

1 **Supplementary Material S1: Meteorological evaluation**

2 Comparison with METAR reveals that WRF (both 4 km and 1 km) depicts a high
3 agreement with observed temperature and wind, with r between 0.67-0.94 ([Table S1](#)).
4 However, WRF shows a tendency to underestimate mean T2M ($\sim 0.4^\circ\text{C}$ mean),
5 especially maximum and minimum (1.5°C and 1.7°C , p25 and p75, respectively); and
6 overestimate U10 at night and early morning ($\sim 1 \text{ ms}^{-1}$). Overall, the resolution increase
7 slightly improves T2M (bias in 0.1°C), U10 (bias in 0.1 ms^{-1} and r in 0.1) and WD10
8 (error in 52° and r in 0.1). However, it slightly decreases WD10 bias (in 2°).

9 Analysis of daily cycles by domain depicts different meteorological performance at
10 different study domains ([Fig. S1](#), [Table S1](#)). In AND, WRFv3.5 (at both resolutions)
11 shows problems to reproduce night wind speed with overestimation $\sim 1 \text{ ms}^{-1}$, and T2M is
12 systematically underestimated $\sim 1^\circ\text{C}$ along the daily cycle. The resolution increase has a
13 positive effect on T2M and U10. T2M and U10 errors and bias decrease (in 0.1°C and
14 0.2 ms^{-1}). T2M bias improvements are located in the daytime, but no specific time for
15 U10. Wind direction indicates dominant influence of southerly winds affecting AND,
16 which transport desert dust from North Africa as a result of the influence of the high
17 pressure system.

18 Over BCN, the WRF overestimation at night wind speed is present as well ($\sim 1 \text{ ms}^{-1}$) at
19 both resolutions. The T2M is slightly underestimated, but the main deviations are found
20 at daytime with a bias of $\sim 3^\circ\text{C}$ at both resolutions. The resolution increase has a
21 negative effect on T2M and U10. Although T2M bias decreases in 0.1° , especially at
22 night, T2M errors increase (0.2°C) and r decreases (from 0.90 to 0.85). For U10, errors
23 and bias also increase (0.1 ms^{-1} and 0.2 ms^{-1} , respectively), especially at night. The
24 speed direction reveals control of the mesoscale phenomena, sea breeze at daytime
25 (~ 170 deg) and land breeze during the night (~ 300 deg). They show that the simulated
26 wind directions are more northerly than those measured in the land breeze period;
27 meanwhile during the sea breeze period the mean simulated wind was more easterly
28 than the measurements registered.


29 In MAD, the meteorological fields underestimate observed T2M almost systematically
30 over the daily cycle in $\sim 2^\circ\text{C}$, and also underestimate U10 $\sim 2 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ at night at both
31 resolutions. Simulated wind directions are more southerly than those measured at night
32 time. Resolution increase has the lowest effect compared to other domains. Error and

1 bias for T2M and U10 do not change, only T2M bias is reduced by 7% when resolution
2 increases.

3 Table S1. Statistics for T2M, U10 and WD for April 2013 at METAR stations as a
4 function of horizontal resolution (4 km and 1 km). n indicates the number of pairs of
5 data used in the discrete evaluation on an hourly basis.

class	n (stations)	MB (μgm^{-3})		MGE (μgm^{-3})		RMSE (μgm^{-3})		r	
		4km	1km	4km	1km	4km	1km	4km	1km
T2M (°C)	All	6072 (10)	-1.25	-1.18	1.7	1.7	2	2	0.94
	AND	3689 (6)	-1.21	-1.14	1.7	1.6	2	2	0.94
	BCN	1216 (2)	-1.09	-1.04	1.5	1.6	1.8	2	0.90
	MAD	1167 (2)	-1.55	-1.44	1.8	1.8	2.2	2.2	0.96
U10 (m/s)	All	5769 (10)	0.64	0.58	1.5	1.5	2.1	2.1	0.67
	AND	3420 (6)	0.67	0.53	1.5	1.4	2	2	0.70
	BCN	1195 (2)	0.63	0.8	1.8	1.8	2.4	2.5	0.63
	MAD	1154 (2)	0.58	0.54	1.5	1.5	1.9	1.9	0.65
WD10 (deg)	All	5029 (10)	6.1	8.4	53	51	89	89	0.58
	AND	2876 (6)	14.5	17.7	48	47	80	79	0.61
	BCN	1094 (2)	3.2	2.3	61	58	98	97	0.53
	MAD	1059 (2)	-13.7	-10.7	57	57	101	102	0.53

6



1

2 Figure S1. Daily cycles for T2M, U10 and WD10 for each study domain at METAR
 3 stations as a function of resolution. Q1, Q2 and Q3 indicate quartiles for the daily cycle.
 4 Bars show Q1 and Q3 at each hour.

5