

Interactive comment on “Forecasts covering one month using a cut cell model” by J. Steppeler et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 25 February 2013

The acceptance of this paper should depend on how the authors respond to the following points. Item 4 below requires a detailed response.

- 1) There is no mentioning of the boundary conditions.
- 2) Page 626 , line 17. “An improved version” In what sense was it improved?
- 3) Page 628. Line 27. Describe briefly what the differences between LM and CLM are.
- 4) Fig. 4. A serious problem is that the total precip amount in the entire domain is several times larger in the upper figure (noZ case) than in the lower figure (Z case).

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 6, 625, 2013.