



Supplement of

Estimation of CH_4 emission based on an advanced 4D-LETKF assimilation system

Jagat S. H. Bisht et al.

Correspondence to: Jagat S. H. Bisht (jagatbisht@jamstec.go.jp, jshbisht@gmail.com)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.



3 Figure S1. Initial analysis ensemble flux spread with RTPS and fixed multiplicative (FM) covariance





6 Figure S2. Time series of RMSE of surface CH4 flux analysis, for 1 year of data assimilation at every

7 grid using fixed multiplicative inflation and RTPS inflation methods over global landmass region.



8

9 Figure S3 CH₄ LETKF flux estimation time series for; (a) normalized RMSE estimated using

10 adaptive multiplicative inflation method in two sensitivity experiments (adaptM1; 50% initial

11 inflation, adaptM2; 40% initial inflation), (b) chi-square distribution comparison for adaptive

12 multiplicative sensitivity experiments (c) background error spread evolution for adaptive

13 multiplicative inflation experiments.



Figure S4. Time series of normalized RMSE estimated using 3 days and 8 days assimilation window

16 for CH₄ LETKF data assimilation (inflation method: RTPS).

17



18 19

Figure S5. Time series of normalized RMSE of surface CH₄ flux analysis after assimilating GOSAT
synthetic CH₄ observations shown for the period of 4 months using initial spread that is generated by

22 considering the initial perturbation at each model grid by considering horizontal spatial error

correlation between grid points among ensemble members, with a global mean correlation of 20%.



24

Figure S6. The 1-year CH4 total flux seasonal cycles of true (black), prior (gray), and estimated from

- the LETKF (blue) in 15 regions using FM inflation method after assimilating synthetic GOSAT CH₄
- observations (Fig. 6).

28



Figure S7. Monthly mean of real GOSAT observations retrieval error during the year 2010.