



Supplement of

A new temperature–photoperiod coupled phenology module in LPJ-GUESS model v4.1: optimizing estimation of terrestrial carbon and water processes

Shouzhi Chen et al.

Correspondence to: Yongshuo H. Fu (yfu@bnu.edu.cn) and Jing Tang (jing.tang@bio.ku.dk)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

Supplementary information

Figure S1 vegetation phenology based on remote sensing. (a) Spring phenology, (b) autumn phenology, (c) the change trend of spring phenology (SOS), and (d) the change trend of autumn phenology. The dots represent regions with significant change trend.



- 6 Figure S2 Plant function type fraction at 0.5° spatial resolution. (a) IBS, (b)
- 7 TeBS, (c) BNS and (d) Shrubs.
- 8



9 Figure S3 Potential nature plant distribution simulated by LPJ-GUESS during

- 10 **1979-2015.** (a) Simulation with original phenological module, (b) simulation with
- 11 extended phenological module.



Figure S4 Daily leaf area index simulation performance of LPJ-GUESS model
 using original and extended phenological module.



- 17 Figure S5 Frequency distributions of Spring (March to May) and Autumn (August
- 18 to November) gross primary productivity (GPP) of LPJ-GUESS simulation and

19 VPM product. (a-c) Spring GPP for BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs dominant regions.

20 (d-f) Autumn GPP for BNS, IBS&TeBS and Shrubs dominant regions.





23 Figure S6 Spatial distributions of Spring (March to May) and Autumn (August

24 to November) gross primary productivity (GPP) of LPJ-GUESS simulation and

25 VPM GPP data. (a-c) Spring GPP with original, extended LPJ-GUESS and VPM

26 data. (d-f) Autumn GPP with original, extended LPJ-GUESS and VPM data.



29 Figure S7 Spatial distributions of Spring (March to May) and Autumn (August

30 to November) actual evapotranspiration (ART) of LPJ-GUESS simulation and

31 **REA ET data.** (a-c) Spring AET with original, extended LPJ-GUESS and REA ET

32 data. (d-f) Autumn AET with original, extended LPJ-GUESS and REA ET data.



34 **Table S1 DORMPHOT model parameters.**

Plant function type	DLcrit	Dcrit	Ccrit	Fcrit	aD	bD	aC	cC	dF	gT	hDL
Range	[0,24]	[0,100]	[0,200]	[0,200]	[-1,1]	[-30,30]	[-1,1]	[-30,30]	[-1,1]	[0,30]	[0,30]
BNS	13.38	37.03	147.45	14.38	0.02	-11.84	-0.18	14.43	-0.28	7.86	17.57
IBS&TeBS	17.37	49.57	133.08	27.78	-0.05	-7.00	-0.11	10.62	-0.40	19.53	16.45
Shrubs	10.74	90.11	107.31	21.20	0.31	10.71	-0.36	-6.12	-0.26	17.38	16.40

BNS, boreal needle leaved summergreen tree, IBS, Shade-intolerant broadleaved summergreen tree, TeBS, shade-tolerant temperate broadleaved summergreen tree and Shrubs, summergreen shrubs plant function types.

38

39 Table S2 DM model parameters.

Plant function type	Tb	Pstart	Ycrit	х	У	porn	
Range	[-40,40]	[0,24]	[0,50000]	[-3,3]	[-3,3]	0,1	
BNS	22.21	22.24	5365.79	2.15	3.09	0	
IBS&TeBS	39.12	16.42	8015.66	1.29	0.53	1	
Shrubs	13.20	19.76	1380.31	1.59	1.03	0	

BNS, boreal needle leaved summergreen tree, IBS, Shade-intolerant broadleaved
summergreen tree, TeBS, shade-tolerant temperate broadleaved summergreen tree and
Shrubs, summergreen shrubs plant function types).

43

Table S3 Model performance of parameterized original phenological module in LPJ-GUESS.

Plant function	Parameters			In	ternal calibr	ation	External calibration			
type	а	b	k	\mathbb{R}^2	NSE	RMSE	\mathbb{R}^2	NSE	RMSE	
BNS				0.52	0.35	9.07	0.50	0.29	9.64	
IBS&TeBS	0.00	1515.08	0.021	0.41	0.36	11.85	0.41	0.33	12.03	
Shrub				0.42	0.31	12.61	0.44	0.27	13.06	
BNS	0.00	1649.60	0.024	0.50	0.42	8.63	0.50	0.40	8.91	
IBS&TeBS	0.00	105.62	0.005	0.56	0.44	11.02	0.54	0.37	11.61	
Shrub	0.00	1657.27	0.018	0.40	0.36	12.11	0.41	0.33	12.49	

R², coefficient of determination, NSE, Nash–Sutcliffe Efficiency, RMSE, Root mean
square error. BNS, boreal needle leaved summergreen tree, IBS, Shade-intolerant
broadleaved summergreen tree, TeBS, shade-tolerant temperate broadleaved
summergreen tree and Shrubs, summergreen shrubs plant function types. As with Sykes
et al. (1996), the parameter a is fixed to 0.

52 **Reference**

53

54 Sykes, M. T., Prentice, I. C., and Cramer, W.: A bioclimatic model for the potential distributions of north

European tree species under present and future climates, J. Biogeogr., 203-233, 1996.

⁵¹