Geosci. Model Dev., 8, 115–128, 2015 www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/115/2015/ doi:10.5194/gmd-8-115-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





Assessing the nonlinear response of fine particles to precursor emissions: development and application of an extended response surface modeling technique v1.0

B. Zhao¹, S. X. Wang^{1,2}, J. Xing³, K. Fu¹, J. S. Fu⁴, C. Jang³, Y. Zhu⁵, X. Y. Dong⁴, Y. Gao^{4,6}, W. J. Wu¹, J. D. Wang¹, and J. M. Hao^{1,2}

¹State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

²State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Sources and Control of Air Pollution Complex, Beijing 100084, China
³US Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, USA

⁴Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA ⁵School of Environmental Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China ⁶Atmospheric Science and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99352, USA

Correspondence to: S. X. Wang (shxwang@ tsinghua.edu.cn)

Received: 13 July 2014 – Published in Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.: 5 August 2014 Revised: 6 December 2014 – Accepted: 22 December 2014 – Published: 30 January 2015

Abstract. An innovative extended response surface modeling technique (ERSM v1.0) is developed to characterize the nonlinear response of fine particles (PM2.5) to large and simultaneous changes of multiple precursor emissions from multiple regions and sectors. The ERSM technique is developed based on the conventional response surface modeling (RSM) technique; it first quantifies the relationship between PM2.5 concentrations and the emissions of gaseous precursors from each single region using the conventional RSM technique, and then assesses the effects of inter-regional transport of PM2.5 and its gaseous precursors on PM2.5 concentrations in the target region. We apply this novel technique with a widely used regional chemical transport model (CTM) over the Yangtze River delta (YRD) region of China, and evaluate the response of PM2.5 and its inorganic components to the emissions of 36 pollutant-region-sector combinations. The predicted PM2.5 concentrations agree well with independent CTM simulations; the correlation coefficients are larger than 0.98 and 0.99, and the mean normalized errors (MNEs) are less than 1 and 2% for January and August, respectively. It is also demonstrated that the ERSM technique could reproduce fairly well the response of PM2.5 to continuous changes of precursor emission levels between zero and 150 %. Employing this new technique, we identify the major sources contributing to $PM_{2.5}$ and its inorganic components in the YRD region. The nonlinearity in the response of $PM_{2.5}$ to emission changes is characterized and the underlying chemical processes are illustrated.

1 Introduction

Fine particles, i.e., particulate matter less than or equal to $2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ (PM_{2.5}), worsen visibility (Zhang et al., 2012), pose serious health risks (Nel, 2005; Walsh, 2014) and affect the Earth's climate significantly (Stocker et al., 2013). For developing countries like China and India, the attainment of stringent ambient PM_{2.5} standards requires large reductions of both primary particles and gaseous precursors (Wang and Hao, 2012). Cost-effective control policies need to consider the impact of emission reductions of multiple pollutants from multiple regions and sectors, and over a wide range of stringency levels. Therefore, it is strategically important to assess the response of PM_{2.5} to its precursor emissions from multiple sources, which is typically nonlinear owing to complex chemical mechanisms.

Chemical transport models (CTMs) are the only viable tools for evaluating the response of atmospheric concentrations to different control measures (Hakami et al., 2003). The most widely used technique to evaluate these responses is sensitivity analysis, i.e., the computation of derivatives of modeled concentrations with respect to emission rates. The brute force method (Russell et al., 1995; Y. Zhang et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2011, 2013c; Dong et al., 2014), the most frequently used method for sensitivity analysis, involves oneat-a-time variable perturbation and repeated solution of the model. It is straightforward but becomes inefficient for decision making when cost-effective emission controls need to optimize over various pollutants from multiple sources. A number of mathematic techniques embedded in CTMs have been developed to simultaneously calculate the sensitivities of the modeled concentrations to multiple variables, including the Green's function method (GFM) and its variations (Hwang et al., 1978), automatic differentiation in Fortran (ADIFOR; Carmichael et al., 1997), direct method (Dickerson et al., 1982), decoupled direct method (DDM; Yang et al., 1997), and adjoint sensitivity analysis (Sandu et al., 2005; Hakami et al., 2006). These methods are used for the calculation of first-order sensitivities, and are therefore not applicable for large emission changes since the nonlinearity in atmospheric responses is not captured by first-order sensitivities. Improved techniques incorporating second-order or higher sensitivity analysis, e.g., high-order decoupled direct method (HDDM; Hakami et al., 2003), and discrete second-order adjoints (Sandu and Zhang, 2008), are capable of capturing the nonlinearity for a perturbation of the emissions of the base case. But as methods for local sensitivity analysis, they are theoretically not reliable for predicting the response of atmospheric concentrations to considerably large (e.g., > 50-60%) emission reductions (Yarwood et al., 2013), which are nevertheless very common in air quality policy-making of developing countries such as China (Zhao et al., 2013b; Wang et al., 2014). Recent studies (Yarwood et al., 2013; Simon et al., 2013) tried to run HDDM at several emission levels and used a piecewise function to predict the atmospheric concentrations over a large emission range, but this modified method is only suitable for two to three variables. More importantly, this group of methods could hardly predict the response of atmospheric concentrations when multiple (>3)variables of precursor emissions change simultaneously.

Another group of methods involves building the relationship between the modeled concentrations and emission rates using statistical techniques. This type of method is applicable for various CTMs regardless of the chemical mechanisms, user friendly for decision makers, and particularly suitable for assessing the atmospheric response to large emission changes. Milford et al. (1989) and Fu et al. (2006) simulated the ozone concentrations for a number of nonmethane volatile organic compound (NMVOC) and NO_x reduction combinations, and derived a set of EKMA-like (Empirical Kinetics Modeling Approach) control isopleths, but this method is only suitable for two to three variables. Some other studies (Heyes et al., 1996; Wang and Milford, 2001; Amann et al., 2007) empirically established analytic equations for the relationship between atmospheric concentrations and emission rates, and determined the parameters based on relatively small numbers of model simulations. However, Xing (2011) indicated that the nonlinearity in atmospheric responses could not be captured in metropolitan regions unless fourth-order equations or higher were used, which restricted the feasibility and accuracy of analytic equations (see details in the Supplement). The response surface modeling (RSM) technique (denoted by the conventional RSM technique in the following text to distinguish from the extended response surface modeling (ERSM) technique developed in this study), has been developed by using advanced statistical techniques to characterize the relationship between model outputs and inputs. The number of scenarios required to build RSM depends on the family of models chosen. Recently, the conventional RSM technique has been applied to O₃- and PM_{2.5}-related studies or policy making in the United States (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2006a, b) and China (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). In those applications, the relationships between air pollutant concentrations and precursor emissions were established using the maximum likelihood estimation - empirical best linear unbiased predictors (MLE-EBLUPs) developed by Santner et al. (2003). Using this group of model, the number of model scenarios required to build the RSM depends on the variable number via a fourth-order equation or higher, even if the preferable sampling method and model configurations proposed by previous studies (Santner et al., 2003) are used (see details in the Supplement). Therefore, the required scenario number would be tens of thousands for over 15 variables and even hundreds of thousands for over 25 variables, which is computationally impossible for most threedimensional CTMs. This proves a major limitation for the conventional RSM technique. When considering the emissions of multiple pollutants from multiple sectors in multiple regions, assessing the nonlinear response of PM2.5 to emission changes presents a big challenge.

In response to this challenge, we developed a novel extended response surface modeling technique (ERSM v1.0) in this study. Compared with the previous methods reviewed above, this technique could characterize the nonlinear response of $PM_{2.5}$ and its chemical components to large and simultaneous changes of multiple precursor emissions from multiple regions and sectors with a reasonable number of model scenarios. In particular, compared with the conventional RSM technique, ERSM is applicable with an increased number of variables and geographical regions. This technique is applied with the community multi-scale air quality (CMAQ) model to evaluate the response of $PM_{2.5}$ and its inorganic components to precursor emissions over the Yangtze River delta (YRD) region, one of the largest city clusters in China. The major sources contributing to $PM_{2.5}$ and its inorganic components in the YRD are identified and the nonlinearity in the response of $PM_{2.5}$ to emission changes is characterized.

2 Methodology

2.1 Development of the ERSM Technique

The ERSM technique is developed starting from the conventional RSM technique; the latter characterizes the relationships between a response variable (e.g., PM2.5 concentration) and a set of control variables (i.e., emissions of particular precursors from particular sources) following the procedures described in our previous paper (Xing et al., 2011). First, a number of emission control scenarios are generated with the Latin hypercube sample (LHS) method (Iman et al., 1980), a widely used sampling method which ensures that the ensemble of random samples is representative of actual variability. Then the PM2.5 concentration for each emission scenario is calculated with a regional CTM, and finally the RSM prediction system is developed using a MPerK (MATLAB® Parametric Empirical Kriging) program (Santner et al., 2003) based on MLE-EBLUPs. The robustness of the conventional RSM technique has been validated through leave-one-out cross validation, out-of-sample validation, and 2-D isopleths validation, as documented in our previous papers (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011).

The ERSM technique first quantifies the relationship between PM_{2.5} concentrations and the emissions of gaseous precursors from each single region with the conventional RSM technique following the procedures described in the last paragraph, and then assesses the effects of inter-regional transport of PM2.5 and its gaseous precursors on PM2.5 concentration in the target region. In order to quantify the interaction among regions, we make a key assumption that the emissions of gaseous precursors in the source region affect PM_{2.5} concentrations in the target region through two major processes: (1) the inter-regional transport of gaseous precursors enhancing the chemical formation of secondary PM_{2.5} in the target region; (2) the formation of secondary $PM_{2.5}$ in the source region followed by transport to the target region. We quantify the contribution of these two processes to the interactions between any two regions, and assess the interregional influences among multiple regions by integrating the contributions of each process. Then, a particular approach was implemented to improve the accuracy of the response surface when the gaseous emissions from multiple regions experience quite large reductions simultaneously.

Finally, $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are linearly dependent on primary $PM_{2.5}$ emissions; therefore, we predict the changes of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations owing to the changes of primary $PM_{2.5}$ emissions by simply interpolating between the base case and a sensitivity scenario, where one control variable of primary $PM_{2.5}$ is disturbed and the other variables stay constant.

Since the method to develop the relationship between PM_{2.5} concentrations and primary PM_{2.5} emissions is straightforward, we will focus on the response of PM_{2.5} and its chemical species to the emissions of gaseous precursors in the following texts. To facilitate the explanation, we assume a simplified but general case which involves three regions, defined as A, B, and C, and three control variables in each region, i.e., NO_x emissions of sector 1, NO_x emissions of sector 2, and total NH₃ emissions. The response variable is PM_{2.5} concentration in the urban area of Region A. Although the technique is illustrated for this simplified case, it is also applicable for different response variable (e.g., NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} , and NH_4^+), and different numbers of regions, pollutants, or sectors. A detailed description of the ERSM technique using the simplified case is given below, and a flowchart illustrating this technique is shown in Fig. 1.

The emission control scenarios required to construct ERSM include (1) the base case, (2) N scenarios generated by applying the LHS method for the control variables in each single region, and (3) M scenarios generated by applying the LHS method for the total emissions of gaseous precursors (NO_x and NH₃ for this case) in all regions. The scenario numbers N and M are determined to ensure that they are sufficient to accurately construct the relationship between the response variable and randomly changing control variables using conventional RSM technique. Specifically, we gradually increase the scenario number and build the conventional RSM repeatedly until the prediction performance is good enough based on the results of out-of-sample validation (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). The mean normalized error (MNE) and correlation coefficients are selected as indices of prediction performance. In our previous paper (Xing et al., 2011), we showed that the normalized mean error first decreases and then gradually remains stable, with the increase of scenario number. In contrast, the correlation coefficient first increases and then gradually becomes stable. We used a criterion that MNE < 1% and correlation coefficient > 0.99, and determined that 30 and 50 scenarios were required to construct the conventional RSM for two and three variables, respectively. Therefore, for the simplified case, N = 50 and M = 30. The required scenario number for the simplified case is therefore 1 (the base case) + 50 (scenarios for each single region) \cdot 3 (number of regions) + 30 (scenarios for the total precursor emissions in all regions) = 181.

Employing conventional RSM technique, we build the response surface of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in Region A to the concentrations of precursors in Region A using the base case and the 50 scenarios where the variables in Region A change randomly but those in other regions remain constant:

$$[PM_{2.5}]_{A} = [PM_{2.5}]_{A0} + RSM_{A \to A}^{PM_{2.5}}([NO_{x}]_{A}, [NH_{3}]_{A}), (1)$$



Figure 1. A flowchart illustrating the ERSM technique using the simplified case described in Sect. 2.1. Different background colors represent the procedures conducted using different groups of emission scenarios, as indicated on the top/bottom of the colored areas.

where $[PM_{2.5}]_A$, $[NO_x]_A$, and $[NH_3]_A$ are the concentrations of PM_{2.5}, NO_x, and NH₃ in Region A, respectively. $[PM_{2.5}]_{A0}$ is the PM_{2.5} concentration in Region A in the base case. RSM represents the response surface we build with conventional RSM technique; the superscript (PM_{2.5} in this case) represents the response variable; the letters before and after the arrow in the subscript (both are A in this case) represent the source and receptor regions, respectively. Further, we develop the relationship between precursor concentrations and the changes of precursor emissions in Region A with the same 51 scenarios (we use NO_x concentration as example, and it is equivalent for NH₃):

$$[NO_{x}]_{A \to A} =$$

$$RSM_{A \to A}^{NO_{x}} (Emis_NO_{x-1}A, Emis_NO_{x-2}A, Emis_NH_{3}A),$$
(2)

where Emis_NO_x-1_A, Emis_NO_x2_A, and Emis_NH_{3A} are NO_x emissions of sector 1, NO_x emissions of sector 2, and total NH₃ emissions in Region A, respectively. $[NO_x]_{A\to A}$, representing the changes of NO_x concentration in Region A compared with the base case in response to the emission changes in the same region, is defined as

$$[NO_x]_{A \to A} = [NO_x]_A - [NO_x]_{A0},$$
(3)

where $[NO_x]_{A0}$ is the NO_x concentration in Region A in the base case.

Following similar procedures, the response of the concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and its gaseous precursors in Region A to the changes of precursor emissions in Region B (the same method applies for Region C) can be developed using the

base case and the 50 scenarios where the variables in Region B change randomly but those in other regions remain constant:

$$[PM_{2.5}]_{B \to A} =$$

$$RSM_{B \to A}^{PM_{2.5}} (Emis_NO_x_1_B, Emis_NO_x_2_B, Emis_NH_{3B}),$$
(4)

$$[NO_x]_{B \to A} =$$
(5)

 $RSM_{B \rightarrow A}^{NO_x}$ (Emis_NO_x_1_B, Emis_NO_x_2_B, Emis_NH_{3B}),

$$[NH_3]_{B \to A} = (6)$$

$$RSM_{B \to A}^{NH_3} (Emis_NO_{x_1}B, Emis_NO_{x_2}B, Emis_NH_{3B}),$$

where $[PM_{2.5}]_{B\to A}$, $[NO_x]_{B\to A}$, and $[NH_3]_{B\to A}$ are the changes of $PM_{2.5}$, NO_x , and NH_3 concentrations in Region A compared with the base case in response to the emission changes in Region B. Emis_ NO_x_1B , Emis_ NO_x_2B , and Emis_ NH_{3B} are NO_x emissions of sector 1, NO_x emissions of sector 2, and total NH_3 emissions in Region B, respectively.

As described above, the influence of gaseous precursor emissions in Region B on $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in Region A, as expressed by Eq. (4), can be broken down into two major processes: (1) the transport of gaseous precursors from Region B to Region A that enhances the chemical formation of secondary $PM_{2.5}$ in Region A; (2) the formation of secondary $PM_{2.5}$ in Region B followed by transport to Region A. In order to quantify the contribution of the first process, we firstly use Eqs. (5) and (6) to quantify the effect of the transport of gaseous precursors from Region B to Region A on the precursor concentrations in Region A. How much does the change of precursor concentrations in Region A enhance the chemical formation of secondary $PM_{2.5}$ in Region A? To answer this question, we introduce a straightforward assumption that the changes of PM2.5 concentration owing to changes of precursor concentrations in the same region (described by Eq. 1) are solely attributable to changes of local chemical formation. Strictly speaking, the changes of precursor concentration in Region A might affect the precursor concentrations/PM_{2.5} concentrations in other regions, which might in turn affect the PM_{2.5} concentrations in Region A; nevertheless, this indirect pathway is neglected in this study. For the case study over the YRD region (see details of the case study in Sect. 2.2), we estimate that, when the concentrations of NO_x, SO₂, and NH₃ in a specific region (Shanghai, Jiangsu, or Zhejiang) are all reduced 50%, the indirect pathway could only account for less than 2% of the total PM_{2.5} reduction (see details in the Supplement). This confirms our assumption that the indirect pathway is negligible.

Based on this assumption, the contribution of the first process to $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in Region A is expressed as

$$[PM_{2.5}_Chem]_{B\to A} = (7)$$

RSM^{PM_{2.5}}_{A\to A} ([NO_x]_{A0} + [NO_x]_{B\to A}, [NH₃]_{A0} + [NH₃]_{B\to A}),

where $[PM_{2.5}_Chem]_{B\rightarrow A}$ is the change of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in Region A affected by the changes of precursor emissions in Region B through the inter-regional transport of gaseous precursors (the first process). The contribution of the second process to $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in Region A (denoted by $[PM_{2.5}_Trans]_{B\rightarrow A}$ defined below) is then calculated by extracting the contribution of the first process from the total, as expressed by Eq. (8):

$$[PM_{2.5}_Trans]_{B\to A} = [PM_{2.5}]_{B\to A} - [PM_{2.5}_Chem]_{B\to A},$$
(8)

where $[PM_{2.5}]_{B\to A}$ is the change of PM_{2.5} concentration in Region A affected by the changes of precursor emissions in Region B through the transport of secondary PM_{2.5} (the second process).

We also need to know the relationship between $[PM_{2.5}]_{B\to A}$ and the precursor emissions in Region B. Therefore, we quantify this relationship using conventional RSM technique, as described by Eq. (9).

$$[PM_{2.5}_Trans]_{B \to A} = RSM_{B \to A}^{PM_{2.5}_Trans}$$
(9)
(Emis_NO_x_1_B, Emis_NO_x_2_B, Emis_NH_{3B})

For the emission scenario whose $PM_{2.5}$ concentration is to be predicted, we presume that its emissions of gaseous precursors in all the three regions are arbitrary. In this case, the change of $PM_{2.5}$ is expressed as an integrated effect of the changes of local precursor emissions, the inter-regional transport of precursors enhancing local chemical reactions, and the inter-regional transport of secondary $PM_{2.5}$:

$$\begin{split} [PM_{2.5}]_{A} &= [PM_{2.5}]_{A0} + RSM_{A \to A}^{PM_{2.5}} ([NO_{x}]_{A0} \qquad (10) \\ &+ [NO_{x}]_{A \to A} + [NO_{x}]_{B \to A} + [NO_{x}]_{C \to A}, \\ [NH_{3}]_{A0} + [NH_{3}]_{A \to A} + [NH_{3}]_{B \to A} + [NH_{3}]_{C \to A}) \\ &+ [PM_{2.5}_Trans]_{B \to A} + [PM_{2.5}_Trans]_{C \to A}, \end{split}$$

where $[PM_{2.5}_Trans]_{B\to A}$ is calculated using Eq. (9), and $[PM_{2.5}_Trans]_{C\to A}$ is calculated using an equivalent equation for which the independent variables are the gaseous emissions in Region C. It should be noted that $[PM_{2.5}_Trans]_{B\to A}$ cannot be calculated using Eq. (8) because Eq. (8) holds only if the emissions in the regions other than Region B remain at the base-case levels.

Strictly speaking, $[PM_{2.5}]_{B \rightarrow A}$ and $[PM_{2.5}]_{C \to A}$ could interact with each other. In other words, the changes of precursor emissions in Region C might affect the formation of secondary PM_{2.5} in Region B, which further affects the transport of secondary PM_{2.5} from Region B to Region A. Equations (9) and (10) imply an assumption that $[PM_{2.5}]_{B\to A}$ depends only on the precursor emissions in Region B, and is independent of precursor emissions in other regions. That is, the interaction between $[PM_{2.5}]_{B\to A}$ and $[PM_{2.5}]_{C \to A}$ is neglected. For the case study over the YRD region, we estimate that the reduction of precursor emissions in Jiangsu and Others by 50% could only change [PM_{2.5}_Trans]_{Zhejiang→Shanghai} (i.e., the change of PM_{2.5} concentration in Shanghai affected by the changes of precursor emissions in Zhejiang through the transport of secondary $PM_{2,5}$) by less than 1 % (see details in the Supplement). This confirms the above-mentioned assumption.

It should be noted that Eq. (1), which relates the changes of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in Region A (equivalent to the changes of local chemical formation of $PM_{2.5}$ as discussed above) to local precursor concentrations, is established using the base case and the 50 scenarios where the variables in Region A change randomly but those in other regions remain constant. This means Eq. (1) is only applicable for the concentration range below (we use NO_x as example, it is equivalent for NH_3):

$$\begin{split} [NO_x]_A &\geq [NO_x]_{A,min} = [NO_x]_{A0} + [NO_x]_{A \to A,min} \quad (11) \\ &= [NO_x]_{A0} + RSM_{A \to A}^{NO_x} \left(0, 0, 0 \right), \end{split}$$

where $[NO_x]_{A,min}$ is defined as the minimum NO_x concentration in Region A when the emissions from Region A change arbitrarily and those in other regions remain the base-case levels.

Equation (10) relies on Eq. (1) but might exceed its available range, i.e., $[NO_x]_A < [NO_x]_{A,min}$, or $[NH_3]_A < [NH_3]_{A,min}$, when the precursor emissions in multiple regions are reduced considerably at the same time. In this case,

we quantify the changes of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations owing to local chemical formation through a different approach. First, the local chemical formation of $PM_{2.5}$ can be tracked easily in widely used three-dimensional CTMs. For example, a module named process analysis has already been implemented in CMAQ, which outputs the contribution of major physical and chemical processes to air pollutant concentrations. The chemical formation of $PM_{2.5}$ in Region A is estimated as

$$Prod_PM_A = AERO_PM_A + CLDS_PM_A,$$
(12)

where AERO_PM_A and CLDS_PM_A are the contribution of aerosol process and in-cloud process to PM_{2.5} concentration in Region A, extracted from CMAQ using the module process analysis. When the ERSM technique is applied with other CTMs, the chemical formation of PM_{2.5} can be readily extracted in a similar way. In addition, the chemical formation of PM_{2.5} in Region A and the resulting PM_{2.5} concentrations present a linear relationship, which can be established using the base case and the 50 scenarios where the variables in Region A change randomly but those in other regions remain constant:

$$[\mathbf{PM}_{2.5}]_{\mathbf{A}} = k \cdot \operatorname{Prod}_{\mathbf{P}}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}} + b, \tag{13}$$

where k and b are parameters determined through regression, and the correlation coefficient is approximately 0.99. Then we develop the relationship between the local chemical formation of $PM_{2.5}$ in Region A and local precursor concentrations using the base case and the 30 scenarios, where control variables in all regions change together and the variables for the same pollutant (e.g., Emis_NH_{3A}, Emis_NH_{3B}, and Emis_NH_{3C}) equal each other:

$$\operatorname{Prod}_{PM_{A}} = \operatorname{RSM}_{A \to A}^{\operatorname{Prod}_{PM}}([\operatorname{NO}_{x}]_{A}, [\operatorname{NH}_{3}]_{A}).$$
(14)

Combining Eqs. (13) and (14), and considering the effect of inter-regional transport of $PM_{2.5}$ (calculated using Eq. 9), we derive

$$\begin{split} [\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}]_{\mathrm{A}} &= k \cdot \mathrm{RSM}_{\mathrm{A} \to \mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{Prod}_\mathrm{PM}} \left([\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}]_{\mathrm{A}0} \right. (15) \\ &+ [\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}]_{\mathrm{A} \to \mathrm{A}} + [\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}]_{\mathrm{B} \to \mathrm{A}} + [\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}]_{\mathrm{C} \to \mathrm{A}}, \\ [\mathrm{NH}_{3}]_{\mathrm{A}0} &+ [\mathrm{NH}_{3}]_{\mathrm{A} \to \mathrm{A}} + [\mathrm{NH}_{3}]_{\mathrm{B} \to \mathrm{A}} + [\mathrm{NH}_{3}]_{\mathrm{C} \to \mathrm{A}}, \\ &+ [\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}_\mathrm{Trans}]_{\mathrm{B} \to \mathrm{A}} + [\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}_\mathrm{Trans}]_{\mathrm{C} \to \mathrm{A}} \\ (\mathrm{applicable} \ \mathrm{for}[\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}]_{\mathrm{A}} < [\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}]_{\mathrm{A},\mathrm{min}}, \ \mathrm{or} \\ &[\mathrm{NH}_{3}]_{\mathrm{A}} < [\mathrm{NH}_{3}]_{\mathrm{A},\mathrm{min}}). \end{split}$$

It should be noted that the process analysis module could also be used within the first approach (Eq. 10) to distinguish the contributions of chemical formation and physical transport. However, in the first approach, we could distinguish the chemical and transport contributions even without this diagnostic module (see Eqs. 7 and 8). If this module was used, we would need to develop the relationship between the chemically formed PM_{2.5} and the PM_{2.5} concentration, which was an extra step compared with the first approach and added to the complexity.

To assure the consistency between Eqs. (10) and (15), we introduce transition intervals of $([NO_x]_{A,min}, [NO_x]_{A,min} + \delta_{NO_x})$ and $([NH_3]_{A,min}, [NH_3]_{A,min} + \delta_{NH_3})$, where $\delta_{NO_x} = 0.1 \cdot [NO_x]_{A0}$ and $\delta_{NH_3} = 0.1 \cdot [NH_3]_{A0}$. Equation (10) is applied for $[NO_x]_A \ge [NO_x]_{A,min} + \delta_{NO_x}$ and $[NH_3]_A \ge [NH_3]_{A,min} + \delta_{NO_x}$ and $[NH_3]_A \ge [NH_3]_{A,min} + \delta_{NH_3}$, and we linearly interpolate between Eqs. (10) and (15) for the transitional range. Based on the case study in the YRD region (see Sect. 2.2), the discrepancy between the two approaches is 1–8 % in the transition interval.

2.2 Case study of the YRD region

The ERSM technique was applied with CMAQ version 4.7.1 over the YRD region of China. One-way, triple nesting simulation domains are used, as shown in Fig. 2. Domain 1 covers most of China and part of East Asia with a grid resolution of $36 \text{ km} \times 36 \text{ km}$; domain 2 covers the eastern China with a grid resolution of $12 \text{ km} \times 12 \text{ km}$; domain 3 covers the YRD region with a grid resolution of $4 \text{ km} \times 4 \text{ km}$. The Weather Research and Forecasting Model (WRF, version 3.3) was used to generate the meteorological fields. The physical and chemical options of CMAQ and WRF, the geographical projection, the vertical resolution, and the initial and boundary conditions are consistent with our previous papers (Zhao et al., 2013a, c). A high-resolution anthropogenic emission inventory for the YRD region developed by Fu et al. (2013) was used. The anthropogenic emissions for other regions in East Asia were from Zhao et al. (2013a, c) and Wang et al. (2014), and emissions for other Asian countries were taken from the INDEX-B inventory (Q. Zhang et al., 2009). The biogenic emissions were calculated by the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN; Guenther et al., 2006). The ERSM technique is applicable for various timescales, ranging from a single day to several years. The simulation period for this case study is January and August in 2010, representing winter and summer, respectively. One may want to extend the analysis to a full year. The most rigorous way is to finish the CMAQ simulations for a full year and build the response surfaces following the same procedure. Alternatively, the relationship for a full year can be roughly estimated using the average values of January and August. Another approach is to finish the simulations for an additional month in Spring and Autumn, and represent the situation of a full year with the average values of the four typical months. The simulated meteorological parameters, and concentrations of PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and their chemical components agree fairly well with observation data, as described in detail in the Supplement (Tables S3–S4; Figs. S3–S5).

Domain 3 was divided into 4 regions (see Fig. 2), i.e., Shanghai, southern Jiangsu province (Jiangsu), northern Zhejiang province (Zhejiang), and other regions (Others). We developed two RSM/ERSM prediction systems (Table 1); the



Figure 2. Triple nesting domains used in CMAQ simulation (left) and the definition of four regions in the innermost domain, denoted by different colors (right). The black lines in the left figure represent provincial boundaries; the thick black lines and the thin grey lines in the right figure represent the provincial boundaries and city boundaries, respectively. The dark blue grids in the right figure represent the urban areas of major cities.

response variables for both of them are the concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$, SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^{-} over the urban areas of major cities (see Fig. 2) in these four regions. The first prediction system used the conventional RSM technique and 101 emission control scenarios generated by the LHS method to map atmospheric concentrations versus total emissions of NO_x, SO₂, NH₃, NMVOC, and PM_{2.5} in domain 3. For the second prediction system, the emissions of gaseous PM2.5 precursors and primary PM_{2.5} in each of the four regions are categorized into six and three control variables, respectively (see Table 1), resulting in 36 control variables in total. Note that we did not consider NMVOC emissions in the second prediction system, because the contribution of NMVOC to PM2.5 concentrations is small in the current CMAQ model, mainly due to the significant underestimation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation (Carlton et al., 2010). We generated 663 scenarios (see Table 1) to build the response surface, following the method to create emission scenarios for the ERSM technique (see Sect. 2.1). In detail, the scenarios include (1) 1 CMAQ base case; (2) N = 150 scenarios generated by applying LHS method for the control variables of gaseous precursors in Shanghai, 150 scenarios generated in the same way for Jiangsu, 150 scenarios for Zhejiang, and 150 scenarios for Others; (3) M = 50 scenarios generated by applying LHS method for the total emissions of NO_x, SO₂, and NH₃ in all regions; and (4) 12 scenarios where one of the control variables of primary PM2.5 emissions is set to 0.25 for each scenario. Here the values N = 150 and M = 50are determined according to the numerical experiments conducted in our previous studies (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011), which showed that the response surface for six and three variables could be built with good prediction performance (MNE < 1%; correlation coefficient > 0.99) using 150 and 50 scenarios, respectively. Finally, we generated 40 independent scenarios for out-of-sample validation, as described in detail in Sect. 3.1.



Figure 3. Comparison of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations predicted by the ERSM technique with out-of-sample CMAQ simulations. The dashed line is the one-to-one line indicating perfect agreement.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Validation of ERSM performance

The performance of the conventional RSM technique has been well evaluated in our previous studies (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). In this study we focus on the validation of the ERSM technique. Using the prediction system built with the ERSM technique, we predicted the PM2.5 concentrations for 40 out-of-sample control scenarios, i.e., scenarios independent from those used to build the ERSM prediction system, and compared with the corresponding CMAQ simulations. These 40 out-of-sample scenarios include 32 cases (case 1-32) where the control variables of gaseous precursors change but those of primary PM2.5 stay the same as the base case, four cases (case 33-36) the other way around, and four cases (case 37-40) where control variables of gaseous precursors and primary PM2.5 change simultaneously. Most cases are generated randomly with the LHS method (case 4-6, 10-12, 16-18, 22-24, 28-40), and some cases are designed where all control variables are subject to large emission changes (case 1-3, 7-9, 13-15, 19-21, 25-27). A more detailed description of the out-of-sample control scenarios is given in Table S5. Two statistical indices, the normalized error (NE) and MNE are defined as follows:

$$NE = |P_i - S_i| / S_i, \tag{16}$$

$$MNE = \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \left[|P_i - S_i| / S_i \right],$$
(17)

where P_i and S_i are the ERSM-predicted and CMAQsimulated value of the *i*th out-of-sample scenario; N_s is the number of out-of-sample scenarios. Figure 3 compares the ERSM-predicted and CMAQ-simulated PM_{2.5} concentrations for the out-of-sample scenarios using scatterplots (the raw data for the scatterplots are given in Table S6–S7). Table 2 shows the statistical results for the comparison. It

Method	Variable number	Control variables	Scenario number	Scenario details
Conventional	5	total emissions of NO _x , SO ₂ , NH ₃ , NMVOC, and PM _{2.5}	101	one CMAQ base case; 100* scenarios generated by applying
RSM technique				LHS method for the five variables.
ERSM technique	36	nine variables in each of the four regions, including six gaseous variables, i.e., (1) NO _x /power plants (2) NO _x /industrial and residential (3) NO _x /transportation (4) SO ₂ /power plants (5) SO ₂ /industrial and residential (6) NH ₃ /all sectors, and three primary PM _{2.5} variables, i.e., (7) PM _{2.5} /power plants (8) PM _{2.5} /industrial and residential (9) PM _{2.5} /transportation.	663	one CMAQ base case; 600 scenarios, including 150* scenarios generated by applying LHS method for the gaseous control variables in Shanghai, 150 scenarios generated in the same way for Jiangsu, 150 scenarios for Zhejiang, 150 scenarios for Others; 50* scenarios generated by applying LHS method for the total NO _x , SO ₂ and NH ₃ emissions; 12 scenarios where one primary PM _{2.5} control variable is set to 0.25 for each sce- nario.

Table 1. Description of the RSM / ERSM prediction systems developed in this study.

* 100, 150 and 50 scenarios are needed for the response surfaces for 5, 6 and 3 variables, respectively (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011).

can be seen that the ERSM predictions and CMAQ simulations agree well with each other. The correlation coefficients are larger than 0.98 and 0.99, and the MNEs are less than 1 and 2 % for January and August, respectively. The maximum NEs could be as large as 6 and 10 % in January and August, respectively, but the NEs for 95 % of all out-of-sample scenarios fall below 3.5 %. NEs exceeding 3.5 % happen only for the scenario where all control variables are reduced by 90 % (case 25). In addition, the maximum NEs for case 33– 36 are all within 0.2 %, indicating a perfect linear relationship between PM_{2.5} concentrations and primary PM_{2.5} emissions.

We further evaluated the performance of the ERSM technique by comparing the 2-D isopleths of PM_{2.5} concentrations in response to the simultaneous changes of NO_x / SO₂ / NH₃ emissions in all regions derived from both the conventional RSM and the ERSM technique. Figures 4, S6, and S7 show the isopleths of PM_{2.5} concentrations in Shanghai, Jiangsu, and Zhejiang, respectively. The x and y axes of the figures show the emission ratio, defined as the ratios of the changed emissions to the emissions in the base case. For example, an emission ratio of 1.5 means the emissions of a particular control variable increase by 50% from the base case. The different colors represent different PM_{2.5} concentrations. The comparison shows that the shapes of isopleths derived from both prediction systems agree fairly well with each other, although the isopleths predicted by the ERSM technique are not as smooth as those predicted by the conventional RSM technique owing to a much larger variable number. The consistency between the conventional RSM and ERSM prediction systems indicates that the ERSM technique could reproduce fairly well the response of $PM_{2.5}$ to continuous changes of precursor emission levels between zero and 150%. Although model simulations definitely have numerical errors, the success in capturing the atmospheric responses to continuous emission changes over a full range of control levels ensures that these errors could not challenge the major conclusions about the effectiveness of air pollution control measures.

3.2 Response of PM_{2.5} to precursor emissions

The ERSM prediction system could instantly evaluate the response of $PM_{2.5}$ and its chemical components to the independent or simultaneous changes of the precursor emissions from multiple sectors and regions, over a full range of control levels. Therefore, it improves the identification of major precursors, regions, and sectors contributing to $PM_{2.5}$ pollution. This unique capability distinguishes the ERSM from the previous sensitivity analysis methods.

Following previous sensitivity studies, we define $PM_{2.5}$ sensitivity as the change ratio of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration divided by the reduction ratio of emissions:

$$S_a^X = \left[\left(C^* - C_a \right) / C^* \right] / (1 - a),$$
(18)

where S_a^X is the PM_{2.5} sensitivity to emission source X at its emission ratio a, C_a is the concentration of PM_{2.5} when the emission ratio of X is a, and C^* is the concentration of PM_{2.5} in the base case (when emission ratio of X is 1). Figure 5 shows the PM_{2.5} sensitivity to the stepped control of individual air pollutants, and Fig. 6 shows the PM_{2.5} sensitivity to the stepped control of individual air pollutants from indi-



Figure 4. Comparison of the 2-D isopleths of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in Shanghai in response to the simultaneous changes of precursor emissions in all regions derived from the conventional RSM technique and the ERSM technique. The *x* and *y* axes shows the emission ratio, defined as the ratios of the changed emissions to the emissions in the base case. The different colors represent different $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations (unit: $\mu g m^{-3}$).



Figure 5. Sensitivity of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations to the stepped control of individual air pollutants. The *x* axis shows the reduction ratio (i.e., 1 – emission ratio). The *x* axis shows $PM_{2.5}$ sensitivity, which is defined as the change ratio of concentration divided by the reduction ratio of emissions. The colored bars denote the $PM_{2.5}$ sensitivities when a particular pollutant is controlled, while the others stay the same as the base case; the red dotted line denotes the $PM_{2.5}$ sensitivity when all emission sources are controlled simultaneously.

vidual sectors. Figure 5 can be derived from the prediction systems built with both the conventional RSM and ERSM technique, except that the latter did not evaluate the effects of the changes of NMVOC emissions. The results derived from both systems are consistent, and we present those derived from the conventional technique to include the effects of NMVOC. Figure 6 is derived from the ERSM technique.

In January, $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are sensitive to the primary $PM_{2.5}$ emissions, followed by NH_3 , and relatively insensitive to NO_x and SO_2 . The contribution of primary $PM_{2.5}$ is dominated by the emissions from industrial and residential sources. During August, gaseous precursors make larger contributions to $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations than primary $PM_{2.5}$, with similar contributions from NH_3 , SO_2 , and NO_x . The NO_x emissions from power plants, the industrial and residential sector, and the transportation sector play similar roles; the SO_2 emissions from the industrial and residential sector have larger effects on $PM_{2.5}$ than those from power plants due to larger emissions and lower stack heights. NMVOC emissions have minor effect on $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, mainly due to the significant underestimation of SOA in the current version of CMAQ, which is also a common issue for most widely used CTMs (Robinson et al., 2007).

The PM_{2.5} sensitivities to primary PM_{2.5} emissions are approximately the same at various control levels. However, the PM_{2.5} sensitivity to gaseous precursors increases notably when more control efforts are taken, mainly attributable to transition between NH₃-rich and NH₃-poor conditions. Specifically, a particular pollutant (SO_2 , NO_x , or NH_3), when subject to larger reductions compared with others, will become the limiting factor for inorganic aerosol chemistry. In January, the response of PM2.5 to NOx emissions is negative for relatively small reductions (< 40-70 %), but becomes positive for large reductions (> 40-70 %). This strong nonlinearity has also been confirmed by the previous studies (Zhao et al., 2013c; Dong et al., 2014). Relatively small reductions of NO_x emissions lead to the increase of O₃ and HO_x radicals due to a NMVOC-limited regime for photochemistry, enhancing the formation of sulfate (see Fig. 7). In



Figure 6. Sensitivity of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations to the stepped control of individual air pollutants from individual sectors. The *x* axis shows the reduction ratio (i.e., 1 - emission ratio). The *y* axis shows $PM_{2.5}$ sensitivity, which is defined as the change ratio of concentration divided by the reduction ratio of emissions. The colored bars denote the $PM_{2.5}$ sensitivities when a particular emission source is controlled, while the others stay the same as the base case; the red dotted line denotes the $PM_{2.5}$ sensitivity when all emission sources are controlled simultaneously.

addition, the increase of O3 and HOx radicals also accelerates the nighttime formation of N₂O₅ and HNO₃ through the $NO_2 + O_3$ reaction, thereby enhancing the formation of nitrate aerosols (see Fig. 7). As an integrated effect, the $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations increase with relatively small reductions of NO_X emissions. Under large reductions of NO_X, PM_{2.5} concentrations decrease, resulting from the simultaneous decline of NO₂, O₃ and HO_X radical concentrations (NO_x-limited regime for photochemistry). These chemical processes also explain why the reduction of NOx emissions of a single emission sector has negative effects on PM2.5 even at a large reduction ratio (see Fig. 6). Simultaneous reductions of NO_x emissions from multiple sectors are essential for reducing PM_{2.5} concentrations. If all pollutants are controlled simultaneously, the sensitivity of PM2.5 concentrations to emission reductions also generally becomes larger when more control effort is taken, especially in January (see red dotted line in Figs. 5 and 6). Note that the effects of reducing individual pollutants (from individual sectors) and reducing all of them together are different. In most cases the combined effect is lower than the sum of individual effects, which can be explained by the overlap effects of reductions in both species involved in the formation of ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate. However, it is sometimes the other way around in January, as shown in Fig. 6. As mentioned above, in January, the response of PM2.5 to the reduction of NO_x emissions from a single emission sector is negative since the emission reduction is small compared with the total NO_x emissions. Therefore, when the NO_x emissions from each sector are reduced individually (the bars), we sum up the negative effects. In contrast, when all pollutants from all sectors are reduced simultaneously (the red dotted line), the NO_x emission reduction at a large ratio could have a positive effect on



Figure 7. Sensitivity of NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} concentrations to the stepped control of individual air pollutants in individual regions. The *x* axis shows the reduction ratio (i.e., 1 – emission ratio). The *y* axis shows NO_3^- / SO_4^{2-} sensitivity, which is defined as the change ratio of NO_3^- / SO_4^{2-} concentration divided by the reduction ratio of emissions. The colored bars denote the NO_3^- / SO_4^{2-} sensitivities when a particular emission source is controlled, while the others stay the same as the base case; the red dotted line denotes the NO_3^- / SO_4^{2-} sensitivity when all emission sources are controlled simultaneously.

PM_{2.5} reduction. This is why the combined effect sometimes exceeds the sum of individual effects in January.

Then, we evaluate the contribution of primary PM2.5 and gaseous precursor (SO₂, NO_x, and NH₃) emissions from different regions to PM_{2.5} concentrations based on the ERSM technique (Table 3). The contributions of total primary PM2.5 emissions (39-46% in January, and 43-46% in August) are dominated by local sources (32-36% in January, and 37-43 % in August). Total gaseous precursor emissions in the domain contribute 25-36 and 48-50% of PM2.5 concentrations in January and August, respectively. The relative importance of gaseous precursor emissions from the other regions compared with local precursor emissions is generally higher than that of primary $PM_{2,5}$; this trend is especially evident in August. In Shanghai, the gaseous precursor emissions from Jiangsu and Zhejiang even contribute more to the PM_{2.5} concentration than local precursor emissions during August. In January, long-range transport has a significant effect on PM_{2.5} concentrations (25–34% contribution) due to the northerly monsoon, contrasted by the minor effect in August (7–8% contribution).

3.3 Response of SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^{-} to precursor emissions

We pay special attention to secondary inorganic aerosols (SIAs) because SIAs contribute 28–55 % of total $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations based on our simulation. Figure 7 shows the sensitivity of NO_3^- / SO_4^{2-} concentrations to the emissions of individual air pollutants in individual regions; Fig. S8 shows

B. Zhao et al.: Development and application of an ERSM technique v1.0

		January		August			
	Shanghai	Jiangsu	Zhejiang	Shanghai	Jiangsu	Zhejiang	
Correlation coefficient	0.989	0.980	0.987	0.995	0.997	0.994	
Mean normalized error (MNE)	1.0 %	0.7 %	0.9 %	0.8%	0.5 %	1.7 %	
Maximum normalized error (NE)	4.5 %	3.0%	5.2 %	10.2 %	7.7 %	9.6%	
95 % percentile of NEs	2.8 %	2.7 %	3.5 %	3.0%	1.6 %	3.1 %	
MNE (case 33–36)	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0 %	0.1 %	0.1 %	0.1 %	
Maximum NE (case 33–36)	0.1 %	0.1 %	0.1 %	0.1 %	0.1 %	0.2 %	

Table 2. Comparison of PM2.5 concentrations predicted by the ERSM technique with out-of-sample CMAQ simulations.

Table 3. Contribution of primary PM_{2.5} and gaseous precursor (NO_x, SO₂, NH₃) emissions from individual regions to PM_{2.5} concentrations.

		January		August		
	Shanghai	Jiangsu	Zhejiang	Shanghai	Jiangsu	Zhejiang
Emissions of primary PM _{2.5} in Shanghai	35.5 %	1.1 %	1.3 %	36.9 %	1.0 %	0.4 %
Emissions of primary PM _{2.5} in Jiangsu	5.6%	35.0 %	4.1 %	2.2 %	37.5 %	0.9%
Emissions of primary PM _{2.5} in Zhejiang	1.9 %	2.3 %	32.2 %	4.3 %	2.5 %	42.8 %
Emissions of primary PM _{2.5} in Others	2.9 %	2.9 %	1.7 %	2.0 %	1.9 %	1.5 %
Emissions of primary $PM_{2.5}$ in four regions	46.0%	41.2 %	39.4 %	45.4 %	42.9 %	45.7 %
Emissions of NO _x , SO ₂ , and NH ₃ in Shanghai	11.3 %	0.2 %	1.0 %	18.9 %	1.8 %	2.5 %
Emissions of NO _x , SO ₂ , and NH ₃ in Jiangsu	3.3 %	11.7 %	3.9%	5.2 %	30.1 %	4.3 %
Emissions of NO_x , SO_2 , and NH_3 in Zhejiang	2.7 %	4.3 %	20.9 %	18.3 %	12.6 %	36.3 %
Emissions of NO_x , SO_2 , and NH_3 in Others	1.7 %	2.4 %	2.8 %	5.7 %	4.6 %	7.2 %
Emissions of NO_x , SO_2 , and NH_3 in four regions	25.2 %	24.9 %	35.7 %	48.3 %	50.4 %	47.7 %
Emissions of primary $PM_{2.5}$ in the outer domain	7.4 %	9.1 %	6.3 %	0.7 %	0.8 %	1.6%
Emissions of NO_x , SO_2 , and NH_3 in outer domain	20.6%	24.5 %	19.1 %	6.6%	7.1 %	6.1 %

the sensitivity of NO_3^- / SO_4^{2-} concentrations to the emissions of individual air pollutants from individual sectors. Both figures are derived from the prediction system built with the ERSM technique. In January, NO₃⁻ concentration is most sensitive to NH3 emissions, especially local NH3 emissions. The effect of local NO_x emissions on NO_3^- concentrations changes from negative to positive when the controls of NO_x emissions become more and more stringent. This pattern is similar to that of PM2.5 described above. The NOx emissions from the industrial and residential sector and the transportation sector, when controlled individually, both make negative contribution to the reduction of NO_3^- concentrations. In contrast, the control of NO_x emissions from power plants often favors the reduction of NO_3^- , because power plants tend to affect the fine particles over a larger spatial scale due to their higher release heights, and because the photochemistry typically changes from a NMVOC-limited regime in surface metropolis areas to a NOx-limited regime in vast rural areas or the upper air (Xing et al., 2011). In August, NO_3^- concentrations are mainly affected by local emissions of NH₃ and NO_x, as well as NO_x emissions in upwind regions, and NO_x emissions make a much larger positive contribution to NO₃⁻ concentrations compared with January. Factors accounting for this difference include a stronger NH₃-

rich condition for inorganic aerosol chemistry (Wang et al., 2011), and a weaker NMVOC-limited (in metropolis areas) or a stronger NO_x -limited (in rural areas) photochemical condition in August. The contributions of NO_x emissions from power plants, the industrial and residential sector, and the transportation sector are similar to each other.

In January, SO_4^{2-} concentrations are dominated by the changes of local SO_2 emissions, followed by local NH₃ emissions. NO_x emissions have a negative effect on SO_4^{2-} due to both thermodynamic (competition with SO_2 for NH₃) and photochemical effect (negatively correlated with O₃ and HO_x radicals). In August, SO_4^{2-} is most sensitive to local SO_2 and NH₃ emissions. In Shanghai, where local emissions are relatively small compared with emissions in other regions, the SO_2 and NH₃ emissions from upwind regions might contribute more to SO_4^{2-} concentration than local emissions. In both January and August, the SO_2 emissions of the industrial and residential sector have larger effects on SO_4^{2-} concentrations than those of power plants, partly due to larger emissions and lower stack heights.

4 Conclusions, implications, and limitations

In this study, we developed a novel extended response surface modeling technique (ERSM v1.0). As an advantage over previous models or techniques, this technique could characterize the nonlinear response of PM2.5 and its chemical components to large and simultaneous changes of multiple precursor emissions from multiple regions and sectors with a reasonable number of model scenarios. The ERSM technique was developed starting from the conventional RSM technique; it first quantifies the relationship between PM2.5 concentrations and the emissions of gaseous precursors from each single region with the conventional RSM technique, and then assesses the effects of inter-regional transport of PM2.5 and its gaseous precursors on PM2.5 concentrations in the target region. A particular approach was implemented to improve the accuracy of the response surface when the emissions from multiple regions experience quite large reductions simultaneously.

We applied the ERSM technique with CMAQ version 4.7.1 over the YRD region of China, and mapped the concentrations of PM2.5 and its inorganic components versus 36 control variables. Using the ERSM technique, we predicted the PM_{2.5} concentrations for 40 independent control scenarios, and compared these with the corresponding CMAQ simulations. The comparison results show that the ERSM predictions and CMAQ simulations agree well with each other. The correlation coefficients are larger than 0.98 and 0.99, and the MNEs are less than 1 and 2%, respectively, for January and August, We also compared the 2-D isopleths of PM_{2.5} concentrations in response to the changes of precursor emissions derived from both the conventional RSM and the ERSM technique, and demonstrated that the ERSM technique could reproduce fairly well the response of PM_{2.5} to continuous changes of precursor emission levels between zero and 150%.

Employing the ERSM technique, we identified the major sources contributing to PM2.5 and its inorganic components in the YRD region. For example, in January, PM2.5 concentrations are sensitive to the primary PM_{2.5} emissions, followed by NH_3 , and relatively insensitive to NO_x and SO_2 . During August, gaseous precursors make larger contributions to PM_{2.5} concentrations than primary PM_{2.5}, with similar contributions from NH₃, SO₂, and NO_x. We also characterized the nonlinearity in the response of PM2.5 to emission changes and illustrated the underlying chemical processes. For example, the sensitivity of $PM_{2.5}$ to gaseous precursors increases notably when more control efforts are taken, due to the transition between NH₃-rich and NH₃-poor conditions. In January, the response of PM2.5 to NOx emissions is negative for relatively small reductions, but becomes positive for large reductions.

The assessment of the response of $PM_{2.5}$ and its inorganic components to precursor emissions over the YRD region has important policy implications. First, the control of primary

 $PM_{2.5}$ emissions, especially those of the industrial and residential sources, should be enhanced considering their large contribution to $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. Second, NO_x emissions need be reduced substantially in order to mitigate the adverse effect on $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations at relatively small reduction ratio. Third, the control of NH_3 should be implemented in heavy-pollution areas in winter due to its significant effect on $PM_{2.5}$. Fourth, it is essential to implement region-dependent emission reduction targets based on the above-quantified interactions among regions.

Except for identification of major emission sources, the ERSM technique has several other practical applications. First, it allows us to calculate the required emission reductions to attain a certain environmental target. Specifically, we alter the emission ratios of various control variables and calculate the real-time response of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations with ERSM repeatedly until the standard is attained. Second, ERSM can be applied to design optimal control options, which could be determined through cost-effective optimization once ERSM is coupled with control cost models/functions that link the emission reductions with private costs.

The ERSM technique still has several limitations. First, the technique currently does not consider the variability of meteorological conditions. Second, although the ERSM technique represents an essential improvement compared with the conventional RSM technique, it usually needs over 500 emission scenarios for a medium-sized problem. Future studies should be done to further reduce the number of scenarios required while assuring the accuracy of the response surfaces. Third, the emission scenarios required to build the response surface depends strictly on the experimental design (e.g., selection of geographical regions and control variables). It is not necessary to recompute lots of CTM simulations if we make minor revision on the experimental design. For example, if one more geographical area is added, we just need to (1) add a parallel group of emission scenarios where the control variables of the added geographical area change, while those of the other regions remain at base-case levels, and (2) recompute the emission scenarios where the control variables of all regions change simultaneously. Another example, if the selected emission sectors in a specific geographical area are changed, we just need to recompute the group of emission scenarios where the control variables of this geographical area change, while those of the other regions remain at base-case levels. However, if the experimental design is significantly changed (e.g., change of selected pollutants, or change of selected emission sectors in all regions), most of the CTM simulations need to be recomputed. The users need to carefully design the experiment before performing the CTM simulations.

Code availability

All codes needed to run ERSM v1.0 in MATLAB[®] are available upon request. Any potential user interested in the model should contact S. X. Wang, and any feedback with regard to the model are welcome. Procedures to run the model and sources of external data files are properly documented in a Manual.doc file.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/gmd-8-115-2015-supplement.

Author contributions. B. Zhao, J. Xing, and S. X. Wang developed the underlying algorithms of the model. B. Zhao and K. Fu developed the model code and performed the simulations. B. Zhao, K. Fu, and W. J. Wu conducted the model validation. B. Zhao and S. X. Wang prepared the manuscript with contributions from all coauthors. J. S. Fu, C. Jang, Y. Zhu, X. Y. Dong, Y. Gao, J. D. Wang, and J. M. Hao provided important academic guidance.

Acknowledgements. This work was sponsored by National Natural Science Foundation of China (21221004), Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XBD05020300), and MEP's Special Funds for Research on Public Welfares (201409002, 201309009). The authors also appreciate the support from Collaborative Innovation Center for Regional Environmental Quality of Tsinghua University. Our work was completed on the Explorer 100 cluster system of Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology.

Edited by: A. Colette

References

- Amann, M., Cofala, J., Gzella, A., Heyes, C., Klimont, Z., and Schopp, W.: Estimating concentrations of fine particulate matter in urban background air of European cities, Interim Report IR-07-001, available at: http://www.iiasa.ac.at (last access: 25 January 2015), International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria, 50, 2007.
- Carlton, A. G., Bhave, P. V., Napelenok, S. L., Edney, E. D., Sarwar, G., Pinder, R. W., Pouliot, G. A., and Houyoux, M.: Model Representation of Secondary Organic Aerosol in CMAQv4.7, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 8553–8560, doi:10.1021/Es100636q, 2010.
- Carmichael, G. R., Sandu, A., and Potra, F. A.: Sensitivity analysis for atmospheric chemistry models via automatic differentiation, Atmos. Environ., 31, 475–489, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00168-9, 1997.
- Dickerson, R. R., Stedman, D. H., and Delany, A. C.: Direct Measurements of Ozone and Nitrogen-Dioxide Photolysis Rates in the Troposphere, J. Geophys. Res.-Ocean., 87, 4933–4946, doi:10.1029/Jc087ic07p04933, 1982.

- Dong, X. Y., Li, J., Fu, J. S., Gao, Y., Huang, K., and Zhuang, G. S.: Inorganic aerosols responses to emission changes in Yangtze River Delta, China, Sci. Total. Environ., 481, 522–532, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.076, 2014.
- Fu, J. S., Brill, E. D., and Ranjithan, S. R.: Conjunctive use of models to design cost-effective ozone control strategies, J. Air. Waste. Manage., 56, 800–809, 2006.
- Fu, X., Wang, S. X., Zhao, B., Xing, J., Cheng, Z., Liu, H., and Hao, J. M.: Emission inventory of primary pollutants and chemical speciation in 2010 for the Yangtze River Delta region, China, Atmos. Environ., 70, 39–50, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.12.034, 2013.
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181–3210, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006, 2006.
- Hakami, A., Odman, M. T., and Russell, A. G.: High-order, direct sensitivity analysis of multidimensional air quality models, Environ. Sci. Technol., 37, 2442–2452, doi:10.1021/Es020677h, 2003.
- Hakami, A., Seinfeld, J. H., Chai, T. F., Tang, Y. H., Carmichael, G. R., and Sandu, A.: Adjoint sensitivity analysis of ozone nonattainment over the continental United States, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 3855–3864, doi:10.1021/Es052135g, 2006.
- Heyes, C., Schopp, W., Amann, M., and Unger, S.: A reduced-form model to predict long-term ozone concentrations in Europe, Interim Report WP-96-12, available at: http://www.iiasa.ac.at (last access: 25 January 2015), International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria, 58, 1996.
- Hwang, J. T., Dougherty, E. P., Rabitz, S., and Rabitz, H.: Greens Function Method of Sensitivity Analysis in Chemical-Kinetics, J. Chem. Phys., 69, 5180–5191, doi:10.1063/1.436465, 1978.
- Iman, R. L., Davenport, J. M., and Zeigler, D. K.: Latin Hypercube Sampling (Program User's Guide), Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, US Technical Report SAND79-1473, 78, 1980.
- Milford, J. B., Russell, A. G., and Mcrae, G. J.: A New Approach to Photochemical Pollution-Control – Implications of Spatial Patterns in Pollutant Responses to Reductions in Nitrogen-Oxides and Reactive Organic Gas Emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 23, 1290–1301, doi:10.1021/Es00068a017, 1989.
- Nel, A.: Air pollution-related illness: Effects of particles, Science, 308, 804–806, doi:10.1126/science.1108752, 2005.
- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop, A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R., and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: Semivolatile emissions and photochemical aging, Science, 315, 1259–1262, doi:10.1126/science.1133061, 2007.
- Russell, A., Milford, J., Bergin, M. S., Mcbride, S., Mcnair, L., Yang, Y., Stockwell, W. R., and Croes, B.: Urban Ozone Control and Atmospheric Reactivity of Organic Gases, Science, 269, 491–495, doi:10.1126/science.269.5223.491, 1995.
- Sandu, A. and Zhang, L.: Discrete second order adjoints in atmospheric chemical transport modeling, J. Comput. Phys., 227, 5949–5983, doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2008.02.011, 2008.
- Sandu, A., Daescu, D. N., Carmichael, G. R., and Chai, T. F.: Adjoint sensitivity analysis of regional air quality models, J. Comput. Phys., 204, 222–252, doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2004.10.011, 2005.

- Santner, T. J., Williams, B. J., and Notz, W.: The Design and Analysis of Computer Experiments, Springer Verlag, New York, US, 283 pp., 2003.
- Simon, H., Baker, K. R., Akhtar, F., Napelenok, S. L., Possiel, N., Wells, B., and Timin, B.: A Direct Sensitivity Approach to Predict Hourly Ozone Resulting from Compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality Standard, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 2304– 2313, doi:10.1021/Es303674e, 2013.
- Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M.: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp., 2013.
- US Environmental Protection Agency: Technical support document for the proposed PM NAAQS rule: Response Surface Modeling, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, US Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, US, 48, 2006a.
- US Environmental Protection Agency: Technical support document for the proposed mobile source air toxics rule: ozone modeling, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, US Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, US, 49, 2006b.
- Walsh, M. P.: PM2.5: Global progress in controlling the motor vehicle contribution, Front. Env. Sci. Eng., 8, 1–17, doi:10.1007/s11783-014-0634-4, 2014.
- Wang, L. H. and Milford, J. B.: Reliability of optimal control strategies for photochemical air pollution, Environ. Sci. Technol., 35, 1173–1180, doi:10.1021/Es001358y, 2001.
- Wang, S. X. and Hao, J. M.: Air quality management in China: Issues, challenges, and options, J. Environ. Sci.-China., 24, 2–13, doi:10.1016/S1001-0742(11)60724-9, 2012.
- Wang, S. X., Xing, J., Jang, C. R., Zhu, Y., Fu, J. S., and Hao, J. M.: Impact assessment of ammonia emissions on inorganic aerosols in east China using response surface modeling technique, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 9293–9300, doi:10.1021/Es2022347, 2011.
- Wang, S. X., Zhao, B., Cai, S. Y., Klimont, Z., Nielsen, C. P., Morikawa, T., Woo, J. H., Kim, Y., Fu, X., Xu, J. Y., Hao, J. M., and He, K. B.: Emission trends and mitigation options for air pollutants in East Asia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6571–6603, doi:10.5194/acp-14-6571-2014, 2014.
- Xing, J.: Study on the nonlinear responses of air quality to primary pollutant emissions, Doctor thesis, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, 138 pp., 2011 (in Chinese).
- Xing, J., Wang, S. X., Jang, C., Zhu, Y., and Hao, J. M.: Nonlinear response of ozone to precursor emission changes in China: a modeling study using response surface methodology, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5027–5044, doi:10.5194/acp-11-5027-2011, 2011.

- Yang, Y. J., Wilkinson, J. G., and Russell, A. G.: Fast, direct sensitivity analysis of multidimensional photochemical models, Environ. Sci. Technol., 31, 2859–2868, doi:10.1021/Es970117w, 1997.
- Yarwood, G., Emery, C., Jung, J., Nopmongcol, U., and Sakulyanontvittaya, T.: A method to represent ozone response to large changes in precursor emissions using high-order sensitivity analysis in photochemical models, Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 1601–1608, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-1601-2013, 2013.
- Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., He, K. B., Huo, H., Kannari, A., Klimont, Z., Park, I. S., Reddy, S., Fu, J. S., Chen, D., Duan, L., Lei, Y., Wang, L. T., and Yao, Z. L.: Asian emissions in 2006 for the NASA INTEX-B mission, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5131–5153, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5131-2009, 2009.
- Zhang, X. Y., Wang, Y. Q., Niu, T., Zhang, X. C., Gong, S. L., Zhang, Y. M., and Sun, J. Y.: Atmospheric aerosol compositions in China: spatial/temporal variability, chemical signature, regional haze distribution and comparisons with global aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 779–799, doi:10.5194/acp-12-779-2012, 2012.
- Zhang, Y., Wen, X. Y., Wang, K., Vijayaraghavan, K., and Jacobson, M. Z.: Probing into regional O-3 and particulate matter pollution in the United States: 2. An examination of formation mechanisms through a process analysis technique and sensitivity study, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D22305, doi:10.1029/2009jd011900, 2009.
- Zhao, B., Xu, J. Y., and Hao, J. M.: Impact of energy structure adjustment on air quality: A case study in beijing, china, Front. Environ. Sci. En., 5, 378–390, doi:10.1007/s11783-011-0357-8, 2011.
- Zhao, B., Wang, S. X., Dong, X. Y., Wang, J. D., Duan, L., Fu, X., Hao, J. M., and Fu, J.: Environmental effects of the recent emission changes in China: implications for particulate matter pollution and soil acidification, Environ. Res. Lett., 8, 024031, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/2/024031, 2013a.
- Zhao, B., Wang, S. X., Liu, H., Xu, J. Y., Fu, K., Klimont, Z., Hao, J. M., He, K. B., Cofala, J., and Amann, M.: NOx emissions in China: historical trends and future perspectives, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9869-9897, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9869-2013, 2013b.
- Zhao, B., Wang, S. X., Wang, J. D., Fu, J. S., Liu, T. H., Xu, J. Y., Fu, X., and Hao, J. M.: Impact of national NO_x and SO₂ control policies on particulate matter pollution in China, Atmos. Environ., 77, 453–463, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.05.012, 2013c.