



Supplement of

AttentionFire_v1.0: interpretable machine learning fire model for burned-area predictions over tropics

Fa Li et al.

Correspondence to: Qing Zhu (qzhu@lbl.gov)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

1 Supplementary Information



Fig. S1: Observed and AttentionFire modeled monthly total burned areas in NHAF,
SHAF, and SHSA regions from 1997-2015. Peak fire month in each year and its
corresponding burned areas are marked with red star (observations) and blue square
(AttentionFire) markers.



7

Fig. S2: Monthly mean precipitation and burned area percentage of yearly total amount.

9 The months with top four largest burned areas are defined as the fire season (red box).

10 Fire season accounts for 87.2%, 79.5%, and 79.6% of yearly total burned areas over

- 11 NHAF, SHAF, and SHSA, respectively.
- 12
- 13



14

Fig. S3: Dependency between fire season mean burned area and rainfall or VPD scalars 15 (standardized) in NHAF, SHAF, and SHSA regions. The x-axis is the weighted sum of 16 each driving variable across time. The weight of each month is the calculated mean 17 temporal attention weight of the driver at the corresponding month. The x-axis is evenly 18 divided into 100 bins according to the range of weighted sum rainfall or VPD of 19 different grids in each studied region and normalized to the range from 0 to 1. The fire 20 season mean burned area in each bin is calculated. Each point in the figure represents 21 the fire season mean burned area in the corresponding rainfall or VPD bin. The 22 coefficient of determination (R^2) is the explained variance of polynomial fitted fire 23 season mean burned areas and observations. 24



25

26 Fig. S4. Variable importance for fire-season burned area in Northern Hemisphere Africa

(NHAF), Southern Hemisphere Africa (SHAF), and Southern Hemisphere South
America (SHSA). All drivers are divided into four categories: climate, human activities
(e.g., population and road density, livestock), fuel, and ocean indices (OI). The
importance score is normalized by the dominant variable importance score, and a larger
score represents a more important variable.



32

Fig. S5. Burned area changes in history and future. Deep blue and light blue lines represent burned area changes under SSP585 and SSP126, respectively, and red lines represent burned area changes in history. Solid lines represented significant (p < 0.05) burned area trends while dashed lines represented non-significant trends.



- 38 Fig. S6. Regression relationship between burned area changes and vapor pressure
- deficit changes in Northern Hemisphere Africa (NHAF), and Southern HemisphereSouth America (SHSA) region.



41

Fig. S7. Ranked top-five important variables for burned area in Northern Hemisphere Africa (NHAF) (a), Southern Hemisphere Africa (SHAF) (b), and Southern Hemisphere South America (SHSA) (c). For each region, the variable importance was normalized by the dominant variable importance. Three machine learning models that provide variable importance score are considered, including decision tree, random forest, and Gradient Boosting Decision Tree (GBDT). The full name of each abbreviated variable is listed in Table 2 in the main text.

| Model | Hyperparameter settings | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Random Forest | Minimum leaf sample: [3,6,9] | |
| | Number of trees: [20,30,40] | |
| Decision Tree | Minimum leaf sample: [3,6,9] | |
| | Maximum depth: 150 | |
| Gradient Boosting Decision | Learning rate: 0.01 | |
| Tree (GBDT) | Maximum depth: [3,4,5] | |
| | Number of trees: 100 | |
| ANN | Maximum iteration: 2,000 | |
| | Number of neuros in hidden layers: [30,10] | |
| | Batch size: 32 | |
| | Activation: RELU | |
| | Optimizer: SGD | |
| LSTM | Dimension of hidden state vector: [8, 12, 16] | |
| | Learning rate: initial value 0.01, and update by multiplying 0.8 each | |
| | step. | |
| | Batch size: 32 | |
| | Optimizer: Adam with weight decay rate $[10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 5 \times 10^{-4}]$ | |
| | 10 ⁻⁵] | |
| | Sequence length: 12 | |
| | Dropout rate: 0.1 | |
| AttentionFire | Dimension of hidden state vector: [8, 12, 16] | |
| | Learning rate: initial value 0.01, and update by multiplying 0.8 each | |
| | step. | |
| | Batch size: 32 | |
| | Optimizer: Adam with weight decay rate $[10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 5 \times 10^{-4}]$ | |
| | 10 ⁻⁵] | |
| | Sequence length: 12 | |
| | Dropout rate: 0.1 | |

50 Table S1. Model Hyperparameter settings

51

52 Table S2. Model maximum computational cost across the three studied regions

| Model | Memory usage (GB) | Time consumption (seconds) |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Random Forest | 3.6 | 445 |
| Decision Tree | | 25 |
| Gradient Boosting | 4.5 | 552 |
| Decision Tree | | |
| (GBDT) | | |
| ANN | 2.2 | 137 |
| LSTM | 4.7 | 96 |
| AttentionFire | 5.3 | 568 |

Note: the computational cost is the maximum cost for wildfire model training in the three studied regions, including Northern Hemisphere Africa (NHAF), Southern Hemisphere Africa (SHAF), and Southern Hemisphere South America (SHSA). We acknowledge that the memory usage and time consumption could be different with different computational settings (e.g., GPU versus CPU, number of computational nodes, CPU frequency, and Python package versions) and different data size in the three regions. Since some baseline models (e.g., random forest and decision tree) were

- 60 commonly trained on the CPU, the results here were derived with single core of Intel®
- 61 Core[™] i9-9900K CPU (3.60 GHz) instead of GPU, Python 3.7, and the maximum
- 62 computational cost over the three regions.