

1 **Supplementary information**

2 **S.1. Description of lake models**

3

4 **S.1.1. FLake.** FLake is a bulk fresh-water lake model (Mironov 2008, Mironov et al. 2010, Kirillin
5 et al. 2011, see <http://lakemodel.net> for further information). It is based on a two-layer parametric
6 representation of the temperature profile, and on the integral heat and kinetic energy budgets for the
7 layers in question. The structure of the stratified layer between the upper mixed layer and the basin
8 bottom, the lake thermocline, is described using the concept of self-similarity (assumed shape) of
9 the temperature-depth curve. The same concept is used to describe the temperature structure of the
10 ice and snow cover and of the thermally active upper layer of bottom sediments. FLake incorporates
11 an advanced formulation to compute the mixed-layer depth including the equation of convective
12 entrainment and a relaxation-type equation for the depth of a wind-mixed layer (both mixing
13 regimes are treated with due regard for the volumetric character of solar radiation heating), a
14 module to describe the interaction of the water column with bottom sediments, and a snow-ice
15 module. Empirical constants and parameters of FLake are estimated, using independent
16 observational and numerical data. They should not be re-evaluated when the model is applied to a
17 particular lake. There are, of course, lake-specific external parameters, such as depth to the bottom
18 and optical properties of water, but these are not part of the model physics. With the integral
19 approach used in FLake, the problem of solving partial differential equations (in depth and time) for
20 the temperature and turbulence quantities is reduced to solving ordinary differential equations for
21 the time-dependent quantities that specify the evolving temperature profile. These are mixed-layer
22 temperature and mixed-layer depth, temperature at the water-bottom sediment interface, mean
23 temperature of the water column, shape factor with respect to the temperature profile in the
24 thermocline, temperature at the ice upper surface, and ice thickness. If the bottom sediment module
25 is switched on, two additional prognostic variables are computed, viz., the depth of the upper layer
26 of bottom sediments penetrated by the thermal wave and the temperature at that depth (see Mironov

27 2008, for details).

28 In order to compute fluxes of momentum and of sensible and latent heat at the lake surface, a
29 parameterization scheme is used that accounts for specific features of the surface air layer over
30 lakes (see <http://lakemodel.net> for details). The scheme incorporates a fetch-dependent formulation
31 for the aerodynamic roughness of the water surface, advanced formulations for the roughness
32 lengths for potential temperature and specific humidity in terms of the roughness Reynolds number,
33 and free-convection heat and mass transfer laws to compute fluxes of scalars in conditions of
34 vanishing mean wind.

35

36 **S.1.2. Hostetler models.** Two independent realizations of the Hostetler lake model participate in the
37 study. The first one is based on the code of S.W. Hostetler (Hostetler and Bartlein, 1990), and
38 another one (CLM4-LISSS, standing for Community Land Model 4 – Lake, Ice, Snow and
39 Sediment Simulator) (Subin et al., 2011) is developed within the scope of the Community Earth
40 System Model 1 (CESM1), whose land surface component is the Community Land Model 4
41 (CLM4) (Lawrence et al., 2011; Oleson et al., 2010). The Subin et al. (2011) version is modified
42 from the standard CLM4 version (Oleson et al., 2010) by additional physics and several corrections
43 to errors in the surface flux and eddy diffusion calculations.

44 The Hostetler-based lake models solve the vertical thermal diffusion equation equation by dividing
45 the lake water body into several discrete layers for each of the following: snow ; lake body water
46 and ice; and in the CLM version, underlying sediments and bedrock. The basic mixing mechanisms
47 in the water body include wind-driven eddy turbulence that adds to the thermal conductivity based
48 on the parameterization of Henderson-Sellers, buoyant convection (Hostetler and Bartlein 1990)
49 and molecular diffusion. Heat, water, momentum, and radiation fluxes are calculated between the
50 surface and the atmosphere. The residual energy flux at the surface is then used as a top boundary
51 condition for the thermal diffusion between lake layers. Shortwave radiation partially penetrates the
52 lake body.

53 In the Hostetler's version there is no active sediments layer: the model assumes zero heat flux at the
54 bottom of the lake. The latent and sensible heat fluxes for off-line simulations are calculated using a
55 standard surface drag coefficient formulation based on surface-layer similarity theory. The drag
56 coefficient calculations follow the BATS (Biosphere-Atmosphere Transfer Scheme) formulation
57 (Dickinson et al., 1993).

58 In CLM4-LISSS, additional background diffusivity to represent unresolved 3D mixing processes is
59 introduced (Fang and Stefan 1996). Active sediment and bedrock layers are taken into account. The
60 albedo depends on the direct and diffuse radiation fractions and the zenith angle, and the surface
61 solar absorption fraction is equal to the near infrared fraction in the solar radiation energy spectrum.
62 The surface roughnesses are calculated as a function of forcing wind and friction velocity. The
63 submodels for friction velocity, aerodynamic resistances, snow, and sediment are similar to those in
64 CLM4 (Oleson et al. 2010).

65

66 **S.1.3. LAKE model.** LAKE model is a numerical one-dimensional model using finite-difference
67 scheme to explicitly calculate vertical temperature profile in a water body, underlying ground, snow
68 and ice, if the latter two exist. It uses 1D k- ϵ closure to calculate eddy diffusivity and heat
69 conductance as $\lambda_T = C k^2 / \epsilon$ (k being turbulent kinetic energy and ϵ – its dissipation rate). Here C
70 is either constant (implying “standard k- ϵ model”) or the so-called stability function dependent on
71 momentum shear and Brunt-Väisälä frequency according to Galperin (Galperin et al., 1988) or
72 Canuto (Canuto et al., 2001) formulations. In this paper Canuto stability functions for eddy
73 diffusivity and heat conductance as being applicable for the more general hydrodynamic flows are
74 used. To provide momentum shear for k- ϵ closure 1D non-stationary equations for two horizontal
75 velocities are solved, including Coriolis force and eddy diffusion. Exponential decay of solar
76 radiation with depth using the constant attenuation coefficient is assumed in temperature equation.
77 The model takes into account lake morphometry by horizontal cross-section area entering diffusion
78 terms of temperature, momentum and k- ϵ equations (in this study morphometry effect, however,

79 was omitted since there were no digital bathymetry data available). The ground beneath a lake is
80 represented by a multilayer model including temperature conductance, liquid moisture transport
81 (both diffusive and gravitational), ice content and water phase transitions thus making the model
82 applicable for permafrost regions. Water leakage from lake body to unsaturated ground below is
83 explicitly calculated which enters the water balance of a lake. However, in actual calculations the
84 ground soon becomes saturated as lateral soil moisture movement is not included. Heat and
85 momentum fluxes in the surface air layer are parameterized by Monin-Oboukhov similarity theory,
86 employing interpolation formulas following Paulson (1970), Beljaars and Holtslag (1991), Large et
87 al. (1994). The model was applied for a number of shallow lakes, e.g. Vendyurskoe (Russia,
88 Karalee, data available at <http://www.flake.igb-berlin.de/data.shtml>), Tiksi (data collected during
89 GAME-Siberia project) and Shuchi (data collected by K.Walter and colleagues, University of
90 Alaska) (Stepanenko et al., 2011).

91

92 **S.1.4. SimStrat.** SimStrat is a numerical lake model that simulates the evolution of vertical profiles
93 of water temperature in a water body (Goudsmit et al., 2002). This model is a modified version of
94 the buoyancy-extended k - ε model described in Rodi (1993) and Burchard et al. (1998), adapted to
95 specific conditions of an enclosed basin. It computes heat transfer through the profile by estimating
96 the vertical turbulent diffusivity from the turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) k and dissipation ε of
97 TKE , using finite difference scheme. The turbulent viscosity and diffusivity are computed as $\sim C * k^2/\varepsilon$,
98 with C , stability functions, as given by Galperin et al. (1988). The source of TKE is generated
99 by shear stress at the surface due to wind forcing and buoyancy production in case of unstable
100 stratification. The momentum shear with depth is computed by means of both horizontal velocity
101 components, U and V , the Coriolis forces and the molecular and turbulent viscosity. The TKE
102 induced by seiche motion is included in this version of the model and become the main source of
103 energy below the epilimnion. This scheme is not activated in this study since it requires estimates
104 of bottom basin area. To reduce heat penetration and turbulence in the water column during lake

105 freezing periods, the model assumes that no-flux enters the lake surface when the surface water
106 temperature drops below the freezing point and the heat fluxes are negative. The horizontal cross
107 sections, varying with the morphometry of the basin, are used to compute the diffusion terms of
108 temperature, momentum and k - ϵ equations, though in this study morphometry effects were omitted
109 due to the absence of digital data on horizontal cross-section area change with depth. The heat flux
110 from the bottom area is given by a constant geothermal value that needs to be specified for each
111 lake. SimStrat accurately predicted temperature profiles in a number of peri-alpine lakes, ranging
112 from medium depth [Lake Alpnach (34 m), Lake Baldegg (64 m), Goudsmit et al., 2002] to very
113 deep lakes [Lake Zurich (136 m), Lake Geneva (309 m), Peeters et al., 2002; Perroud et al., 2009].
114 However, it has not been tested in very shallow lakes.

115

116 **S.1.5. LAKEoneD**

117 LAKEoneD is a vertical one-dimensional k - ϵ turbulence model (Jöhnk, 2011; Jöhnk et al., 2008;
118 Jöhnk and Umlauf, 2001) driven by hourly (or shorter) meteorological data to simulate temperature
119 structure and turbulent diffusivities (“mixing”) of a lake with prescribed hypsometric data in depth
120 and time. To account for ice cover a very simple ice model, depending only on meteorological
121 information but not on lake temperature, is implemented.

122 Simulations of temperature and turbulent diffusivity are based on a one-dimensional k - ϵ turbulence
123 model (Svensson, 1978). LAKEoneD considers small lakes, where the Coriolis effect is
124 insignificant. The turbulence model is based on a system of five partial differential equations,
125 describing the dynamics of momentum, heat, turbulent kinetic energy, and turbulent dissipation rate,
126 respectively. The horizontal bathymetry of the lake is implicitly taken into account via its area-depth
127 relation, $A(z)$. In this study $A(z)$ was set to constant, as in the other k - ϵ models.

128 Horizontal momentum is driven by wind stress at the surface, and vertically distributed by
129 diffusion. An additional boundary stress term is formulated as a sliding law with constant drag
130 coefficient chosen according to the lake’s settings.

131 The vertical profile of space and time dependent turbulent diffusivity is calculated from the relation
 132 $D_z = c_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}$ where turbulent kinetic energy, k , and turbulent dissipation rate, ε , are described by two
 133 partial differential equations. This second order turbulence closure uses standard coefficients (Rodi,
 134 1993; Mohammadi and Pironneau, 1994).

135 Heat is produced internally by the absorption of shortwave radiation and vertically distributed by
 136 diffusion. The horizontal bathymetry of the lake is implicitly incorporated via the area-depth
 137 relation in such a way that heat is conserved and radiation reaching the lake bottom is absorbed by
 138 the sediment. Two boundary conditions for the heat equation describe the continuity of heat flux at
 139 the surface, driven by meteorological conditions (sensible and latent heat fluxes, and longwave
 140 radiation), and at the bottom with a constant heat flux value (zero in this study).

141
 142 **2.6. MINLAKE96.** A verified deterministic, process-oriented, dynamic and one-dimensional
 143 (vertical) year-round lake water quality model, MINLAKE96 (Fang and Stefan, 1996) was used for
 144 daily water temperature simulations in Kossenblatter See. The model can be run in a continuous
 145 mode over many simulation years for both the open water seasons and the ice cover periods. The
 146 model was developed for simulations in different types of lakes in a cold region (Minnesota) and
 147 over the contiguous USA. The model has linked calibration parameters and initial conditions to
 148 lake geometry and/or geographic location. For example, the hypolimnetic eddy diffusion coefficient
 149 (K_z in $\text{cm}^2 \text{sec}^{-1}$) is a function of lake surface area (A_s in km^2) and stability frequency [$N^2 = -$
 150 $(\partial\rho/\partial z)(g/\rho)$ in sec^{-2} , where ρ is density of water, z is water depth, and g is acceleration of gravity]
 151 (Hondzo and Stefan 1993):

$$152 \quad K_z = 8.17 * 10^{-4} (A_s)^{0.56} (N^2)^{-0.43} \quad (\text{S.1.1})$$

153 The maximum vertical hypolimnetic eddy diffusivity, K_{zmax} , occurs when temperature profiles are
 154 under weakly stratified conditions that were defined as $N^2 = 7.0 * 10^{-5} \text{sec}^{-2}$. The MINLAKE96
 155 model includes a bulk mixed-layer model in response to wind and convection, and a wind sheltering

156 coefficient adjusts the wind speed for fetch over the lake in the direction of wind and is used to
157 compute turbulent kinetic energy from a wind speed that is typically measured at an off-site weather
158 station at 10-m elevation. The wind sheltering coefficient, $W_{str} = 1.0 - \exp(-0.3*A_s)$, is set as a
159 function of lake surface area based on model calibrations using various Minnesota lakes (Hondzo
160 and Stefan, 1993). The one-dimensional lake model conjunctively uses a vertical eddy diffusion
161 expression, mechanical energy balance (wind turbulent kinetic energy and potential energy due to
162 density difference), and a convection algorithm for natural cooling to distribute heat energy and
163 predicts surface mixed layer thickness and water temperature profiles.

164 The model uses a stacked layer system; the layers include lake sediments and water during the
165 summer open-water season, and self-forming additional ice cover and snow cover layers for the winter
166 period. The water column is divided into well-mixed horizontal layers having a thickness from 0.02 m
167 (near the water surface) to 1 m when the layer depth is greater than 1 m. The one-dimensional,
168 unsteady heat transfer equation is solved to obtain daily water temperature profiles in a lake. Solar
169 radiation absorption in the water column is the main contributor to the heat source term during the
170 open-water season. Heat exchange between lake sediment and water is calculated separately for all
171 horizontal layers and then included as a source or sink term in the lake water temperature model. To
172 determine heat flux between water and sediment, the one-dimensional (vertical), dynamic heat
173 conduction equation is solved to simulate sediment temperature distribution up to 10 meters below the
174 lake bottom. It was found that heat can transfer into or out of the lake sediment during both the open
175 water season and the winter ice cover period.

176

177 **S.1.7. Completely-mixed model.** Since Kossenblatter See is a very shallow and polymictic lake
178 one may expect that very simple modeling approaches such as «zero-dimensional» model assuming
179 water temperature being constant with depth could provide satisfactory agreement with observation
180 data. In order to check if neglect of stratification effects is applicable to Kossenblatter See case
181 we supplemented the set of lake models with «completely-mixed model». The basic equation of

182 this model can be obtained by integrating one-dimensional heat conductance equation and then
183 assuming temperature to be constant with depth

184
$$\rho c_p \frac{dT_{cm}}{dt} = \frac{Q_{z=0} + F_{h,z=0} - Q_{z=h} - F_{h,z=h}}{h}, \quad (\text{S.1.2})$$

185 where ρ - mean water density, c_p - water specific heat at constant pressure, T_{cm} - the
186 temperature of completely-mixed model varying only with time, Q - solar radiation flux, F_h -
187 heat flux, both downward, z - vertical downward coordinate with $z=0$ associated with the water
188 surface, h - lake depth. Bottom radiation and bottom heat fluxes are neglected in the model.

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207 **References**

208

209 Beljaars, A.C.M. and Holtslag, A.A.M. 1991. On flux parameterization over land surfaces for
210 atmospheric models, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **30**, 327-341.

211 Burchard, H., O. Petersen, and T. P. Rippeth 1998. Comparing the performance of the Mellor-
212 Yamada and the κ - ϵ two-equation turbulence models. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **103**, 10543-10554.

213 Canuto, V. M., A. Howard, Y. Cheng, and M. S. Dubovikov, Ocean turbulence. Part I: One-point
214 closure model. Momentum and heat vertical diffusivities, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 31, 1413-1426, 2001.

215 Dickinson R.E., Henderson-Sellers A. and Kennedy P. J. 1993. Biosphere–Atmosphere Transfer
216 Scheme (BATS) Version 1e as coupled to the NCAR Community Climate Model. NCAR Tech.

217 Note NCAR/TN-3871STR, 72 pp. [Available from NCAR Education and Outreach Program, P.O.
218 Box 3000, Boulder, CO, 80307.]

219 Fang, X., and H. G. Stefan 1996. Long-term lake water temperature and ice cover simulations/
220 measurements. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, **24**, 289-304.

221 Galperin, B., L. Kantha, S. Hassid, and A. Rosati 1988. A quasi-equilibrium turbulent energy model
222 for geophysical flows. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **45**, 55– 62.

223 Goudsmit, G.-H., H. Burchard, F. Peeters, and A. Wüst 2002. Application of k- ϵ turbulence models
224 to enclosed basins: The role of internal seiches. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **107**, 3230- 3243.

225 Hondzo, M., and H. G. Stefan 1993. Lake water temperature simulation model. ASCE, *Journal of*
226 *Hydraulic Engineering* **119**, 1251-1273.

227 Hostetler, S., and P. Bartlein 1990. Simulation of Lake Evaporation with Application to Modeling Lake
228 Level Variations of Harney-Malheur Lake, Oregon. *Water Resources Research*, **26**, 2603-2612.

229 Jöhnk, K.D., 2011. Limnophysics – Turbulenz, Meromixis Sauerstoff. Institute of Limnophysics,
230 ISBN 978-1-4475-3387-0.

231 Jöhnk, K.D., and Umlauf, L. 2001. Modelling the metalimnetic oxygen minimum in a medium
232 sized alpine lake. *Ecological Modelling* **136**, 67-80.

233 Jöhnk, K.D., Huisman, J., Sommeijer, B., Sharples, J., Visser, P.M., and Stroom, J. 2008. Summer
234 heatwaves promote blooms of harmful cyanobacteria. *Global Change Biology* **14**, 495-512.

235 Kirillin, G., J. Hochschild, D. Mironov, A. Terzhevik, S. Golosov, and G. Nützmann, 2011. FLake-
236 Global: Online lake model with worldwide coverage. *Environ. Modell. Softw.* **26**, 683-684.

237 Large, W.G., J.C. McWilliams, and S.C. Doney 1994. Oceanic vertical mixing: a review and a
238 model with nonlocal boundary layer parameterization. *Rev. Geophys.*, **32**, 363-403.

239 Lawrence, D. M., and co-authors 2011. Parameterization improvements and functional and
240 structural advances in version 4 of the Community Land Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Sys.*, In Press.

241 Mironov, D. V. 2008. Parameterization of lakes in numerical weather prediction. Description of a
242 lake model. *COSMO Technical Report*, No. 11, Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach am Main,
243 Germany, 41 pp.

244 Mironov, D., E. Heise, E. Kourzeneva, B. Ritter, N. Schneider, and A. Terzhevik 2010.
245 Implementation of the lake parameterisation scheme FLake into the numerical weather prediction
246 model COSMO. *Boreal Env. Res.*, **15**, 218-230.

247 Mohammadi, B. and Pironneau, O. 1994. Analysis of the K-Epsilon Turbulence Model, Wiley &
248 Sons, UK.

249 Oleson, K., and co-authors 2010. Technical description of version 4.0 of the Community Land
250 Model (CLM). National Center for Atmospheric Research, NCAR/TN-478+STR.

251 Peeters, F., D. M. Livingstone, G. Goudsmit, R. Kipfer, and R. Forster 2002. Modeling 50 years of
252 historical temperature profiles in a large central European lake. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **47**, 186-197.

253 Paulson, C.A. 1970. The mathematical representation of wind speed and temperature profiles in the
254 unstable atmospheric surface layer. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **9**, 857-861.

255 Perroud, M., S. Goyette, A. Martynov, M. Beniston, and O. Anneville 2009. Simulation of
256 multiannual thermal profiles in deep Lake Geneva: A comparison of one-dimensional lake models.
257 *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**, 1574-1594.

258 Rodi, W., 1993. Turbulence Models and their Application in Hydraulics - a State of the Art Review, 3rd
259 ed., Baalkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands.

260 Stepanenko, V.M., E.E. Machul'skaya, M.V. Glagolev, and V.N. Lykosov 2011. Numerical Modeling
261 of Methane Emissions from Lakes in the Permafrost Zone. *Izvestiya AN. Fizika Atmosfery i Okeana*,
262 **47(2)**, 275–288.

263 Subin, Z. M., W. J. Riley, and D. Mironov 2011. An Improved Lake Model Climate Simulations:
264 Model Structure, Evaluation, and Sensitivity Analyses in CESM1. *J. Adv. Mod. Earth Sys.*, Submitted.

265 Svensson, U. 1978. A Mathematical Model of the Seasonal Thermocline. PhD thesis, Imperial
266 college, London.

267

268