Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 6, C1640–C1641, 2013 www.geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/6/C1640/2013/

© Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## **GMDD**

6, C1640-C1641, 2013

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "Automating the solution of PDEs on the sphere and other manifolds in FEniCS 1.2" by M. E. Rognes et al.

M. E. Rognes et al.

david.ham@imperial.ac.uk

Received and published: 10 October 2013

We would like to thank the reviewer for taking the time to read and comment on our paper.

The reviewer raises a specific question with respect to energy conservation in Fig 10. We agree that it is not possible to detect the exact energy conservation error from the plot. However the plot does serve the purpose of illustrating that there is real energy transfer between kinetic and potential energy, and therefore demonstrating that the energy conserving property is non-trivial. Since energy is conserved to machine precision, we do not feel that a graph showing essentially random fluctuations on the scale of  $10^{-15}$  would be particularly edifying. Instead, the revised paper will include the maximum conservation error  $(1.4 \times 10^{-15})$  in the caption. Furthermore, to ensure

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



that readers have the possibility of reproducing and verifying our results, we have modified <code>examples/linear-shallow-water/plot\_energies.py</code> in the supplementary materials to also calculate and print the maximum energy conservation error.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 6, 3557, 2013.

## **GMDD**

6, C1640-C1641, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

