m⁻³ (and 0.35 μg m⁻³, respectively (Table 7; Figure 7a). This is two times higher than the corresponding average surface concentration of fPOA, even <u>ifthough</u> the peak concentrations of 38

fSOA-iv and fPOA are similar (7 µg m⁻³ over Bangladesh and 8 µg m⁻³ over the greater Beijing area, respectively). This difference indicates that fSOA-iv is more regionally distributed compared to fPOA, due to the effects of long-range transport of IVOC emissions on fSOA-iv formation. The model predictscalculates a continental background of around 0.5 µg m⁻³ for fSOA-iv. The highest fSOA-iv concentrations are predictedmodeled over India and Bangladesh (3-7 μg m⁻³), eastern China (1-4 μg m⁻³) and western Africa (1-4 μg m⁻³). The Balkan Peninsula and eastern Mediterranean are strongly influenced by long-range transport of IVOC emissions from Eastern Europe (the fSOA-iv concentration is 0.5-1 µg m⁻³). fSOA-iv concentrations are also significant over the Arabian Peninsula (around 1 µg m⁻³), eastern and South Africa (0.5-1 µg m⁻³). Over North America fSOA-iv concentrations are relatively high over a large area covering the eastern USA, the Californian Peninsula and North Mexico (0.5-1 µg m⁻³), while over South America high fSOA-iv concentrations are predicted occur mostly over Rio de Janeiro (0.5-1 µg m⁻³). Long-range transport is also important for the predicted modeled fSOA-iv concentrations over the oceans. This is mostly evident over the Arabian Sea where the fSOA-iv concentration is 1-2 µg m⁻³, due mainly to long-range transport of fSOA-iv from India. The Atlantic Ocean atmosphere is influenced by IVOC emissions from western Africa and the fSOA-iv concentration over this region is predicted estimated at 0.5-1 µg m⁻³. Over the Pacific Ocean fSOA-iv is sensitive to long-range transport from the Los Angeles and Mexico City areas and from Beijing and other big cities in eastern China, resulting in significant concentrations off the west coast of California (up to 0.5-1 μ g m⁻³) and the Pacific Rim (up to 0.5-1.5 μ g m⁻³).

bbSOA-iv: The predicted modeled global and land average surface concentration concentrations of bbSOA-iv is are 0.42 μg m⁻³ (and 0.74 μg m⁻³, respectively (Table 7: Figure 7b). Similar to fSOA-iv, bbSOA-iv is two times higher than bbPOA and disperses over a wide area covering most of South America, Central and South Africa, Eastern Russia, Southeastern Asia and Indonesia due to emissinsemissions from the major rainforests and savannas in the Southern Hemisphere, the tropics and the boreal forests in the Northern Hemisphere. The highest bbSOA-iv concentrations are predicted modeled over the Amazon and Congo BasinsBasin rainforests (5-10 µg m⁻³). bbSOA-iv concentrations are also high over southeastern Asia (up to 1-5 µg m⁻³) and the boreal forests of Alaska, Canada, and Russia (0.5-1.5 µg m⁻³). The subtropical west coasts of Africa, South America and Indonesia are strongly influenced by long-range transport of bbSOA-iv. The strongest transport effect is 39 predicted<u>expected</u> over the Atlantic Ocean, where biomass burning IVOC emissions can travel thousands of kilometers from the sources (e.g., the Congo Basin<u>rainforest</u>), resulting in significant bbSOA-iv concentrations (2-6 µg m⁻³).

4.6 Secondary Organic Aerosols Aerosol from VOCs

SOA-v is formed from the oxidation of biogenic and anthropogenic VOCs. Global VOC emissions are dominated by biogenic compounds from vegetated areas (Kanakidou et al., 2005). (Kanakidou et al., 2005). However, anthropogenic VOCs, emitted in urban areas, can also contribute significantly to SOA formation, especially considering the aging reactions of aSOA-v.

bSOA-v: The global and land_average surface concentration-concentrations_of bSOA-v isare 0.28 µg m⁻³ (Figure 8a). EMAC predicts thecalculates highest bSOA-v concentrations over the Amazon basinBasin rainforest (5-10 µg m⁻³) mostly due to the oxidation of isoprene. Over the Congo Basin_rainforest, where isoprene emissions are similar to monoterpene emissions, the bSOA-v average surface concentration is 3-6 µg m⁻³. bSOA-v concentrations are also relatively high over Southeast Asia (2-4 µg m⁻³), Southeast USA and Australia (2-5 µg m⁻³), and Europe (0.5-1.5 µg m⁻³).

aSOA-v: The global <u>and land</u> average surface <u>concentrationconcentrations</u> of aSOA-v isare 0.23 μ g m⁻³ (Figure 8b). Photochemical aging adds significantly to aSOA-v since only 10% of the <u>predictedmodeled</u> aSOA-v is formed from the first photooxidation step of aSOA-v. The remainder 90% is formed through the continued oxidation of aSOA-v. The aggressive aging of aSOA-v results in a wider distribution of aSOA-v than bSOA-v and in a significant contribution of aSOA-v to the total SOA-v (45%). The aSOA-v is <u>predictedmodeled</u> to have a continental background of around 0.5 μ g m⁻³ and relatively high concentrations in the vicinity of urban areas. The highest concentrations are predicted<u>occur</u> over India-and, Bangladesh and the Persian Gulf (2-3 μ g m⁻³). Over India, aromatics dominate the aVOC emissions (57%), followed by alkanes (29%),%) and olefines (14%). Over the Persian Gulf region, alkenes are the dominant aVOC (65%), followed by aromatics (33%),%) and olefines (2%). However, these numbers do not proportionally reflect the VOC contributions to the aSOA-v formation since different types of aVOC have different aerosol yields. Over Europe, the highest aSOA-v concentrations occur over the Mediterranean Basin (0.5-1 μ g m⁻³) due to the long-range transport of 40

aSOA-v from Central European emissions. Over the USA, aSOA-v concentrations are relatively high over California and the eastern USA (around 1 μ g m⁻³).

4.7 Chemical composition of OA

Table 7 summarizes the predicted modeled global average chemical composition of OA at the surface. According to these results, most Most of OA is SOA (82%) formed from the oxidation of organic compounds in the gas phase. SOA consistconsists of 52% SOA-iv, 42% SOA-v, and 6% SOA-sv. The important contribution of SOA-iv to the overall SOA mass is attributed to the fact that high amounts of fossilstrong fuel combustion related and biomass burning IVOC emissions react with atmospheric oxidants to form low volatility products that condense into the particle phase as SOA-iv. This result further emphasizes the importance of the oxidation of IVOCs as an additional source of OA and implies that global and regional models that do not account for IVOCs could underestimate OA formation by as much as by 40%. In addition, the model results highlight the importance of anthropogenic emissions to the global OA formation. In particular, it predictscalculates that one third of OA originates from anthropogenic sources. Even if this fraction may be overestimated due to the aggressive aging of IVOCs and aVOCs applied in this study, it corroborates the findings of recent studies that highlight the potentially large impact of anthropogenic OA, especially SOA, on the global aerosol load (Carslaw et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013).(Spracklen et al., 2011; Carslaw et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013).

At higher altitudes the production of SOA is enhanced since organic gases arecan be efficiently transported vertically and ean be-oxidized forming lower volatilevolatility SOA (Figure 9). In addition, the produced SOA inat higher altitudes has a relatively long lifetime since it is less subjected to wet and dry deposition. This results in a higher fraction of SOA in total OA higher-upin the free troposphere than at the surface (92% compared to 82% at the surface). Furthermore, in contrast to the surface OA composition, the fraction of aSOA-v in the free troposphere is predicted<u>calculated</u> to be higher than bSOA-v since the latter is not allowed to participate in additional photochemical reactions (Table 7). The predicted<u>modeled</u> tropospheric burden of POA is 0.23 Tg, the sum of SOA-sv and SOA-iv is 1.57 Tg, and SOA-v is 1.2 Tg. Pye and Seinfeld (2010) predicted<u>estimated</u> 0.03 Tg of POA-, 0.90 Tg of SOA-sv and

SOA-iv, and 0.71 Tg of SOA-v, while Jathar et al. (2011) predicted<u>found</u> 0.09 Tg of POA, 1.25 Tg of SOA-sv and SOA-iv, and 1.02 Tg of SOA-v. Farina et al. (2010) and Jo et al. (2013) did not account for SOA-sv and SOA-iv and predicted<u>calculated</u> 1.1 Tg and 0.8 Tg of POA, respectively, and 0.98 Tg and 1.16 Tg of SOA-v, respectively.

5. Conclusions

A new module describing the organic composition of aerosols and <u>itsthe</u> evolution in the atmosphere has been developed and implemented into the <u>EMAC</u>_global <u>EMAC</u>_model. ORACLE treats fossil fuel, biofuel and biomass burning related aerosol emissions as semi-volatile and chemically reactive, and also accounts for the emissions and oxidation of IVOCs as an additionally important source of OA. Furthermore, it considers the formation of SOA from the oxidation of anthropogenic and biogenic VOC precursors. The model employs the volatility basis frameworkset theory to simulate the emissions, chemical reactions and phase partitioning of all OA components.

The comparison of the model results with measured ambient OA mass concentrations illustrates that by treating <u>fossil-fuel_combustion</u> and biomass burning aerosol emissions as semi-volatile and reactive, and accounting for emissions and oxidation of IVOCs and traditional VOCs, the model realistically <u>predictsrepresents</u> the sources, chemistry and properties of OA, and reproduces the measured concentrations of OA over urban and forested areas. In contrast to previous CCMs that treat POA as non-volatile and non-reactive, the ORACLE module <u>predictscalculates</u> that most of the OA is SOA (82%). Furthermore, approximately one third of OA is <u>predictedestimated</u> to originate from anthropogenic sources. Such high anthropogenic OA fractions challenge <u>some previous the</u> results <u>suggesting of the traditional CCMs and add to the most recent findings</u> that <u>suggest a strong contribution of</u> anthropogenic sources do not strongly contribute to global OA concentrations.

On average, model calculated OA at the surface consists of 18% POA, 5% SOA-sv, 43% SOA-iv, and 34% SOA-v. The tropospheric burdens of OA components are predicted<u>modeled</u> to be 0.23 Tg POA, 0.16 Tg SOA-sv, 1.41 Tg SOA-iv and 1.2 Tg SOA-v. POA concentrations are particularly high close to biomass burning regions (forest and savannah fires) and <u>fossil-fuel relatedcombustion dominated</u> sources (urban and industrial regions), though decrease rapidly during atmospheric transport. SOA-sv concentrations are four to five times

lower than POA concentrations since most of the SVOCs are emitted directly in the aerosol phase. IVOCs, on the other hand, are <u>predictedexpected</u> to be important SOA precursors, resulting in high SOA-iv concentrations that are widely dispersed over the continents and the tropical oceans, being subject to the long-range transport of IVOC emissions. SOA-v accounts for 34% of the total SOA concentration and originates from biogenic sources (55%) and anthropogenic sources (45%). In the current application, only anthropogenic SOA-v washas been allowed to participate in aging reactions, which considerably increases the <u>predicted_modeled</u> aSOA-v concentration.

The ORACLE module subdivides OA into several compounds allowing the quantification of primary versus secondary as well as biogenic versus anthropogenic contributions to OA concentrations. Such fundamental information can shed light on long-term changes in OA abundance, and hence project the effects of OA on future air quality and climate. ORACLE is well suited for studying the climatic impact of OA as it captures the dynamic aging of OA and its atmospheric evolution by becoming increasingly oxidized, less volatile, and more hygroscopic. Future applications therefore will also include the effects on cloud condensation nuclei and indirect aerosol effects on climate. Furthermore, the detailed composition of OA, computed by ORACLE, can be used to directly compare model predictions with the latest aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS) factor analysis data, which is planned as a next application.

Overall, ORACLE is a flexible module that efficiently describes the organic aerosol composition and <u>chemical</u> evolution in the atmosphere. Sensitivity studies of the influence of several parameters (such as reaction constants, aerosol yields, SVOC and IVOC emission fractions) on the model <u>predictionscalculations</u> will be conducted in a <u>planned</u> future study and <u>will be</u>-used, along with AMS factor analysis data <u>fromtaken</u> around the world, to optimize the model configuration<u>in</u> order to further improve the estimates of OA concentrations and investigate their role in air quality and climate.

6.

Code Availability

The complete ORACLE code can be obtained upon request by emailing the first author. To use ORACLE as part of EMAC, please first apply for an ECHAM5 and a MESSy license. The GCM ECHAM5 has been developed at the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology in Hamburg (see: http://www.mpimet.mpg.de/en/wissenschaft/modelle/echam/echam5.html). The Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy) is continuously further developed and applied by a consortium of institutions, initiated by the Max Planck Institute for Chemistry. The usage of MESSy and access to the source code is licensed to all affiliates of institutions that are members of the MESSy Consortium. Institutions can be a member of the MESSy Consortium by signing the MESSy Memorandum of Understanding. More information can be found on the MESSy Consortium Website (http://www.messy-interface.org).

Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement n° 226144. V.A. Karydis acknowledges support from a FP7 Marie Curie Career Integration Grant (project reference 618349).

7. References

- Aiken, A. C., Decarlo, P. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Ziemann, P. J., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U., and Jimenez, J. L.: O/C and OM/OC ratios of primary, secondary, and ambient organic aerosols with high resolution time of flight aerosol mass spectrometry, Environmen. Sci. & Technol., 42, 4478-4485, 2008.
- Athanasopoulou, E., Vogel, H., Vogel, B., Tsimpidi, A. P., Pandis, S. N., Knote, C., and Fountoukis, C.: Modeling the meteorological and chemical effects of secondary organic aerosols during an EUCAARI campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 625–645, 2013.
- Bergstrom, R., van der Gon, H. A. C. D., Prevot, A. S. H., Yttri, K. E., and Simpson, D.: Modelling of organic aerosols over Europe (2002-2007) using a volatility basis set (VBS) framework: application of different assumptions regarding the formation of secondary organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8499-8527, 2012.
- Brito, J., Rizzo, L. V., Morgan, W. T., Coe, H., Johnson, B., Haywood, J., Longo, K., Freitas, S., Andreae, M. O., and Artaxo, P.: Ground based aerosol characterization during the South

American Biomass Burning Analysis (SAMBBA) field experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 12279-12322, 2014.

- Browne, E. C., Min, K. E., Wooldridge, P. J., Apel, E., Blake, D. R., Brune, W. H., Cantrell, C. A., Cubison, M. J., Diskin, G. S., Jimenez, J. L., Weinheimer, A. J., Wennberg, P. O., Wisthaler, A., and Cohen, R. C.: Observations of total RONO2 over the boreal forest: NOx sinks and HNO3 sources, Atmos. Chem. and Phys., 13, 4543 4562, 2013.
- Capes, G., Johnson, B., McFiggans, G., Williams, P. I., Haywood, J., and Coe, H.: Aging of biomass burning acrosols over West Africa: Aircraft measurements of chemical composition, microphysical properties, and emission ratios, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113, doi: 10.1029/2008jd009845, 2008.
- Capes, G., Murphy, J. G., Reeves, C. E., McQuaid, J. B., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J. R., Crosier, J., Williams, P. I., and Coe, H.: Secondary organic aerosol from biogenic VOCs over West Africa during AMMA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3841–3850, 2009.
- Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Mann, G. W., and Pringle, K. J.: The magnitude and sources of uncertainty in global aerosol, Faraday Discuss., 165, 495-512, 2013.
- Carter, W. P. L.: Documentation of the SAPRC-99 chemical mechanism for VOC reactivity assessment., Report to the California air resources board. Available at: http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#sapre99, 2000.
- Chen, Q., Farmer, D. K., Schneider, J., Zorn, S. R., Heald, C. L., Karl, T. G., Guenther, A., Allan, J. D., Robinson, N., Coe, H., Kimmel, J. R., Pauliquevis, T., Borrmann, S., Poeschl, U., Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., Jimenez, J. L., and Martin, S. T.: Mass spectral characterization of submicron biogenic organic particles in the Amazon Basin, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, doi: 10.1029/2009gl039880, 2009.
- Clarke, L., Edmonds, J., Jacoby, H., Pitcher, H., Reilly, J., and Richels, R.: Scenarios of greenhouse gas emissions and atmospheric concentrations (Part A) and review of integrated scenario development and application (Part B). A report by the U.S. climate change science program and the subcommittee on global change research, 2007.
- de Meij, A., Pozzer, A., Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., and Lelieveld, J.: EMAC model evaluation and analysis of atmospheric aerosol properties and distribution with a focus on the Mediterranean region, Atmos. Res., 114, 38-69, 2012.
- Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Hoelzemann, J. J., Ito, A., Marelli, L., Penner, J. E., Putaud, J. P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., van der Werf, G. R., and Wilson, J.: Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750 prescribed data sets for AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4321–4344, 2006.
- Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Coupled partitioning, dilution, and chemical aging of semivolatile organics, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2635-2643, 2006.
- Donahue, N. M., Chuang, W., Epstein, S. A., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Robinson, A. L., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Why do organic aerosols exist? Understanding aerosol lifetimes using the two-dimensional volatility basis set, Environ. Chem., 10, 151–157, 2013.
- Farina, S. C., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Modeling global secondary organic aerosol formation and processing with the volatility basis set: Implications for anthropogenic secondary organic aerosol, J. Geophys.l Res. Atmos., 115, doi: 10.1029/2009jd013046, 2010.

- Fountoukis, C., Racherla, P. N., van der Gon, H. A. C. D., Polymeneas, P., Charalampidis, P. E., Pilinis, C., Wiedensohler, A., Dall'Osto, M., O'Dowd, C., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of a three-dimensional chemical transport model (PMCAMx) in the European domain during the EUCAARI May 2008 campaign, Atmos. Chem. and Phys., 11, 10331-10347, 2011.
- Fountoukis, C., Megaritis, A. G., Skyllakou, K., Charalampidis, P. E., Pilinis, C., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Crippa, M., Canonaco, F., Mohr, C., Prévôt, A. S. H., Allan, J. D., Poulain, L., Petäjä, T., Tiitta, P., Carbone, S., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Nemitz, E., O'Dowd, C., Swietlicki, E., and Pandis, S. N.: Organic aerosol concentration and composition over Europe: insights from comparison of regional model predictions with aerosol mass spectrometer factor analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 7597-7635, 2014.
- Grieshop, A. P., Logue, J. M., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Laboratory investigation of photochemical oxidation of organic aerosol from wood fires 1: measurement and simulation of organic aerosol evolution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1263–1277, 2009.
- Heald, C. L., Jacob, D. J., Park, R. J., Russell, L. M., Huebert, B. J., Seinfeld, J. H., Liao, H., and Weber, R. J.: A large organic aerosol source in the free troposphere missing from current models, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, doi: L1880910.1029/2005gl023831, 2005.
- Hennigan, C. J., Miracolo, M. A., Engelhart, G. J., May, A. A., Presto, A. A., Lee, T., Sullivan, A. P., McMeeking, G. R., Coe, H., Wold, C. E., Hao, W. M., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J., Schichtel, B. A., Collett, J. L., Jr., Kreidenweis, S. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Chemical and physical transformations of organic aerosol from the photo-oxidation of open biomass burning emissions in an environmental chamber, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7669-7686, 2011.
- Hildemann, L. M., Cass, G. R., and Markowski, G. R.: A dilution stack sampler for collection of organic aerosol emissions Desigh, characterization and filed-tests, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 10, 193–204, 1989.
- Hodzic, A., Jimenez, J. L., Madronich, S., Canagaratna, M. R., DeCarlo, P. F., Kleinman, L., and Fast, J.: Modeling organic aerosols in a megacity: potential contribution of semi-volatile and intermediate volatility primary organic compounds to secondary organic aerosol formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5491-5514, 2010.
- IPCC: (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change): The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, G. K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Bosehung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P.M. Midgley (eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- Jathar, S. H., Farina, S. C., Robinson, A. L., and Adams, P. J.: The influence of semi-volatile and reactive primary emissions on the abundance and properties of global organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7727-7746, 2011.
- Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., 46

Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U., and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of organic aerosols in the atmosphere, Science, 326, 1525–1529, 2009.

- Jo, D. S., Park, R. J., Kim, M. J., and Spracklen, D. V.: Effects of chemical aging on global secondary organic aerosol using the volatility basis set approach, Atmos. Environ., 81, 230-244, 2013.
- Jöckel, P., Sander, R., Kerkweg, A., Tost, H., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: The Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy) – a new approach towards earth system modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 433–444, 2005.
- Jöckel, P., Tost, H., Pozzer, A., Bruehl, C., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Hoor, P., Kerkweg, A., Lawrence, M. G., Sander, R., Steil, B., Stiller, G., Tanarhte, M., Taraborrelli, D., Van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: The atmospheric chemistry general circulation model ECHAM5/MESSy1: consistent simulation of ozone from the surface to the mesosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5067-5104, 2006.
- Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Pozzer, A., Sander, R., Tost, H., Riede, H., Baumgaertner, A., Gromov, S., and Kern, B.: Development cycle 2 of the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy2), Geoscientific Model Development, 3, 717-752, 2010.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Faechini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053-1123, 2005.
- Karl, M., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., and Dentener, F.: Formation of secondary organic aerosol from isoprene oxidation over Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7003-7030, 2009.
- Kerkweg, A., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Pozzer, A., Tost, H., and Jöckel, P.: Technical Note: An implementation of the dry removal processes DRY DEPosition and SEDImentation in the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4617–4632, 2006.
- Kroll, J. H., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: Formation and evolution of low-volatility organics in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 42, 3593-3624, 2008.
- Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating secondary organic aerosol formation using the volatility basis set approach in a chemical transport model, Atmos. Environ., 42, 7439-7451, 2008.
- Lauer, A., Eyring, V., Hendricks, J., Joeckel, P., and Lohmann, U.: Global model simulations of the impact of ocean-going ships on aerosols, clouds, and the radiation budget, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5061-5079, 2007.
- Lee, L. A., Pringle, K. J., Reddington, C. L., Mann, G. W., Stier, P., Spracklen, D. V., Pierce, J. R., and Carslaw, K. S.: The magnitude and causes of uncertainty in global model simulations of cloud condensation nuclei, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8879-8914, 2013.
- Lipsky, E. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Effects of dilution on fine particle mass and partitioning of semivolatile organics in diesel exhaust and wood smoke, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 155-162, 2006.
- Lohmann, U., Stier, P., Hoose, C., Ferrachat, S., Kloster, S., Roeckner, E., and Zhang, J.: Cloud microphysics and aerosol indirect effects in the global climate model ECHAM5-HAM, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3425-3446, 2007.

- May, A. A., Saleh, R., Hennigan, C. J., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Volatility of organic molecular markers used for source apportionment analysis: measurements and implications for atmospheric lifetime, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 12435-12444, 2012.
- Miracolo, M. A., Hennigan, C. J., Ranjan, M., Nguyen, N. T., Gordon, T. D., Lipsky, E. M., Presto, A. A., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Secondary aerosol formation from photochemical aging of aircraft exhaust in a smog chamber, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4135-4147, 2011.
- Murphy, B. N., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating the formation of semivolatile primary and secondary organic aerosol in a regional chemical transport model, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 4722-4728, 2009.
- Murphy, B. N., Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., and Pandis, S. N.: A naming convention for atmospheric organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5825–5839, 2014.
- Ng, N. L., Kroll, J. H., Keywood, M. D., Bahreini, R., Varutbangkul, V., Flagan, R. C., Seinfeld, J. H., Lee, A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Contribution of first versus second generation products to secondary organic aerosols formed in the oxidation of biogenic hydrocarbons, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2283–2297, 2006.
- Pandis, S. N., Wexler, A. S., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation and transport. 2. Predicting the ambient secondary organic aerosol-size distribution, Atmos. Environ. A Gen., 27, 2403-2416, 1993.
- Pöschl, U., von Kuhlmann, R., Poisson, N., and Crutzen, P. J.: Development and intercomparison of condensed isoprene oxidation mechanisms for global atmospheric modeling, J. Atmos. Chem., 37, 29–52, 2000.
- Pozzer, A., Joeckel, P. J., Sander, R., Williams, J., Ganzeveld, L., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: the MESSy-submodel AIRSEA calculating the air-sea exchange of chemical species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5435-5444, 2006.
- Pozzer, A., de Meij, A., Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Doering, U. M., van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: Distributions and regional budgets of aerosols and their precursors simulated with the EMAC chemistry climate model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 961–987, 2012.
- Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Message, S., Steil, B., Giannadaki, D., Nenes, A., Fountoukis, C., Stier, P., Vignati, E., and Leieved, J.: Description and evaluation of GMXe: a new aerosol submodel for global simulations (v1), Geoscientific Model Development, 3, 391–412, 2010.
- Pugh, T. A. M., MacKenzie, A. R., Hewitt, C. N., Langford, B., Edwards, P. M., Furneaux, K. L., Heard, D. E., Hopkins, J. R., Jones, C. E., Karunaharan, A., Lee, J., Mills, G., Misztal, P., Moller, S., Monks, P. S., and Whalley, L. K.: Simulating atmospheric composition over a South-East Asian tropical rainforest: performance of a chemistry box model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 279-298, 2010.
- Pye, H. O. T., and Seinfeld, J. H.: A global perspective on aerosol from low volatility organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4377-4401, 2010.
- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop, A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R., and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: Semivolatile emissions and photochemical aging, Science, 315, 1259-1262, 2007.
- Robinson, A. L., Grieshop, A. P., Donahue, N. M., and Hunt, S. W.: Updating the conceptual model for fine particle mass emissions from combustion systems, J. Air Waste Manage., 60, 1204-1222, 2010.

- Röckner, E., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Schlese, U., and Schulzweida, U.: Sensitivity of simulated climate to horizontal and vertical resolution in the ECHAM5 atmosphere model. J. Climate, 19, 3771–3791, 2006.
- Sander, R., Baumgaertner, A., Gromov, S., Harder, H., Joeckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Kubistin, D., Regelin, E., Riede, H., Sandu, A., Taraborrelli, D., Tost, H., and Xie, Z. Q.: The atmospheric chemistry box model CAABA/MECCA 3.0, Geoscientific Model Development, 4, 373-380, 2011.
- Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions from air pollution sources. 2. C 1 through C 30 organic compounds from medium duty diesel trucks, Environ. Sci. Technol., 33, 1578-1587, 1999.
- Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions from air pollution sources. 3. C 1 C 29 organic compounds from fireplace combustion of wood, Environ. Sci. Technol., 35, 1716-1728, 2001.
- Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions from air pollution sources. 5. C 1-C-32 organic compounds from gasoline powered motor vehicles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 1169-1180, 2002.
- Schwartz, R. E., Russell, L. M., Sjostedt, S. J., Vlasenko, A., Slowik, J. G., Abbatt, J. P. D., Macdonald, A. M., Li, S. M., Liggio, J., Toom Sauntry, D., and Leaitch, W. R.: Biogenic oxidized organic functional groups in acrosol particles from a mountain forest site and their similarities to laboratory chamber products, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5075–5088, 2010.
- Shrivastava, M., Fast, J., Easter, R., Gustafson, W. I., Jr., Zaveri, R. A., Jimenez, J. L., Saide, P., and Hodzic, A.: Modeling organic aerosols in a megacity: comparison of simple and complex representations of the volatility basis set approach, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 6639-6662, 2011.
- Shrivastava, M. K., Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., Pandis, S. N., and Robinson, A. L.: Effects of gas particle partitioning and aging of primary emissions on urban and regional organic aerosol concentrations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113, doi: 10.1029/2007jd009735, 2008.
- Stanier, C. O., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Parameterization of secondary organic aerosol mass fractions from smog chamber data, Atmos. Environ., 42, 2276-2299, 2008.
- Strader, R., Lurmann, F., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of secondary organic aerosol formation in winter, Atmos. Environ., 33, 4849-4863, 1999.
- Takahama, S., Schwartz, R. E., Russell, L. M., Macdonald, A. M., Sharma, S., and Leaitch, W.
 R.: Organic functional groups in aerosol particles from burning and non-burning forest emissions at a high elevation mountain site, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 6367–6386, 2011.
- Tost, H., Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: A new comprehensive SCAVenging submodel for global atmospheric chemistry modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 565–574, 2006.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., and Pandis, S. N.: Response of fine particulate matter to emission changes of oxides of nitrogen and anthropogenic volatile organic compounds in the Eastern United States, J. Air Waste Manage., 58, 1463–1473, 2008.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Zavala, M., Lei, W., Molina, L., Ulbrich, I. M., Jimenez, J. L., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of the volatility basis set approach for the simulation of organic aerosol formation in the Mexico City metropolitan area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 525-546, 2010.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Zavala, M., Lei, W., Bei, N., Molina, L., and Pandis, S. N.: Sources and production of organic aerosol in Mexico City: insights from the combination of 49

a chemical transport model (PMCAMx 2008) and measurements during MILAGRO, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5153-5168, 2011.

- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997-2009), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11707-11735, 2010.
- Zhang, Q., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Time and sizeresolved chemical composition of submicron particles in Pittsburgh: Implications for aerosol sources and processes, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 110, doi: D07s0910.1029/2004jd004649, 2005.
- Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M. R., Takami, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Sun, Y. L., Dzepina, K., Dunlea, E., Docherty, K., DeCarlo, P. F., Salcedo, D., Onasch, T., Jayne, J. T., Miyoshi, T., Shimono, A., Hatakeyama, S., Takegawa, N., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Williams, P., Bower, K., Bahreini, R., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R. J., Rautiainen, J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in anthropogenically influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, doi: L13801-10.1029/2007gl029979, 2007.
- Zhang, Q. J., Beekmann, M., Drewnick, F., Freutel, F., Schneider, J., Crippa, M., Prevot, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Poulain, L., Wiedensohler, A., Sciare, J., Gros, V., Borbon, A., Colomb, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J. F., van der Gon, H. A. C. D., Haeffelin, M., Dupont, J. C., Siour, G., Petetin, H., Bessagnet, B., Pandis, S. N., Hodzic, A., Sanchez, O., Honore, C., and Perrussel, O.: Formation of organic aerosol in the Paris region during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign: evaluation of the volatility basis set approach within the CHIMERE model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 5767-5790, 2013.
- Aiken, A. C., Decarlo, P. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Ziemann, P. J., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U., and Jimenez, J. L.: O/C and OM/OC ratios of primary, secondary, and ambient organic aerosols with high-resolution timeof-flight aerosol mass spectrometry, Environmen. Sci. & Technol., 42, 4478-4485, 2008.
- Aiken, A. C., Salcedo, D., Cubison, M. J., Huffman, J. A., DeCarlo, P. F., Ulbrich, I. M., Docherty, K. S., Sueper, D., Kimmel, J. R., Worsnop, D. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Stone, E. A., Schauer, J. J., Volkamer, R. M., Fortner, E., de Foy, B., Wang, J., Laskin, A., Shutthanandan, V., Zheng, J., Zhang, R., Gaffney, J., Marley, N. A., Paredes-Miranda, G., Arnott, W. P., Molina, L. T., Sosa, G., and Jimenez, J. L.: Mexico City aerosol analysis during MILAGRO using high resolution aerosol mass spectrometry at the urban supersite (T0) - Part 1: Fine particle composition and organic source apportionment, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 6633-6653, 2009.
- Athanasopoulou, E., Vogel, H., Vogel, B., Tsimpidi, A. P., Pandis, S. N., Knote, C., and Fountoukis, C.: Modeling the meteorological and chemical effects of secondary organic aerosols during an EUCAARI campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 625-645, 2013.
- Bergstrom, R., van der Gon, H. A. C. D., Prevot, A. S. H., Yttri, K. E., and Simpson, D.: Modelling of organic aerosols over Europe (2002-2007) using a volatility basis set (VBS)

framework: application of different assumptions regarding the formation of secondary organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8499-8527, 2012.

- Brito, J., Rizzo, L. V., Morgan, W. T., Coe, H., Johnson, B., Haywood, J., Longo, K., Freitas, S., Andreae, M. O., and Artaxo, P.: Ground based aerosol characterization during the South American Biomass Burning Analysis (SAMBBA) field experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 12279-12322, 2014.
- Browne, E. C., Min, K. E., Wooldridge, P. J., Apel, E., Blake, D. R., Brune, W. H., Cantrell, C.
 A., Cubison, M. J., Diskin, G. S., Jimenez, J. L., Weinheimer, A. J., Wennberg, P. O.,
 Wisthaler, A., and Cohen, R. C.: Observations of total RONO2 over the boreal forest: NOx sinks and HNO3 sources, Atmos. Chem. and Phys., 13, 4543-4562, 2013.
- Capes, G., Johnson, B., McFiggans, G., Williams, P. I., Haywood, J., and Coe, H.: Aging of biomass burning aerosols over West Africa: Aircraft measurements of chemical composition, microphysical properties, and emission ratios, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D00C15, doi: 10.1029/2008jd009845, 2008.
- Capes, G., Murphy, J. G., Reeves, C. E., McQuaid, J. B., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J. R., Crosier, J., Williams, P. I., and Coe, H.: Secondary organic aerosol from biogenic VOCs over West Africa during AMMA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3841-3850, 2009.
- Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Mann, G. W., and Pringle, K. J.: The magnitude and sources of uncertainty in global aerosol, Faraday Discuss., 165, 495-512, 2013.
- Carter, W. P. L.: Documentation of the SAPRC-99 chemical mechanism for VOC reactivity assessment., Report to the California air resources board. Available at: http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#saprc99 (last access: 7 August 2014), 2000.
- Chen, Q., Farmer, D. K., Schneider, J., Zorn, S. R., Heald, C. L., Karl, T. G., Guenther, A., Allan, J. D., Robinson, N., Coe, H., Kimmel, J. R., Pauliquevis, T., Borrmann, S., Poeschl, U., Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., Jimenez, J. L., and Martin, S. T.: Mass spectral characterization of submicron biogenic organic particles in the Amazon Basin, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L20806, doi: 10.1029/2009gl039880, 2009.
- Clarke, L., Edmonds, J., Jacoby, H., Pitcher, H., Reilly, J., and Richels, R.: Scenarios of greenhouse gas emissions and atmospheric concentrations (Part A) and review of integrated scenario development and application (Part B). A report by the U.S. climate change science program and the subcommittee on global change research, 2007.
- de Meij, A., Pozzer, A., Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., and Lelieveld, J.: EMAC model evaluation and analysis of atmospheric aerosol properties and distribution with a focus on the Mediterranean region, Atmos. Res., 114, 38-69, 2012.
- Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Hoelzemann, J. J., Ito, A., Marelli, L., Penner, J. E., Putaud, J. P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., van der Werf, G. R., and Wilson, J.: Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750 prescribed data-sets for AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4321-4344, 2006.
- Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Coupled partitioning, dilution, and chemical aging of semivolatile organics, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2635-2643, 2006.
- Donahue, N. M., Henry, K. M., Mentel, T. F., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Spindler, C., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Dorn, H. P., Fuchs, H., Tillmann, R., Wahner, A., Saathoff, H., Naumann, K.-H., Moehler, O., Leisner, T., Mueller, L., Reinnig, M.-C., Hoffmann, T., Salo, K., Hallquist, M., Frosch, M., Bilde, M., Tritscher, T., Barmet, P., Praplan, A. P., DeCarlo, P. F., Dommen, J., Prevot, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.: Aging of biogenic secondary organic aerosol via gas-51

phase OH radical reactions, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109, 13503-13508, 10.1073/pnas.1115186109, 2012.

- Donahue, N. M., Chuang, W., Epstein, S. A., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Robinson, A. L., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Why do organic aerosols exist? Understanding aerosol lifetimes using the two-dimensional volatility basis set, Environ. Chem., 10, 151-157, 2013.
- Farina, S. C., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Modeling global secondary organic aerosol formation and processing with the volatility basis set: Implications for anthropogenic secondary organic aerosol, J. Geophys.l Res.-Atmos., 115, D09202, doi: 10.1029/2009jd013046, 2010.
- Fountoukis, C., Racherla, P. N., van der Gon, H. A. C. D., Polymeneas, P., Charalampidis, P. E., Pilinis, C., Wiedensohler, A., Dall'Osto, M., O'Dowd, C., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of a three-dimensional chemical transport model (PMCAMx) in the European domain during the EUCAARI May 2008 campaign, Atmos. Chem. and Phys., 11, 10331-10347, 2011.
- Fountoukis, C., Megaritis, A. G., Skyllakou, K., Charalampidis, P. E., Pilinis, C., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Crippa, M., Canonaco, F., Mohr, C., Prévôt, A. S. H., Allan, J. D., Poulain, L., Petäjä, T., Tiitta, P., Carbone, S., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Nemitz, E., O'Dowd, C., Swietlicki, E., and Pandis, S. N.: Organic aerosol concentration and composition over Europe: insights from comparison of regional model predictions with aerosol mass spectrometer factor analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 7597-7635, 2014.
- Grieshop, A. P., Logue, J. M., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Laboratory investigation of photochemical oxidation of organic aerosol from wood fires 1: measurement and simulation of organic aerosol evolution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1263-1277, 2009.
- Heald, C. L., Jacob, D. J., Park, R. J., Russell, L. M., Huebert, B. J., Seinfeld, J. H., Liao, H., and Weber, R. J.: A large organic aerosol source in the free troposphere missing from current models, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, doi: L1880910.1029/2005gl023831, 2005.
- Hennigan, C. J., Miracolo, M. A., Engelhart, G. J., May, A. A., Presto, A. A., Lee, T., Sullivan,
 A. P., McMeeking, G. R., Coe, H., Wold, C. E., Hao, W. M., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de
 Gouw, J., Schichtel, B. A., Collett, J. L., Jr., Kreidenweis, S. M., and Robinson, A. L.:
 Chemical and physical transformations of organic aerosol from the photo-oxidation of open
 biomass burning emissions in an environmental chamber, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7669-7686, 2011.
- Hildebrandt, L., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: High formation of secondary organic aerosol from the photo-oxidation of toluene, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 2973-2986, 2009.
- Hildebrandt, L., Engelhart, G. J., Mohr, C., Kostenidou, E., Lanz, V. A., Bougiatioti, A., DeCarlo, P. F., Prevot, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Mihalopoulos, N., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Aged organic aerosol in the Eastern Mediterranean: the Finokalia Aerosol Measurement Experiment-2008, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 4167-4186, 10.5194/acp-10-4167-2010, 2010.
- Hildemann, L. M., Cass, G. R., and Markowski, G. R.: A dilution stack sampler for collection of organic aerosol emissions-Desigh, characterization and filed-tests, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 10, 193-204, 1989.
- Hodzic, A., Jimenez, J. L., Madronich, S., Canagaratna, M. R., DeCarlo, P. F., Kleinman, L., and Fast, J.: Modeling organic aerosols in a megacity: potential contribution of semi-volatile and intermediate volatility primary organic compounds to secondary organic aerosol formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5491-5514, 2010.

- IPCC: (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change): The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P.M. Midgley (eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- Jathar, S. H., Farina, S. C., Robinson, A. L., and Adams, P. J.: The influence of semi-volatile and reactive primary emissions on the abundance and properties of global organic aerosol, Atmos. <u>Chem. Phys., 11, 7727-7746, 2011.</u>
- Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U., and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of organic aerosols in the atmosphere, Science, 326, 1525-1529, 2009.
- Jo, D. S., Park, R. J., Kim, M. J., and Spracklen, D. V.: Effects of chemical aging on global secondary organic aerosol using the volatility basis set approach, Atmos. Environ., 81, 230-244, 2013.
- Jöckel, P., Sander, R., Kerkweg, A., Tost, H., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: The Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy) - a new approach towards earth system modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 433-444, 2005.
- Jöckel, P., Tost, H., Pozzer, A., Bruehl, C., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Hoor, P., Kerkweg, A., Lawrence, M. G., Sander, R., Steil, B., Stiller, G., Tanarhte, M., Taraborrelli, D., Van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: The atmospheric chemistry general circulation model <u>ECHAM5/MESSy1</u>: consistent simulation of ozone from the surface to the mesosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5067-5104, 2006.
- Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Pozzer, A., Sander, R., Tost, H., Riede, H., Baumgaertner, A., Gromov, S., and Kern, B.: Development cycle 2 of the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy2), Geoscientific Model Development, 3, 717-752, 2010.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053-1123, 2005.
- Karl, M., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., and Dentener, F.: Formation of secondary organic aerosol from isoprene oxidation over Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7003-7030, 2009.
- Kerkweg, A., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Pozzer, A., Tost, H., and Jöckel, P.: Technical Note: An implementation of the dry removal processes DRY DEPosition and SEDImentation in the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4617-4632, 2006a.
- Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., Tost, H., and Jöckel, P.: Technical note: Implementation of prescribed (OFFLEM), calculated (ONLEM), and pseudo-emissions (TNUDGE) of chemical species in 53

the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3603-3609, 10.5194/acp-6-3603-2006, 2006b.

- Kroll, J. H., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: Formation and evolution of low-volatility organics in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 42, 3593-3624, 2008.
- Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating secondary organic aerosol formation using the volatility basis-set approach in a chemical transport model, Atmos. Environ., 42, 7439-7451, 2008.
- Lauer, A., Eyring, V., Hendricks, J., Joeckel, P., and Lohmann, U.: Global model simulations of the impact of ocean-going ships on aerosols, clouds, and the radiation budget, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5061-5079, 2007.
- Lee, L. A., Pringle, K. J., Reddington, C. L., Mann, G. W., Stier, P., Spracklen, D. V., Pierce, J. R., and Carslaw, K. S.: The magnitude and causes of uncertainty in global model simulations of cloud condensation nuclei, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8879-8914, 2013.
- Lipsky, E. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Effects of dilution on fine particle mass and partitioning of semivolatile organics in diesel exhaust and wood smoke, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 155-162, 2006.
- Lohmann, U., Stier, P., Hoose, C., Ferrachat, S., Kloster, S., Roeckner, E., and Zhang, J.: Cloud microphysics and aerosol indirect effects in the global climate model ECHAM5-HAM, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3425-3446, 2007.
- May, A. A., Saleh, R., Hennigan, C. J., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Volatility of organic molecular markers used for source apportionment analysis: measurements and implications for atmospheric lifetime, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 12435-12444, 2012.
- Miracolo, M. A., Hennigan, C. J., Ranjan, M., Nguyen, N. T., Gordon, T. D., Lipsky, E. M., Presto, A. A., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Secondary aerosol formation from photochemical aging of aircraft exhaust in a smog chamber, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4135-4147, 2011.
- Morgan, W. T., Allan, J. D., Bower, K. N., Highwood, E. J., Liu, D., McMeeking, G. R., Northway, M. J., Williams, P. I., Krejci, R., and Coe, H.: Airborne measurements of the spatial distribution of aerosol chemical composition across Europe and evolution of the organic fraction, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 4065-4083, 10.5194/acp-10-4065-2010, 2010.
- Murphy, B. N., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating the formation of semivolatile primary and secondary organic aerosol in a regional chemical transport model, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 4722-4728, 2009.
- Murphy, B. N., Donahue, N. M., Fountoukis, C., Dall'Osto, M., O'Dowd, C., Kiendler-Scharr, A., and Pandis, S. N.: Functionalization and fragmentation during ambient organic aerosol aging: application of the 2-D volatility basis set to field studies, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 10797-10816, 10.5194/acp-12-10797-2012, 2012.
- Murphy, B. N., Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., and Pandis, S. N.: A naming convention for atmospheric organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5825-5839, 2014.
- Ng, N. L., Kroll, J. H., Keywood, M. D., Bahreini, R., Varutbangkul, V., Flagan, R. C., Seinfeld, J. H., Lee, A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Contribution of first- versus second-generation products to secondary organic aerosols formed in the oxidation of biogenic hydrocarbons, Environ. Sci. <u>Technol.</u>, 40, 2283-2297, 2006.

- Pandis, S. N., Wexler, A. S., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation and transport. 2. Predicting the ambient secondary organic aerosol-size distribution, Atmos. Environ. A-Gen., 27, 2403-2416, 1993.
- Pandis, S. N., Donahue, N. M., Murphy, B. N., Riipinen, I., Fountoukis, C., Karnezi, E., Patoulias, D., and Skyllakou, K.: Introductory lecture: Atmospheric organic aerosols: insights from the combination of measurements and chemical transport models, Faraday Discussions, 165, 9-24, 10.1039/c3fd00108c, 2013.
- Pathak, R. K., Presto, A. A., Lane, T. E., Stanier, C. O., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Ozonolysis of alpha-pinene: parameterization of secondary organic aerosol mass fraction, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 3811-3821, 2007.
- Pöschl, U., von Kuhlmann, R., Poisson, N., and Crutzen, P. J.: Development and intercomparison of condensed isoprene oxidation mechanisms for global atmospheric modeling, J. Atmos. Chem., 37, 29-52, 2000.
- Pozzer, A., Joeckel, P. J., Sander, R., Williams, J., Ganzeveld, L., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: the MESSy-submodel AIRSEA calculating the air-sea exchange of chemical species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5435-5444, 2006.
- Pozzer, A., de Meij, A., Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Doering, U. M., van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: Distributions and regional budgets of aerosols and their precursors simulated with the EMAC chemistry-climate model, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 961-987, 2012.
- Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Message, S., Steil, B., Giannadaki, D., Nenes, A., Fountoukis, C., Stier, P., Vignati, E., and Leieved, J.: Description and evaluation of GMXe: a new aerosol submodel for global simulations (v1), Geoscientific Model Development, 3, 391-412, 2010.
- Pugh, T. A. M., MacKenzie, A. R., Hewitt, C. N., Langford, B., Edwards, P. M., Furneaux, K. L., Heard, D. E., Hopkins, J. R., Jones, C. E., Karunaharan, A., Lee, J., Mills, G., Misztal, P., Moller, S., Monks, P. S., and Whalley, L. K.: Simulating atmospheric composition over a South-East Asian tropical rainforest: performance of a chemistry box model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 279-298, 2010.
- Pye, H. O. T., and Seinfeld, J. H.: A global perspective on aerosol from low-volatility organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4377-4401, 2010.
- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop, A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R., and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: Semivolatile emissions and photochemical aging, Science, 315, 1259-1262, 2007.
- Robinson, A. L., Grieshop, A. P., Donahue, N. M., and Hunt, S. W.: Updating the conceptual model for fine particle mass emissions from combustion systems, J. Air Waste Manage., 60, 1204-1222, 2010.
- <u>Röckner, E., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Schlese, U., and Schulzweida, U.: Sensitivity of simulated climate to horizontal and vertical resolution in the ECHAM5 atmosphere model, J. Climate, 19, 3771-3791, 2006.</u>
- Sander, R., Baumgaertner, A., Gromov, S., Harder, H., Joeckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Kubistin, D., Regelin, E., Riede, H., Sandu, A., Taraborrelli, D., Tost, H., and Xie, Z. Q.: The atmospheric chemistry box model CAABA/MECCA-3.0, Geoscientific Model Development, 4, 373-380, 2011.
- Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions from air pollution sources. 2. C-1 through C-30 organic compounds from medium duty diesel trucks, Environ. Sci. Technol., 33, 1578-1587, 1999.

- Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions from air pollution sources. 3. C-1-C-29 organic compounds from fireplace combustion of wood, Environ. Sci. Technol., 35, 1716-1728, 2001.
- Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions from air pollution sources. 5. C-1-C-32 organic compounds from gasoline-powered motor vehicles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 1169-1180, 2002.
- Schwartz, R. E., Russell, L. M., Sjostedt, S. J., Vlasenko, A., Slowik, J. G., Abbatt, J. P. D., Macdonald, A. M., Li, S. M., Liggio, J., Toom-Sauntry, D., and Leaitch, W. R.: Biogenic oxidized organic functional groups in aerosol particles from a mountain forest site and their similarities to laboratory chamber products, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5075-5088, 2010.
- Shrivastava, M., Fast, J., Easter, R., Gustafson, W. I., Jr., Zaveri, R. A., Jimenez, J. L., Saide, P., and Hodzic, A.: Modeling organic aerosols in a megacity: comparison of simple and complex representations of the volatility basis set approach, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 6639-6662, 2011.
- Shrivastava, M. K., Lane, T. E., Donahue, N. M., Pandis, S. N., and Robinson, A. L.: Effects of gas particle partitioning and aging of primary emissions on urban and regional organic aerosol concentrations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D18301, doi: 10.1029/2007jd009735, 2008.
- Spracklen, D. V., Jimenez, J. L., Carslaw, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., Evans, M. J., Mann, G. W., Zhang, Q., Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J., Coe, H., McFiggans, G., Rap, A., and Forster, P.: Aerosol mass spectrometer constraint on the global secondary organic aerosol budget, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 12109-12136, 10.5194/acp-11-12109-2011, 2011.
- Stanier, C. O., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Parameterization of secondary organic aerosol mass fractions from smog chamber data, Atmos. Environ., 42, 2276-2299, 2008.
- Strader, R., Lurmann, F., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of secondary organic aerosol formation in winter, Atmos. Environ., 33, 4849-4863, 1999.
- Takahama, S., Schwartz, R. E., Russell, L. M., Macdonald, A. M., Sharma, S., and Leaitch, W. R.: Organic functional groups in aerosol particles from burning and non-burning forest emissions at a high-elevation mountain site, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 6367-6386, 2011.
- Tost, H., Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: A new comprehensive SCAVenging submodel for global atmospheric chemistry modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 565-574, 2006.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., and Pandis, S. N.: Response of fine particulate matter to emission changes of oxides of nitrogen and anthropogenic volatile organic compounds in the Eastern United States, J. Air Waste Manage., 58, 1463-1473, 2008.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Zavala, M., Lei, W., Molina, L., Ulbrich, I. M., Jimenez, J. L., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of the volatility basis-set approach for the simulation of organic aerosol formation in the Mexico City metropolitan area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 525-546, 2010.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Zavala, M., Lei, W., Bei, N., Molina, L., and Pandis, S. N.: Sources and production of organic aerosol in Mexico City: insights from the combination of a chemical transport model (PMCAMx-2008) and measurements during MILAGRO, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5153-5168, 2011.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997-2009), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11707-11735, 2010.

- Zhang, Q., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Time- and sizeresolved chemical composition of submicron particles in Pittsburgh: Implications for aerosol sources and processes, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, doi: D07s0910.1029/2004jd004649, 2005.
- Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M. R., Takami, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Sun, Y. L., Dzepina, K., Dunlea, E., Docherty, K., DeCarlo, P. F., Salcedo, D., Onasch, T., Jayne, J. T., Miyoshi, T., Shimono, A., Hatakeyama, S., Takegawa, N., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Williams, P., Bower, K., Bahreini, R., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R. J., Rautiainen, J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, doi: L13801 10.1029/2007gl029979, 2007.
- Zhang, Q. J., Beekmann, M., Drewnick, F., Freutel, F., Schneider, J., Crippa, M., Prevot, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Poulain, L., Wiedensohler, A., Sciare, J., Gros, V., Borbon, A., Colomb, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J. F., van der Gon, H. A. C. D., Haeffelin, M., Dupont, J. C., Siour, G., Petetin, H., Bessagnet, B., Pandis, S. N., Hodzic, A., Sanchez, O., Honore, C., and Perrussel, O.: Formation of organic aerosol in the Paris region during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign: evaluation of the volatility-basis-set approach within the CHIMERE model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 5767-5790, 2013.

Table 1: Organic component name classifications in the ORACLE module

Term	Description	•	Formatted Table
Source root name	—		
Modifiers			
А	Mass from anthropogenic sources (i.e. aSOA)		
В	Mass from biogenic sources (i.e. bSOA)		
F	Mass from fossil and biofuel combustion (i.e. fPOA)		
Bb	Mass from biomass burning processes (i.e. bbPOA)		
Base Terms			
POA	Primary organic aerosol. This is emitted in the particle phase and has not undergone chemical reaction		
POG	Primary organic gas that has not undergone chemical reaction		
SOA	Secondary organic aerosol formed from the oxidation of gas-phase organic species		
SOG	Secondary organic gas. The gas-phase mass produced by at least one chemical reaction in the atmosphere		
Initial Volatility			
Suffix			
-SV	Product of the oxidation of SVOCs		
-i	Product of the oxidation of IVOCs		
-V	Product of the oxidation of VOCs	i	

Table 2: Description of ORACLE organic compounds in gas and aerosol phases.

Gas-phase compounds	Particle phase compounds	Description
fPOG	fPOA	Primary organic compounds from fuel combustion
bbPOG	bbPOA	Primary organic compounds from biomass burning
fSOG-sv	fSOA-sv	Secondary organic compounds from the oxidation
		of fuel combustion SVOCs
bbSOG-sv	bbSOA-sv	Secondary organic compounds from the oxidation
		of biomass burning SVOCs
fSOG-iv	fSOA-iv	Secondary organic compounds from the oxidation
		of fuel combustion IVOCs
bbSOG-iv	bbSOA-iv	Secondary organic compounds from the oxidation
		of biomass burning IVOCs
aSOG-v	aSOA-v	Secondary organic compounds from the oxidation
		of anthropogenic VOCs
bSOG-v	bSOA-v	Secondary organic compounds from the oxidation
		of biogenic VOCs

Table 3. Global annual emissions of the lumped anthropogenic VOC species introduced in the ORACLE module.

VOC lumped species	RCP4.5 Speciesspecies	EmissionsEmissi
		on Rates
ARO1	Benzene. Toluene	(<i>Igyr</i>)
ARO2	Trimetyl Benzenes, Xylene, other Aromatics	13.9
ALK4	Pentanes	15.1
ALK5	Hexanes, other higher Alkenes	21. 7 2
OLE1	Propene	7.4
OLE2	Other Alkenes	8.2

•	Formatted: Position: Vertical: Relative to: Page	54.2 mm,
•	Formatted Table	
	Formatted: Position: Vertical: Relative to: Page	54.2 mm,
	Formatted: Position: Vertical: Relative to: Page	54.2 mm,
	Formatted: Position: Vertical: Relative to: Page	54.2 mm,
	Formatted: Position: Vertical: Relative to: Page	54.2 mm,
	Formatted: Position: Vertical: Relative to: Page	54.2 mm,
	Formatted: Position: Vertical: Relative to: Page	54.2 mm,
	Formatted: Position: Vertical: Relative to: Page	54.2 mm,
e	Formatted: Position: Vertical: Relative to: Page	54.2 mm,

Table 4. Emission factors of the primary organic compounds from fuel combustion and biomass burning sources for each volatility bin used in ORACLE. The total global annual emission rates are also shown. The SVOC/IVOC emission rates are estimated by multiplying the emission factors of each bin with the non-volatile emissions rates.

	V	⁄olatility D	istribution			Non Volatile POA	<u>SVOC</u>	SVOC/IVOC	Formatted Table
						Emission Rates	<u>emission rates</u>	Emissions Rates	Formatted: French (France)
						emission rates	$Tg y^{-1}$	emission rates	Formatted: French (France)
						$Tg y^{-1}$		$Tg y^{-1}$	Formatted: French (France)
C^*	(µg m ⁻³) at 298 K	10-1	10^{1}	10^{3}	10 ⁵				
Fu	el combustion OA	fPOA1	fPOA2	fPOG3	fPOG4	12.3	<u>6.2</u>	30.8-<u>24.6</u>	
Bio	mass burning OA	bPOA1	bbPOA2	bbPOG3	bbPOG4	24.8	<u>12.4</u>	<u>62-49.6</u>	
I	Emission factors	0.18	0.32	0.5	1.5				

Table 5. Secondary organic aerosol mass yield¹ parameters

	C* in µg m ⁻³ at 298K						
VOC lumped Species	1	10	10 ²	10 ³			
ARO1	0.003	0.165	0.300	0.435			
ARO2	0.002	0.195	0.300	0.435			
ALK4	0.000	0.038	0.000	0.000			
ALK5	0.000	0.150	0.000	0.000			
OLE1	0.001	0.005	0.038	0.150			
OLE2	0.003	0.026	0.083	0.270			
ISOP	0.009	0.030	0.015	0.000			
TERP	0.107	0.092	0.359	0.600			

¹ The SOA yields are based on an assumed particle density of 1.5 g cm⁻³.

Table 6. Statistical evaluation of dailymonthly averaged predictedmodeledOA againstIMPROVE, EMEP and East Asia observations during 2005-2009

Network	Number of stations	Mean Observed	Mean	MAGE	MB	NME	NMB	RMSE
		(µg m ⁻³)	PredictedModel	$(\mu g \ m^{-3})$	$(\mu g \ m^{-3})$	(%)	(%)	(µg m ⁻³)
			<u>ed</u> (µg m ⁻³)					
IMPROVE	193 ^a	1.93	3.02	1.71	1.09	89	57	2.6
EMEP	$44^{b}10^{b}$	<u>2.871.81</u>	2. 2 4 <u>20</u>	<u>2.02</u> 1.21	-0. 63<u>39</u>	70<u>67</u>	-22	4 <u>.15</u> 2.09
East Asia	18 ^c	11.02	7.09	4.76	-3.93	43	-36	5.53

^a 10202 measurements

^b 361301 measurements

^c74 measurements

Table 7. <u>Average Global and land average</u> surface concentration and tropospheric burden of organic aerosol components. The fractional contribution of each component to total OA is listed in parenthesis.

OA component	fPOA	bbPOA	fSOA-sv	bbSOA-sv	fSOA-iv	bbSOA-iv	aSOA-v	bSO2 Formatted Table
<mark>Surface</mark> Global Average	0.11 (7%)	0.16 (11%)	0.03 (2%)	0.05 (3%)	0.22 (15%)	0.42 (28%)	0.23 (15%)	0.28 (19%)
(μg m ⁻³)								
<u>Land Average (µg m⁻³)</u>	<u>0.22 (8%)</u>	<u>0.39 (14%)</u>	<u>0.04 (1%)</u>	<u>0.07 (2%)</u>	<u>0.35 (12%)</u>	<u>0.74 (26%)</u>	<u>0.39 (13%)</u>	<u>0.70 (24%)</u>
Tropospheric Burden (Tg)	0.11 (4%)	0.12 (4%)	0.06 (2%)	0.1 (3%)	0.5 (17%)	0.91 (30%)	0.65 (22%)	◆0.55 (1 Formatted Table

Figure 1: Diagram showing ORACLE as part of the EMAC chemistry-climate model.

Figure 2: Schematic of the VBS resolution and the formation procedure of SOA from SVOC, IVOC and VOC emissions implemented in the ORACLE submodel. Red indicates that the organic compound is in the vapor phase and blue that it is in the particulate phase. The circles correspond to primary organic material that can be emitted either in the gas or in the aerosol phase. The triangles indicate the formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) from semivolatiles<u>SVOCs</u> by fuel combustion and biomass burning sources, while the squares show SOA from intermediate volatiles<u>IVOCs</u> by fuel combustion and biomass burning sources, and the thombidiamonds the formation of SOA from anthropogenic and biogenic VOC sources. The partitioning processes, the aging reactions of the organic compounds, and the different names of the species used to track all compounds are also shown.

*For this application it is assumed that SOA formed by biogenic VOCs does not participate in aging reactions (Lane et al., 2008; Tsimpidi et al., 2010).

Figure 3: <u>PredictedModeled</u> average surface concentration of total OA (μ g m⁻³) during the years 2005-2009.

Figure 4: Scatter plot comparing model predictions of total OA concentration (in $\mu g \text{ m}^{-3}$) against with observations from the (a) IMPROVE network in the United States, (b)

EMEP network in Europe and East Asian sites from 2005 to 2009. Each point represents a monthly average value. Also shown the 1:1, 2:1 and 1:2 lines.

Figure 5: <u>PredictedModeled</u> average surface concentrations (in µg m⁻³) of (**a**) POA from fuel combustion (fPOA) and (**b**) POA from biomass burning emissions (bbPOA) during the years 2005-2009.

Figure 6: <u>PredictedModeled</u> average surface concentrations (in µg m⁻³) of (**a**) SOA-sv from the oxidation of SVOCs from fuel combustion (fSOA-sv) and <u>(b) SOA-sv</u> from the oxidation SVOCs from biomass burning emissions (bbSOA-sv) during the years 2005-2009.

Figure 7: <u>PredictedModeled</u> average surface concentrations (in μ g m⁻³) of (a) SOA-iv from the oxidation of IVOCs from fuel combustion (fSOA-iv) and (b) SOA-iv from the oxidation of IVOCs from biomass burning emissions (bbSOA-iv) during the years 2005-2009.

Figure 8: Predicted **Figure 8:** Modeled average surface concentrations (in μ g m⁻³) of (**a**) SOA-v from the oxidation of biogenic VOC emissions (bSOA-v) and (**b**) SOA-v from the oxidation of anthropogenic VOC emissions (aSOA-v) during the years 2005-2009.

Figure 9: Modeled average zonal concentrations (in μ g m⁻³) of (**a**) POA (sum of fPOA and bbPOA) and (**b**) SOA (sum of fSOA-sv, bbSOA-sv, fSOA-iv, bbSOA-iv, aSOA-v, and bSOA-v) during the years 2005-2009.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold





Figure 2: Schematic of the VBS resolution and the formation procedure of SOA from SVOC, IVOC and VOC emissions implemented in ORACLE. Red indicates that the organic compound is in the vapor phase and blue in the particulate phase. The circles correspond to primary organic material that can be emitted either in the gas or in the aerosol phase. The triangles indicate the formation of SOA from SVOCs by fuel combustion and biomass burning sources, while the squares show SOA from IVOCs by fuel combustion and biomass burning sources, and the diamonds the formation of SOA from anthropogenic and biogenic VOC sources. The partitioning processes, the aging reactions of the organic compounds, and the names of the species used to track all compounds are also shown.

*For this application it is assumed that SOA formed by biogenic VOCs does not participate in aging reactions (Lane et al., 2008; Tsimpidi et al., 2010).









Figure 6: Modeled average surface concentrations (in μ g m⁻³) of (a) SOA-sv from the oxidation of SVOCs from fuel combustion (fSOA-sv) and (b) SOA-sv from the oxidation SVOCs from biomass burning emissions (bbSOA-sv) during the years 2005-2009.



of IVOCs from fuel combustion (fSOA-iv) and (b) SOA-iv from the oxidation of IVOCs from biomass burning emissions (bbSOA-iv) during the years 2005-2009.



Figure 8: Modeled average surface concentrations (in μ g m⁻³) of (a) SOA-v from the oxidation of biogenic VOC emissions (bSOA-v) and (b) SOA-v from the oxidation of anthropogenic VOC emissions (aSOA-v) during the years 2005-2009.

Formatted: Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

