



***Interactive comment on* “The SOCOL version 3.0 chemistry-climate model: description, evaluation, and implications from an advanced transport algorithm” by A. Stenke et al.**

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 21 December 2012

The paper introduces an updated version of the chemistry-climate model SOCOL. It is well written and very suitable for the scope of GMD. I recommend publication after some minor revisions, detailed below.

- 1) The authors should clarify the hybrid nature of the old transport scheme and mention the operator splitting between horizontal and vertical advection in the introduction.
- 2) I was always surprised how bad the semi-Lagrangian scheme in SOCOL performed; can implementation errors be excluded?
- 3) Define/use CCly consistently in all parts of the paper.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



- 4) Please clarify what is done where with the water vapour (CTM/Climate Model).
- 5) P3424, line10: change campaign to initiative
- 6) I presume all your tests were done at L39?
- 7) Could you clarify the horizontal and vertical grid of the CTM please (Gaussian, same as climate model)?
- 8) Start 2.2 with: The CTM MEZON ...
- 9) If I understand correctly your transport time-step was 2 hours and has now been reduced to 15 minutes. Wouldn't the performance of the semi-Lagrangian scheme improve as well using a 15 minute time-step?
- 10) P3432, line 17: Move this paragraph up.
- 11) P3432, line 27: Mention time-step caveat.
- 12) Not sure I understand the following statement; I assume your transport is done on the corresponding Gaussian grid as is the radiation? I would guess the other effect is second order? Isn't it more problematic that you have diagnostic vertical velocities? Some models have prognostic velocities in a semi-Lagrangian context (e.g. UМУKCA)?
- 13) P3435, line 4: Maybe you could expand this point to illustrate the change that occurs due to the interactive ozone.
- 14) P3441, line 8: I am surprised by this statement, Why is this? Is the resolution still too low?
- 15) P3443, line 21: Please explain the difference in definitions.
- 16) P3445, line 14: How are vertical velocities coupled?

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 5, 3419, 2012.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)