

Interactive comment on “Evaluation of a Dynamic Global Vegetation Model using time series of satellite vegetation indices” by F. Maignan et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 13 September 2011

Title: The model is not used as a DGVM here, so it seems strange to put DGVM in the title.

p. 912, line 10: not clear why it leads to unrealistic behavior. The improvement seems too minor to warrant publication. Also, since the crop version of ORCHIDEE has been published, the present seems backwards relative to existing work.

p. 913, line 20 versus 29: 2002 or 2008?

p. 922, line 12: ...but on p. 910 line 17 you didn't talk about two maps. Or do you mean different LAI?

p. 924 line 16: What does this mean relative to the previous conclusions about ERA-I and CRUncep?

C653

General: Easy to read despite multiple minor language mistakes. The method seems interesting. As I kept reading, I felt more favorable.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 4, 907, 2011.