

Supplement of Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 1787–1807, 2020
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-1787-2020-supplement>
© Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Supplement of

Prediction of source contributions to urban background PM₁₀ concentrations in European cities: a case study for an episode in December 2016 using EMEP/MSC-W rv4.15 and LOTOS-EUROS v2.0 – Part 1: The country contributions

Matthieu Pommier et al.

Correspondence to: Matthieu Pommier (matthieu.pommier@met.no)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.





Figure. S1 Boxes defining each city edge, based on the 1 grid, 9 grids and the GADM definitions.

Amsterdam: rural+urban stations - 9 grids



London: rural+urban stations - 9 grids




Figure. S2 Hourly averaged PM₁₀ concentrations (in µg/m³) measured by the AirBase stations in Amsterdam (a) and London (b) from Dec 01st to 09th 2016. The cities are defined by an area using 9 grid cells. The mean of all the stations is plotted in black, the urban stations are plotted in magenta and the rural stations in green. The colored shade error corresponds to the standard deviation. The colored numbers below the time-series correspond to the number of stations per day.




Figure. S3 Scatterplot between the hourly PM₁₀ concentrations over all the studied cities using the 9 grid cells definition, predicted by the EMEP model on December 06th 2016 and the observations of the urban sites (blue dot) and rural sites (red square). For this case, only the five cities having urban and rural stations are used. The observations are collocated in time to the EMEP predictions and then averaged within the city edge to match the studied grid. The four panels correspond to the different predictions from 3 days before the December 06th to the actual day, i.e. December 06th. The correlation coefficient (r), the mean bias (MB), the normalised mean bias (NMB), the root-mean-square error (RMSE) and the fractional gross error (FGE) are provided on each panel. The blue and red lines represent the linear fits.




Figure. S4 As Fig. S3 for LOTOS-EUROS




Figure. S5 Spider plot presenting the correlation coefficient, the normalised mean bias, the root-mean-square error and the fractional gross error for all the predicted days (from 01 to 12 December – with starting dates from 01 to 09 December) over the cities defined by 9 grids. In maximum, there are 19 cities represented. The blue shade and line represent the EMEP performances and the red blue shade and line represent the LOTOS-EUROS performances. The four spider plots on each line present the results depending on the starting date of the forecast. The negative correlations are not shown.




Figure. S6 As Fig. S5 for the rural stations. In maximum, there are 5 cities represented.




Figure. S7 Spider plot presenting the correlation coefficient, the normalised mean bias, the root-mean-square error and the fractional gross error for all the predicted days (from 01 to 12 December – with starting dates from 01 to 09 December) over the cities defined by 1 model grid. In maximum, there are 16 cities represented. The blue shade and line represent the EMEP performances and the red blue shade and line represent the LOTOS-EUROS performances. The four spider plots on each line present the results depending on the starting date of the forecast.




Figure. S8 Normalised mean bias between the EMEP model and LOTOS-EUROS for dust (a) and sea salt (b) over the 34 European cities using the 9 grid cells definition for each 4-day forecast (01-04 Dec 2016, 02-05 Dec 2016, 03-06 Dec 2016, 04-07 Dec 2016, 05-08 Dec 2016, 06-09 Dec 2016, 07-10 Dec 2016, 08-11 Dec 2016, 09-12 Dec 2016). The NMB is calculated as: $\frac{\sum(EMEP-LOTOS-EUROS)}{\sum LOTOS-EUROS} \times 100\%$.




Figure. S9 Mean distribution in percent of the PM₁₀ components based on the NMB of the PM₁₀ predicted over the 34 European cities using the 9 grids definition for each 4-day forecast. a: Only the distribution of the components for the cities having a NMB larger than 30% is shown. b: Only the distribution for the cities having a NMB lower than -30% is shown. “Other” is calculated as the difference between the PM₁₀ concentrations and the sum the three other components (primary = POM+EC, SIA and natural).




Figure. S10 Mean hourly non-linearity in percent calculated for the “Domestic”, “30 European countries” and “Others” contributions, over the 34 European cities and for all 4-day forecasts (i.e. from 01-04 Dec to 09-12 Dec 2016). The non-linearity is presented for the cities defined by 1 grid cell (left row), 9 grid cells (middle row) and by the GADM (right row).




Figure. S11 Agreement in the determination of the daily dominant country contributor for PM₁₀, SO₄, NO₃, NH₄, EC and POM in percent, determined over all the studied cities using the 9 grid cells definition and for all forecasted days. The line that divides the box into two parts represents the median of the data. The end of the box shows the upper and lower quartiles. The extreme lines show the highest and lowest value excluding outliers which are represented by grey diamonds. The red dots correspond to the mean of each data set.






Figure. S12 Mean agreement between both SC calculation methodologies in the determination of the dominant country contributor, the two main contributors and the five main contributors for PM₁₀, SO₄, NO₃, NH₄, EC and POM in percent, determined over all the studied cities and for all 4-day forecasts. The results for the 3 city definitions (1 grid, 9 grids, GADM) and for the percentage of reduction used in the perturbation EMEP runs (5%, 15%, 50%) are shown. The black lines correspond to the standard deviation.