Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 6, C783–C785, 2013 www.geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/6/C783/2013/

© Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



# **GMDD**

6, C783-C785, 2013

Interactive Comment

# Interactive comment on " $\delta^{18}$ O water isotope in the *i*LOVECLIM model (version 1.0) – Part 3: A paleoperspective based on present-day data-model comparison for oxygen stable isotopes in carbonates" by T. Caley and D. M. Roche

## **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 13 June 2013

### **General Comments**

The authors present an evaluation of a pre-industrial simulation of carbonate delta O-18 in iLOVECLIM, a new version of the LOVECLIM Earth system Model of Intermediate Complexity (EMIC), described in a companion manuscript. A further companion manuscript provides an evaluation of the delta O-18 in water (precipitation and seawater). The model-data comparison is fairly comprehensive, addressing measurements

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



of delta O-18 in both continental and marine carbonates. The authors acknowledge issues regarding the simulation of delta O-18 in late Holocene speleothems, as iLOVE-CLIM excludes both local detail and additional fractionation processes that may be important. The simulation of delta O-18 in marine carbonates is more encouraging, and iLOVECLIM is a promising tool for interpretation of glacial-interglacial changes of delta O-18 in carbonate from both pelagic and benthic environments (allowing for the ice volume effect), with a particular focus on signals of change in hydrography and circulation. Model fidelity already allows insights into species-specific discrimination (e.g., seasonality), and there are prospects for incorporating species-specific fractionation effects in the future. As a model evaluation, the manuscript should be suitable for publication in GMD, subject to technical revisions as indicated below.

### **Technical Corrections**

- 1. p.2, l.2: "most useful tools"?
- 2. p.3, l.24: "proxies"
- 3. p.7, l.11: "obtained"
- 4. p.8, l.3: "preventing high elevations"
- 5. p.11, l.17: "has been found"
- 6. p.12, l.4: Fig. 8a shows mostly just the North Atlantic Ocean, so I suggest deleting "and the South Atlantic Ocean"
- 7. p.13, l.13: "Younger Dryas" (capitalized)
- 8. p.13, l.24: "too sparse" (rather than "rare")
- 9. p.15, l.8,9: "could constitute an interesting tool for mapping"
- 10. p.30, Fig. 7 caption: "The exclusion of these points"
- 11. p.31, Fig. 8a: The delta O-18 contours associated with the Arctic Front (AF) and

# **GMDD**

6, C783-C785, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



the Atlantic inflow (as discussed in the text on p.12) are not clear in the figure/from the caption - can this be remedied?

12. p.31, Fig. 8 caption: It should be noted that the legends are non-linear

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 6, 1527, 2013.

# **GMDD**

6, C783-C785, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

