Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 7, 2335–2375, 2014 www.geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/7/2335/2014/ doi:10.5194/gmdd-7-2335-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Geoscientific Model Development (GMD). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in GMD if available.

# Refinement of a model for evaluating the population exposure in an urban area

J. Soares<sup>1</sup>, A. Kousa<sup>2</sup>, J. Kukkonen<sup>1</sup>, L. Matilainen<sup>2</sup>, L. Kangas<sup>1</sup>, M. Kauhaniemi<sup>1</sup>, K. Riikonen<sup>1</sup>, J.-P. Jalkanen<sup>1</sup>, T. Rasila<sup>1</sup>, O. Hänninen<sup>3</sup>, T. Koskentalo<sup>2</sup>, M. Aarnio<sup>1</sup>, C. Hendriks<sup>4</sup>, and A. Karppinen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Finnish Meteorological Institute, Erik Palménin aukio 1, POB 503, 00101 Helsinki, Finland
 <sup>2</sup>Helsinki Region Environmental Services Authority POB 521, 00521 Helsinki, Finland
 <sup>3</sup>National Institute for Health and Welfare, POB 95, 70701 Kuopio, Finland
 <sup>4</sup>TNO, Department of Climate, Air and Sustainability, Utrecht, the Netherlands

Received: 16 February 2014 – Accepted: 21 March 2014 – Published: 10 April 2014

Correspondence to: J. Soares (joana.soares@fmi.fi)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





# Abstract

A mathematical model is presented for the determination of human exposure to ambient air pollution in an urban area; the model is a refined version of a previously developed mathematical model EXPAND (EXposure model for Particulate matter And Nitro-

- <sup>5</sup> gen oxiDes). The model combines predicted concentrations, information on people's activities and location of the population to evaluate the spatial and temporal variation of average exposure of the urban population to ambient air pollution in different microenvironments. The revisions of the modelling system containing the EXPAND model include improvements of the associated urban emission and dispersion modelling sys-
- tem, an improved treatment of the time-use of population, and better treatment for the infiltration coefficients from outdoor to indoor air. The revised model version can also be used for evaluating intake fractions for various pollutants, source categories and population subgroups. We present numerical results on annual spatial concentration, time activity and population exposures to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area and
- Helsinki for 2008 and 2009, respectively. Approximately 60 % of the total exposure occurred at home, 17 % at work, 4 % in traffic and 19 % in other micro-environments. The population exposure originated from the long range transported background concentrations was responsible for a major fraction, 86 %, of the total exposure. The largest local contributors were vehicular emissions (12 %) and shipping (2 %).

#### 20 1 Introduction

25

Exposure models vary from simple relations of the health aspects with the outdoor air concentrations up to comprehensive deterministic exposure models (e.g., Kousa et al., 2002; Ashmore and Dimitripoulou, 2009). Most of the epidemiological studies have been conducted based on relations between pollution concentrations measured at fixed ambient air quality monitoring sites, or modelled values using land-use regression





models, and community-level health indicators, such as mortality (Pope and Dockery, 2006).

Since the urban population spends typically 80–95 % of their time indoors (Hänninen et al., 2005; Schweizer et al., 2007), the exposure to outdoor particles is dominated by
<sup>5</sup> exposure in indoor environments. The most simplistic approaches ignore indoor and outdoor conditions. Indoor air quality is determined by infiltration, ventilation and indoor pollution sources. Infiltration of outdoor particles indoors can be significant even in tight buildings that use mechanical ventilation systems and efficient air intake filters. Infiltration can also occur due to the operation of windows and doors, and cracks in
10 the building envelope and window and door frames (Hänninen et al., 2005). Population exposure can therefore be significantly different, depending on the structure and ventilation of buildings.

If one only takes into consideration concentration levels at measurement sites, finescale spatial variability is disregarded. However, the concentrations of pollutants in <sup>15</sup> urban areas may vary by an order of magnitude on a scale of tens of meters. This is particularly important for traffic-originated pollution. Moreover, most of the simplistic models ignore the activity patterns of individuals, i.e., people's day-to-day movements from one location to another, which is known to cause significant variations in exposure (Beckx et al., 2009).

The assessment of exposure with a deterministic approach usually requires application of integrated model chains starting from estimation of emissions to atmospheric dispersion and transformation of air pollutants. This can be complemented with time-microenvironment-activity models, an essential part of exposure assessment, and indoor to outdoor (i/o) concentration ratios. Microenvironment is defined by a location in which human exposure takes place, containing a relatively uniform concentration, such as a complement of the process.

as, e.g., home or workplace. The average personal or population exposure is then estimated as a linear combination of concentrations in different microenvironments, weighted by the time spent in each of them.





Probabilistic models of population exposure distributions such as EXPOLIS (Hänninen et al., 2003, 2005) and INDAIR (Dimitroulopoulou et al., 2006) provide the frequency distribution of exposure within a population, rather than mean or individual exposures. The population exposure can also be obtained by combining time-activity, dispersion modelling, and Geographical Information Systems techniques; this approach has been adopted in the models developed by Jensen (1999), Kousa et al. (2002), Gulliver and Briggs (2005), Beckx et al. (2009) and Borrego et al. (2009). These models can evaluate the individual or population exposure in different microenvironments during the day. In particular, the deterministic modelling system EXPAND

- (EXposure model for Particulate matter And Nitrogen oxiDes; Kousa et al., 2002) can be applied to contiguous time segments ranging from one hour to several years, and for various urban spatial domains, as the time-activity and emission data are temporally and spatially resolved. The city-scale resolution allows taking into consideration small scale (street and neighbourhood scales) spatial variability. The EXPAND model
   can also consider exposure pathways, by evaluating population intake fractions (Loh
- et al., 2009).

20

The EXPAND model was developed for the determination of human exposure to ambient air pollution in an urban area. The aims of this article are to describe a substantially improved version of this model and to present selected illustrative numerical results. Numerical results were computed for human exposure to fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area for 2008 and in Helsinki for 2009. The Helsinki Metropolitan Area is located by the Baltic Sea and it comprises of four cities: Helsinki,

- Espoo, Vantaa and Kauniainen; the total population is slightly over 1.0 million. The population of Helsinki is over 600 thousand. We have evaluated the exposure of the population in terms of both variance million and the main exposure of the population.
- <sup>25</sup> ulation in terms of both various micro-environments and the main source categories. This study also presents for the first time quantitative evaluations of the influence of shipping emissions on the concentrations and population exposure in Helsinki.





## 2 Methodology

## 2.1 Modelling of vehicular traffic flows

We have modelled the traffic flows in the street network of the Helsinki Metropolitan Area using the EMME/2 interactive transportation planning package (INRO, 1994). The

- <sup>5</sup> model generates a treatment for the traffic demand on the basis of given scenarios, and allocates the activity over the links (i.e., segments of road or street) of this network, according to predetermined set of rules and individual link characteristics (Elolähde, 2006). The traffic demand generated by the model is governed by the assumed socio-economic urban structure and location of the main activities, such as residential areas and workplaces, as well as the usage rate of public transport. Both the urban hus routes
- and workplaces, as well as the usage rate of public transport. Both the urban bus routes and the incoming and outgoing coach traffic are included in the model.

According to the link characteristics and the number of vehicles, the software is used to compute the average speed of vehicular traffic for each link on a given hour of the day. Furthermore, both weekly and seasonal variations of the traffic density are taken

into account. The profiles of vehicle speed and vehicle numbers are then computed for each link for each hour of the day (separately for weekdays, Saturdays and Sundays), and further aggregated over the year.

In this study, approximately 4300 road and street links were included in the computations. The model also allows for the activities at all the major ports in Helsinki; which

- increase heavy duty vehicle traffic, in particular. In this study, the traffic flow modelling was based on the traffic data for 2008 and 2009, for the corresponding dispersion computations for 2008 and 2009, respectively. It was pertinent to use up-to-date traffic data, due to recent substantial changes of traffic flows, caused especially by a recently constructed major cargo harbour in the easternmost part of Helsinki at Vuosaari. This
- new harbour is located further away from the Helsinki city centre, and it has been active since November 2008. The container terminals of the harbours at Sörnäinen and at the Western harbour (which are located in central Helsinki) were transferred to the harbour at Vuosaari.





## 2.2 Modelling of emissions

The emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$  were evaluated in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area for 2008, and in a more limited domain, the city of Helsinki for 2009. We have included the emissions originated from urban vehicular traffic for both years and additionally, the emissions

from shipping and major stationary sources for 2009. This approach has allowed us to study both the general characteristics of population exposure in the whole of the metropolitan area, and in more detail the influence of two potentially significant local source categories in the capital.

#### 2.2.1 Exhaust and suspension emissions originated from vehicular traffic

- Emissions were computed for each link using average speed-dependent functions, determined separately for each vehicle category (Laurikko et al., 2003). A total of 14 vehicle categories were included, divided to petrol cars with or without a catalytic converter, diesel-fuelled vehicles, as well as busses and other heavy duty vehicles. The division of the vehicles within the passenger car category was based on the registration statistics.
- <sup>15</sup> We evaluated the vehicular-traffic emissions by scaling a previously compiled detailed inventory for the year 2005, to correspond to the years 2008 and 2009. The scaling was performed for each road link, mainly using the ratio of the total vehicular exhaust emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$  in Helsinki Metropolitan Area in 2005 to that in 2008 and 2009, respectively. This scaling also allows for major changes in traffic flows, such
- as those caused by the transferred cargo harbours. The total vehicular exhaust emission values were obtained from a calculation system for traffic exhaust emissions and energy consumption in Finland (Mäkelä, 2002).

In the Nordic countries, the cold start and cold driving emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$  can be substantial, especially in winter. These emissions were taken into account, using coef-

<sup>25</sup> ficients based on laboratory emission measurements (Laurikko, 1998). The coefficients were estimated separately for weekdays and weekend, and take into consideration the





temperature of ambient air and the fraction of vehicles using a pre-heating of engine (Kauhaniemi et al., 2008).

We also applied a model for the road suspension emissions for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, described in detail by Kauhaniemi et al. (2011). This model is based on the model presented
<sup>5</sup> by Omstedt et al. (2005). The emission factor for suspension of road dust (in units µgveh<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-1</sup>) is a product of the so-called reference emission factors, the reduction factor of the moisture content of the street, and a weighted sum of the contribution of particles from the wear of pavement and from the traction sand. However, the emissions from brake, tire, and clutch wear are not included in the model, due to their small
contribution compared to suspension and road wear emissions in the Nordic countries.

The baseline values for the suspension emission model were set by the reference emission factors that depend on the period (that may include street sanding or not), the mass fraction of particles (fine and coarse), and the traffic environment (urban or highway).

## 15 2.2.2 Emissions originated from shipping

20

Emissions from ship traffic in the harbours of Helsinki and in the surrounding sea areas were modelled using the Ship Traffic Emissions Assessment Model (STEAM) presented by Jalkanen et al. (2009, 2012). The method is based on using the messages provided by the Automatic Identification System (AIS), which enable the positioning of ship emissions with a high spatial resolution (typically a few tens of metres). The model also takes into account the detailed technical data of each individual vessel. The AIS messages were received from the Finnish AIS network.

The geographical domain of ship emission modelling was selected so that all the major harbours in Helsinki were included. We modelled the emissions (i) from ships cruising in the selected domain in the vicinity of Helsinki; (ii) from ships manoeuvring in harbours; and (iii) from the use of diesel generators at ships while at berth. Emissions from other sources in harbours, such as various harbour machinery, were not included. The computational domain of the shipping emissions comprises a rectangular area,





the extent of which is 21.5 km in the east to west direction, and 25.5 km in the north to south direction. The cell size of the computational grid is 0.001°. This domain is slightly larger than the computational domain for evaluating exposures, as we considered it appropriate to include also the shipping emissions originated from the sea areas in the vicinity of Helsinki.

# 2.2.3 Emissions originated from stationary sources

The emissions from major stationary sources in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area were mainly originated from energy production and other industrial sources. Small-scale combustion was not included in this study, as the spatial distribution of the emission data is not known with sufficient accuracy.

# 2.3 Dispersion modelling

5

The atmospheric dispersion modelling system utilized in this study combines the road network dispersion model CAR-FMI (Contaminants in the Air from a Road) for vehicular traffic and shipping, and the UDM-FMI model (Urban Dispersion Model) for stationary
 <sup>15</sup> sources. These models have been addressed in detail by, e.g., Karppinen et al. (2000a) and Kukkonen et al. (2001). Both of these models are multiple source Gaussian urban dispersion models. The dispersion parameters are modelled as a function of Monin–Obukhov length, friction velocity, and boundary layer height, which are computed with meteorological pre-processing model MPP-FMI (Karppinen et al., 2001) that utilizes as
 <sup>20</sup> input values synoptic weather observations and soundings.

 $PM_{2.5}$  was treated as a tracer contaminant, i.e., no chemical reactions or aerosol processes were included in the calculations. The computations included approximately 5000 line sources for vehicular traffic for both years and 40 stationary sources (power plants and industrial facilities) for 2009.

<sup>25</sup> For 2008, the regional and long-range transported (LRT) background concentrations were based on the concentrations computed with the LOTOS-EUROS model (Schaap



et al., 2008). We selected as the LRT background values the predicted hourly PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations at a model grid square (approximately of the size of 7 km × 7 km) that includes the regional background station Luukki; this site has been found to represent well the LRT background concentrations for the Helsinki Metropolitan Area. The reason for using the predictions of the LOTOS-EUROS model was the harmonization of regional background computations in the EU-funded TRANSPHORM project

(www.transphorm.eu). However, for 2009, we used as the LRT background concentrations the measured values at the site Luukki. The concentrations were computed in an adjustable grid. The receptor grid intervals

The concentrations were computed in an adjustable grid. The receptor grid intervals ranged from approximately 20 m in the vicinity of the major roads to 500 m on the outskirts of the area. The number of receptor points was more than 18 000 and more than 6000 for the computations of vehicular traffic and shipping, and for the stationary sources, respectively.

- The CAR-FMI model has previously been evaluated against the measured data of <sup>15</sup> urban measurement networks in Helsinki Metropolitan Area and in London both for gaseous pollutants (e.g., Karppinen et al., 2000b; Kousa et al., 2001; Hellén et al., 2005) and for PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Kauhaniemi et al., 2008; Sokhi et al., 2008; Singh et al., 2013). The performance of the CAR-FMI model has also been evaluated against the results of a field measurement campaign and other roadside dispersion models (Kukkonen
- et al., 2001; Öttl et al., 2001; Levitin et al., 2005). The UDM-FMI has been evaluated against the measured data of urban measurement networks in Helsinki Metropolitan Area (Karppinen et al., 2000b; Kousa et al., 2001) and the tracer experiments of Kincaid, Copenhagen and Lilleström. The main limitation of Gaussian dispersion models is that they do not allow for the detailed structure of buildings and obstacles.

#### 25 2.4 Modelling of human activities

We obtained the information on the location of the population from the data set that has been collected annually by the municipalities of the Helsinki Metropolitan Area. The human activity data within the EXPAND model is based on this data set. The





dataset contains information on the dwelling houses, enterprises and agencies located in the area in 2009. The dataset provides geographic information on the total number and age distribution of people living in a particular building, and the total number of people working at a particular workplace. The data also includes information on the number and location of people in shops, restaurants and other recreational activities.

The location of people in traffic was evaluated using the computed traffic flow information. This information is available separately for buses, cars, trains, trams, metro, pedestrians, and cyclists for each street and rail section on an hourly basis. Neither this information nor the above mentioned information from the municipalities identifies individual persons. Time activity of people in harbours was based on the numbers of travellers in each ship line and the time tables of ships arriving to and departing from Helsinki.

10

The time-microenvironment activity data for both years considered (2008 and 2009) is based on the time use survey by Statistics Finland. The time activity data were collected from 532 randomly selected over 10 year old inhabitants in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area for the years 2009 and 2010 (OSF, 2013). There was no detailed information on the time activities of children that are younger than or equal to 10 years old; it was therefore assumed in the activity modelling that such children stay at home all the time. This assumption will probably result in only moderate inaccuracies, as most of the childcare facilities and schools are located within a radius of three kilometres from a child's home.

Population time-activity data was divided into four micro-environments: home, workplace, traffic, and other activities. The time-activity data is updated by the municipalities once in every 10 years. The data that we have used in this study (corresponding to the year 2009) is therefore better representative for the last few years than the data used in the previous EXPAND model version (Kousa et al., 2002). The previously applied time-microenvironment activity data was provided for Helsinki in the EXPOLIS study. The EXPOLIS activity data included only adult urban populations, from 25 to 55 years of age, whereas the new activity data comprises of all population age-groups.





## 2.5 The infiltration of outdoor air indoors

Indoor air quality is determined by the efficiency of infiltration of outdoor air indoors, ventilation and indoor air pollution sources. An infiltration factor ( $F_{inf}$ ) for pollutant species a is defined as

5 
$$F_{inf} = \frac{C_{ai}}{C_a}$$

where  $C_{ai}$  is the indoor air concentration of species *a* originating from ambient air, and  $C_a$  is the indoor air concentration of species *a*. By definition  $0 \le F_{inf} \le 1$ .

The infiltration rates of ambient air particles in the previous version of the EXPAND model were estimated using data based on the EXPOLIS study. This was a population representative study on working age people, conducted in 1996–1997. It included measurements of indoor and outdoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations, and X-ray fluorescence analysis of elemental markers (Hänninen et al., 2004; Jantunen et al., 1998; Rotko et al., 2000). Elemental sulphur was used as a marker of the outdoor originating parti-

<sup>15</sup> cles in 84 residences. The i/o ratios of sulphur in particles were also corrected to allow for the particle size distributions (Hänninen et al., 2004).

The infiltration factors at workplaces of the same subjects were also analysed. The workplaces are distributed following a random population sample, but differences between different types of workplaces could not be evaluated, due to the limited number

of subjects. Data on infiltration factors in public buildings is scarce; it has therefore been assumed that the values determined in the EXPOLIS project correspond to all workplaces.

In this study, the previous EXPOLIS infiltration estimates were updated, using also aerosol measurements in the ULTRA-2 study. These aerosol samples were collected in

Helsinki in 1999, including a sample of homes of 47 cardiovascular patients, with 4–5 repeated measurements (Lanki et al., 2008). The set of homes is smaller in this sample, but the methods were updated to include a treatment of particle size dependent behaviour. The comparison of the results obtained using sulphur-based and aerosol



(1)



methods revealed significant differences in the aerosol parameters; in particular, regarding the deposition rate and the estimation of the air exchange rates. Nevertheless, the  $PM_{2.5}$  infiltration factor distributions of residences were almost identical and were not affected by the improved methods.

- <sup>5</sup> The infiltration factors in the present study are based on the results that have been summarised in Table 1. These PM<sub>2.5</sub> infiltration rates were estimated based on residential and workplace measurements using two relatively large population-based datasets (EXPOLIS and ULTRA-2). We therefore evaluate that the residential infiltration rates have been fairly reliably estimated for the 1996–1999 building stock. The corresponding values for workplaces, representing partly public buildings and partly private occupa-
- tional businesses, are available only from the EXPOLIS study. The infiltration estimates for non-residential buildings therefore contain more substantial uncertainties.

For simplicity, a weighted average of the presented results, i.e., the value of 0.57, was assumed to represent both the home and work environments. As the information in the case of traffic and other microenvironments was very scarce, it was assumed

<sup>15</sup> in the case of traffic and other microenvironments was very scarce, it was assumed that the infiltration factor would be equal to one for those microenvironments.

The Finnish building code (EP, 2002) has been updated in 2002 and 2010, setting new requirements for improved energy efficiency and improved filtration in ventilation. The infiltration rates will therefore be lower in buildings that have been built after the

two above mentioned studies. Hänninen et al. (2005) estimated that there was a 20% reduction of infiltration factors in the building stock that was built in the 1990's, in comparison with older buildings. The same long-term trend has continued in the 2000's. Considering all buildings, the impact on infiltration factors of improved energy efficiency and filtration in ventilation is much smaller, due to the slow renewal rate of the building stock, estimated to be of the order of 1–2% annually.

## 2.6 Modelling of exposure

Exposure to air pollutants can be represented as the sum of the products of time spent by a person in different locations and the averaged air pollutant concentrations





prevailing in those locations. These locations are commonly categorised into microenvironments, which are assumed to have homogeneous pollutant concentrations. Exposure can therefore be written as:

$$E_i = \sum_{j=1}^m T_{ij} C_j$$

5

where  $E_i$  is the total exposure of person *i* in various micro-environments [µgm<sup>-3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>], *m* is the number of different microenvironments,  $T_{ij}$  is the time spent in microenvironment *j* by person *i* [s] and  $C_{ij}$  is the air pollutant concentration that person *i* experiences in microenvironment *j* [µgm<sup>-3</sup>]. Equation (2) can also be interpreted as a weighted sum of concentrations, in which the weights are equal to the time spent in each microenvironment.

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the average exposure of the population with reasonable accuracy, instead of the personal exposures of specific individuals. The exposure modelling in case of homes is done by combining residential coordinates with the information on the number of inhabitants at each building and the time spent at home during each day. Correspondingly, for the workplace coordinates, the number of the personnel and the time spent at the workplace are combined.

The population activities at other locations (such as, e.g., shops, restaurants, cafes, pubs, cinemas, libraries and theatres) are evaluated using statistical information of leisure time (CHUF, 2009). The number of persons in traffic is evaluated based on the

<sup>20</sup> leisure time (CHUF, 2009). The number of persons in traffic is evaluated based on the predicted traffic flows. In the case of buses, trains, metro, trams and pedestrians and cyclists, the number of persons and the time they spend in each street or rail section is estimated using the traffic-planning model EMME/2. In case of private cars, the EMME/2 model predicts the number of cars; we assumed that the number of passen-

<sup>25</sup> gers in each car is equal to the average value in the area, i.e., 1.31 (Hellman, 2012).

The concentrations are interpolated on to a rectangular grid in the model. The data regarding population activities (number of persons \* hour) is also converted to the same



(2)



grid. For this study, the grid-size was selected as  $50 \text{ m} \times 50 \text{ m}$ . The GIS system MapInfo is subsequently utilised in the post-processing and visualisation of this information.

The model has also been extended to be able to use various internationally used coordination systems; details have been reported in Appendix A.

## 5 2.7 Modelling of intake fractions

The EXPAND model was refined to calculate not only exposures, but also intake fractions (iF) for the available substances. The iF is defined as intake by humans via relevant exposure pathways, divided by the emissions of the pollutant. For instance, an intake fraction of one in a million  $(10^{-6})$  means that for every tonne of a pollutant emitted, 1 g is inhaled by the exposed population. The iF concept provides a measure of

- ted, 1 g is inhaled by the exposed population. The IF concept provides a measure of the portion of a source's emissions that is, e.g., inhaled by an exposed population over a defined period of time. The iF concept can be useful in both screening-level order-ofmagnitude estimates and more detailed policy modelling of non-reactive compounds (Bennett et al., 2002).
- The model allows for the estimation of the spatial and temporal distribution of iF's, by combining and processing different input values: time-microenvironment activity data, the spatial location of the population, micro-environmental population breathing rates, and pollutant concentration distributions (Loh et al., 2009). The emissions can be considered for one source only, or for a selected source category. The iF can be calculated using exposure estimates for the micro-environments of interest and the average breathing rate of a population, while in each micro-environment.

#### 3 Results and discussion

We address results computed for two years, 2008 and 2009. Both computations include the regionally and LRT pollution. The computations for both years include also the vehicular emissions in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area; those for 2009 include additionally





25

2349

the emissions from major stationary sources and the emissions from shipping in the vicinity and in the harbours of Helsinki.

# 3.1 Predicted emissions of PM<sub>2.5</sub>

The total emissions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> originated from vehicular traffic were 322 t for the Helsinki <sup>5</sup> Metropolitan Area in 2008, and 202 t for Helsinki in 2009. The vehicular emissions include exhaust emissions (these include also cold start and driving) and road suspension emissions. The emissions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> originated from ships were estimated to be 204 t in Helsinki in 2009. The PM emissions originated from major stationary sources were 225 t in Helsinki in 2009, according to Lappi et al. (2008). In summary, the total annual emissions from vehicular sources and from shipping were approximately the same, and the emissions from major stationary sources were slightly higher than those from vehicular or shipping sources.

The emissions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> originated from small-scale wood combustion have been estimated to be equal to 157 t for the Helsinki Metropolitan Area in 2012 by Gröndahl <sup>15</sup> et al. (2013). This value is slightly less than half of the corresponding vehicular emissions in 2009. However, the spatial distribution of these emissions was not known with sufficient accuracy, for conducting dispersion computations.

The emissions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> originated from shipping in 2009 are presented in Fig. 1b. There are three main harbours in central Helsinki, listed from north to south: the Kulosaari harbour, the Southern harbour and the Western harbour. The emissions per unit area are largest within these three harbour areas. One reason for the relatively high shipping emissions in harbours is that auxiliary diesel engines are used for power generation while at berth; these engines have relatively higher emissions per power output, compared with the main engines (Jalkanen et al., 2012). The second largest emissions occur along the main shipping routes from Helsinki to Tallinn (the southward

ones) and to other major cities.





## 3.2 Predicted concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub>

The predicted concentrations for vehicular emissions and LRT in 2008 are presented in Fig. 2. The centre of Helsinki is on a peninsula that is located approximately in the middle of the southern part of Fig. 2. The LRT is responsible for a substantial fraction

of the total PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. The concentrations are highest in the vicinity of the main roads and streets, and in the centre of Helsinki. Figure 2 shows also the distinct influence of the ring roads number 1 (situated at a distance of about 8 km from the city centre) and number 3 (situated about 15 km from the city centre), the major roads leading to the Helsinki city centre, and the junctions of major roads and streets. The
 overall characteristics of the spatial distribution of the predicted concentrations in 2009 were very similar to those in 2008, and are therefore not presented here.

Averaging the results, for 2008, over all receptor grid locations, shows that LRT, vehicular traffic and shipping contribute 86 %, 11 % and 3 % to the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations, respectively. Although the average contribution of shipping to the total  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations.

trations within the whole of the modelled domain was modest, this contribution can be higher than 20% in the vicinity of the harbours (within a distance of approximately one kilometre).

The computations for 2008 have been evaluated against the measurement data from the air quality monitoring network at the Helsinki Metropolitan Area; for a detailed discussion of these comparisons, the reader is referred to Aarnio et al. (2014). In general, the agreement of the measured and predicted values was good or fairly good. For instance, the index of agreement that corresponds to the comparison of predicted and measured hourly time series of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations varied from 0.72 to 0.73 at the available three stations, whereas the fractional bias varied from -0.16 to -0.22.

It is appropriate to evaluate, whether the above mentioned values on the contribution of shipping and harbours on the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations are correct. We have therefore compared the predicted annual average concentration values with the available measurements of the Helsinki Region Environmental Services Authority in the vicinity of





harbours from 2008 to 2010 (Table 2). For two stations, the year of measurement was not the same as the predicted year (2009); these comparisons are therefore only qualitative. The measured data included from 95 to 97% of the hourly values for all these stations.

Regarding the values at the stations in the vicinity of the harbours, the agreement of the predicted and measured annual means ranged from 5 to 9%. This adds some confidence that the predicted contributions from shipping are probably approximately correct. The annual averages of the measured and predicted urban background values also differed only slightly. However, for the computations in 2009 we have used the measured regional background concentration values, which constitute a substantial fraction of the predicted concentrations.

#### 3.3 Predicted time-activities

The time-activity of the population was divided into four categories: home, workplace, traffic and other activities. The diurnal variation of population activities in various mi-

- <sup>15</sup> croenvironments in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area is presented in Fig. 3. The children that are younger or equal to 10 years have been excluded from the data of this figure; however, they are included in the subsequent exposure computations. In the data presented in this figure, we have combined indoor and outdoor time-activity in each microenvironment.
- <sup>20</sup> On average people spend most of their time at home environment. As expected, in the late afternoon and early evening, people spend a substantial fraction of their time in traffic and in other activities (these include shopping and various recreational activities). The results presented in Fig. 3 can be compared with the previously applied time-activity data for the adult population, presented by Kousa et al. (2002). As expected,
- the more comprehensive sample of the population presented in Fig. 3 (including population of all ages larger than 10 years) includes a substantially larger fraction of home activities, and a smaller fraction of work activities.





The spatial and temporal distributions of the time-activity were modelled separately for each microenvironment. The annually averaged results have been presented in Fig. 4a–e. As expected, the population density values are highest in the centre of Helsinki (Fig. 4a). There are also elevated levels of population density in the vicinity of the district centres of the other major cities in the area (Espoo and Vantaa), and in the vicinity of major roads and streets. The work time-activities are focused in some regions of central Helsinki, in the district centres, and in some industrial areas, whereas the home activities, and partly also the other activities are much more evenly dispersed throughout the area.

### 10 3.4 Predicted exposures to PM<sub>2.5</sub>

#### 3.4.1 Exposures in various micro-environments in 2008

The population exposures were computed based on the predicted  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations and time-activities. The predicted concentration and population data were interpolated on to a rectangular grid with a grid size of 50 m. The population exposures were computed for each hour of the year, at  $18.7 \times 10^3$  receptor grid squares, separately for

the selected four micro-environments.

15

Population exposure is a combination of both the concentration and activity (or population density) values. The fractions of exposure in various micro-environments compared with the total population exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  have been presented in Fig. 5a.

These values include all age groups (including also children younger than 10 years). The exposure at home is responsible for most of the exposure, 60 %; whereas the work and other activities exposures are responsible for most of the rest of the exposure, i.e., 19 and 17 %, respectively.

We have compared the shares of time-activity and exposure in each microenvironment in Table 3, according to the computations. The contributions to the total time-activity and exposure are similar for home, work and other activities microenvironments; this indicates that there are no major relative differences in the average





concentrations prevailing at those microenvironments. However, for traffic the contribution to exposure is substantially higher than the corresponding contribution to timeactivity. This is mainly caused by the relatively higher concentrations on the roads and streets and in their vicinity.

We have presented the spatial distributions of the predicted annual average population exposures in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area in 2008 in Fig. 6a–e, for the total exposure and separately for all micro-environments. These distributions exhibit characteristics of both the corresponding spatial concentration distributions and time-activities. There are elevated values in the Helsinki city centre, along major roads and streets, and in the vicinity of urban district centres. The high home and work exposures in the centre of Helsinki are caused both by the relatively high concentrations and the highest population and workplace densities in the area.

The spatial distributions of the population exposures at home and work correlate poorly (cf. Fig. 6b and c). The reason is that while most of the work environments are located either in the centre of Helsinki and in district centres, or in major industrial, service and commercial regions, a substantial fraction of residences are also located in suburban areas.

As expected, the exposure while in traffic is focused along the main network of roads and streets, and in their immediate vicinity. These exposures may be under-predicted for three main reasons. First, the traffic flow and emission modelling does not completely allow for all the effects of traffic congestion. The traffic flow modelling does take into account the slowing down of traffic in certain regions and streets, and the emission modelling takes into account the dependency of emissions on the travel speed. However, the emission modelling does not take into account the effects of idling, and the deceleration and acceleration of vehicles. Traffic congestion occurs frequently in the

deceleration and acceleration of vehicles. Iraffic congestion occurs frequently in the centre of Helsinki, and also along the main roads and streets, especially during rush hours. Second, the dispersion modelling and the spatial averaging does not allow for the very fine-scale (< 50 m), highest peak concentrations above the roads and streets. The dispersion modelling also does not include any treatment for dispersion in street</p>





canyons, which tends to result in an under-prediction of concentrations. Third, we have assumed that the infiltration factor for all vehicles is equal to one. This factor could possibly be much higher, e.g., in buses, due to frequent stopping.

## 3.4.2 Exposures originated from various source categories in 2009

- <sup>5</sup> The population exposures from various source categories were also computed for each hour of the year. The contribution of each source category to the total population exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in the Helsinki have been presented in Fig. 5b. The population exposure originated from the LRT background concentrations is responsible for a major fraction, 86 %, of the total exposure. The second largest contributors are vehicular emissions (12 %) and shipping (2 %). The exposure originated from major sta-
- tionary sources is negligible, caused by the dispersion of pollutants to wide regions due to high stacks for most of these installations. However, the above mentioned percentage values include some uncertainties, due to excluding the small-scale combustion from these computations.
- We have presented in Fig. 7a–c the spatial distributions of annually averaged predicted population exposures to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Helsinki in 2009, originated from various source categories. The population exposure caused by shipping is focused in central Helsinki, near the main harbours and within some densely inhabited parts of the city. As expected, the population exposure is relatively substantially lower within the main park areas (e.g., Central Park, and the parks of Kaisaniemi and Kaivopuisto) and a ceme-
- tery (Hietaniemi). In the harbours and their vicinity (approx. 1 km from the harbour), the contribution of shipping to total exposure can reach up to 20 %.

#### 4 Conclusions

We have presented a refined version of a mathematical model for the determination of human exposure to ambient air pollution. The revisions of the modelling system





include several substantial refinements. (i) The treatment of the time-use of population has been extended to include all the age groups and a wide range of activities, including detailed treatments of the various traffic modes, and a wide range of recreational activities. (ii) The infiltration coefficients from outdoor to indoor air have been updated

- <sup>5</sup> based on new information from the ULTRA-2 study. (iii) The revised model version can also be used for evaluating intake fractions, and the model can be applied using several internationally applied coordinate systems. The model can be used for evaluating specific population exposures, e.g., in terms of population age-groups, microenvironments, source categories or individual sources.
- <sup>10</sup> Numerical results have been presented on the spatial concentrations, the time activity and the population exposures to  $PM_{2.5}$  in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area for 2008 and in Helsinki for 2009. The computations included the regionally and long-range transported pollution, the vehicular emissions, the emissions from major stationary sources and the emissions from shipping in the sea areas and in the harbours of Helsinki. The
- above mentioned emission source categories contain all the most important sources in the area, except for small-scale combustion (such as, residential heating using fireplaces). It was not possible to take into account those residential sources, due to scarcity of spatial emission data.

We have conducted an unprecedentedly detailed and accurate emission inventory of PM<sub>2.5</sub> originated from shipping in 2009, using the STEAM emission model. The emissions per unit area were largest within three major harbour areas in Helsinki; the second largest emissions occurred along the main shipping routes. This study presents for the first time for this capital region quantitative evaluations of the influence of shipping emissions on the concentrations and population exposure.

<sup>25</sup> A comprehensive and up-to-date inventory was compiled of the time-activity of the population of approximately 1.0 million inhabitants. This inventory included the fine-scale spatial distributions of hourly time-activity of all the age groups of the population during a year, classified into four micro-environmental categories: home, workplace, traffic and other activities. On average, people spend most of their time at home. As





expected, in the late afternoon and early evening, people spend a substantial fraction of their time in traffic and in other activities (these include, e.g., shopping and various recreational activities). The work time-activities are focused in some regions of central Helsinki, in the district centres, and in some industrial areas, whereas the home activities are much more evenly dispersed throughout the area.

Finally, we evaluated the population exposures both in terms of the microenvironments and the main source categories. Approximately 60% of the total exposure occurred at home, 17% at work, 4% in traffic and 19% in other microenvironments. The spatial distributions of the population exposures exhibit character-

- istics of both the corresponding spatial concentration distributions and time-activities. There were elevated exposure values in the Helsinki city centre, along major roads and streets, and in the vicinity of urban district centres. The high home and work exposures in the centre of Helsinki were caused both by the relatively high concentrations and the highest population and workplace densities in the area.
- As expected, the exposure while in traffic was focused along the main network of roads and streets, and in their immediate vicinity. However, the exposures in traffic may be under-predicted in this study for three main reasons. First, the emission modelling does not explicitly allow for the traffic congestion. Second, the dispersion modelling and the spatial averaging do not allow either for the dispersion in street canyons or the very fine-scale concentration distributions above the roads and streets.

The population exposure originated from the LRT background concentrations was responsible for a major fraction, 86%, of the total exposure. The second largest contributors were vehicular emissions (12%) and shipping (2%). The exposure originated from major stationary sources was marginally small. In the harbour areas and their vicinity (approximately at the distance of 1 km), the contribution of shipping to total exposure can reach up to 20%.

25

The values for the infiltration factors were updated based on the best available information, from the ULTRA-2 study. However, the assumed infiltration values are averages for residential and workplace buildings, and do not take into account the specific





characteristics of individual buildings, such as the efficiency of ventilation and the filtering of pollutants, or pollution sources and sinks within the indoor microenvironments. The relevant information regarding the whole of the building stock was not sufficient for conducting such assessments.

This model has been designed to be utilised by municipal authorities in evaluating the impacts of traffic planning and land use scenarios. It has been used, for instance, as an assessment tool in the revision of the transportation system plan for the Helsinki Metropolitan Area. Such detailed population exposure models can also be valuable tools of assessment to estimate the adverse health effects caused to the population by air pollution, both for the present and in the future. The model, including the GIS-based methodology, could also be applied on a regional scale in the future.

The methodologies developed, and the EXPAND model itself, are available to be utilised also for other urban areas world-wide, and within other integrated modelling systems, provided that sufficiently detailed concentration fields and time-activity surveys will be available. The executable program for Windows operating system for evaluating human exposure to air pollution in an urban area is available upon request from the authors.

#### **Appendix A**

## The coordinate systems of the model

20

15

The EXPAND model was refined to be able to compute exposures and intake fractions internationally using the following coordinate systems:

- 1. ETRS-GKn, in which GK refers to the Gauss-Krüger-projection and *n* stands for the zone of the projection (in total 13 projections),
- 25 2. longitude and latitude (WGS84) and





3. Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinate system.

In addition, the new model version can use the national Finnish coordinate system (abbreviated as KKJ) in all the defined zones.

 Acknowledgements. The study was supported by the EU Contract FP7-ENV-2009-1-243406
 (TRANSPHORM); EU Health Programme projects HEALTHVENT, Grant Nr. 2009 12 08; Academy of Finland Contracts 133792 (PM Sizex) and "The Influence of Air Pollution, Pollen and Ambient Temperature on Astma and Allergies in Changing Climate (APTA)". European Regional Development Fund, Central Baltic INTERREG IV A Programme within the project SNOOP; EU contract ENV4-CT95-0205 (ULTRA); and EU contract ENV4-CT96-0202 (EXPO-10 LIS, DG12-DTEE).

#### References

25

- Aarnio, M. A., Kukkonen, J., Kangas, L., Kauhaniemi, M., Kousa, A., Hendriks, C., Yli-Tuomi, T., Hoek, G., Brunekreef, B., Elolähde, T., and Karppinen, A.: Modelling of Particulate Matter Concentrations in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area in 2008 and 2010, in preparation, 2014.
- Ashmore, M. R. and Dimitripoulou, C.: Personal exposure of children to air pollution, Atmos. Environ., 43, 128–141, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.024, 2009.
  - Beckx, C., Int Panis, L., Arentze, T., Janssens, D., Torfs, R., Broekx, S., and Wets, G.: A dynamic activity-based population modelling approach to evaluate exposure to air pollution: methods and application to a Dutch urban area, Environ. Impact Asses., 29, 179–185, 2009.
- <sup>20</sup> Bennett, D., McKone, T., Evans, J., Nazaroff, W., Smith, K., Margni, M., Jolliet, O., and Smith, K. R.: Defining intake fraction, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 206A–211A, doi:10.1021/es0222770, 2002.
  - Borrego, C., Sá, E., Monteiro, A., Ferreira, J., and Miranda, A.: Forecasting human exposure to atmospheric pollutants – a modelling approach, Atmos. Environ., 43, 5796–5806, doi:10.1016/i.atmosenv.2009.07.049. 2009.
- City of Helsinki Urban Facts (CHUF): Statistical Yearbook of the City of Helsinki, Gummerrus Kirjapaino Oy, Jyväskylä, 2009.





Dimitroulopoulou, C., Ashmore, M. R., Hill, M. T. R., Byrne, M. A., and Kinnersley, R.: INDAIR: a probabilistic model of indoor air pollution in UK homes, Atmos. Environ., 40, 6362–6379, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.05.047, 2006.

Elolähde, T.: Traffic model system and emission calculations of the Helsinki Metropolitan

 Area Council, 20th International Emme Users' Conference, Montreal, available at: http: //www.inro.ca/en/pres\_pap/international/ieug06/1-3\_Timo\_Elolahde\_report.pdf (last access: 18 December 2013), 2006.

European Parliament (EP): Directive 2002/91/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2002 on the Energy Performance of Buildings, available at: http://eur-lex.

<sup>10</sup> europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32002L0091 (last access: 9 April 2014), 2002.

Gulliver, J. and Briggs, D.: Time-space modelling of journey-time exposure to traffic-related air pollution using GIS, Environ. Res., 97, 10–95, doi:10.1016/j.envres.2004.05.002, 2005.

Gröndahl, T., Makkonen, J., Myllynen, M., Niemi, J., and Tuomi, S.: Tulisijojen käyttö ja päästöt pääkaupunkiseudun pientaloista (The use of residential fireplaces and their emissions in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area), Helsingin seudun ympäristöpalvelut – kuntayhtymä, HSY, Helsinki, 2013 (in Finnish).

15

20

- Hänninen, O., Kruize, H., Lebret, E., and Jantunen, M.: EXPOLIS simulation model: PM<sub>2.5</sub> application and comparison with measurements in Helsinki, J. Expo. Anal. Env. Epid., 13, 74–85, doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500260, 2003.
- Hänninen, O., Lebret, E., Ilacqua, V., Katsouyanni, K., Künzli, N., Sram, R., and Jantunen, M.: Infiltration of ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> and levels of indoor generated non-ETS PM<sub>2.5</sub> in residences of four European cities, Atmos. Environ., 38, 6411–6423, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.07.015, 2004.
- Hänninen, O., Palonen, J., Tuomisto, J., Yli-Tuomi, T., Seppänen, O., and Jantunen, M. J.: Reduction potential of urban PM<sub>2.5</sub> mortality risk using modern ventilation systems in buildings, Indoor Air, 15, 246–256, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0668.2005.00365.x, 2005.
  - Hänninen, O., Hoek, G., Mallone, S., Chellini, E., Katsouyanni, K., Kuenzli, N., Gariazzo, C., Cattani, G., Marconi, A., Molnár, P., Bellander, T., and Jantunen, M.: Seasonal patterns
- of outdoor PM infiltration into indoor environments: review and meta-analysis of available studies from different climatological zones in Europe, Air Qual. Atmos. Health, 4, 221–233, doi:10.1007/s11869-010-0076-5, 2011.





- Hänninen, O., Sorjamaa, R., Lipponen, P., Cyrys, J., Lanki, T., and Pekkanen, J.: Aerosolbased modelling of infiltration of ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> and evaluation against populationbased measurements in homes in Helsinki, Finland, J. Aerosol Sci., 66, 111–122, doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2013.08.004, 2013.
- <sup>5</sup> Hellén, H., Kukkonen, J., Kauhaniemi, M., Hakola, H., Laurila, T., and Pietarila, H.: Evaluation of atmospheric benzene concentrations in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area in 2000–2003 using diffusive sampling and atmospheric dispersion modelling, Atmos. Environ., 39, 4003–4014, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.023, 2005.

Hellman, T.: Henkilöautojen Keskikuormitus Niemen Rajalla Helsingissä Vuonna 2012 (The average number of people in the personal cars in Helsinki year 2012), City of Helsinki, City

Planning Department, Traffic Planning, Publications on Air Quality, 23, 2012 (in Finnish). INRO: EMME/2 User's manual, INRO Consultants Inc., Montreal, Canada, 1994.

Jalkanen, J.-P., Brink, A., Kalli, J., Pettersson, H., Kukkonen, J., and Stipa, T.: A modelling system for the exhaust emissions of marine traffic and its application in the Baltic Sea area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9209–9223, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9209-2009, 2009.

- Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9209–9223, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9209-2009, 2009.
   Jalkanen, J.-P., Johansson, L., Kukkonen, J., Brink, A., Kalli, J., and Stipa, T.: Extension of an assessment model of ship traffic exhaust emissions for particulate matter and carbon monoxide, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 2641–2659, doi:10.5194/acp-12-2641-2012, 2012.
   Jantunen, M., Hänninen, O., Katsouyanni, K., Knöppel, H., Künzli, N., and Lebret, E.: Air pollu-
- tion exposure in European cities: the EXPOLIS-study, J. Expo. Anal. Env. Epid., 8, 495–518, 1998.

Jensen, S. S.: A Geographic Approach to Modelling Human Exposure to Traffic Air Pollution using GIS, Ph.D. thesis, National Environ. Res. Institute, Denmark, 1999.

Kauhaniemi, M., Karppinen, A., Härkönen, J., Kousa, A., Alaviippola, B., Koskentalo, T.,

- Aarnio, P., Elolähde, T., and Kukkonen, J.: Evaluation of a modelling system for predicting the concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in an urban area, Atmos. Environ., 42, 4517–4529, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.071, 2008.
  - Kauhaniemi, M., Kukkonen, J., Härkönen J., Nikmo, J., Kangas, L., Omstedt, G., Ketzel, M., Kousa, A., Haakana, M., and Karppinen, A.: Evaluation of a road dust suspension model for
- <sup>30</sup> predicting the concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> in a street canyon, Atmos. Environ., 45, 3646–3654, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.04.055, 2011.





- Karppinen, A.: Meteorological Pre-Processing and Atmospheric Dispersion Modelling of Urban Air Quality and Applications in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area, Finnish Meteorological Institute, Contributions No. 33, ISBN 951-697-552-6, University Press, Helsinki, 2001.
- Karppinen, A., Kukkonen, J., Elolähde T., Konttinen, M., Koskentalo, T., and Rantakrans, E.: A modelling system for predicting urban air pollution, model description and applications
- 5 in the Helsinki metropolitan area, Atmos. Environ., 34-22, 3723-3733, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00074-1, 2000a.
  - Karppinen, A., Kukkonen, J., Elolähde, T., Konttinen, M., and Koskentalo, T.: A modelling system for predicting urban air pollution, Comparison of model predictions with the data
- of an urban measurement network, Atmos. Environ., 34, 3735-3743, doi:10.1016/S1352-10 2310(00)00073-X, 2000b.
  - Kousa, A., Kukkonen, J., Karppinen, A., Aarnio, P., and Koskentalo, T.: Statistical and diagnostic evaluation of a new-generation urban dispersion modelling system against an extensive dataset in the Helsinki area, Atmos. Environ., 35, 4617-4628, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00163-7.2001.

15

Kousa, A., Kukkonen, J., Karppinen, A., Aarnio, P., and Koskentalo, T.: A model for evaluating the population exposure to ambient air pollution in an urban area, Atmos. Environ., 36, 2109-2119, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00228-5, 2002.

Kukkonen, J., Härkönen, J., Walden, J., Karppinen, A., and Lusa, K.: Evaluation of the disper-

- sion model CAR-FMI against data from a measurement campaign near a major road, Int. J. 20 Environ. Pollut., 35, 949-960, 2001.
  - Lanki, T., Hoek, G., Timonen, K. L., Peters, A., Tiittanen, P., and Vanninen, E.: Hourly variation in fine particle exposure is associated with transiently increased risk of ST segment depression, Occup. Environ. Med., 65, 782–786, doi:10.1136/oem.2007.037531, 2008.
- Lappi, S., Lovén, K., Rasila, T., and Pietarila, H.: Pääkaupunkiseudun päästöjen leviämismalli-25 selvitys. Energiantuotannon, satamatoiminnan, laivaliikenteen, lentoliikenteen, lentoasematoiminnan ja autoliikenteen typenoksidi-, rikkidioksidi-ja hiukkaspäästöjen leviämislaskelmat, Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, 2008.

Laurikko, J.: On Exhaust Emissions From Petrol-Fuelled Passenger Cars at Low Ambient Tem-

peratures, VTT Publications 348, Technical Research Centre of Finland, Espoo, 210, 1998. 30 Laurikko, J., Kukkonen, J., Koistinen, K., and Koskentalo, T.: Integrated Modelling System for the Evaluation of the Impact of Transport-Related Measures to Urban Air Quality. 2th Symposium "Transport and Air Pollution", Avignon, France, 2003.





Levitin, J., Härkönen, J., Kukkonen, J., Nikmo, J.: Evaluation of the CALINE4 and CAR-FMI models against measurements near a major road, Atmos. Environ., 39, 4439–4452, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.046, 2005.

Loh, M. M., Soares, J., Karppinen, A., Kukkonen, J., Kangas, L., Riikonen, K.,

- 5 Kousa, A., Asikainen, A., and Jantunen, M. J.: Intake fraction distributions for benzene from vehicles in the Helsinki metropolitan area, Atmos. Environ., 43, 301–310, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.082, 2009.
  - Mäkelä, K.: "LIPASTO Calculation Model: Unit Emissions of Traffic", VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Espoo, Finland, available at: http://lipasto.vtt.fi/info.htm (last access: 18 December 2013), 2002.
  - Official Statistics of Finland (OSF): Time Use Survey [e-publication], Helsinki, Statistics Finland, available at: http://www.stat.fi/til/akay/index\_en.html, last access: 14 January 2013.

Omstedt, G., Bringfelt, B., and Johansson, C.: A model for vehicle-induced nontailpipe emissions of particles along Swedish roads, Atmos. Environ., 39, 6088–6097, doi:10.1016/i.atmosony.2005.06.037.2005

<sup>15</sup> doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.06.037, 2005.

10

- Öttl, D., Kukkonen, J., Almbauer, R. A., Sturm, P. J., Pohjola, M., and Härkönen, J. H.: Evaluation of a Gaussian and a Lagrangian model against a roadside dataset, with focus on low wind speed conditions, Atmos. Environ., 35, 2123–2132, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00492-1, 2001.
- Pope, C. A. and Dockery, D. W.: Health effects of fine particulate air pollution: lines that connect, J. Air Waste Manage., 56, 709–742, 2006.
  - Rotko, T., Koistinen, K., Hänninen, O., and Jantunen, M.: Sociodemographic descriptors of personal exposure to fine particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in EXPOLIS Helsinki, J. Expo. Anal. Env. Epid., 10, 385–393, doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500104, 2000.
- Schaap, M. F. S., Timmermans, R. M. A., Roemer, M., Velders, G., Beck, J., and Builtjes, P. J. H.: The LOTOS-EUROS model: description, validation and latest developments, Int. J. Environ. Pollut., 32, 270–290, 2008.
  - Schweizer, C., Edwards, R.D, Bayer-Oglesby, L., Gauderman, W. J., Ilacqua, V., Juhani, M., Lai, H. K., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., and Künzl, N.: Indoor time-microenvironment-activity
- <sup>30</sup> patterns in seven regions of Europe, J. Expo. Sci. Env. Epid., 17, 170–181, doi:10.1038/sj.jes.7500490, 2007.





Singh, V., Sokhi, R., and Kukkonen, J.: PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in London for 2008 – a modeling analysis of contributions from road traffic, J. Air Waste Manage., online first, doi:10.1080/10962247.2013.848244, 2013.

Sokhi, R., Mao, H., Srimath, S. T. G., Fan, S., Kitwiroon, N., Luhana, L., Kukkonen, K., Haakana, M., van den Hout, K. D., Boulter, P., McCrae, I. S., Larssen, S., Gjerstad, K. I., San

5

Jose, R., Bartzis, J., Neofytou, P., van den Breemer, P., Neville, S., Kousa, A. Cortes, B. M., Karppinen, K., and Myrtveit, I.: An integrated multi-model approach for air quality assessment: development and evaluation of the OSCAR Air Quality Assessment System, Environ. Modell. Softw., 23, 268–281, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2007.03.006, 2008.





						iscussion Pa	<b>GN</b> 7, 2335–2	<b>IDD</b> 2375, 2014
						aper   Disci	Refine a mod evalua population	ment of del for ting the n exposure
<b>Table 1.</b> Compilation of available results on the $PM_{2.5}$ infiltration factors in the building stocks in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area, based on the results from the EXPOLIS and ULTRA-2 studies. In case of the EXPOLIS study, the main references have been listed. For the ULTRA-2 study,						ussion Pa	J. Soares et al. Title Page	
the methods have been mentioned; these infiltration factors have not been previously published. SD = standard deviation.					aper			
Acronym of study	Year	Type of buildings	Number of buildings	Infiltration factor (mean ± SD)	References or method		Abstract	Introduction
EXPOLIS EXPOLIS ULTRA-2 ULTRA-2	1996–1997 1996–1997 1999 1999	Residences Workplaces Residences Residences	84 94 47 (180) <sup>*</sup> 47 (180) <sup>*</sup>	$0.59 \pm 0.17$ $0.47 \pm 0.24$ $0.58 \pm 0.15$ $0.55 \pm 0.13$	Hänninen et al. (2004, 2011) Hänninen et al. (2005) Sulphur-based method (Hänninen et al., 2013) Aerosol-based method (Hänninen et al., 2013)	iscussion	Tables	Figures
* Number of daily measurements in parenthesis.					Pape	14	►I	

Acronym of study	Year	Type of buildings	Number of buildings	Infiltration factor (mean $\pm$ SD)	References or method
EXPOLIS	1996–1997	Residences	84	$0.59 \pm 0.17$	Hänninen et al. (2004, 2011)
EXPOLIS	1996–1997	Workplaces	94	$0.47 \pm 0.24$	Hänninen et al. (2005)
ULTRA-2	1999	Residences	47 (180)*	$0.58 \pm 0.15$	Sulphur-based method (Hänninen et al., 2013)
ULTRA-2	1999	Residences	47 (180)*	$0.55 \pm 0.13$	Aerosol-based method (Hänninen et al., 2013)



Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

Back

**Discussion** Paper

Close

Discussion Pa	<b>GN</b> 7, 2335–2	I <b>DD</b> 2375, 2014			
iber   Discussion	Refine a mod evaluat population J. Soar	ment of del for t <mark>ing the n exposure</mark> es et al.			
Paper	Title	Page			
_	Abstract	Introduction			
Disc	Conclusions	References			
lssion	Tables	Figures			
Pap	14	►I.			
Ū,	•	•			
	Back	Close			
iscussi	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
on P	Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version			
aper	Interactive	Interactive Discussion			

**Table 2.** Comparison between measured and predicted annual average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations ( $\mu g m^{-3}$ ) at the measurement sites in the vicinity of harbours, and at an urban background site in Helsinki. All modelled values are for 2009. SD = standard deviation based on the hourly values.

Name of the measurement site	Classification of the measurement site	Annual mean $\pm$ SD, modelled	Year of measurements	Annual mean $\pm$ SD, measured
Eteläranta	In the vicinity of a harbour	$8.7 \pm 3.3$	2010	$9.8 \pm 9.9$
Katajanokka	In the vicinity of a harbour	$8.0 \pm 2.9$	2009	$7.7 \pm 6.0$
Western harbour	In the vicinity of a harbour	8.2 ± 3.2	2008	8.7 ± 8.7
Kallio	Urban background	$8.2 \pm 3.0$	2009	$8.4 \pm 5.7$

	<b>Discussion</b> Pa	<b>GN</b> 7, 2335–2	GMDD 7, 2335–2375, 2014 Refinement of a model for evaluating the population exposure J. Soares et al.		
	per   Discussion	Refine a mod evaluat population J. Soar			
_	Paper	Title	Title Page		
_	—	Abstract	Introduction		
	Disc	Conclusions	References		
	ussion	Tables	Figures		
	Pape	I	►I		
	, L	•	•		
		Back	Close		
Full Sc		Full Scre	een / Esc		
	Printer-friendly Vers				
	iper	Interactive	Discussion		

Table 3. Contribution in each microenvironment to total time-activity and exposure.

Microenvironment	Contribution to total time-activity (%)	Contribution to total exposure (%)
Home	61	60
Work	18	17
Traffic	2	4
Other activity	18	19



**Fig. 1. (a)** Location of the harbours and the measurement sites in their vicinity in 2009. The notation for harbours: katajanokka harbour (KH), Southern harbour (SH), Western harbour (WH); and for the measurement sites: Eteläranta (EM), Katajanokka (KH), Western harbour (WM). The urban background measurement site at Kallio has also been marked in the figure. (b) The predicted emissions of  $PM_{2.5}$  originated from shipping (gcell<sup>-1</sup>) in Helsinki in 2009; the size of each grid cell is 0.001°.













**Fig. 3.** The diurnal variation of the activity of the population in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area in four microenvironments, based on the data for 2009 and 2010. Children that are younger or equal to ten years old have not been included in the statistics of this figure.





**Fig. 4.** The predicted density of population (no. persons), evaluated as an average for 2009 and 2010, for **(a)** all micro-environments, **(b)** home, **(c)** work, **(d)** traffic and **(e)** other activities. The grid size is  $50 \text{ m} \times 50 \text{ m}$ .







Fig. 4. Continued.







**Fig. 5.** Contribution to the total population exposures to  $PM_{2.5}$  (a) in each microenvironment in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area in 2008, and (b) originated from various source categories in Helsinki, in 2009.





**Fig. 6.** Predicted population exposure ( $\mu g m^{-3} \times no.$  people) to regionally and long-range transported pollution and the emissions originated from the urban vehicular traffic PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the Helsinki Metropolitan Area in 2008. Panel (a) all microenvironments, (b) home, (c) work, (d) traffic and (e) other activities.









2374

 $(\mathbf{\hat{H}})$ 

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 7.** Predicted population exposure ( $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup> × no. people) to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Helsinki in 2009. The unit is number of people ×  $\mu$ gm<sup>-3</sup>. The computations included regionally and long-range transported background, and the emissions originated from vehicular traffic, shipping and major stationary sources. Panel **(a)** total exposure, **(b)** only emissions from vehicular traffic and **(c)** only emissions from shipping.



Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper