
Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 4, C1179–C1180, 2011 www.geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/4/C1179/2011/

© Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "Supersaturation calculation in large eddy simulation models for prediction of the droplet number concentration" by O. Thouron et al.

## S. Ghan

steve.ghan@pnl.gov

Received and published: 13 December 2011

I enjoyed reading the paper. A few comments:

- 1. Which aerosol activation scheme is used? There are differences, and those differences could explain some of the differences between the results of Scheme A, B and C
- 2. Why do you treat in-cloud activation with scheme A? It was never designed for that, and is certainly not done in my applications to large-scale models. Why not just treat activation at cloud base? See Ovchinnikov and Ghan, JGR 2005.

C1179

3. It is possible to apply a different diagnostic treatment of supersaturation for secondary nucleation within the interior of clouds. See Ghan et al., J. Advances Modeling Earth Systems (2011).

Steve Ghan

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

\_\_\_\_\_

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 4, 3313, 2011.