

Interactive comment on “The Rock Geochemical Model (RokGeM) v0.9” by G. Colbourn et al.

J. C. Hargreaves (Editor)

jules@jamstec.go.jp

Received and published: 8 August 2012

Dear David,

I'm not sure from your review whether you have fully comprehended the unique nature of GMD. GMD is a journal for full publication of model descriptions, as well as other aspects of model development and experimental configurations, coupling mechanisms, modelling frameworks, benchmarks etc. Our aim is scientific reproducibility, so here we want to see modelling details as fully explained as possible. In addition, if the model code is not included as supplementary material, then we expect that the means of obtaining the model code to be explained (passwords and all!).

While modelling science is welcome at GMD, we do not publish novel geoscientific discoveries, which have plenty of other avenues for publication. The manuscript types page on the GMD website (see link below) indicates the criteria for peer review of the

C521

various kinds of GMD papers.

Perhaps the authors would be kind enough to send you the in press paper that you refer to in your review, and then I would like to invite you to submit a revised comment on the manuscript. You can do so just by replying to this comment online. I hope you will consider doing this as I'm sure that your opinion is too valuable to waste on this kind of misunderstanding.

Best wishes,

jules

Please have a look at the information about GMD online:

Homepage:

<http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/home.html>

Manuscript types:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html

Model description:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/gmd_journal_white_paper.pdf

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 5, 2007, 2012.