



## ***Corrigendum to*** **“The Brazilian Earth System Model ocean–atmosphere (BESM-OA) version 2.5: evaluation of its CMIP5 historical simulation”** **published in *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 12, 1613–1642, 2019**

**Sandro F. Veiga<sup>1</sup>, Paulo Nobre<sup>2</sup>, Emanuel Giarolla<sup>3</sup>, Vinicius Capistrano<sup>4</sup>, Manoel Baptista Jr.<sup>2</sup>, André L. Marquez<sup>2</sup>,  
Silvio Nilo Figueroa<sup>2</sup>, José Paulo Bonatti<sup>2</sup>, Paulo Kubota<sup>2</sup>, and Carlos A. Nobre<sup>5</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Earth System Science Center (CCST), National Institute for Space Research (INPE),  
São José dos Campos 12227-010, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Center for Weather Forecasting and Climate Studies (CPTEC), National Institute for Space Research (INPE),  
Cachoeira Paulista 12630-000, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Center for Weather Forecasting and Climate Studies (CPTEC), National Institute for Space Research (INPE),  
São José dos Campos 12227-010, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>4</sup>Amazonas State University (UEA), Manaus 69005-010, Amazonas, Brazil

<sup>5</sup>Institute for Advanced Studies, University of São Paulo, São Paulo 05508-050, São Paulo, Brazil

**Correspondence:** Sandro F. Veiga ([sandro.veiga@inpe.br](mailto:sandro.veiga@inpe.br))

Published: 26 July 2019

In Fig. 2 and its corresponding text in the published article, it is stated that the atmospheric component model of BESM-OA2.5 does not have any representation of aerosols. However, after further scrutinizing the model code input parameters and a new simulation done with explicit no-aerosol effects, it has been confirmed that the numerical simulations reported in the article did consider aerosol optical properties. The continental and oceanic aerosols have a column optical depth of 0.22 and 0.14, respectively, evenly distributed in the lower 2 km layer of the atmosphere. Therefore, this model does parameterize the direct radiative effects of aerosol optical depth over land and ocean, simulating the surface air temperature warming in agreement with other CMIP5 models in which the radiative aspects of aerosol are considered.

The following references are missing in the article.

### **References**

Hannachi, A., Joliffe, I., and Stephenson, D.: Empirical orthogonal functions and related techniques in atmospheric science: A review, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 27, 1119–1152, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1499>, 2007.

Jones, G. S., Stott, P. A., and Christidis, N.: Attribution of observed historical near-surface temperature variations to anthropogenic and natural causes using CMIP5 simulations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 118, 4001–4024, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50239>, 2013.

Namias, J.: Influence of Northern Hemisphere general circulation on drought in northeast Brazil, *Tellus*, 24, 336–343, <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v24i4.10648>, 1972.

Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A.: An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 93, 485–498, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1>, 2012.

Thompson, D. W. J. and Wallace, J. M.: Annular modes in the extratropical circulation. Part I: Month-to-month variability, *J. Climate*, 13, 1000–1016, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(2000\)013<1000:AMITEC>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<1000:AMITEC>2.0.CO;2), 2000.