
Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 4, C97–C98, 2011 www.geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/4/C97/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "LANL* V2.0: global modeling and validation" by J. Koller and S. Zaharia

J. Lemaire

joseph.lemaire@uclouvain.be

Received and published: 31 March 2011

From a strict theoretical/mathematical point of view how does the young generation of scientists explain that a third adiabatic invariant should be better conserved than a second one.

Do you know really relevant observations supporting this extraordinary claim.

I still consider that all this a artificially fabricated world made upside down for a wrong reason.

According to such speculation shouldn't the first adiabatic invariant (the magnetic moment of a charged particle) be less well conserved than $L^{\star}\ldots$

C97

JFL

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 4, 575, 2011.