



Interactive  
Comment

# ***Interactive comment on “Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project (PlioMIP): experimental design and boundary conditions (Experiment 1)” by A. M. Haywood et al.***

**J. C. Hargreaves (Editor)**

jules@jamstec.go.jp

Received and published: 18 December 2009

I tried, for some weeks, to find an additional reviewer for this paper who was a particular expert in the Pliocene, but could find none who considered themselves sufficiently unconnected with "PlioMIP" that they would contribute a review. Such experiences make me feel a bit uneasy about the science-by-committee approach of the MIPs.

Nevertheless, the path to acceptance in GMD is clear enough for this paper. For a MIP paper I think it is wise to err on the side of too much information, rather than risk failing to include important details. Thus the fact that the first reviewer in particular would like to see more detail, discussion and explanation should be taken seriously and used by

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



the authors as an opportunity to expand the paper.

**GMDD**

---

Interactive comment on *Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.*, 2, 1215, 2009.

2, C471–C472, 2009

---

Interactive  
Comment

C472

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)

