

Interactive comment on “A description of the FAMOUS (version XDBUA) climate model and control run” by R. S. Smith et al.

R. S. Smith et al.

Received and published: 7 November 2008

Abstract. rephrased to say we have "alleviated" the bias, rather than removed it.

Section 3. I don't see this - can you be more specific?

Figures 2,4,7. I've colourised these, but was the bluescale so bad? It looked about the same as greyscale to me (although more watery!) when I first did them - is greyscale not recommended anymore?

Fig10. I personally don't have access to enough HadCM3 data to do an ENSO spectrum, and I'd have to rerun an ADTAN completely - so, sorry, too much work! I've included some references to HadCM3 ENSO papers though.

Typos. Fixed in the revised ms.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



Supplementary info. We've thought about this a bit, but we're still not sure what to do. As far as any code or even basis files goes, Jonathan's pretty unsure that the MetOffice would be very happy with this kind of external distribution - do you have any specific experience? They're of little use, anyway, without access to a complete UM setup, in which case you could diff jobs names directly. We're happy enough to let you archive climatological data from the control run, but, depending on the variables and sampling frequency desired, we could probably use up as much disk space as you've got - how much space would we have to play with?

Interactive comment on Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 1, 147, 2008.

GMDD

1, S99–S100, 2008

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

