

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Influences of calibration data length and data period on model parameterization and quantification of terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics” by Q. Zhu and Q. Zhuang

Q. Zhu and Q. Zhuang

zhuq@purdue.edu

Received and published: 12 June 2014

In this revised manuscript, we addressed two major issues mentioned by the reviewers: (1) data dissimilarity problem; (2) RMSE is a biased criterion to evaluate model performance. For the first problem, we replaced two AmeriFlux sites that have relative short observation records (5 years and 4 years) with two sites that have 7-years and 8-years data. These two AmeriFlux sites have the longest published data for shrubland and boreal forest. We re-did the calibration experiments and updated the results in the revision. For the second issue, we employed two other metrics including Mean

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) and Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient (NSE) as supplementary criteria to assess our model performance.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 6, 6835, 2013.

GMDD

6, C3073–C3074, 2014

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C3074

