

## ***Interactive comment on “Unified parameterization of the planetary boundary layer and shallow convection with a higher-order turbulence closure in the community atmosphere model: single column experiments” by P. A. Bogenschutz et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 24 August 2012

This manuscript describes the implementation of the CLUBB parameterization into the single-column version of CAM5. The implementation is tested with a number of relevant cases and sensitivity with respect to vertical grid spacing and time step is explored. Overall, these early results are very encouraging.

The manuscript would benefit from a few clarifications or modifications:

- On some of the vertical profile figures, it would be useful to add profiles of  $\overline{\theta}_t$  and  $\overline{q}_t$  as these variables can reveal the integrated impact of the parameterization

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

fluxes.

- Describe in more details the coupling between CLUBB and MG. Are CLUBB predicted pdfs being used in the microphysics? Are there any changes to the microphysics?
- P 1744, line 23: "Medieros" -> "Medeiros"
- P 1746, line 1: Larson and Golaz (2005, doi:10.1175/MWR2902.1) is also be relevant here.
- P 1747, line 2: For AM3, cite Donner et al (2011, doi:10.1175/2011JCLI3955.1).
- P 1747, line 11: "2011" -> "2010" according to the references.
- P 1748, line 11: does CLUBB predict  $\overline{u'w'}$  and  $\overline{v'w'}$ ?
- P 1748, line 13:  $q_j^o$  ->  $q_t^o$
- P 1748, second paragraph: how is the turbulence transport of scalars handled in CAM-CLUBB?
- P 1748, last paragraph. Please define SGS vertical velocity. Clarify how is it computed for LES, CAM-BASE and CAM-CLUBB. Also clarify elsewhere in the text where references are made to the SGS vertical velocity. For CAM-CLUBB, an alternative approach would be to integrate over the pdf of w predicted by CLUBB.
- P 1749, first paragraph. Tighter coupling between CLUBB and the microphysics may also help in representing aerosol effects on cloud dynamics (Guo et al, 2011, doi:10.1029/2011GL048611).
- P 1752 and Figure 2: CAM-BASE results for BOMEX cloud fraction appear to be substantially degraded compared to Bretherton et al. 2004 and Park and Bretherton (2009). Please elaborate in the text.

- P 1756, line 5: "i.e" -> "i.e."

---

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 5, 1743, 2012.

**GMDD**

5, C615–C617, 2012

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C617

